On the family of Thue equations

$$x^3 - (n-1)x^2y - (n+2)xy^2 - y^3 = k$$

by

M. Mignotte (Strasbourg), A. Pethő (Debrecen) and F. Lemmermeyer (Heidelberg)

1. Introduction. The family of cubic Thue equations $x^3 - (n-1)x^2y - (n+2)xy^2 - y^3 = \pm 1$, with $n \geq 0$, was studied by E. Thomas. He proved in [T1] that it has only "trivial solutions" except for a finite number of values of the parameter n, explicitly for $n < 10^8$. Then M. Mignotte [M] solved completely this family of equations and proved that non-trivial solutions occur only for $n \leq 3$.

Next, other infinite families of Thue equations $F(x,y)=\pm 1$ were studied. In the cubic case there are works of Thomas [T2], Mignotte and Tzanakis [MT]; in these cases the family was completely solved except for an explicit finite range for the parameter. In the quartic case, there are works of Pethő [P2], Lettl and Pethő [LeP] and Mignotte, Pethő and Roth [MPR], where the last two studies were completely finished.

Here, we come back to the family of cubics investigated by E. Thomas, the case where $F_n(x,y) = x^3 - (n-1)x^2y - (n+2)xy^2 - y^3$, but we add a new parameter: the number k on the right hand side. In other words, we study a family of cubic diophantine equations which depend on two parameters.

Our results are explicit bounds for the integer solutions in terms of the two parameters n and k; and we give a special attention to the case $x^3 - (n-1)x^2y - (n+2)xy^2 - y^3 = \pm (2n+1)$. This case has some interest since we prove that the diophantine equation $F_n(x,y) = k$ has no solution in the range 1 < |k| < 2n + 1 unless k is a cube (the existence of a solution in this case is trivial).

We formulate now the three theorems which are the main results of the present paper.

Research of the second author supported in part by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research Grant No. 16791/95.

Theorem 1. Let $n \ge 1650$, k be integers. If

$$|x^3 - (n-1)x^2y - (n+2)xy^2 - y^3| = k$$

for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\log |y| < c_1 \log^2(n+2) + c_2 \log n \log k,$$

where

$$c_1 = 700 + 476.4 \left(1 - \frac{1432.1}{n}\right)^{-1} \left(1.501 - \frac{1902}{n}\right) < 1956.4$$

and

$$c_2 = 29.82 + \left(1 - \frac{1432.1}{n}\right)^{-1} \frac{1432}{n \log n} < 30.71.$$

It is well known (see [GyP] and the references therein) that the general estimates of the solutions of a Thue equation depend polynomially on the constant term, but exponentially on the height of the form itself, i.e. the maximum of the absolute values of the coefficients of the form corresponding to the Thue equation. In the present case, the dependence is polynomial in k and "nearly" polynomial in the height of $F_n(x, y)$ (which is n+2). Moreover, the constants appearing here are much better than in other similar results (see e.g. [BSt]).

To illustrate how sharp is the estimate of Theorem 1 take n=1650 and $k=10^9$. Then we get

$$|y| < 10^{48698}$$

This is certainly a bound which is reachable with the present numerical techniques, and in any case such a bound is much smaller than previous bounds obtained for cubic Thue equations.

From Theorem 1, we are able to derive an effective improvement of Liouville's inequality for the rational approximations of the zeros of $F_n(x, 1)$.

Theorem 2. Let λ be one of the zeros of $F_n(x,1)$. If $n \geq 1650$ and $\lambda \in]-1,0[$ or $\lambda \in]-2,-1[$ then

$$\left|\lambda - \frac{x}{y}\right| > (n+2)^{-c_3} y^{-3+1/(c_2 \log n)}$$

and if $\lambda \in (n, n+1)$ then

$$\left|\lambda - \frac{x}{y}\right| > (n+2)^{-c_3-1} y^{-3+1/(c_2 \log n)}$$

for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2, y \neq 0$, where

$$c_3 = c_1 \frac{\log(n+2)}{\log n} + 1 < 64.72.$$

In the second part of the paper we deal with the inequality

(1)
$$|x^3 - (n-1)x^2y - (n+2)xy^2 - y^3| \le 2n+1.$$

Combining the method of the proof of Theorem 1 with a result of Lemmermeyer and Pethő [LP] we are able to solve the above inequality completely and prove the following.

THEOREM 3. Let n be a non-negative integer. If $(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is a solution of equation (1), then either (x,y) = t(u,v) with an integer $0 \le |t| \le \sqrt[3]{2n+1}$ and $\pm(u,v) \in \{(1,0),(0,1),(-1,1)\}$ or $\pm(x,y) \in \{(-1,-1),(-1,2),(-1,n+1),(-n,-1),(n+1,-n),(2,-1)\}$, except when n=2, in which case (1) has the extra solutions: $\pm(x,y) \in \{(-4,3),(8,3),(1,-4),(3,1),(3,-11)\}$.

The plan of this paper is the following. Section 2 contains two general lemmas. The next section is devoted to a pure numerical study of the roots of the polynomials $x^3 - (n-1)x^2 - (n+2)x - 1$; these estimates are used in the linear forms of logs which are studied in the sequel. Section 4, which is short and easy, contains the proof of Theorem 2 assuming Theorem 1.

The next section is an instance of the classical Siegel-Baker reduction of a Thue equation to a linear form in logs. This study is detailed in order to lead to sharp estimates; here we get linear forms in three logs. Then the linear form in logs obtained in Section 5 is studied a first time in Section 6. In this study, this linear form is considered as a linear form in two logs (we just group two terms). To get sharp estimates, we apply the general result of Laurent-Mignotte-Nesterenko. Of course, this implies a tedious reconstruction of the proof of the lower bound of this linear form; for example we have to choose the parameters of the "auxiliary function" (more exactly, of the interpolation determinant): this is the price to pay. The conclusion of this section is an upper bound on y, in terms of the heights of the terms of the linear form. The very long Section 6 contains a second study of this linear form in "two" logs; first, we prove an estimate of the coefficients of this linear form in terms of y, then we bound these coefficients and (after some computation) at the end we get an explicit upper bound of y, in terms of the initial parameters n and k. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.

Section 8, which is short and easy, gives a simple upper bound of y depending only on n, when $k < n^4$; this result is used in the next section.

The last two sections deal with the proof of Theorem 3. Here, a result of Lemmermeyer and Pethő [LP] plays an essential role. Section 9 contains a study of the special linear form in "two" logs which occurs in this case; this leads to the proof of Theorem 3 for n > 1700. To cover the range $n \le 1700$, in Section 10 we consider—for the first time—the linear form in logs as a linear form in three logs. This study is classical and we can easily finish the proof of Theorem 3.

2. Preliminary lemmas. This section contains two lemmas on cubic fields and cubic forms which have a general interest.

LEMMA 1 [P1, Theorem 2]. Let $f(X,Y) = X^3 + bX^2Y + cXY^2 + dY^3$ be a cubic form with positive discriminant D_f , and suppose that f(x,y) = k, where x, y are rational integers, $y \neq 0$. Let α_i , i = 1, 2, 3, be the roots of the polynomial f(X,1). Put $L_i = \alpha_i - x/y$, i = 1, 2, 3, and suppose that $|L_1| \leq |L_2| \leq |L_3|$. Then

$$|L_1|^4 |L_2|^2 \le \frac{4|k|^4}{D_f |y|^{12}}.$$

Proof. Notice the formula

$$D_f = \prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2 = L_3^4 L_2^2 \left(1 - \frac{L_2}{L_3} \right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{L_1}{L_3} \right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{L_1}{L_3} \right)^2.$$

Since the function g(u, v) = (1 - u)(1 - v)(1 - u/v) satisfies $0 \le g(u, v) \le 2$ in the domain $-1 \le u, v \le 1$, $|v| \le |u|$, we have $D_f \le 4L_3^4L_2^2$. Multiplying both sides of this inequality by $L_2^2L_1^4$ and using the relation $L_1L_2L_3 = k/y^3$, we get the result.

COROLLARY 1. Using $|L_1| \leq |L_2|$, we get

$$|L_1| \le \left(\frac{4k^4}{D_f}\right)^{1/6} \frac{1}{|y|^2}.$$

LEMMA 2. Let $\alpha \in K$, where K is a cubic Galois field. Denote by α , α' and α'' the conjugates of α , and relabel them $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ in such a way that $|\alpha_1| \geq |\alpha_2| \geq |\alpha_3|$. Then the measure of the quotient α/α' satisfies

$$M(\alpha/\alpha') \le |\alpha_1|^2 |\alpha_2|.$$

Proof. Let k be the norm of α . Consider the polynomial

$$k(X - \alpha/\alpha')(X - \alpha'/\alpha'')(X - \alpha''/\alpha) = (\alpha'X - \alpha)(\alpha''X - \alpha')(\alpha X - \alpha'').$$

Clearly, this polynomial has rational integer coefficients, and α/α' is a root of it. Thus,

$$M(\alpha/\alpha') \le |k| \max\{1, |\alpha/\alpha'|\} \max\{1, |\alpha'/\alpha''|\} \max\{1, |\alpha''/\alpha|\}$$

= $k|\alpha_1|/|\alpha_3| = |\alpha_1|^2|\alpha_2|$.

