

On open maps of Borel sets

by

A. V. Ostrovsky (St. Petersburg)

Abstract. We answer in the affirmative [Th. 3 or Corollary 1] the question of L. V. Keldysh [5, p. 648]: can every Borel set X lying in the space of irrational numbers \mathbb{P} not $G_\delta \cdot F_\sigma$ and of the second category in itself be mapped onto an arbitrary analytic set $Y \subset \mathbb{P}$ of the second category in itself by an open map? Note that under a space of the second category in itself Keldysh understood a Baire space. The answer to the question as stated is negative if X is Baire but Y is not Baire.

Introduction. In 1934 Hausdorff proved [3; 2, 4.5.14] that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an open map from a completely metrizable space X onto a metrizable Y , then Y is also completely metrizable. Thus, open maps preserve the class G_δ of Borel sets. L. V. Keldysh proved [5, Th. 1] that this result is not true for Borel sets of higher class, namely, that there is a Borel set $X \subset \mathbb{P}$ of the first category for which there is an open map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ onto an arbitrary analytic set $Y \subset \mathbb{P}$ (see Theorem 1). In connection with this result a question was raised whether an analogous theorem holds for Baire spaces.

It is clear that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an open map and $O \subset Y$ is an open (nonempty) set of the first category, so is $f^{-1}(O)$. Hence, open maps preserve the property of being a Baire space. Let $X_0 \subset \mathbb{P}$ be an analytic set such that $\mathbb{P} \setminus X_0$ does not contain a copy of the Cantor set \mathbb{C} . It is not hard to see that X_0 is a Baire space. Keldysh remarked that if Y satisfies the following condition:

- (i) $Y \subset \mathbb{P}$ is an analytic set such that $M \setminus Y$ contains a copy of the Cantor set \mathbb{C} for every G_δ -set $M \supset Y$,

then X_0 cannot be mapped onto Y by an open map [5]. Note that every Borel (non- G_δ) set $Y \subset \mathbb{P}$ (and analytic set $Y = X_0 \times \mathbb{P}$ in which every G_δ -subspace is Baire [12, Theorem 4]) satisfies the condition (i).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 54H05, 54C10, 54C20, 03E15.

Key words and phrases: open maps, Borel sets, analytic sets, space of the first category, space of the second category, Baire space.

All spaces in this paper are assumed to be metrizable, and all maps are continuous and onto. We denote by \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} the spaces of irrational and rational numbers, respectively, and by $B(\tau)$ the Baire space of weight τ (= the Cartesian product of countably many discrete spaces of cardinality $\tau \geq \aleph_0$). It is known that every metrizable space X with $\text{Ind } X = 0$ and $w(X) = \tau \geq \aleph_0$ can be embedded in $B(\tau)$ (for $\tau = \aleph_0$, $B(\tau) = \mathbb{P}$) [2, Theorem 7.3.15].

A set $Y \subset \mathbb{P}$ is called an *analytic set* (respectively, a *Borel set*) if there exists a map $f : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow Y$ (respectively, a one-to-one map $f : M \rightarrow Y$, where M is a G_δ -set in \mathbb{P}).

The notation $X \leftrightarrow Y$ means that X contains a relatively closed subset which is homeomorphic to Y , the symbol \approx denotes a homeomorphism, and $[A]$ denotes the closure of A .

The space X is called *of the first category* (respectively, *of the second category*) if X can (respectively, cannot) be represented as a countable union of nowhere dense (n.d.) sets in X .

We say that X has a property L *everywhere* if every open subspace $U \subset X$ has property L . The space X is *Baire* iff X is everywhere of the second category. A subset of X is *clopen* if it is both closed and open in X .

We say that a pair of spaces X, Y is *exceptional* if either

- (a) X is Baire and Y is not, or
- (b) Y is of the first category and X is not.

It is clear that if there is an open map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ then X, Y is not an exceptional pair.

The following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition on Borel sets $X, Y \subset \mathbb{P}$ for the existence of an open map $g : X \rightarrow Y$; it shows that the answer to the Keldysh question [5] is affirmative.

THEOREM 0. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a Borel set, $Y \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic set, and X be everywhere not $F_\sigma \cup G_\delta$. Then there exists an open map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ if and only if X, Y is not an exceptional pair.*

It is not hard to see that Theorem 0 is the sum of Theorems 1–4, and Saint Raymond's theorem [14, Theorem 5]: Let X be a Borel set in \mathbb{C} ; then X is a union of F_σ and G_δ (in \mathbb{C}) iff $X \not\leftrightarrow \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{Q}$. It can be seen that Theorem 4 is based on Theorems 1 and 2, and Theorem 3 uses Theorem 2, which uses Theorem 1. Lemma 2 and the first step of its proof strengthen the theorem of [10].

