

## A counterexample to a conjecture of Drużkowski and Rusek

by ARNO VAN DEN ESSEN (Nijmegen)

**Abstract.** Let  $F = X + H$  be a cubic homogeneous polynomial automorphism from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $p$  be the nilpotence index of the Jacobian matrix  $JH$ . It was conjectured by Drużkowski and Rusek in [4] that  $\deg F^{-1} \leq 3^{p-1}$ . We show that the conjecture is true if  $n \leq 4$  and false if  $n \geq 5$ .

**1. Introduction.** In [1] and [7] it was shown that it suffices to prove the Jacobian Conjecture for cubic homogeneous polynomial maps from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , i.e. maps of the form  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$  with  $F_i = X_i + H_i$ , where each  $H_i$  is either zero or a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3. In [2] it was shown that it even suffices to consider cubic linear polynomial maps, i.e. maps such that each  $H_i$  is of the form  $H_i = l_i^3$ , where  $l_i$  is a linear form.

A crucial result (cf. [1] and [6]) asserts that the degree of the inverse of a polynomial automorphism  $F$  is bounded by  $(\deg F)^{n-1}$  (where  $\deg F = \max \deg F_i$ ). In [4] Drużkowski and Rusek proved that for cubic homogeneous (resp. cubic linear) automorphisms this degree estimate could be improved in some special cases; more precisely, if  $\text{ind } JH$  denotes the index of nilpotency of  $JH$  then they showed that  $\deg F^{-1} \leq 3^{\text{ind } JH - 1}$  if  $\text{ind } JH \leq 2$  and also if  $H$  is cubic linear and  $\text{ind } JH \leq 3$ . This led them to the following conjecture:

**CONJECTURE 1.1 (D–R) ([4], 1985).** *If  $F = X + H$  is a cubic homogeneous polynomial automorphism, then  $\deg F^{-1} \leq 3^{p-1}$ , where  $p = \text{ind } JH$ .*

Recently, in [3], Drużkowski showed that Conjecture D–R is true in case all coefficients of  $H$  are real numbers  $\leq 0$  (in which case the map  $F$  is stably tame, a result obtained by Yu in [8]).

In the present paper we show that the conjecture is true if  $n \leq 4$  and false if  $n \geq 5$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 14E02.

*Key words and phrases*: polynomial automorphisms, Jacobian Conjecture.

**2. The counterexample for  $n \geq 5$ .** Let  $n \geq 5$  and consider the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[X] := \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *For each  $n \geq 5$  the polynomial automorphism*

$$F = (X_1 + 3X_4^2X_2 - 2X_4X_5X_3, X_2 + X_4^2X_5, X_3 + X_4^3, X_4 + X_5^3, X_5, \dots, X_n)$$

*is a counterexample to Conjecture D–R.*

**Proof.** Put  $H = F - X$ . Then one easily verifies that  $(JH)^3 = 0$  and  $(JH)^2 \neq 0$ . Thus  $\text{ind } JH = 3$ . So if Conjecture D–R is true, then  $\deg F^{-1} \leq 9$ . However, the inverse  $G = (G_1, \dots, G_n)$  of  $F$  is given by the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= X_1 - 3(X_4 - X_5^3)^2(X_2 - (X_4 - X_5^3)^2X_5) \\ &\quad + 2(X_4 - X_5^3)X_5(X_3 - (X_4 - X_5^3)^3), \\ G_2 &= X_2 - (X_4 - X_5^3)^2X_5, \\ G_3 &= X_3 - (X_4 - X_5^3)^3, \\ G_4 &= X_4 - X_5^3, \\ G_i &= X_i \quad \text{for all } 5 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

So looking at the highest power of  $X_5$  appearing in  $G_1$ , one easily verifies that  $\deg G_1 = 13 > 9$ . ■

**3. The case  $n \leq 4$ .** The main result of this section is

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Conjecture D–R is true if  $n \leq 4$ .*

To prove this result we need the following theorem (cf. [5]):

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic zero and  $F = X - H$  a cubic homogeneous polynomial map in dimension four such that  $\text{Det}(JF) = 1$ . Then there exists some  $T \in GL_4(K)$  such that  $T^{-1}FT$  is of one of the following forms:*