Remark. It is easy to verify that the previous lemma can be generalized in the following way: Let $\alpha \in K$, where K is a cyclic Galois field of degree d. Let α' be some conjugate of α , with $\alpha' \neq \alpha$. Choose an order on the set $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d$ of conjugates of α for which $|\alpha_1| \geq |\alpha_2| \geq \ldots \geq |\alpha_d|$. Then the measure of the quotient α/α' satisfies

$$M(\alpha/\alpha') \le |\alpha_1|^{d-1} |\alpha_2|^{d-2} \dots |\alpha_{d-1}|.$$

3. Numerical study of the roots of $x^3 - (n-1)x^2 - (n+2)x - 1$. In this section, we gather several sharp estimates for the roots of the polynomial f associated with the cubic form

$$F(x,y) = F_n(x,y) = x^3 - (n-1)x^2y - (n+2)xy^2 - y^3,$$

that is,

$$f(x) = f_n(x) = x^3 - (n-1)x^2 - (n+2)x - 1.$$

From now on, we shall keep these definitions for f, f_n , F_n and F.

Since f(-2) < 0, f(-1) = 1, f(0) = -1, f(n) = -2n - 1 and $f(n+1) = n^2 + n + 1$, this polynomial has three real roots, say $\lambda = \lambda^{(1)}$, $\lambda^{(2)}$, $\lambda^{(3)}$, and we may suppose that

$$\lambda \in]-1,0[, \quad \lambda^{(2)} \in]-2,-1[, \quad \lambda^{(3)} \in]n,n+1[.$$

Moreover, the polynomial f is invariant under the change of variable σ : $x \mapsto -1/(1+x)$, which satisfies $\sigma^2(x) = -(1+x)/x$ and $\sigma^3(x) = x$. This proves that the field $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ is a Galois field and that

$$\lambda^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{\lambda + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^{(3)} = -\left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right).$$

More generally, $\sigma^j(\lambda^{(i)}) = \lambda^{(k)}$, where $k \equiv i + j \text{ mod } 3$.

Since

$$f_n\left(n+\frac{2}{n}\right) = 1 + \frac{4}{n} + \frac{4}{n^2} + \frac{8}{n^3},$$

we see that

$$\lambda^{(3)} < n + \frac{2}{n}.$$

A second computation gives

$$f_n\left(n+\frac{2}{n+1}\right) = -1 + \frac{4}{n+1} - \frac{4}{(n+1)^2} + \frac{8}{(n+1)^3}$$

which shows that

$$\lambda^{(3)} > n + \frac{2}{n+1}$$

for n > 2. In the sequel, we suppose $n \ge 3$, and the two cases n = 1 and n = 2 will be considered separately.

In terms of $\lambda^{(3)}$, we have

$$\lambda^{(3)} = -\left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \Rightarrow \lambda = -\frac{1}{\lambda^{(3)} + 1}$$

and

$$\lambda^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{1+\lambda} = -\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{\lambda^{(3)}+1}} = -\frac{\lambda^{(3)}+1}{\lambda^{(3)}}.$$

These estimates imply (for $n \geq 3$)

$$-\frac{n+1}{n^2+2n+3} = -\frac{1}{1+n+\frac{2}{n+1}} < \lambda < -\frac{1}{1+n+\frac{2}{n}} = -\frac{n}{n^2+n+2}$$

and

$$-1 - \frac{n+1}{n^2 + n + 2} < \lambda^{(2)} = -\left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda^{(3)}}\right) < -1 - \frac{n}{n^2 + 2}.$$

These estimates lead to estimates for logarithms. Indeed,

$$\log n + \frac{2}{n(n+1)} - \frac{2}{(n(n+1))^2} < \log \left(n + \frac{2}{n+1} \right) < l_3 := \log \lambda^{(3)}$$

$$< \log \left(n + \frac{2}{n} \right) < \log n + \frac{2}{n^2},$$

$$\frac{n-1/2}{n^2+2} < \frac{n}{n^2+2} - \frac{n^2}{2(n^2+2)^2} < l_2 := \log |\lambda^{(2)}| < \frac{n+1}{n^2+n+2},$$

and

$$-\log(n+1) - \frac{2}{n(n+1)} < l_1 := \log|\lambda| < -\log(n+1) - \frac{2}{(n+1)^2} + \frac{2}{(n+1)^4}.$$

4. Proof of Theorem 2. Assuming that Theorem 1 is true we now give the proof of Theorem 2 because it is short and depends only on the estimates of Section 3. We consider only the case $\lambda \in]1,0[$. The proofs of the other cases are similar. Assume that there exists $(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $y \neq 0$, such that

$$|\lambda - x/y| \le (n+2)^{-c_3} y^{-3+1/(c_2 \log n)}.$$

Let λ_2 and λ_3 denote the other zeros of $F_n(x,1)$ such that $\lambda_2 \in]-2,-1[$ and $\lambda_3 \in]n,n+1[$. Then

$$|\lambda_i - x/y| < |\lambda - \lambda_i| + (n+2)^{-c_3}$$

for i = 2, 3. Using these inequalities and the estimates of Section 3, one can easily prove that

$$|\lambda_2 y - x| \cdot |\lambda_3 y - x| < (n+2)|y|,$$

hence

$$|F_n(x,y)| < (n+2)^{-(c_3-1)}|y|^{1/(c_2\log n)}.$$

Putting $k = (n+2)^{-(c_3-1)} |y|^{1/(c_2 \log n)}$, Theorem 1 implies

 $\log|y| < c_1 \log^2(n+2) + c_2 \log n \log k$

$$< c_1 \log^2(n+2) + c_2 \log n \left(-c_1 \frac{\log^2(n+2)}{c_2 \log n} + \frac{\log |y|}{c_2 \log n} \right) = \log |y|,$$

a contradiction, and the theorem is proved.

5. Reduction of the Thue equation $F_n(x, y) = k$ **.** Let x, y be rational integers, y > 0, such that

(2)
$$F_n(x,y) = x^3 - (n-1)x^2y - (n+2)xy^2 - y^3 = \pm k, \quad k > 0.$$

The condition k > 0 is not restrictive since F(-x, -y) = -F(x, y).

We know (see [T1]) that λ , $\lambda^{(2)}$ constitute a fundamental system of units for the order $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$.

The following lemma is useful for the reduction of the Thue equation in the case k > 1.

LEMMA 3. Let $0 \neq \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ and let $0 < c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exist rational integers a_1 and a_2 and some element $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ such that

$$\beta = \gamma \lambda^{a_1} (\lambda^{(2)})^{a_2}$$

with, for $n \geq 3$,

$$c_i \le |\gamma^{(i)}| < (n+3)c_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad and \quad \frac{|N(\beta)|}{(n+3)^2 c_1 c_2} < |\gamma^{(3)}| \le \frac{|N(\beta)|}{c_1 c_2}.$$

Proof. Put

$$\widetilde{c}_i = c_i \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}|\log|\lambda^{(i)}|| + \frac{1}{2}|\log|\lambda^{(i+1)}||\right\}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

and consider the system of equations

$$\log |\beta^{(i)}/\tilde{c}_i| = r_1 \log |\lambda^{(i)}| + r_2 \log |\lambda^{(i+1)}|, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

As $(\log |\lambda^{(1)}|, \log |\lambda^{(2)}|, \log |\lambda^{(3)}|)$ and $(\log |\lambda^{(2)}|, \log |\lambda^{(3)}|, \log |\lambda^{(1)}|)$ are linearly independent vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 , this system has a unique real solution, r_1 and r_2 . Put $r_1 = a_1 + \theta_1$ and $r_2 = a_2 + \theta_2$, where $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $|\theta_1|, |\theta_2| \leq 1/2$. Then consider the algebraic number $\gamma = \beta \lambda^{-a_1}(\lambda^{(2)})^{-a_2}$. Then $|N\gamma| = |N\beta|$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$, and we get

$$|\log |\gamma^{(i)}/\widetilde{c}_i|| \le \frac{1}{2}(|\log |\lambda^{(i)}|| + |\log |\lambda^{(i+1)}||), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

which implies

$$\log c_i < \log |\gamma^{(i)}| \le \log c_i + (|\log |\lambda^{(i)}|| + |\log |\lambda^{(i+1)}||), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

By the estimates of the previous section, for $n \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\log |\lambda^{(1)}|| + |\log |\lambda^{(2)}|| &= \log(\lambda^{(3)} + 1) + \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda^{(3)}}\right) \\ &= \log\left(\lambda^{(3)} + 2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^{(3)}}\right) \\ &< \log\left(n + \frac{2}{n} + 2 + \frac{n}{n^2 + 2}\right) < \log(n + 3), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\log |\lambda^{(2)}|| + |\log |\lambda^{(3)}|| = \log(\lambda^{(3)}) + \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda^{(3)}}\right)$$
$$= \log(\lambda^{(3)} + 1) < \log(n + 2).$$

This proves the first two inequalities. The third follows immediately.