Remark. If $X, Y \subset \mathbb{C}$, X contains an open $F_\sigma \cup G_\delta$ (relative to \mathbb{C}) and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an open map then Y also contains an open $F_\sigma \cup G_\delta$ (relative to \mathbb{C}).

Indeed, suppose X contains an open $U = X_1 \cup X_2$, where X_1 is F_σ and X_2 is G_δ . It is clear that $f(X_1)$ is F_σ in \mathbb{C} , $T = U \setminus f^{-1}(f(X_1))$ is G_δ and $f|_T$ is an open map. Hence by Hausdorff's theorem $f(T)$ is G_δ in \mathbb{C} and $f(U) = f(T) \cup f(X_1)$ is $F_\sigma \cup G_\delta$.

Note that \mathbb{C} is embeddable in \mathbb{P} and if $X \subset \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{P}$ is not $F_\sigma \cap G_\delta$ in \mathbb{P} , then X is not $F_\sigma \cap G_\delta$ in \mathbb{C} .

We close this section with an example of a Baire space $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ which is $F_\sigma \cup G_\delta$ and everywhere not $F_\sigma \cap G_\delta$. Thus, if Y is any Baire space which is everywhere not $F_\sigma \cup G_\delta$ then X, Y is not an exceptional pair, but no open $f : X \rightarrow Y$ exists, showing that the condition on X in Theorem 0 cannot be weakened.

Indeed, let $\mathbb{Q}' \approx \mathbb{Q}$ be a dense subset of \mathbb{C} and $\mathbb{P}' = \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}'$. Let now $X_1 = \mathbb{Q}' \times \mathbb{Q}'$, $X_2 = \mathbb{P}' \times \mathbb{P}'$ and $X = X_1 \cup X_2$. Obviously X is a Baire space and $F_\sigma \cup G_\delta$ in \mathbb{C} , and X is everywhere not $F_\sigma \cup G_\delta$ since every $F_\sigma \cap G_\delta$ in \mathbb{C} which is everywhere not F_σ and everywhere not G_δ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{Q}$ (see [8], [13]) and we have a contradiction to the Baire Category Theorem. (Notice that X is homeomorphic to the space T , which has been characterized by van Douwen [1, Theorem 2.3].)

1. Main theorems. The proofs of Theorems 1–4 use Lemmas 2–5 of Section 3, which use Proposition 0 of Section 2.

THEOREM 1. *Let $X, Y \subset \mathbb{P}$ be analytic sets, and X be a space of the first category and everywhere not a σ -compact space. Then there exists an open map $g : X \rightarrow Y$.*

Remark. If $X \subset \mathbb{P}$ is an analytic set and X is not a σ -compact space, then $X \leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}$ and for every analytic set $Y \subset \mathbb{P}$ there exists a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ [4; 6, §39; 10, Corollary 2].

Proof of Theorem 1. According to the above remark, if $U \subset X$ is an open set then $U \leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}$. Since $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P} \approx \mathbb{P}$ we may suppose that \mathbb{P} is n.d. in X . Let $X = \bigcup F'_i$, where F'_i is closed n.d. in X ($i \in \omega$). Obviously, for every F'_i there exists a sequence of closed n.d. (in X) subsets $P_{i,j} \approx \mathbb{P}$ such that

$$(A) \quad F'_i = \left[\bigcup \{P_{i,j} : j \in \omega\} \right]_X \setminus \bigcup \{P_{i,j} : j \in \omega\},$$

and every set

$$(B) \quad F_i = F'_i \cup \bigcup \{P_{i,j} : j \in \omega\}$$

is closed n.d. in X . The reader can easily verify that every F_i is an analytic set and no open $U \subset F_i$ is σ -compact. By the above remark, it remains to apply Lemma 2. ■

THEOREM 2. *Let $X, Y \subset \mathbb{P}$ be analytic, Baire spaces and everywhere $X \leftrightarrow \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{Q}$. Then there exists an open map $g : X \rightarrow Y$.*

Proof. Every analytic set X can be represented as $X_1 \cup X_2$, where X_2 is a G_δ -set in \mathbb{P} and X_1 is of the first category in X [6, §11]. Since X is everywhere of the second category, $[X_2] = X$ and if $U = X \setminus [X_1] \neq \emptyset$ then $U \subset X_2$ is a G_δ -set in \mathbb{P} and $U \leftrightarrow \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{Q}$. This implies that $U \leftrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, which contradicts the Baire Category Theorem. Hence $[X_1] = X$.

