

## The value of the Estermann zeta functions at $s = 0$

by

MAKOTO ISHIBASHI (Kagoshima)

**1. Introduction.** The Estermann zeta function  $E_u(s, a/q)$  is defined by the Dirichlet series

$$E_u\left(s, \frac{a}{q}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_u(n) e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) n^{-s}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > \operatorname{Re}(u) + 1,$$

where  $e(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ ,  $a, q$  are integers with  $q \geq 1, (a, q) = 1$ , and  $\sigma_u(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^u$ . It is known that  $E_u(s, a/q)$  can be continued analytically to the whole complex plane up to a double pole at  $s = 1$  ([1]). This function naturally occurs in the study of the exponential sums of the type

$$D_u\left(x, \frac{a}{q}\right) = \sum'_{n \leq x} \sigma_u(n) e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \quad ([4]–[6]),$$

where  $\sum'$  means that if  $x$  is an integer, then the term with  $n = x$  in the sum is to be halved. We can easily get the explicit formula for these sums by applying Perron's formula, i.e.

$$D_u\left(x, \frac{a}{q}\right) = \frac{1}{q} (\log x + 2\gamma - 1 - 2q \log x) x \\ + E_u\left(0, \frac{a}{q}\right) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-\varepsilon)} E_u\left(s, \frac{a}{q}\right) x^s s^{-1} ds$$

where  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant, and the integral is taken along the vertical line with  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = -\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

In this paper we shall evaluate the constants  $E_u(0, a/q)$  in terms of the cotangent function in the case  $u$  is an integer and determine the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear relations between  $E_u(0, a/q)$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}$  denotes the rational number field.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary: 11M41; Secondary: 11J99.

**2. Constants  $E_j(0, a/q)$ .** Let  $B_j(x)$  be the  $j$ th Bernoulli polynomial. The next lemma shows a relation between the values of  $B_j(x)$  and the  $j$ th derivative of  $\cot \pi x$  at  $x = a/q$ .

LEMMA ([2]). *Let  $q \geq 2, 1 \leq a \leq q, (a, q) = 1$ . Then*

$$(1) \quad (j + 1) \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^{j+1} \cot^{(j)}\left(\frac{\pi a}{q}\right) = q^j \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} e\left(-\frac{\pi a}{q}\right) B_{j+1}\left(\frac{k}{q}\right)$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots$

Now this lemma implies

THEOREM 1. *We have*

$$(2) \quad E_j\left(0, \frac{a}{q}\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{B_{j+1}}{2(j+1)}, & j \text{ odd,} \\ \left(-\frac{i}{2}\right)^{j+1} \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \frac{k}{q} \cot^{(j)}\left(\frac{\pi ak}{q}\right) + \frac{1}{4} \delta_{j,0}, & j \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

for  $q \geq 2$ , where  $\delta_{j,0} = 1$  for  $j = 0$  and 0 otherwise. For  $q = 1$ ,

$$E_j(0, 1) = \frac{(-1)^{j+1} B_{j+1}}{2(j+1)}.$$

Proof. For  $\text{Re}(s) > j + 1$ , substituting for  $\sigma_j(n)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_j\left(s, \frac{a}{q}\right) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_j(n) e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) n^{-s} = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} e\left(\frac{amn}{q}\right) m^{j-s} n^{-s} \\ &= \sum_{k,l=1}^q e\left(\frac{akl}{q}\right) \sum_{m \equiv k (q)} \sum_{n \equiv l (q)} m^{j-s} n^{-s} \\ &= q^{j-2s} \sum_{k,l=1}^q e\left(\frac{akl}{q}\right) \zeta(s-j, k/q) \zeta(s, l/q), \end{aligned}$$