COROLLARY 2. Let $0 \neq \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ with norm k. Then there exist rational integers a_1 and a_2 and some element $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ such that

$$\beta = \gamma \lambda^{a_1} (\lambda^{(2)})^{a_2}$$

with

$$M(\gamma^{(2)}/\gamma^{(3)}) \le k(n+3)^{5/2}$$
 and $|\log |\gamma^{(2)}/\gamma^{(3)}|| \le \frac{3}{2} \log(n+3)$.

Proof. Put $c_1 = c_2 = \sqrt[3]{k}/\sqrt{n+3}$. The application of Lemma 3 implies the existence of $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$, which is associated with β and which satisfies

$$\sqrt[3]{k}/(n+3) < |\gamma^{(3)}| < \sqrt[3]{k}(n+3).$$

From Lemma 2, we get $M(\gamma^{(2)}/\gamma^{(3)}) \leq k(n+3)^{5/2}$. Finally, as $\log |\gamma^{(2)}| - \log |\gamma^{(3)}| \leq \frac{1}{3} \log k + \log(n+3) - \frac{1}{3} \log k + \frac{1}{2} \log(n+3) = \frac{3}{2} \log(n+3)$ and

$$\log |\gamma^{(2)}| - \log |\gamma^{(3)}| \ge \frac{1}{3} \log k - \log(n+3) - \frac{1}{3} \log k - \frac{1}{2} \log(n+3)$$
$$= -\frac{3}{2} \log(n+3),$$

the statement is proved.

If (x,y) is a solution of (2), then there exist $u_1,u_2\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that

(3)
$$x - \lambda y = \gamma \lambda^{u_1} (\lambda^{(2)})^{u_2}.$$

where γ satisfies the conditions of the preceding corollary.

We may assume (see Thomas [T1]) that $|x/y - \lambda| \le |x/y - \lambda^{(2)}|$, $|x/y - \lambda^{(3)}|$. Applying Lemma 1 a first time, we get

$$|L_1| \le \left(\frac{4k^4}{(n^2+n+7)^2}\right)^{1/6} \frac{1}{y^2},$$

using the fact that the discriminant of the form F_n is equal to $(n^2 + n + 7)^2$.

We suppose that n > 0 and $y \ge \max\{3, k, n\}$. Then the previous inequality implies $|L_1| \le 1/7$, thus

$$|L_2| \ge |\lambda^{(2)} - \lambda| - |L_1| > 3/4,$$

and a second application of Lemma 1 gives

(4)
$$|x - \lambda y| \le \left(\frac{64k^4}{9(n^2 + n + 7)^2}\right)^{1/4} \frac{1}{|y|^2} \le \frac{0.55}{y} \le 0.19.$$

Notice also that, for $n \geq 3$,

(5)
$$y \leq |x - \lambda^{(2)}y| \leq y\left(1 + \frac{2}{n^2}\right),$$
$$y\left(n + \frac{2}{n}\right) \leq |x - \lambda^{(3)}y| \leq y\left(n + \frac{4}{n}\right).$$

Let us give the proofs of these two pairs of inequalities. By the estimates of the preceding section, we have

$$\lambda^{(2)} - \lambda = -\frac{\lambda^{(3)} + 1}{\lambda^{(3)}} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{(3)} + 1} = -1 - \frac{1}{\lambda^{(3)}(\lambda^{(3)} + 1)},$$

hence

$$1 + \frac{1}{(n+2)^2} < |\lambda^{(2)} - \lambda| < 1 + \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

This leads to the estimate

$$y \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{(n+2)^2}\right)y - \frac{0.55}{y} \le |\lambda - \lambda^{(2)}|y - |x - \lambda y| \le |x - \lambda^{(2)}y|$$
$$\le |\lambda - \lambda^{(2)}|y + |x - \lambda y| \le \frac{0.55}{y} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{n^2}\right)y \le y\left(1 + \frac{2}{n^2}\right).$$

In the same way,

$$n + \frac{3}{n+1} < n + \frac{2}{n+1} + \frac{n+1}{n^2 + n + 2} < \lambda^{(3)} - \lambda = \lambda^{(3)} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{(3)} + 1}$$
$$< n + \frac{2}{n} + \frac{n}{n^2 + 2} < n + \frac{3}{n},$$

which implies

$$\left(n + \frac{2}{n}\right)y < |x - \lambda^{(3)}y| < \left(n + \frac{4}{n}\right)y.$$

Now, using (5), we can refine (4),

(6)
$$|x - \lambda y| = \frac{k}{|x - \lambda^{(2)}y| \cdot |x - \lambda^{(3)}y|} < \frac{k}{ny^2}.$$

Considering the conjugates of (3), we get Siegel's identity

$$(\lambda^{(3)} - \lambda)\gamma^{(2)}(\lambda^{(2)})^{u_1}(\lambda^{(3)})^{u_2} - (\lambda^{(2)} - \lambda)\gamma^{(3)}(\lambda^{(3)})^{u_1}\lambda^{u_2} = (\lambda^{(3)} - \lambda^{(2)})\gamma\lambda^{u_1}(\lambda^{(2)})^{u_2}.$$

Dividing by $(\lambda^{(2)} - \lambda)\gamma^{(3)}(\lambda^{(3)})^{u_1}\lambda^{u_2}$ gives

$$\frac{(\lambda^{(3)} - \lambda)\gamma^{(2)}}{(\lambda^{(2)} - \lambda)\gamma^{(3)}} \left(\frac{\lambda^{(2)}}{\lambda^{(3)}}\right)^{u_1} \left(\frac{\lambda^{(3)}}{\lambda}\right)^{u_2} - 1 = \frac{\lambda^{(3)} - \lambda^{(2)}}{\lambda^{(2)} - \lambda} \cdot \frac{\gamma\lambda^{u_1}(\lambda^{(2)})^{u_2}}{\gamma^{(3)}(\lambda^{(3)})^{u_1}\lambda^{u_2}}.$$

The right-hand side is non-zero and is also equal to

$$\frac{\lambda^{(3)} - \lambda^{(2)}}{\lambda^{(2)} - \lambda} \cdot \frac{x - \lambda y}{x - \lambda^{(3)} y},$$

thus, by (6) and (5), its absolute value is

$$\leq \left| \frac{\lambda^{(3)} - \lambda^{(2)}}{\lambda^{(2)} - \lambda} \right| \frac{k}{(n^2 + 2)y^3}.$$

We notice that

$$\frac{\lambda^{(3)} - \lambda^{(2)}}{\lambda^{(2)} - \lambda} = \frac{\lambda^{(3)} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{(3)} + 1}}{-\frac{\lambda^{(3)} + 1}{\lambda^{(3)}} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{(3)} + 1}} = -\lambda^{(3)} \cdot \frac{(\lambda^{(3)})^2 + \lambda^{(3)} + 1}{(\lambda^{(3)})^2 + \lambda^{(3)} + 1} = -\lambda^{(3)},$$

hence, the considered right-hand side is $\leq k/(ny^3)$.