Analogously $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$, where Y_2 is a G_δ -set in \mathbb{P} , Y_1 is of the first category, and $[Y_2] = Y$. We may suppose that $[Y_1] = Y$. Indeed, it is clear that there is an open map (projection) $\pi : \mathbb{P} \times Y \rightarrow Y$, hence we may consider $\mathbb{P} \times Y$ instead of Y . Taking a dense countable subset $\mathbb{Q}' \approx \mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{P} \times Y_2$ we set

$$Y'_1 = (\mathbb{P} \times Y_1) \cup \mathbb{Q}' \quad \text{and} \quad Y'_2 = (\mathbb{P} \times Y_2) \setminus \mathbb{Q}'.$$

It is clear that $\mathbb{P} \times Y = Y'_1 \cup Y'_2$, where Y'_1 is a dense subset of the first category in $\mathbb{P} \times Y$, and Y'_2 is a G_δ -set (in $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P} \approx \mathbb{P}$) dense in $\mathbb{P} \times Y$.

Represent X_2 as

$$X_2 = \bigcap \{O_i : i \in \omega\}, \quad \text{with each } O_i \text{ open in } \mathbb{P},$$

and let $F'_i = X \setminus O_i$. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1 (see (A) and (B)) one defines closed n.d. sets

$$(B') \quad F_i = F'_i \cup \bigcup \{P_{i,j} : j \in \omega\},$$

where the $P_{i,j} \approx \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{Q}$ are closed n.d. sets. Clearly, F_i is a subspace of the first category, and for a relatively open set $U \subset F_i$ we have $U \leftrightarrow \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{Q}$. Hence U is not σ -compact and of the first category. By Theorem 1 there exists an open map of U onto every nonempty analytic set in Y . It is clear that X is everywhere not compact and the conditions (i)–(iv) of Lemma 3 hold, hence by Lemma 3 one obtains the assertion. ■

LEMMA 1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}$ be a space which is not a Baire space and not of the first category. Then $X = T \cup X_1$, where $X_1 = X \setminus T$ is an open space of the first category, $T = F \cup X_2$ is a closed Baire subspace, F is a closed n.d. set in T and in $F \cup X_1$, $X_2 = T \setminus F$ is an open (in X) Baire space.*

Proof. Define X_1 as the union of all open subsets of X of the first category. Since X is Lindelöf, X_1 is a maximal open subspace of the first category. Put $T = X \setminus X_1$ and $F = [X_1] \setminus X_1$. It is clear that F is n.d. in $[X_1]$, hence in X . Obviously, $X_2 = X \setminus [X_1] = T \setminus F \neq \emptyset$, otherwise X would be of the first category. The subspace T is everywhere of the second category, because there exists no open $V \subset X$ such that $V \cap T \neq \emptyset$ is of the first category in T (otherwise, as $V \cap X_1$ is of the first category, X_1 would not be maximal). Obviously, X_2 is dense in $T = F \cup X_2$, since $[X_1] = X_1 \cup F$ is of

the first category in X and if there is an open $V \subset X$ with $\emptyset \neq V \cap T \subset F$, then $V \subset [X_1]$ is of the first category in X , $V \not\subset X_1$ and again X_1 would not be maximal. ■

THEOREM 3. *Let X, Y be analytic sets in \mathbb{P} , Y be a Baire space and everywhere $X \leftrightarrow \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{Q}$. Then there exists an open map $g : X \rightarrow Y$.*

Proof. Let X be a space of the first category. Since everywhere $X \leftrightarrow \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{Q}$, X is everywhere not σ -compact by the Baire Category Theorem. Now we apply Theorem 1.

If X is Baire we apply Theorem 2.

In the third case, by Lemma 1, every open $U \subset X \setminus T$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1 and therefore can be mapped onto every open set $V \subset Y$, and T is a closed subspace satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2, hence there exists an open map $f : T \rightarrow Y$. By Lemma 4, there is an open extension $g : X \rightarrow Y$ of f . ■

COROLLARY 1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a Borel set everywhere not $F_\sigma \cup G_\delta$. Then for every analytic Baire space $Y \subset \mathbb{C}$ there exists an open map $g : X \rightarrow Y$.*

This follows from Theorem 3, since by the Saint Raymond's Theorem [14, Theorem 5; 7, Corollary 17] for every Borel not $F_\sigma \cup G_\delta$ -set $U \subset X$ we have $U \leftrightarrow \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{Q}$.

