# Injective hyperbolicity of domains

by MARIUS OVERHOLT (Tromsoe)

**Abstract.** The pseudometric of Hahn is identical to the Kobayashi–Royden pseudometric on domains of dimension greater than two. Thus injective hyperbolicity coincides with ordinary hyperbolicity in this case.

1. Introduction. The Kobayashi pseudodistance  $d_M$  and Kobayashi-Royden pseudodifferential metric  $K_M$  of a complex manifold M are defined by means of extremal problems for holomorphic mappings of the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ into M. By restricting to *injective* holomorphic mappings in these extremal problems, one arrives at a pseudodistance  $\tau_M$  and a pseudodifferential metric  $S_M$  respectively. These were considered first on plane domains by Siu [4], and in general by Hahn [1]. In the literature, they go under the names of S-metric or Hahn metric. If the pseudodifferential metric  $S_M$  satisfies an inequality

$$S_M(z,\xi) \ge c \|\xi\|, \quad c > 0,$$

at each point of M, then M is said to be *S*-hyperbolic (alternatively Hahn hyperbolic or injective hyperbolic). In this note we consider  $S_M$  and its relationship to  $K_M$ .

From the work of Siu [4] and Minda [3] it is known that if M is a Riemann surface, then it is S-hyperbolic unless it is the plane or the extended plane, and Minda also proved that  $S_M$  and  $K_M$  are distinct unless M is simply connected. For domains of higher dimension there are results on S-hyperbolicity due to Zhang [7], Vesentini [5] and Vigué [6]. Zhang proved that if  $S_M$  is a complete metric, then M is a domain of holomorphy, and observed that the converse does not hold. Vesentini showed that a domain of the form  $\mathbb{C}^* \times \Omega$  is not S-hyperbolic if  $\Omega$  is a domain of dimension two or larger, thus disproving the claim by Hahn that  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is S-hyperbolic for

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 32H15.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:$ invariant metric, Kobayashi–Royden metric, Hahn metric, S-metric.

<sup>[79]</sup> 

### M. Overholt

any positive integer n. Vigué generalized the result of Vesentini by showing that a product of two domains is S-hyperbolic only if it is hyperbolic.

The present research was done while the author was on sabbatical at Stanford University, whose hospitality is gratefully acknowledged.

### 2. Domains in high dimensions

THEOREM 1. If  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , is a domain, then  $S_\Omega \equiv K_\Omega$ .

Proof. Let  $a \in \Omega$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\eta \neq 0$  be given. It is enough to show that  $S_{\Omega}(a,\eta) \leq K_{\Omega}(a,\eta)$ . By a translation of  $\Omega$  we may assume that a = 0, and by a rotation, we may assume that  $\eta_1 \ldots \eta_n \neq 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary.

Choose a holomorphic mapping  $f : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$  with f(0) = 0 and

$$f_*(0)\nu = \eta, \quad |\nu| \le K_\Omega(0,\eta) + \varepsilon/2$$

for some  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Define  $f_1 : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$  by  $f_1(z) = f((1-\delta)z)$  for a suitably small  $\delta > 0$ ; then  $f_1(0) = 0$  and

$$(f_1)_*(0)\frac{\nu}{1-\delta} = \eta, \quad \left|\frac{\nu}{1-\delta}\right| \le K_{\Omega}(0,\eta) + \varepsilon,$$

say. Since  $f_1$  is holomorphic on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and dist $(f_1(\overline{\mathbb{D}}), \partial \Omega) > 0$ , there exists a polynomial mapping  $f_2 : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \to \Omega$  with  $f_2(0) = 0$  and  $(f_2)_*(0) = (f_1)_*(0)$ . We write out  $f_2$  explicitly:

$$f_2(z) = \left(\dots, \sum_{k=1}^m A_{jk} z^k, \dots\right), \quad 1 \le j \le n.$$

We shall show that there exist slight perturbations  $A_{jk}$  of the coefficients  $A_{jk}$ ,  $1 \le j \le n$ ,  $2 \le k \le n$ , such that

$$f_3(z) = \left(\dots, \sum_{k=1}^m \tilde{A}_{jk} z^k, \dots\right), \quad 1 \le j \le n,$$

with  $\widetilde{A}_{j1} = A_{j1}$ , is an injective mapping  $f_3 : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$ . Since  $f_3(0) = 0$  and

$$(f_3)_*(0) = (\dots, \widetilde{A}_{j1}, \dots) = (\dots, A_{j1}, \dots) = (f_2)_*(0) = (f_1)_*(0),$$

the mapping  $f_3$  is a competitor in the extremal problem that defines  $S_{\Omega}(0,\eta)$ , so

$$S_{\Omega}(0,\eta) \le \left| \frac{\nu}{1-\delta} \right| \le K_{\Omega}(0,\eta) + \varepsilon.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$ ,  $S_{\Omega} \leq K_{\Omega}$  follows.