Now put

$$\Lambda = u_1 \log \left| \frac{\lambda^{(2)}}{\lambda^{(3)}} \right| + u_2 \log \left| \frac{\lambda^{(3)}}{\lambda} \right| + \log \left| \frac{\gamma^{(2)}}{\gamma^{(3)}} \right| + \log \left| \frac{\lambda^{(3)} - \lambda}{\lambda^{(2)} - \lambda} \right|.$$

Using the inequality $|\log z| < 1.5 \cdot |z-1|$, which is true for |z-1| < 1/2, we get

$$0 < |\Lambda| < 3k/(2ny^3).$$

The relation

$$\frac{\lambda^{(3)} - \lambda}{\lambda^{(2)} - \lambda} = \frac{-1 - 1/\lambda - \lambda}{-1/(\lambda + 1) - \lambda} = \lambda + 1 = -\frac{1}{\lambda^{(2)}}$$

combined with $\lambda^{(3)}/\lambda = (\lambda^{(3)})^2 \lambda^{(2)}$ gives

$$\Lambda = (u_1 + u_2 - 1)\log|\lambda^{(2)}| + (2u_2 - u_1)\log|\lambda^{(3)}| + \log|\gamma^{(2)}/\gamma^{(3)}|.$$

6. A first study of the linear form in logs. The previous linear form Λ can be written as

$$\Lambda = V l_2 + v l_3 + \log |\gamma^{(2)}/\gamma^{(3)}|,$$

where we have put $V = u_1 + u_2 - 1$ and $v = -u_1 + 2u_2$. It satisfies

$$|\Lambda| < 3k/(2ny^3).$$

Grouping the last two terms we get a linear form of the type (we want to use the results of [LMN], so that we shall use the notations of this paper)

$$\Lambda = b_1 \log \alpha_1 + b_2 \log \alpha_2,$$

where $b_1 = V$, $b_2 = 1$, $\alpha_1 = \lambda^{(2)}$ and $\alpha_2 = |(\lambda^{(3)})^v \gamma^{(2)} / \gamma^{(3)}|$. Concerning α_1 , we have $|\log |\lambda^{(2)}|| \leq 1/n$ and $M(\alpha_1) = |\lambda^{(2)}\lambda^{(3)}|$, which implies

$$h(\alpha_1) = h(\lambda) \le \frac{1}{3} \log(n+2).$$

By Corollary 2, $\log(M(\gamma^{(2)}/\gamma^{(3)})) \leq \log k + \frac{5}{2}\log(n+3)$. Thus,

$$h(\alpha_2) \le \frac{|v|}{3}\log(n+2) + \frac{1}{3}(\log k + \frac{5}{2}\log(n+3)).$$

For the convenience of the reader, we quote the main result of [LMN].

THEOREM A. Let K and L be integers ≥ 3 , R_1 , S_1 , R_2 , S_2 positive integers. Let $\varrho > 1$ be a real number. Put $R = R_1 + R_2 - 1$, $S = S_1 + S_2 - 1$,

$$g = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{KL}{12RS}, \quad b = ((R-1)b_2 + (S-1)b_1) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{K-1} k!\right)^{-2/(K^2-K)}.$$

Let a_1 , a_2 be real numbers such that

$$a_i \ge \varrho |\log \alpha_i| - \log |\alpha_i| + 2Dh(\alpha_i), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $D = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) : \mathbb{Q}]$. Suppose that

(7)
$$\#\{\alpha_1^r \alpha_2^s; \ 0 \le r < R_1, \ 0 \le s \le S_1\} \ge L,$$

$$\#\{b_1 r + sb_2; \ 0 \le r < R_2, \ 0 \le s < S_2\} > (K - 1)L$$

and that

(8)
$$K(L-1)\log \varrho + (K-1)\log 2 - (D+1)\log(KL) - D(K-1)\log b - gL(Ra_1 + Sa_2) > 0.$$

Then

$$|\Lambda'| \ge \varrho^{-KL+0.5}, \quad where \quad \Lambda' = \Lambda \max \left\{ \frac{LRe^{LR|\Lambda|/(2b_1)}}{2b_1}, \frac{LSe^{LS|\Lambda|/(2b_2)}}{2b_2} \right\}.$$

In our case, we have D=3. The study above shows that one can take

$$a_1 \ge 2\log(n+2) + (\varrho - 1)/n$$

and

$$a_2 \ge (2|v|+5)\log(n+3) + 2\log k + (\varrho-1)l_0$$

where $l_0 = |\log \alpha_2|$. For further reference, we notice that (by Corollary 2)

$$l_0 \le (|v| + \frac{3}{2}) \log(n+3).$$

The algebraic numbers α_1 and α_2 are multiplicatively independent. In fact, assume that they are not. Then there exist non-zero integers r and s such that

$$1 = \alpha_1^r \alpha_2^s = \lambda^{(2)r} \lambda^{(3)sv} (\gamma^{(2)} / \gamma^{(3)})^s.$$

Thus $\gamma^{(2)}/\gamma^{(3)}$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{K}}$ and can be written as

$$\gamma^{(2)}/\gamma^{(3)} = \lambda^{(2)t_2}\lambda^{(3)t_3}$$

with suitable $t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Combining the last two equations and using the fact that $\lambda^{(2)}$ and $\lambda^{(3)}$ are multiplicatively independent we get $s(v+t_3)=0$

or $t_3 = -v$. This implies

$$\Lambda = (V + t_2) \log |\lambda^{(2)}|,$$

and so either $\Lambda=0$ or $|\Lambda|>1/(2n)$. We already noticed that Λ is non-zero, and the second alternative contradicts $|\Lambda|<3k/(2ny^3)<1/(2ny)$. The claim is proved.

Thus, in any case, the first one of conditions (7) holds if we suppose $R_1S_1 \geq L$, and the choices of these two parameters will satisfy this condition.

To satisfy the second of conditions (7), we take

$$R_2 = \left[\sqrt{(K-1)La_2/a_1}\right] + 1, \quad S_2 = \left[\sqrt{(K-1)La_1/a_2}\right] + 1,$$

so that $R_2S_2 > (K-1)L$.

Now, there are two possibilities: either the numbers $rb_1 + sb_2$, $0 \le r < R_2$ and $0 \le s < S_2$ are pairwise different and then the second of conditions (7) holds, or (since $b_2 = 1$) we have $|V| \le S_2$.

We also take

$$K = [\mu^2 L a_1 a_2] + 1,$$

where $0 < \mu \le 0.5$ and L will be chosen later. Now we study the term $g(Ra_1 + Sa_2)$. Since $R = R_1 + R_2 - 1$ and $S = S_1 + S_2 - 1$,

$$g(Ra_1 + Sa_2) = \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{KL}{12RS}\right)(Ra_1 + Sa_2)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4}((R_2 - 1)a_1 + (S_2 - 1)a_2) + \frac{1}{4}(R_1a_1 + S_1a_2)$$

$$-\frac{KL}{12}\left(\frac{a_1}{(S_2 - 1) + S_1} + \frac{a_2}{(R_2 - 1) + R_1}\right).$$

Then, the definitions of R_2 and S_2 imply

$$g(Ra_1 + Sa_2) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{KLa_1a_2} + \frac{1}{4}(R_1a_1 + S_1a_2) - \frac{KL}{12}\left(\frac{a_1}{\sqrt{KLa_1/a_2} + S_1} + \frac{a_2}{\sqrt{KLa_2/a_1} + R_1}\right).$$

Now we use the inequality

$$\frac{a_1}{\sqrt{KLa_1/a_2} + S_1} \leq \left(\frac{a_1}{\sqrt{KLa_1/a_2}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{S_1}{\sqrt{KLa_1/a_2}}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{a_1a_2}}{\sqrt{KL}} - \frac{a_2S_1}{KL},$$

and the similar one with R_1 , and get

$$g(Ra_1 + Sa_2) \le \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{KLa_1a_2} + \frac{1}{3}(a_1R_1 + a_2S_1).$$

Choosing
$$R_1 = \left[\sqrt{La_2/a_1}\right] + 1$$
 and $S_1 = \left[\sqrt{La_1/a_2}\right] + 1$ gives

$$g(Ra_1 + Sa_2) \le \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{KLa_1a_2} + \frac{2\sqrt{La_1a_2}}{3} + \frac{a_1 + a_2}{3}.$$

By Lemma 9 of [LMN],

$$b \le \frac{(R-1)b_2 + (S-1)b_1}{K-1} \exp\left\{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\log(2\pi(K-1)/\sqrt{e})}{K-1} + \frac{\log K}{6K(K-1)}\right\},\,$$

where

$$(R-1)b_2 + (S-1)b_1 \le (\sqrt{(K-1)La_2/a_1} + \sqrt{La_2/a_1} + 1) + (\sqrt{(K-1)La_1/a_2} + \sqrt{La_1/a_2} + 1)V.$$