THEOREM 4. *Suppose that X, Y are analytic sets in \mathbb{P} of the second category and everywhere $X \leftrightarrow \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{Q}$. Suppose that X and Y contain open (nonempty) subsets of the first category. Then there exists an open map $g : X \rightarrow Y$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1, $X = X_1 \cup F^X \cup X_2$, where X_1 is an open subspace of X of the first category, F^X is n.d. in $X_1 \cup F^X$ and X_2 is an open (in X) Baire subspace such that F^X is a n.d. set in $F^X \cup X_2$ ($X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$). Analogously we have $Y = Y_1 \cup F^Y \cup Y_2$ with the same properties. Similarly to the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 (see (B') and (B)) we take a closed n.d. set $F_0^X \supset F^X$ such that there exists an open map $f : F_0^X \rightarrow F^Y$. By Lemma 5 and Theorems 1 and 2, there exist open extensions $g_1 : F_0^X \cup X_1 \rightarrow F^Y \cup Y_1$ and $g_2 : F_0^X \cup X_2 \rightarrow F^Y \cup Y_2$ of f . Then it is easy to see that $g = g_1 \cup g_2 : X \rightarrow Y$ is an open extension of f . ■

2. Terminology and basic facts

1.0. We denote by $A^{<\omega}$ the set of all finite sequences $u = \langle u(0), \dots, u(k) \rangle$ of elements of A ; \emptyset denotes the empty sequence. The number $|u| = k + 1$ is called the *length* of u ; define $|\emptyset| = 0$.

If $u, v \in A^{<\omega}$, then $u \wedge v$ is the concatenation of the two sequences, i.e.

$$\langle u(0), \dots, u(k) \rangle \wedge \langle v(0), \dots, v(m) \rangle = \langle u(0), \dots, u(k), v(0), \dots, v(m) \rangle.$$

Of course, $u \wedge \emptyset = u$. The notation $s \subset t$ means that t extends s , i.e. that s is an initial segment of t and $s \neq t$.

A *tree* T on A is a subset of $A^{<\omega}$ such that $s \in T$ and $t \subset s \rightarrow t \in T$. If $t \subset s$ and $|t| + 1 = |s|$, we write $s = t^+$.

1.1. Let $T \subset B(\tau)$. A $\gamma(T)$ -*system* is a family of open (in $T = T_\emptyset$) subsets T_s , indexed by some tree S satisfying the conditions:

- (a) $\bigcup\{T_{s^+} : s^+ \in S\} = T_s$;
- (b) $\text{diam } T_s \rightarrow 0$ as $|s| \rightarrow \infty$.

1.2. A $\gamma^*(T)$ -*system* is a $\gamma(T)$ -system with the additional condition:

(c) for every fixed $n = 1, 2, \dots$ the sets T_s , $|s| = n$, are pairwise disjoint clopen sets.

Obviously, for every set $T \subset B(\tau)$ there exists a $\gamma^*(T)$ -system.

2.1. Let now $T \subset X \subset B(\tau)$. A $\delta(T)$ -*extension* of a $\gamma(T)$ -system $\{T_s\}$ to X is a family of open sets X_s in X ($X_\emptyset = X$) such that

- (d) $X_s \cap T = T_s$;
- (e) $\text{diam } X_s \rightarrow 0$ as $|s| \rightarrow \infty$;
- (f) the sets

$$Z_s = X_s \setminus \bigcup\{X_{s^+} : s^+ \in S\}$$

are open in X ;

- (f₁) $X_s \setminus T = \bigcup\{Z_t : t \supseteq s, t \in S\}$;
- (g) if T is a nowhere dense subset of X then the sets Z_s are nonempty.

2.2. A $\delta^*(T)$ -*extension* is a $\delta(T)$ -extension with the following additional condition:

(h) the sets X_s are pairwise disjoint for every fixed $|s| = n$ and the sets Z_s are pairwise disjoint and clopen in X .

PROPOSITION 0. *Let T be a closed subset of $X \subset B(\tau)$. Then every $\gamma(T)$ -system (respectively, $\gamma^*(T)$ -system) has a $\delta(T)$ -extension (respectively, $\delta^*(T)$ -extension).*

Proof. Let S be the tree indexing the given $\gamma(T)$ -system.