It remains to establish that it is possible to choose  $f_3$  as required. Assume  $f_3(z) = f_3(w)$  for some  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $z \neq w$ , thus

$$\widetilde{A}_{11}z + \ldots + \widetilde{A}_{1m}z^m = \widetilde{A}_{11}w + \ldots + \widetilde{A}_{1m}w^m,$$
  
$$\widetilde{A}_{n1}z + \ldots + \widetilde{A}_{nm}z^m = \widetilde{A}_{n1}w + \ldots + \widetilde{A}_{nm}w^m$$

Rearranging and dividing by z - w, we obtain

$$\widetilde{A}_{12}(z+w) + \widetilde{A}_{13}(z^2 + zw + w^2) + \ldots = -\widetilde{A}_{11},$$
  
....  
$$\widetilde{A}_{n2}(z+w) + \widetilde{A}_{n3}(z^2 + zw + w^2) + \ldots = -\widetilde{A}_{n1}$$

The image of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  under the mapping given by

$$X_1 = z + w,$$
  
 $X_2 = z^2 + zw + w^2,$   
 $\dots$   
 $X_{m-1} = z^{m-1} + \dots + w^{m-1}$ 

lies on a projective surface V, while the equations

$$B_{12}X_1 + \ldots + B_{1m}X_{m-1} = 1,$$
  
....  
$$B_{n2}X_1 + \ldots + B_{nm}X_{m-1} = 1,$$

where  $B_{jk} = \widetilde{A}_{jk}/(-\widetilde{A}_{j1})$ , define a linear subspace L of the projective space  $P_{m-1}(\mathbb{C})$  which is generically of dimension m-1-n. Thus  $V \cap L = \emptyset$  generically since  $\dim(V) + \dim(L) = 2 + m - 1 - n = (m-1) - (n-2) < m-1$  when  $n \geq 3$ . In particular, the set of  $B_{jk}$  for which  $V \cap L = \emptyset$  is dense in  $\mathbb{C}^{n(m-1)}$ , and so the set of  $\widetilde{A}_{jk}$  for which  $f_3$  is injective on  $\mathbb{C}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{C}^{n(m-1)}$ . Since  $\operatorname{dist}(f_2(\overline{\mathbb{D}}), \partial\Omega) > 0$ , we can choose the  $\widetilde{A}_{jk}$  close enough to the  $A_{jk}$  so that  $\operatorname{dist}(f_3(\overline{\mathbb{D}}), \partial\Omega) > 0$  while keeping  $f_3$  injective.

This theorem has some of the results of Zhang, Vesentini and Vigué as corollaries in dimension greater than two. From [2] it is known that a domain which is complete hyperbolic is a domain of holomorphy, thus the theorem of Zhang follows for domains of dimension greater than two. Theorem III of [5] follows directly, as does Corollaire 3.2 of [6] in dimension greater than two.

#### References

- K. T. Hahn, Some remarks on a new pseudo-differential metric, Ann. Polon. Math. 39 (1981), 71–81.
- [2] S. Kobayashi, Hyperbolic Manifolds and Holomorphic Mappings, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1970, p. 77.

## M. Overholt

- [3] C. D. Minda, The Hahn metric on Riemann surfaces, Kodai Math. J. 6 (1983), 57-69.
- Y.-T. Siu, All plane domains are Banach-Stein, Manuscripta Math. 14 (1974), 101– 105.
- [5] E. Vesentini, *Injective hyperbolicity*, Ricerche Mat., Suppl. Vol. 36 (1987), 99–109.
- [6] J.-P. Vigué, Une remarque sur l'hyperbolicité injective, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8) 83 (1989), 57–61.
- [7] J. Zhang, Metric S on holomorphy domain, Kexue Tongbao 33 (5) (1988), 353–356.

IMR UNIVERSITY OF TROMSOE N-9037 TROMSOE, NORWAY E-mail: MARIUS@MATH.UIT.NO

Reçu par la Rédaction le 29.12.1994

# 82