These remarks show that condition (8) holds if

$$K(L-1)\log \varrho + (K-1)\log 2 - 4\log(KL) - 3(K-1)H_0 - \frac{3}{2}(K-1) + \log(\pi(K-1)/\sqrt{e}) - \frac{\log K}{6K} - \frac{L}{3}\sqrt{KLa_1a_2} - \frac{2L^{3/2}a_1a_2}{3} - \frac{L(a_1+a_2)}{3} \ge 0,$$

where we have put

$$H_0 = \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{La_2/a_1}(\sqrt{K-1}+1) + 1 + (\sqrt{La_1/a_2}(\sqrt{K-1}+1) + 1)V}{K-1} \right).$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\sqrt{La_2/a_1}(\sqrt{K-1}+1)+1+(\sqrt{La_1/a_2}(\sqrt{K-1}+1)+1)V}{K-1} \\ &\leq \frac{K}{K-1}\bigg((1+1/\sqrt{K})\frac{\sqrt{La_2/a_1}+V\sqrt{La_1/a_2}}{\sqrt{K}}+\frac{V+1}{K}\bigg) \\ &\leq \frac{K}{K-1}\bigg(\bigg(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}\bigg)\frac{1}{\mu}\bigg(\frac{1}{a_1}+\frac{V}{a_2}\bigg)+\frac{V+1}{\mu^2La_1a_2}\bigg) \\ &\leq \frac{K}{K-1}\cdot\frac{1}{\mu}\bigg(\frac{1}{a_1}+\frac{V}{a_2}\bigg)\bigg(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}+\frac{1}{\mu L}\bigg(\frac{1}{a_1}+\frac{1}{a_2}\bigg)\bigg). \end{split}$$

We put $\theta = \log \varrho$. Choosing $\mu = 2/(3\theta)$, and using the definition $K = [\mu^2 L a_1 a_2] + 1$, we get

$$H_{0} \leq H := \log\left(\frac{K}{K-1}\right) + \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} + \frac{3\theta}{2L}\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}} + \frac{1}{a_{2}}\right)\right) + \log^{+}\left(\frac{1}{\mu a_{1}} + \frac{V}{\mu a_{2}}\right),$$

where, as usual, $\log^+ x = \max\{0, \log x\}$. Then we see that condition (8) is satisfied whenever

$$\begin{split} \varPhi := \frac{2}{3\theta} \bigg(\frac{2(L-1)}{3} - \frac{2H}{\theta} - \frac{L}{3} + \frac{2\log 2}{3\theta} \bigg) - \frac{7\log L}{a_1 a_2 L} - \frac{3\log(4a_1 a_2/(9\theta^2))}{a_1 a_2 L} \\ - \frac{2\sqrt{L}}{3\sqrt{a_1 a_2}} - \frac{1}{3} \bigg(\frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} \bigg) \ge 0. \end{split}$$

[We divided by La_1a_2 .] Now, we choose $L = \max\{16, [\varepsilon + 6H/\theta] + 3\}$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is to be chosen later. This choice implies

$$\begin{split} \varPhi & \geq \frac{4\log 2}{9\theta^2} - \frac{7\log L}{a_1a_2L} - \frac{3\log(4a_1a_2/(9\theta^2))}{a_1a_2L} - \frac{1}{3}\bigg(\frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2}\bigg) \\ & + \frac{4\varepsilon L}{9\theta} - \frac{2\sqrt{L}}{3\sqrt{a_1a_2}} \\ & \geq \frac{4\log 2}{9\theta^2} - \frac{1.22}{a_1a_2} - \frac{3\log(4a_1a_2/(9\theta^2))}{16a_1a_2} - \frac{1}{3}\bigg(\frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2}\bigg) \\ & + \sqrt{L}\bigg(\frac{4\varepsilon\sqrt{L}}{9\theta} - \frac{2}{3\sqrt{a_1a_2}}\bigg). \end{split}$$

Thus, $\Phi \geq 0$, when both

$$\Theta := \frac{4\log 2}{9\theta^2} - \frac{1.22}{a_1 a_2} - \frac{3\log(4a_1 a_2/(9\theta^2))}{16a_1 a_2} - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2}\right) \ge 0$$

and

$$\varepsilon \ge \frac{3\theta}{8\sqrt{a_1 a_2}}.$$

We take $\varrho = 15$, and in this case $\Theta \geq 0$ for

(9)
$$a_2 \ge a_1 \ge 15, \quad a_2 \ge 24, \quad \varepsilon = 0.054.$$

Then (8) holds, and we get

$$\log |\Lambda'| \ge -(\mu^2 L^2 a_1 a_2 - L)\theta = -\frac{4}{9\theta} L^2 a_1 a_2 - L\theta.$$

The choices of R and S imply $\max\{R,S\} \leq L \max\{a_1,a_2\}$, and the definition of Λ' leads to

$$\log |\Lambda'| \le \log |\Lambda| + 2\log L + \log(\max\{a_1, a_2\}),$$

except maybe when $|A| \geq 1/(L^2 \max\{a_1, a_2\})$. Hence, we always have

$$\log |A| \ge -\frac{4}{9\theta} L^2 a_1 a_2 - L\theta - 2\log L - \log(\max\{a_1, a_2\})$$

$$\ge -\left(\frac{4}{9\theta} L^2 + \frac{0.01}{a_1}\right) a_1 a_2 - (\theta + 0.35) L.$$

Recall that

$$\log |A| \le \log \frac{3k}{2n} - 3\log y.$$

Thus, under condition (9), we get

$$\log y \le \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{4}{9\theta} + \frac{0.01}{a_1} \right) L^2 a_1 a_2 + \frac{\theta + 0.35}{3} L + \frac{1}{3} \log \frac{3k}{2n}.$$

7. A second study of linear forms and the proof of Theorem 1.

Applying conjugations to relation (3) and taking logs, we get the system of relations

$$u_1l_2 + u_2l_3 = \log y + \eta_2,$$

 $u_1l_3 + u_2l_1 = \log y + \eta_3,$

where

$$\eta_2 = \log |x/y - \lambda^{(2)}| - \log |\gamma^{(2)}| \text{ and } \eta_3 = \log |x/y - \lambda^{(3)}| - \log |\gamma^{(3)}|.$$
By (5), $0 \le \log |x/y - \lambda^{(2)}| \le 2/n^2$, and $\log n \le \log |x/y - \lambda^{(3)}| \le \log (n+1)$. Moreover, the choice of γ implies

$$\frac{k^{1/3}}{\sqrt{n+3}} \le |\gamma^{(2)}| \le k^{1/3}\sqrt{n+3}, \quad \frac{k^{1/3}}{n+3} \le |\gamma^{(3)}| \le k^{1/3}(n+3).$$

These estimates lead to

$$-\frac{1}{2}\log(n+3) - \frac{1}{3}\log k \le \eta_2 \le \frac{2}{n^2} - \frac{1}{3}\log k + \frac{1}{2}\log(n+3)$$

and

$$-\log(n+3) + \log n - \frac{1}{3}\log k \le \eta_3 \le -\frac{1}{3}\log k + 2\log(n+3).$$

The above system implies

$$u_1(l_3^2 - l_1 l_2) = (l_3 - l_1) \log y - l_1 \eta_2 + l_3 \eta_3,$$

$$u_2(l_3^2 - l_1 l_2) = (l_3 - l_2) \log y + l_3 \eta_2 - l_2 \eta_3.$$

Hence,

$$V = u_1 + u_2 - 1 = \frac{1}{l_3^2 - l_1 l_2} ((2l_3 - l_1 - l_2) \log y + (l_3 - l_1) \eta_2 + (l_3 - l_2) \eta_3) - 1.$$

Put
$$\Delta = l_3^2 - l_1 l_2$$
. Then

$$\Delta(V+1) - (2l_3 - l_1 - l_2)\log y = (l_3 - l_1)\eta_2 + (l_3 - l_2)\eta_3.$$

Using the estimates of the l_i 's (end of Section 3) and those of η_2 and η_3 , we get

$$|\Delta(V+1) - (2l_3 - l_1 - l_2)\log(y/k^{1/3}) - (l_3 - l_2)\log(n+3)|$$

$$\leq ((l_3 - l_2)\log((n+3)^2/n) + (l_3 - l_1)\log(n+3))$$

$$\leq \left(\left(\log n + \frac{2}{n^2}\right)\log((n+3)^2/n) + 2\left(\log(n+1) + \frac{2}{n^2}\right)\log(n+3)\right)$$

$$\leq 3\log(n+2)\log(n+3)$$

for $n \geq 5$. Notice that

$$\Delta \ge \log^2 n + \frac{\log(n+1)}{n+1} > \log^2 n$$

and

$$2l_3 - l_1 - l_2 < 2\log n + \frac{4}{n^2} + \log(n+1) + \frac{2}{n(n+1)} - \frac{n-1/2}{n^2+2} \le 3\log(n+1),$$

and also

$$2l_3 - l_1 - l_2 > 2\log n + \frac{4}{(n+1)^2} + \log(n+1) + \frac{2}{(n+1)^2} - \frac{1}{n} > 3\log n,$$

for $n \geq 3$.

We notice that this implies $V \geq 0$ for $n \geq 5$ and

$$V + 1 \le \frac{1}{\Delta} \left(3\log(n+1)\log\frac{y}{k^{1/3}} + 4\log(n+2)\log(n+3) \right)$$

$$\le \frac{\log(n+2)}{\log^2 n} (3\log(y/k^{1/3}) + 4\log(n+3)),$$

for $n \geq 5$.