Fix $s \in S$, and suppose X_s has already been constructed (we take $X_\emptyset = X$). If T is a n.d. set, also take some $x_0 \in X_s \setminus T$. For each $s^+ \in S$ take an open set $O_{s^+} \subset X_s$ (with $x_0 \notin O_{s^+}$ if T is n.d.) such that $O_{s^+} \cap T = T_{s^+}$. For every $x \in X_s \setminus T$ take a neighbourhood $O(x) \subset X_s$ such that $O(x) \cap T = \emptyset$. The cover

$$\{O_{s^+}, O(x) : x \in X_s \setminus T, s^+ \in S\}$$

of X_s has a refinement $\lambda = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$, where the U_α are clopen in X and pairwise disjoint. Put

$$Z_s = \bigcup \{U_\alpha \in \lambda : U_\alpha \cap T_s = \emptyset\}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(*) \quad Z_s = X_s \setminus V_s,$$

where

$$(**) \quad V_s = \bigcup \{U_\alpha \in \lambda : U_\alpha \cap T_s \neq \emptyset\} \subset \bigcup \{O_{s^+} : s^+ \in S\}.$$

Define

$$X_{s^+} = O_{s^+} \cap V_s.$$

Then by (*) and (**),

$$Z_s = X_s \setminus \bigcup \{O_{s^+} \cap V_s : s^+ \in S\} = X_s \setminus \bigcup \{X_{s^+} : s^+ \in S\}.$$

Obviously, we have (d) (for s^+). We can get condition (e) to be also satisfied, choosing the sets O_s in a proper way and taking into account (b).

Conditions (f), (f₁) hold by the construction, and (g) follows from the fact that $x_0 \in Z_s$.

So, we have proved the existence of the required $\delta(T)$ -extension. In the case of a $\gamma^*(T)$ -system we consider for every $x \in T_{s^+}$ a neighbourhood $O(x)$ such that $O(x) \cap T \subset T_{s^+}$ instead of the set O_{s^+} , and choose $O(x)$ for $x \in X_s \setminus T$ and a refinement λ as above. Then put

$$X_{s^+} = \bigcup \{U_\alpha \in \lambda : U_\alpha \cap T \subset T_{s^+}\}.$$

It is clear that we have (h). ■

3. Principal lemmas. A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *open* at $x \in X$ if there is a base \mathcal{B} for X at x such that $\{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is a base for Y at $f(x)$.

LEMMA 2. Suppose $X, Y \subset B(\tau)$ and $X = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} F_i$, where the F_i are closed nowhere dense sets such that for every nonempty clopen (relative to F_i) set $V \subset F_i$ ($i \in \omega$) and every nonempty clopen set $U \subset Y$ there exists a map $f : V \rightarrow U$. Then there exists an open map $g : X \rightarrow Y$.

Proof. The proof is by induction. We will define a tree H , and for each $h \in H$ closed sets $F_h \subset$ some F_i , clopen subsets $O_h \subset X$, $Y_h \subset Y$, maps $g_h : F_h \rightarrow Y_h$, and trees H_h such that $h \hat{\ } \langle v \rangle \in H$ if and only if $v \in H_h$. Always, h_n will denote an element of H of length n .

At the first step put $F_\emptyset = F_0$, $O_\emptyset = X$, $Y_\emptyset = Y$ and consider a map $g_\emptyset : F_\emptyset \rightarrow Y_\emptyset$. Suppose that we have already constructed $F_{h_n}, O_{h_n}, Y_{h_n}$, and g_{h_n} , and H_h for all $h \subset h_n$. Take a $\gamma^*(Y_{h_n})$ -system. It is clear that there

exist a tree H_h and a $\gamma^*(F_{h_n})$ -system $\{T_{h_n \wedge \langle v \rangle} : v \in H_h\}$ such that for each $v \in H_h$ there is some $Y_{h_n \wedge \langle v \rangle} \in \gamma^*(Y_{h_n})$ with $T_{h_n \wedge \langle v \rangle} \subset g_{h_n}^{-1}(Y_{h_n \wedge \langle v \rangle})$. By Proposition 0, let $\{X_{h_n \wedge \langle v \rangle} : v \in H_h\}$ be a $\delta^*(F_{h_n})$ -extension of $\gamma^*(F_{h_n})$ in $O_{h_n} = X_{h_n \wedge \langle \emptyset \rangle}$. Fix $v \in H_h$, and put $O_{h_n \wedge \langle v \rangle} = Z_{h_n \wedge \langle v \rangle}$, where $Z_{h_n \wedge \langle v \rangle}$ is as in 2.1(f). Let $F_{h_n \wedge \langle v \rangle}$ be the first nonempty intersection of $O_{h_n \wedge \langle v \rangle}$ with the sets F_i ($i \in \omega$). Then by our condition there is a map $g_{h_n \wedge \langle v \rangle} : F_{h_n \wedge \langle v \rangle} \rightarrow Y_{h_n \wedge \langle v \rangle}$. We may put $h_n \wedge \langle v \rangle = h_{n+1} \in H$ and define the map $g : X \rightarrow Y$ as follows: $g|F_h = g_h$ for all $h \in H$.