$$(1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 - a_4x_1^3 - b_4x_1^2x_2 - c_4x_1^2x_3 - e_4x_1x_2^2 - f_4x_1x_2x_3 \\ -h_4x_1x_3^2 - k_4x_2^3 - l_4x_2^2x_3 - n_4x_2x_3^2 - q_4x_3^3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 - \frac{1}{3}x_1^3 - h_2x_1x_3^2 - q_2x_3^3 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 - x_1^2x_3 - h_4x_1x_3^2 - q_4x_3^3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(3) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 - \frac{1}{3}x_1^3 - c_1x_1^2x_4 + 3c_1x_1x_2x_3 - \frac{16q_4c_1^2 - r_4^2}{48c_1^2}x_1x_3^2 \\ -\frac{1}{2}r_4x_1x_3x_4 + \frac{3}{4}r_4x_2x_3^2 - \frac{r_4q_4}{12c_1}x_3^3 - \frac{r_4^2}{16c_1}x_3^2x_4 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 - x_1^2x_3 + \frac{r_4}{4c_1}x_1x_3^2 - 3c_1x_1x_3x_4 + 9c_1x_2x_3^2 \\ -q_4x_3^3 - \frac{3}{4}r_4x_3^2x_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(4) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 - \frac{1}{3}x_1^3 \\ x_3 - x_1^2x_2 - e_3x_1x_2^2 - k_3x_2^3 \\ x_4 - e_4x_1x_2^2 - k_4x_2^3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(5) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 - \frac{1}{3}x_1^3 + i_3x_1x_2x_4 - j_2x_1x_4^2s_3x_2x_4^2 + i_3^2x_3x_4^2 - t_2x_4^3 \\ x_3 - x_1^2x_2 - \frac{2s_3}{i_3}x_1x_2x_4 - i_3x_1x_3x_4 - j_3x_1x_4^2 - \frac{s_3^2}{i_3}x_2x_4^2 \\ -s_3x_3x_4^2 - t_3x_4^3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(6) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 - \frac{1}{3}x_1^3 - j_2x_1x_4^2 - t_2x_4^3 \\ x_3 - x_1^2x_2 - e_3x_1x_2^2 - g_3x_1x_2x_4 - j_3x_1x_4^2 - k_3x_2^3 \\ -m_3x_2^2x_4 - p_3x_2x_4^2 - t_3x_4^3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(7) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 - \frac{1}{3}x_1^3 \\ x_3 - x_1^2x_2 - e_3x_1x_2^2 - k_3x_2^3 \\ x_4 - x_1^2x_3 - e_4x_1x_2^2 - f_4x_1x_2x_3 - h_4x_1x_3^2 - k_4x_2^3 \\ -l_4x_2^2x_3 - n_4x_2x_3^2 - q_4x_3^3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(8) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 - \frac{1}{3}x_1^3 \\ x_3 - x_1^2x_2 - e_3x_1x_2^2 + g_4x_1x_2x_3 - k_3x_2^3 + m_4x_2^2x_3 + g_4^2x_2^2x_4 \\ x_4 - x_1^2x_3 - e_4x_1x_2^2 - \frac{2m_4}{g_4}x_1x_2x_3 - g_4x_1x_2x_4 - k_4x_2^3 \\ -\frac{m_4^2}{g_4^2}x_2^2x_3 - m_4x_2^2x_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. See [5, Theorem 2.7].

Proof of 3.1. As remarked in the introduction, the case  $\text{ind } JH = n$  was proved in [1] and [6]. The case  $\text{ind } JH = 2$  was done in [4]. So we may assume that  $2 < \text{ind } JH < n$ . Therefore only the case  $n = 4$  and  $\text{ind } JH = 3$  remains. By the classification theorem of Hubbers ([5, Theorem 2.7]) we know that there exists  $T \in GL_4(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $T^{-1}FT$  has one of the eight forms described above. One easily verifies that in each of the eight

cases in which the nilpotency index of  $JH$  equals 3,  $\deg(T^{-1}FT)^{-1} \leq 9$ , so  $\deg F^{-1} \leq 9$ . ■

### References

- [1] H. Bass, E. Connell, and D. Wright, *The Jacobian Conjecture: reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1982), 287–330.
- [2] L. M. Drużkowski, *An effective approach to Keller's Jacobian Conjecture*, Math. Ann. 264 (1983), 303–313.
- [3] —, *The Jacobian Conjecture: some steps towards solution*, in: Automorphisms of Affine Spaces, Proc. Conf. “Invertible Polynomial Maps”, Curaçao, July 4–8, 1994, A. R. P. van den Essen (ed.), Caribbean Mathematics Foundation, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995, 41–54.
- [4] L. M. Drużkowski and K. Rusek, *The formal inverse and the Jacobian conjecture*, Ann. Polon. Math. 46 (1985), 85–90.
- [5] E.-M. G. M. Hubbers, *The Jacobian Conjecture: cubic homogeneous maps in dimension four*, master thesis, Univ. of Nijmegen, February 17, 1994; directed by A. R. P. van den Essen.
- [6] K. Rusek and T. Winiarski, *Polynomial automorphisms of  $C^n$* , Univ. Jagel. Acta Math. 24 (1984), 143–149.
- [7] A. V. Yagzhev, *On Keller's problem*, Siberian Math. J. 21 (1980), 747–754.
- [8] J.-T. Yu, *On the Jacobian Conjecture: reduction of coefficients*, J. Algebra 171 (1995), 515–523.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF NIJMEGEN  
NIJMEGEN, THE NETHERLANDS  
E-mail: ESSEN@SCL.KUN.NL

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 1.2.1995*