After this list of estimates, let us bound V. Recall that

$$\log y \le \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{4}{9\theta} + 0.01 \right) L^2 a_1 a_2 + \frac{\theta + 0.35}{3} L + \frac{1}{3} \log \frac{3k}{2n}.$$

By the conditions on a_1 and a_2 , and since $\varrho = 15$ and $L \ge 16$ this implies

$$\log y \le \frac{1}{3}0.17423 \cdot L^2 a_1 a_2 + \frac{1}{3} \log \frac{3k}{2n}.$$

Since $a_1 \ge 2\log(n+2)$, we get (for $n \ge 5$)

$$V + 1 \le \frac{\log(n+2)}{\log^2 n} (0.17423 \cdot L^2 a_1 a_2 + 4\log(n+3))$$

$$\le \frac{\log(n+2)}{\log^2 n} \cdot 0.17456 \cdot L^2 a_1 a_2.$$

We consider the two cases

$$L = \begin{cases} 16, \\ [6H/\theta + \varepsilon] + 3 \ge 17. \end{cases}$$

In the first case,

$$V + 1 \le \frac{\log(n+2)}{\log^2 n} \cdot 44.688 \cdot a_1 a_2.$$

Now suppose $L \ge 17$. The definition of H implies $H \le 1.522 + \log^+(|V|/a_2)$, and the definition of L, combined with $L \ge 17$, implies $\log(V/a_2) \ge 4.77$.

Then the inequality

$$\begin{split} \frac{V}{a_2} &\leq \frac{\log(n+2)}{\log^2 n} \cdot 0.17456 \cdot L^2 a_1 \\ &\leq 0.17456 \cdot \frac{a_1 \log(n+2)}{\log^2 n} \left[3.054 + \frac{6(1.522 + \log(V/a_2))}{\theta} \right]^2 \end{split}$$

leads to a contradiction. Thus we have proved that

$$V + 1 \le \frac{\log(n+2)}{\log^2 n} \cdot 44.688 \cdot a_1 a_2$$
 and $L = 16$.

We have just obtained an upper bound for |V|, using a non-trivial lower bound of Λ . Using again Λ , we directly get a lower bound for |V|. Indeed, $\Lambda = Vl_2 \pm l_0$, where $|l_2| < (n+1)/(n^2+n+2)$; since $|\Lambda|$ is very small, this implies

$$V \geq nl_0$$
.

Suppose that $n \ge 1650$, then (in this case $V \ge 0$)

$$V + 1 \le \frac{\log(n+2)}{\log^2 n} \cdot 44.688 \cdot a_1 a_2 \le \frac{44.696}{\log n} \cdot a_1 a_2,$$

where

$$a_1 = \max\{2\log(n+2) + 14/n, 15\} < 2.03\log n,$$

 $a_2 = \max\{(2|v| + \frac{5}{2})\log(n+3) + \log k + 14l_0, 24\}.$

Combining these estimates gives

$$V < 89.5a_2$$
 for $n \ge 1650$.

If
$$v \neq 0$$
 then $a_2 = (2|v| + \frac{5}{2})\log(n+3) + \log k + 14l_0$, and $nl_0 < 89.5((2|v| + \frac{5}{2})\log(n+3) + \log k + 14l_0)$.

Hence, if $v \neq 0$,

$$(n-1253)l_0 < (179|v|+223.75)\log(n+3) + 89.5\log k$$

for $n \ge 1650$. Since

$$l_0 \ge |v| \log n - \frac{3}{2} \log(n+3) > (|v| - 1.501) \log n,$$

for $n \ge 1650$, we get also

$$(n - 1253 - 179.1)|v| < (1.501(n - 1253) + 223.75) + 89.5 \frac{\log k}{\log n},$$

or

$$|v| < \left(1 - \frac{1432.1}{n}\right)^{-1} \left\{1.501 - \frac{1657}{n} + 89.5 \frac{\log k}{n \log n}\right\}.$$

Now we consider the y term and we suppose $n \ge 1650$. We have

$$\log y \le \frac{1}{3} \cdot 0.17423 \cdot L^2 a_1 a_2 + \frac{1}{3} \log \frac{3k}{2n},$$

with $a_1 < 2.03 \log n$ and L = 16, hence

$$\log y \le 29.77a_2 \log n + \frac{1}{3} \log k.$$

Using the inequality $l_0 \leq |v|\log(n+1) + 1.5\log(n+3)$ and the definition of a_2 , we get

$$\log y < 29.77(16|v| + 23.5)\log^2(n+2) + 29.82\log n \cdot \log k.$$

And the upper bound on |v| gives

$$\log y < \left(700 + 476.4 \left(1 - \frac{1432.1}{n}\right)^{-1} \left\{1.501 - \frac{1902}{n}\right\}\right) \log^2(n+2) + \left(29.82 + \left(1 - \frac{1432.1}{n}\right)^{-1} \frac{1432}{n \log n}\right) \log n \cdot \log k.$$

Theorem 1 is proved.

8. An improved bound if k is small. In this section we add some hypothesis on k and then get an upper bound for l_0 , which leads to upper bounds on |v| and y.

We suppose $n \ge 1650$ and $k \le n^4$. Then the upper bound on v becomes

$$|v| < \left(1 - \frac{1432.1}{n}\right)^{-1} \left\{1.501 - \frac{1657}{n} + \frac{358}{n}\right\},$$

which implies

$$|v| \le 1$$
 for $n \ge 2750$.

Using the definition of a_2 , we also obtain

$$l_0 < \max\left\{\frac{761\log n}{n - 1253}, \frac{2148}{n}\right\} = \frac{761\log n}{n - 1253}$$
 for $n \ge 3150$.

This implies

$$l_0 < \frac{\log n}{n^{1/3}}$$
 for $n \ge 23500$.

In this case, we choose $\varrho = n^{1/3}$; then $\mu = 2/\log n$. Since $a_1 > 2\log n$ and $a_2 > 2.5\log n$, taking again L = 16, we have $K \ge 360$. It is also easy to verify that $H < 4.67 + \log\log n$ and that we can take $\varepsilon = 0.17$ (the number ε occurs in the definition of the integer L). These estimates prove that the choice L = 16 is legitimate. Then applying again Theorem A, for $n \ge 23500$,

we get

$$\log y \le \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{4}{9\theta} + \frac{0.01}{a_1} \right) L^2 a_1 a_2 + \frac{\theta + 0.35}{3} L + \frac{1}{3} \log \frac{3k}{2n}$$

$$\le \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{4}{3} + 0.005 \right) 256 \cdot 2.02 \cdot 9.5 \cdot \log n + \frac{\theta + 0.35}{3} L + \frac{1}{3} \log \frac{3k}{2n}.$$

Thus, we have proved that

$$\log y < 2180 \log n$$
 if $n \ge 23500$ and $k \le n^4$.

9. Proof of Theorem 3. Let us consider the inequality

$$|x^3 - (n-1)x^2y - (n+2)xy^2 - y^3| \le k$$

with k = 2n + 1. The family of equations $|F_n(x,y)| = 1$ was completely solved by Thomas [T1] and Mignotte [M]. Moreover, it was proved by Lemmermeyer and Pethő [LP] that if $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ has norm, in absolute value, less than 2n + 1, then γ is a rational integer multiple of a binomial unit from $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. Thus to prove Theorem 3 it remains to study the equation

$$(10) |x^3 - (n-1)x^2y - (n+2)xy^2 - y^3| = 2n+1.$$

It is easy to see that

$$F_n(1,1) = F_n(1,-2) = F_n(1,-n-1) = F_n(n,1)$$

= $F_n(-n-1,n) = F_n(-2,1) = -(2n+1),$

and

$$F_n(-1,-1) = F_n(-1,2) = F_n(-1,n+1)$$

= $F_n(-n,-1) = F_n(n+1,-n) = F_n(2,-1) = 2n+1.$

The continued fraction expansion of λ is $\lambda = [-1, 1, n, [n/2], \ldots]$ if n > 0, and hence its first convergents are

$$-1, 0, -\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{-[n/2]}{(n+1)[n/2]+1}.$$

Let $(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ be a solution of (10) such that y > 1 and

$$|\lambda y - x| < |\lambda^{(2)}y - x|, |\lambda^{(3)}y - x|.$$

By Corollary 1, and by $D_f = (n^2 + n + 7)^2$ we have

$$|L_1| \le \left(\frac{4(2n+1)^4}{(n^2+n+7)^2}\right)^{1/6} \frac{1}{y^2} < \frac{2}{y^2},$$

thus $|x/y - \lambda| < 2/25$ if $|y| \ge 5$. Using the estimations for λ , $\lambda^{(2)}$ and $\lambda^{(3)}$ we get

$$|x - \lambda^{(2)}y| > \left(|\lambda^{(2)} - \lambda| - \frac{2}{25}\right)|y| > \left(1 - \frac{2}{25}\right)|y| = \frac{23}{25}|y|$$

and

$$|x - \lambda^{(3)}y| > \left(|\lambda^{(3)} - \lambda| - \frac{2}{25}\right)|y| > \left(n - \frac{2}{25}\right)|y|.$$

Therefore

$$\left| \frac{x}{y} - \lambda \right| < \frac{2n+1}{\frac{23}{25}(n-\frac{2}{25})|y|^3} < \frac{1}{2y^2}$$

if $|y| \ge 5$ and $n \ge 3$, which means that in those cases x/y is a convergent of λ . We conclude the same for n = 1 when $|y| \ge 8$ and for n = 2 when $|y| \ge 6$.