where in the final step we have used the Hurwitz zeta function  $\zeta(s, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+x)^{-s}$  ( $0 < x \leq 1$ ). Then it follows from the analytic continuation of  $\zeta(s, x)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} E_j(0, a/q) &= q^j \sum_{k,l=1}^q e\left(\frac{akl}{q}\right) \zeta(-j, k/q) \zeta(0, l/q) \\ &= q^j \sum_{k,l=1}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{akl}{q}\right) \zeta(-j, k/q) \zeta(0, l/q) \\ &\quad + q^j \left( \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \zeta(0, 1) \zeta(-j, k/q) + \sum_{l=1}^q \zeta(-j, 1) \zeta(0, l/q) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{q^j}{j+1} \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} B_1(l/q) \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{akl}{q}\right) B_{j+1}(k/q) + \begin{cases} 1/4, & j = 0, \\ \frac{B_{j+1}}{2(j+1)}, & j \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

after some computations using

$$\zeta(-j, k/q) = -\frac{1}{j+1} B_{j+1}(k/q), \quad j \geq 0.$$

Changing the variable of summation  $k$  to  $q - k$  and using  $B_{j+1}(1 - x) = (-1)^{j+1} B_{j+1}(x)$ ,  $B_1(l/q) = l/q - 1/2$  and Lemma, we obtain our formula.

**3.  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear relations.** In [2], K. Girstmair gave a unified approach to the determination of all the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear relations between conjugate numbers in a cyclotomic field. Summarizing, his method is as follows: Let  $\mathbb{Q}_q = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  be the  $q$ th cyclotomic field with  $\zeta = e(1/q)$  and let  $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_q/\mathbb{Q})$  be its Galois group viewed as  $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . We consider  $\mathbb{Q}_q$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}G$ -module, where  $\mathbb{Q}G$  denotes the group ring. For  $b \in \mathbb{Q}_q$ , the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear relations of the numbers  $\sigma(b)$ ,  $\sigma \in G$ , are determined by the annihilator  $W_q(b)$  of  $b$  in  $\mathbb{Q}G$  defined by

$$W_q(b) = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}G : \alpha \circ b = 0\},$$

where  $\alpha \circ b = \sum_{\sigma \in G} a_\sigma \sigma(b)$  for  $\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in G} a_\sigma \sigma \in \mathbb{Q}G$ . It is known that any non-zero ideal  $I$  in  $\mathbb{Q}G$  is generated by the unique idempotent element  $\varepsilon_X = \sum_{\chi \in X} \varepsilon_\chi$ , written  $I = \langle \varepsilon_X \rangle$ , where

$$\varepsilon_\chi = |G|^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma^{-1}) \sigma, \quad X = \{\chi \in \widehat{G} : \chi(I) \neq 0\}.$$

( $\widehat{G}$  denotes the character group of  $G$ .) He proves

**THEOREM A ([2]).** *The ideal  $W_q(b)$  is generated by  $\varepsilon_X$  with*

$$X = \{\chi \in \widehat{G} : y(\chi|b) = 0\},$$

where  $y(\chi|b)$  are Leopoldt's character coordinates defined by

$$(3) \quad y(\chi|b) \tau(\bar{\chi}_f|1) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma^{-1}) \sigma(b) = \sum_{k=1}^q \bar{\chi}(k) \sigma_k(b),$$

where  $f$  means the conductor of  $\chi$ ,  $\chi_f$  is the primitive character modulo  $f$  attached to  $\chi$  and  $\tau(\chi|k)$  is the  $k$ -th Gauss sum.

He also shows how to compute  $\varepsilon_X$  explicitly for a special choice of  $X$  and obtains, among other things,

THEOREM B ([2]). Let  $j \geq 0$  and  $q \geq 2$ . Then

$$W_q[i^{j+1} \cot^{(j)}(\pi/q)] = \langle 1 + (-1)^j \sigma_{-1} \rangle,$$

with  $X = \{\chi \in \widehat{G} : \chi(\sigma_{-1}) = (-1)^j\}$ , where  $\sigma_k \in G$  are such that  $\sigma_k(\zeta) = \zeta^k$  for  $(k, n) = 1$ , and  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ .

Similarly, in the case of the numbers  $E_j(0, a/q)$ ,  $1 \leq a \leq q$ , with  $(a, q) = 1$  which are conjugate in  $\mathbb{Q}_q$ , we can prove

THEOREM 2. Let  $q \geq 2$  be a prime power and let  $j$  be an even integer. Then

$$W_q[E_j(0, 1/q)] = \langle 1 + \sigma_{-1} \rangle.$$

PROOF. By virtue of Theorem A, we have only to compute the character coordinates of the numbers  $E_j(0, 1/q)$ . From the  $\mathbb{Q}G$ -linearity of  $y(\chi| -)$  and the character coordinates for  $i^{j+1} \cot^{(j)}(\pi/q)$  ([2], Theorem 2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} y(\chi|E_j(0, 1/q)) &= \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{j+1} \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \frac{k}{q} \chi(k) y(\chi|i^{j+1} \cot^{(j)}(\pi/q)) \\ &= \frac{-1}{j+1} \left(\frac{q}{f}\right)^{j+1} \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{\chi}_f(p)}{p^{j+1}}\right) B_{1,\chi} B_{j+1,\chi_f}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p$  runs through the prime factors of  $q$ .

Here  $B_{n,\chi}$  denotes the generalized  $n$ th Bernoulli number, satisfying the relations

$$B_{n,\chi} = m^{n-1} \sum_{a=1}^m \chi(a) B_n(a/m),$$

where  $m$  is the modulus of  $\chi$ . In the case of primitive character it is known [3] that for the principal character  $\chi_0$ ,  $B_{1,\chi_0} \neq 0$  and  $B_{j+1,\chi_0} = 0$ , for even  $j \geq 2$ , and for non-principal  $\chi$ ,

$$\begin{cases} B_{j+1,\chi} \neq 0, & j \not\equiv \delta_\chi \pmod{2}, \\ B_{j+1,\chi} = 0, & j \equiv \delta_\chi \pmod{2}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\delta_\chi = \begin{cases} 0, & \chi \text{ even,} \\ 1, & \chi \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Further, we see that  $B_{1,\chi} \neq 0$  for odd  $\chi$  if the modulus of  $\chi$  is a prime power. Hence, we get  $X = \{\chi \in \widehat{G} : \chi(\sigma_{-1}) = 1\}$ , for  $W_q[E_j(0, 1/q)]$ , which is just the same as Theorem B. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2 implies

**THEOREM 3.** For the numbers  $E_j(0, a/q)$ ,  $1 \leq a \leq q$  ( $(a, q) = 1$ ,  $q$  a prime power and  $c_a \in \mathbb{Q}$  we have

$$\sum_{(a,q)=1} c_a E_j(0, a/q) = 0 \quad \text{if, and only if,} \quad c_a = c_{q-a} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum c_a = 0.$$

We see easily that

**COROLLARY 1.** The numbers  $E_j(0, a/q)$ ,  $1 \leq a \leq q/2$ ,  $(a, q) = 1$ ,  $q$  a prime power,  $j$  even, are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The author wishes to thank the referee for his careful reading of the manuscript and his helpful suggestions.

### References

- [1] T. Estermann, *On the representation of a number as the sum of two products*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 31 (1930), 123–133.
- [2] K. Girstmair, *Character coordinates and annihilators of cyclotomic numbers*, Manuscripta Math. 59 (1987), 375–389.
- [3] K. Iwasawa, *Lectures on  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions*, Ann. of Math. Stud. 74, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1972.
- [4] M. Jutila, *On exponential sums involving the divisor function*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 355 (1985), 173–190.
- [5] I. Kiuchi, *On an exponential sum involving the arithmetic function  $\sigma_a(n)$* , Math. J. Okayama Univ. 29 (1987), 93–205.
- [6] Y. Motohashi, *Riemann–Siegel Formula*, Lecture Notes, University of Colorado, Boulder, 1987.

DEPARTMENT OF LIBERAL ARTS  
KAGOSHIMA NATIONAL COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY  
1460-1 SHINKO, HAYATO-CHO, AIRA-GUN  
KAGOSHIMA 899-51, JAPAN

Received on 21.5.1994  
and in revised form on 29.12.1994

(2620)