FACT. $g(X_{h_{n+1}}) = g(F_{h_{n+1}}) = Y_{h_{n+1}}$.

Indeed, by construction

$$X_{h_{n+1}} = T_{h_{n+1}} \cup \bigcup \{F_{p_{n+k+1}} : F_{p_{n+k+1}} \subset X_{h_{n+1}}, p_{n+k+1} \in H, k \in \omega\}$$

and for every $F_{p_{n+k+1}} \subset X_{h_{n+1}}$ ($k > 0$) there is $F_{p_{n+k}}$ ($h_n \subset p_{n+k} \subset p_{n+k+1}$) such that $g(F_{p_{n+k}}) \supset g(F_{p_{n+k+1}})$, hence

$$g(X_{h_{n+1}}) = g(T_{h_{n+1}}) \cup \bigcup \{g(F_{p_{n+1}}) : F_{p_{n+1}} \subset X_{h_{n+1}}\}.$$

It remains to remark that $g(T_{h_{n+1}}) \subset g(F_{h_{n+1}})$ and for every $F_{p_{n+1}} \subset X_{h_{n+1}}$ we have $g(F_{p_{n+1}}) \subset Y_{h_{n+1}} = g(F_{h_{n+1}})$.

Now, if $x \in X$, then $x \in F_{h_n}$ for some F_{h_n} . Let $\mathcal{B} = \{X_{h_{n+1}} : x \in X_{h_{n+1}}\}$. By our construction, \mathcal{B} is a base at x and by the fact above g is an open map at x . ■

LEMMA 3. *Let $X, Y \subset B(\tau)$, and let the following conditions for X and analogous conditions for Y hold:*

(i) *there exist open sets U_i^X ($i \in \omega$) in $B(\tau)$ such that the set $G_\delta^X = \bigcap \{U_i^X : i \in \omega\}$ is dense in X (and $G_\delta^X \subset X$);*

(ii) *the set $F_\sigma^X = X \setminus G_\delta^X$ is dense in X ;*

(iii) *for all sets $U \subset F_i^X$ and $V \subset F_j^Y$ clopen relative to $F_i^X = X \setminus U_i^X$ and $F_j^Y = Y \setminus U_j^Y$, respectively, there exists an open map $f : U \rightarrow V$ ($i, j \in \omega$);*

(iv) *for all clopen sets $O \subset X$, $W \subset Y$ and each refinement $\lambda(W)$ of W consisting of clopen (in Y) pairwise disjoint sets, there is a refinement $\lambda(O)$ of O consisting of clopen (in X) pairwise disjoint sets such that the cardinality of $\lambda(O)$ is greater than or equal to the cardinality of $\lambda(W)$.*

Then there exists an open map $g : X \rightarrow Y$.

PROOF. The proof is by an inductive process similar to that used to establish Lemma 2.

At step 0 consider an open map $f_0 : F_0^X \rightarrow F_0^Y$ (where $F_0^X = X \setminus U_0^X$, $F_0^Y = Y \setminus U_0^Y$) and put $O_0^X = X$, $O_0^Y = Y$. Obviously, we may suppose that $\text{diam } O_0^X < 1$ and $\text{diam } O_0^Y < 1$.

Suppose we obtained at step n clopen sets $O_{t_n}^X$ and corresponding sets $O_{t(t_n)}^Y$ with the following properties:

- (a) $\text{diam } O_{t_n}^X < 1/2^n$ and $\text{diam } O_{t(t_n)}^Y < 1/2^n$;
- (b) $[O_{t_n}^X] \subset U_{n-1}^X$ and $[O_{t(t_n)}^Y] \subset U_{n-1}^Y$ ($U_{-1}^X = U_{-1}^Y = B(\tau)$).