If n=0, then (10) is already solved (see Thomas [T1] and Mignotte [M]). For n=1 with $|y|\leq 7$ and n=2 with $|y|\leq 5$ we get by a direct computation the following solutions.

We remark that exactly the values given in the table are the non-trivial solutions for n=2.

If $n \geq 3$ and $4 \geq |y| \geq 2$ then $|\lambda| < 2/9$ and by using $|x - \lambda y| < 2/|y|$ we get

$$-2 < -\frac{2}{|y|} - |\lambda| \cdot |y| < |x| < \frac{2}{|y|} + |\lambda| \cdot |y| < 2,$$

but the solutions with $|x| \le 1$ are listed at the beginning. We did the same for $|y| \le 1$. Thus apart from the cases listed in Table 1, the solutions of (10) come from convergents of λ , hence $|y| \ge n + 1$. If y = n + 1, then

$$F_n(x, n+1) - (2n+1) = (x+1)(x^2 - n^2x - (n^3 + 3n^2 + 5n + 2)).$$

If the second factor q(x) is reducible over $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, then it has an integer zero. But a simple calculation shows that q(-n-1) < 0, q(-n-3) > 0 and q(-n-2) = 0 iff n = 2. Thus q(x) is reducible over $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ iff n = 2 and these cases are listed in Table 1.

Let $q_1(x) = F_n(x, n+1) + 2n + 1$. Then by $q_1(0) < 0$, $q_1(-1) > 0$, $q_1(-n-2) = n^2 + 3n > 0$, and

$$q_1(-n-3) = -n^3 - 3n^2 - 5n - 12 < 0,$$

$$q_1(n^2 + n + 1) = -(n^4 + 4n^3 + 6n^2 + 5n + 1),$$

$$q_1(n^2 + n + 2) = -n^3 + n^2 + n + 7,$$

$$q_1(n^2 + n + 3) = n^4 + 2n^3 + 12n^2 + 13n + 29$$

we see that $q_1(x)$ has no integer zero if $n \ge 0$. Thus we may assume y > n+1, whence the continued fraction expansion of λ implies $y \ge (n+1)[n/2] + 1 > n^2/2$.

By Theorem 3.1 of Lemmermeyer and Pethő [LP], all elements of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ of norm 2n+1 are associated with one of the conjugates of $\lambda-1$. It is easy to see that $\lambda^{(2)}-1=-(\lambda+2)/(\lambda+1)$ and $\lambda^{(3)}-1=-(2\lambda+1)/\lambda$ and that $\lambda-1,\lambda+2$ and $2\lambda+1$ satisfy the conclusion of Corollary 2. Hence we may apply the results of Sections 6 and 7.

First we prove that if $n \ge 1700$ then (10) has only the trivial solutions. We distinguish three cases and adopt the notations of Section 6. We recall that if $n \ge 5$ then $V \ge 0$ and so $v \le 1$.

Case I: $\gamma = \gamma_1 = \lambda - 1$. Now we have

$$\alpha_2 = \lambda^{(3)v} \left| \frac{\lambda^{(2)} - 1}{\lambda^{(3)} - 1} \right|, \quad h(\alpha_2) \le \frac{1}{3} (|v| \log(n+2) + \log(n(2n+1))).$$

By the choice $\varrho = 15$ we also have $a_2 = 14l_0 + 2(|v| + 2)\log(n+2) + 2\log 2$, where

$$|v-1|\log \lambda^{(3)} + \log 2 + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \le l_0 \le |v-1|\log \lambda^{(3)} + \log 2 + \frac{3}{2(n-1)}.$$

As $V \ge 0$ and $v \le 1$ we have $V > nl_0 > n(|v-1|\log n + \log 2)$. On the other hand,

$$V < 89.5a_2 \le 1253l_0 + 179(|v| + 2)\log(n + 2) + 179\log 2.$$

Combining these inequalities we get n < 8450 for v = 1 and n < 1620 for v < 1.

Case II: $\gamma = \gamma_2 = \lambda + 2$. We now transform

$$\alpha_2 = \lambda^{(3)v} \left| \frac{\lambda^{(2)} + 2}{\lambda^{(3)} + 2} \right|$$

to a more appropriate algebraic number to prove a sharp bound for n. Indeed, using $\lambda^{(2)} + 2 = (\lambda - n)\lambda$ and $\lambda^{(3)} + 2 = (\lambda^{(2)} - n)\lambda^{(2)}$ we get

$$\frac{\lambda^{(2)} + 2}{\lambda^{(3)} + 2} = \frac{(\lambda - n)\lambda(\lambda + 1)^2}{n(\lambda + 1) + 1} = \frac{n - \lambda}{n(\lambda + 1) + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda^{(3)}\lambda^{(2)3}}.$$

Thus our linear form becomes

$$\Lambda = (V - 3) \log |\lambda^{(2)}| + (v - 1) \log |\lambda^{(3)}| + \log \left| \frac{n - \lambda}{n(\lambda + 1) + 1} \right|.$$

By the estimates of λ , we also have

$$1 - \frac{2}{n^3} < \frac{n - \lambda}{n + n\lambda + 1} = 1 - \frac{(n+1)\lambda + 1}{n(\lambda + 1) + 1} < 1 - \frac{1}{n^3}.$$

We proved $|A| < 3k/(2ny^3)$ in Section 5 for all $n \ge 3$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. With our special value k = 2n + 1 using the estimate $y > n^2/2$ we get

$$|A| < \frac{12(2n+1)}{n^7} < \frac{1}{n^3}$$

if $n \ge 4$ immediately. This inequality also remains true for n = 3, which one can verify by a direct computation. Hence v = 1 is impossible and if v < 1 then

$$|(V-3)\log|\lambda^{(2)}|| > |v-1|\log|\lambda^{(3)}| - |\Lambda| - \left|\log\frac{n-\lambda}{n+n\lambda+1}\right| > |v-1|\log n,$$

which implies $V-3 > |v-1|n \log n$. On the other hand, $V < 89.5a_2$ with $a_2 = (16|v|+18) \log(n+2) + 2 \log 2$. These inequalities imply again n < 1620.

Case III: $\gamma = \gamma_3 = 2\lambda + 1$. This case is similar to Case I, and therefore we have given only the most important data:

$$\alpha_2 = \lambda^{(3)v} \left| \frac{2\lambda^{(2)} + 1}{2\lambda^{(3)} + 1} \right|, \quad h(\alpha_2) \le \frac{1}{3}((|v| + 2)\log(n + 2) + 2\log 2)$$

and

$$|v-1|\log n - \log 2 < l_0 < |v-1|\log(n+2) - \log 2.$$

Thus $a_2 < (16|v| + 18) \log(n+2) - 10 \log 2$. If v = 1, then n < 8640 and if v < 1, then n < 1700.

We have proved that equation (10) has just the "trivial solutions" for n > 8640; moreover, v = 1 if $1700 \le n \le 8640$ and this can occur only in Cases I and III. We shall prove now the impossibility of v = 1.

From $|\Lambda| < 12(2n+1)/n^7$ we get

$$\left| V + v \frac{\log |\lambda^{(3)}|}{\log |\lambda^{(2)}|} + \frac{\log |\gamma_i^{(2)}| - \log |\gamma_i^{(3)}|}{\log |\lambda^{(2)}|} \right| < \frac{1}{n^5}$$

for $n \geq 30$ and i = 1, 2, 3. Putting

$$\delta_1 = \frac{\log |\lambda^{(3)}|}{\log |\lambda^{(2)}|}$$
 and $\delta_{2i} = \frac{\log |\gamma_i^{(2)}| - \log |\gamma_i^{(3)}|}{\log |\lambda^{(2)}|}$

this can be reformulated to

$$||v\delta_1 + \delta_{2i}|| < 1/n^5,$$

i = 1, 2 or 3, where $\| \|$ denotes the distance to the nearest integer.