We also obtained closed n.d. sets

$$F_{t_n}^X \subset O_{t_n}^X, \quad F_{t(t_n)}^Y \subset O_{t(t_n)}^Y$$

and maps

$$f_{t_n} : F_{t_n}^X \rightarrow F_{t(t_n)}^Y.$$

Consider some $\gamma^*(F_{t_n}^X)$ -system $\{T_s^X : s \in S\}$ ($T_\emptyset = F_{t_n}^X$) and its extension $\delta^*(F_{t_n}^X) = \{X_s : s \in S\}$ ($X_\emptyset = O_{t_n}^X$). Using the open sets $f_{t_n}(T_s^X)$ we construct the $\gamma(F_{t(t_n)}^Y)$ -system $\{T_s^Y = f_{t_n}(T_s^X) : s \in S\}$ and an extension $\delta(F_{t(t_n)}^Y) = \{Y_s : s \in S\}$ to Y .

It is well known (see the proof of Theorem 7.3.15 in [2]) that for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ every open cover of the open subset $Z_s^X \subset X$ has a refinement consisting of clopen (in X) pairwise disjoint sets of diameter less than ε . Then, by (iv) we may suppose that $Z_s^X = \bigcup \lambda_s^X$ and $Z_s^Y = \bigcup \lambda_s^Y$, where λ_s^X and λ_s^Y are families of clopen pairwise disjoint sets of diameter less than $1/2^{n+1}$ and there is a surjection $t : \lambda_s^X \rightarrow \lambda_s^Y$. Denote by $\tau_{n+1}^X = \{O_{t_{n+1}}^X\}$ and $\tau_{n+1}^Y = \{O_{t(t_{n+1})}^Y\}$ the families of elements of all the obtained families λ_s^X and λ_s^Y . Choosing the sets $O(x)$ in the proof of Proposition 0 such that $[O(x)] \subset U_n^X$ we may suppose that $O_{t_{n+1}}^X \subset U_n^X$. Let $F_{t_{n+1}}^X$ be the first nonempty intersection of $O_{t_{n+1}}^X$ with F_i . By analogy we construct the sets $F_{t(t_{n+1})}^Y$ in Y and obtain open maps

$$f_{t_{n+1}} : F_{t_{n+1}}^X \rightarrow F_{t(t_{n+1})}^Y.$$

Now we define $g_\sigma : F_\sigma^X \rightarrow F_\sigma^Y$ and $g_\delta : G_\delta^X \rightarrow G_\delta^Y$. By definition, $g_\sigma \upharpoonright F_{t_n}^X = f_{t_n}$ ($t_0 = 0$). It is clear that $g_\sigma : F_\sigma^X \rightarrow F_\sigma^Y$ is a surjective map. Note that by the construction we have

$$(1) \quad g_\sigma(O_{t_n}^X \cap F_\sigma^X) = O_{t(t_n)}^Y \cap F_\sigma^Y.$$

In order to define $g_\delta : G_\delta^X \rightarrow G_\delta^Y$ first note that by (a) and (b) for every sequence

$$(2) \quad O_{t_1}^X \supset \dots \supset O_{t_n}^X$$

there is some

$$x \in \bigcap_{t_n} O_{t_n}^X = \bigcap_{t_n} [O_{t_n}^X] \subset G_\delta^X.$$

Conversely, for every $x \in G_\delta^X$ there is a sequence (2). For $x \in G_\delta^X$ defined by (2) put $g_\delta(x) = y = \bigcap_{t(t_n)} O_{t(t_n)}^Y \subset G_\delta^Y$. By (a) and (b) we

obtain a surjection $g_\delta : G_\delta^X \rightarrow G_\delta^Y$, since, by our construction, for every $y \in G_\delta^Y$ there is a sequence $O_{t(t_1)}^Y \supset \dots \supset O_{t(t_n)}^Y$ (containing y), and, hence, for the x defined by (2), we have $g_\delta(x) = y$ and

$$(3) \quad g_\delta(O_{t_n}^X \cap G_\delta^X) = O_{t(t_n)}^Y \cap G_\delta^Y.$$

It remains to define $g : X \rightarrow Y$ as follows:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} g_\sigma(x) & \text{if } x \in F_\sigma^X, \\ g_\delta(x) & \text{if } x \in G_\delta^X. \end{cases}$$

FACT. *The surjection $g : X \rightarrow Y$ is an open continuous map from X onto Y .*

Indeed, by (1) and (3) we have

$$g(O_{t_n}^X) = O_{t(t_n)}^Y.$$

Let $x \in G_\delta^X$. Take for x the sequence (2) of sets $O_{t_n}^X \ni x$. Obviously, they constitute a base at x , and the $O_{t(t_n)}^Y$ are a base at $g(x)$, hence $g : X \rightarrow Y$ is an open continuous map.

Let $x \in F_\sigma^X$; hence, for some $F_{t_n}^X$, $x \in F_{t_n}^X$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{X_s : x \in X_s\}$ be a base at x , where X_s is constructed as above. Since

$$X_s = F_{t_n}^X \cup \bigcup \{Z_p^X : Z_p^X \subset X_s, p \in S\}$$

and every Z_p^X is the union of some $O_{t_{n+1}}^X$ for which

$$g(O_{t_{n+1}}^X) = O_{t(t_{n+1})}^Y \subset Z_p^Y$$

we see that $g(X_s) = Y_s$, hence g is an open map at x . ■

LEMMA 4. *Let X, Y be metric spaces, T be closed in X , $\dim X = 0$ and for all nonempty open sets $U \subset X \setminus T$, $V \subset Y$, there exists an open map $\varphi : U \rightarrow V$. Then every open map $f : T \rightarrow Y$ can be extended to an open map $g : X \rightarrow Y$.*

PROOF. According to Section 2, consider a $\gamma^*(T)$ -system and its $\delta^*(T)$ -extension in X . If $Z_s \neq \emptyset$ then there exists an open map $\varphi_s : Z_s \rightarrow f(T_s)$. Let $g|Z_s = \varphi_s$ and $g|T = f$.

Obviously, we thus obtain a map $g : X \rightarrow Y$ which is open at every $x \in X \setminus T$. The sets X_s containing $x \in T$ constitute a base at x . By (e) and (f₁) of Section 2 the sets $g(X_s)$ constitute a base at $g(x)$, and g is continuous and open. ■

LEMMA 5. *Let $T^X \subset X \subset B(\tau)$ and $T^Y \subset Y \subset B(\tau)$ be closed n.d. sets in X and Y , and $f : T^X \rightarrow T^Y$ be an open map. Suppose that for every (nonempty) open $V \subset X \setminus T^X$ and $U \subset Y \setminus T^Y$ there exists an open map $\varphi : V \rightarrow U$. Then f has an open extension $g : X \rightarrow Y$ over X .*

Proof. The proof is, to some extent, similar to the proof of Lemma 3 or Lemma 4. (Define $g : X \rightarrow Y$ as follows: $g|_{T^X} = f$, $g(Z_s^X) = Z_s^Y$, where $g|_{Z_s^X}$ are open maps of sets chosen as at the beginning of the proof of Lemma 3.)

References

- [1] F. van Engelen and J. van Mill, *Borel sets in compact spaces: some Hurewicz-type theorems*, Fund. Math. 124 (1984), 271–286..
- [2] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, PWN, Warszawa, 1977.
- [3] F. Hausdorff, *Über innere Abbildungen*, Fund. Math. 23 (1934), 279–291.
- [4] W. Hurewicz, *Relativ perfekte Teile von Punktmengen und Mengen (A)*, ibid. 12 (1928), 78–109.
- [5] L. V. Keldysh, *On open maps of analytic sets*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 49 (1945), 646–648 (in Russian).
- [6] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. I, Academic Press, 1976.
- [7] S. V. Medvedev, *Zero-dimensional homogeneous Borel sets*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 283 (1985), 542–545 (in Russian).
- [8] J. van Mill, *Characterization of some zero-dimensional separable metric spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 264 (1981), 205–215.
- [9] A. V. Ostrovsky, *Concerning the Keldysh question about the structure of Borel sets*, Mat. Sb. 131 (1986), 323–346 (in Russian); English transl.: Math. USSR-Sb. 59 (1988), 317–337.
- [10] —, *On open mappings of zero-dimensional spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 228 (1976), 34–37 (in Russian); English transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl. 17 (1976), 647–654.
- [11] —, *On nonseparable τ -analytic sets and their mappings*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 226 (1976), 269–272 (in Russian); English transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl. 17 (1976), 99–102.
- [12] —, *Cartesian product of F_{II} -spaces and analytic sets*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. Mat. 1975 (2), 29–34 (in Russian).
- [13] —, *Continuous images of the product $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Q}$ of the Cantor perfect set \mathbb{C} and the rational numbers \mathbb{Q}* , in: Seminar on General Topology, Moskov. Gos. Univ., Moscow, 1981, 78–85 (in Russian).
- [14] J. Saint Raymond, *La structure borélienne d'Effros est-elle standard?*, Fund. Math. 100 (1978), 201–210.

MARINE TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY
 LOTZMANSKAYA STR. 3
 ST. PETERSBURG, 190006, RUSSIA

*Received 31 March 1993;
 in revised form 22 December 1993 and 14 June 1994*