For $1700 \le n \le 8640$ and i=1 and 3 we tested (11) with v=1, but did not found any n satisfying (11) even with the much larger right hand side 10^{-5} . We performed the same test with v=1 for the values $1 \le i \le 3$ and $0 \le n < 1700$ with the same result. Thus it remains to prove Theorem 3 only in the range $0 \le n \le 1700$ and with $v \ne 1$.

10. The case $0 \le n \le 1700$ and end of the proof of Theorem 3. In the range $0 \le n \le 1700$ application of linear forms in two logarithms fails to work because we are not able to prove an upper bound for |v| and V. Therefore we apply the following more general theorem of Baker and Wüstholz [BW]:

THEOREM B. For a linear form $\Lambda \neq 0$ in logarithms of m real algebraic numbers $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$ with rational integer coefficients b_1, \ldots, b_m we have

$$\log |A| \ge -18(m+1)!m^{m+1}(32D)^{m+2}h(\alpha_1)\dots h(\alpha_m)\log B,$$

where $B = \max\{|b_1|, \ldots, |b_m|\}$, and where D is the degree of the number field generated by $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$.

In the present case we have m = 3, D = 3, B = V,

$$h(\lambda^{(2)}) = h(\lambda^{(3)}) \le \frac{1}{3} \log(n+2),$$

 $h(\gamma_i^{(2)}/\gamma_i^{(3)}) \le \frac{1}{3} \log(n(2n+1)), \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$

and we get

$$\log |A| \ge -18 \cdot 4! \cdot 3^6 \cdot 32^5 \cdot \log^2(n+2) \log(n(2n+1)) \log V$$

> -1.06 \cdot 10^{13} \cdot \log^2(n+2) \log(n(2n+1)) \log V.

On the other hand, if $|y| \ge 2$ and $n \ge 5$ then

$$\log |A| < -(V+1)\frac{\log^2 n}{\log(n+2)} + 4\log(n+3) - \log n + \log \frac{3}{2}.$$

A comparison of these estimates leads to $V < 10^{17}$.

This bound is much worse than that we got for n > 1650 and demonstrates that the estimates for linear forms in two logarithms are much more suitable for solving diophantine problems completely than the general estimates. Unfortunately, estimates for two logs are not always applicable.

Despite the large bound for V we can prove that our problem has no non-trivial solution also in the present range. For this purpose we use a variant of the Baker–Davenport lemma [BD], which was also helpful in [MPR]. We recall that

(12)
$$|\Lambda_i| = |V + v\delta_1 + \delta_{2i}| < \frac{3(2n+1)}{2ny^3 \log |\lambda^{(2)}|}$$
$$< \exp\left\{ -(V+1)\frac{\log^2 n}{\log(n+2)} + 4\log(n+3) + \log 3 \right\}$$

(i = 1, 2, 3), where the numbers δ_1 and δ_{2i} were defined in the previous section.

LEMMA 4. Suppose that $n \geq 5$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, $V < 10^{17}$, $v \neq 1$ and $|A_i| < 10^{-50}$ and that $\widetilde{\delta}_1$ and $\widetilde{\delta}_{2i}$ are rational numbers such that

$$|\delta_1 - \widetilde{\delta}_1| < 10^{-50}, \quad |\delta_{2i} - \widetilde{\delta}_{2i}| < 10^{-50}.$$

If there exists a convergent p/q in the continued fraction expansion of $\tilde{\delta}_1$ such that $q \leq 10^{25}$ and

$$q\|q\widetilde{\delta}_{2i}\| > \frac{5 \cdot 10^{17}}{n \log n}$$

then (12) cannot hold for $v, V \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Assume that there exist $v, V \in \mathbb{Z}$ which satisfy (12) and $|A_i| < 10^{-50}$. We recall that (12) implies $V > |v-1|n(\log n - \log 2)$. Let us fix i.

Let p/q be a convergent of δ_1 with the properties given in the lemma. Multiplying (12) by q and inserting δ_1 and δ_{2i} we get

$$q|\Lambda_i| = |q\widetilde{\delta}_2 + q(\delta_{2i} - \widetilde{\delta}_{2i}) + v(q\widetilde{\delta}_1 - p) + vq(\delta_1 - \widetilde{\delta}_1) + vp + Vq| < 10^{-25}.$$

Thus

$$\|q\widetilde{\delta}_{2i}\| < 2 \cdot 10^{-25} + |v||q\widetilde{\delta}_1 - p| + |v|10^{-25}$$

and

$$|q||q\widetilde{\delta}_{2i}|| < 2 + |v|q|q\widetilde{\delta}_1 - p| + |v| < \frac{5V}{n\log n} < \frac{5 \cdot 10^{17}}{n\log n},$$

which is a contradiction. The lemma is proved.

As $|v-1| \neq 0$ we have $V > n \log(n/2)$, which implies $|A_i| < 10^{-50}$ for $n \geq 25$ immediately. The same is true for $n \geq 8$ and |v| > 10. In these cases we computed δ_1 and δ_{2i} with 50 decimal digit precision and found for all n, where $8 \leq n \leq 1700$, a convergent p/q of δ_1 with the properties given in the lemma. For those values (12) cannot hold. We tested (11) for $8 \leq n \leq 25$ and $|v| \leq 10$ separately.

In the remaining cases $0 < n \le 7$ we used the method from Pethő and Schulenberg [PSch], but did not found any more solutions. Thus, Theorem 3 is proved.

For the computation we used PARI-GP on a notebook. The total computation time was about an hour.

References

- [BD] A. Baker and H. Davenport, The equations $3x^2 2 = y^2$ and $8x^2 7 = z^2$, Quart. J. Math. Oxford 20 (1969), 129-137.
- [BSt] A. Baker and C. L. Stewart, On effective approximations to cubic irrationals, in: New Advances in Transcendence Theory, Proc. Sympos., Durham 1986, A. Baker (ed.), Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988, 1–24.

- [BW] A. Baker and G. Wüstholz, Linear forms and group varieties, J. Reine Angew. Math. 442 (1993), 19–62.
- [GyP] K. Győry and Z. Z. Papp, Norm form equations and explicit lower bounds for linear forms with algebraic coefficients, in: Studies in Pure Mathematics (to the memory of Paul Turán), P. Erdős (ed.), Akadémiai Kiadó and Birkhäuser, Budapest, 1983, 245–257.
- [LMN] M. Laurent, M. Mignotte et Y. Nesterenko, Formes linéaires en deux logarithmes et déterminants d'interpolation, J. Number Theory 55 (1995), 285–321.
 - [LP] F. Lemmermeyer and A. Pethő, Simplest number fields, Manuscripta Math. 88 (1995), 53–58.
- [LeP] G. Lettl and A. Pethő, Complete solution of a family of quartic Thue equations, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 65 (1995), 365–383.
 - [M] M. Mignotte, Verification of a conjecture of E. Thomas, J. Number Theory 44 (1993), 172–177.
- [MPR] M. Mignotte, A. Pethő and R. Roth, Complete solutions of quartic Thue and index form equations, Math. Comp. 65 (1996), 341–354.
 - [MT] M. Mignotte and N. Tzanakis, On a family of cubics, J. Number Theory 39 (1991), 41-49.
 - [P1] A. Pethő, On the representation of 1 by binary cubic forms with positive discriminant, in: Number Theory, Ulm 1987, H. P. Schlickewei and E. Wirsing (eds.), Lecture Notes in Math. 1380, Springer, 1989, 185–196.
 - [P2] —, Complete solutions to a family of quartic diophantine equations, Math. Comp. 57 (1991), 777–798.
- [PSch] A. Pethő und R. Schulenberg, Effektives Lösen von Thue Gleichungen, Publ. Math. Debrecen 34 (1987), 189–196.
 - [T1] E. Thomas, Complete solutions to a family of cubic diophantine equations, J. Number Theory 34 (1990), 235–250.
 - [T2] —, Solutions to certain families of Thue equations, ibid. 43 (1993), 319–369.

Département de Mathématique et Informatique Université Louis Pasteur 7, rue René Descartes 67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France E-mail: mignotte@math.u-strasbg.fr

Erwin-Rohde-Str. 19

D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

 $\hbox{E-mail: hb3@ix.urz.uni-heidelberg.de} \\$

Laboratory of Informatics University of Medicine Nagyerdei Krt. 98 H-4032 Debrecen, Hungary E-mail: pethoe@peugeot.dote.hu

Received on 26.6.1995 and in revised form on 2.10.1995

(2811)