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#### Abstract

An example of a locally unsolvable hyperbolic equation of the second order is constructed, which has smooth $\left(C^{\infty}\right)$ coefficients, but has no solutions in the class of distributions.


1. Introduction. We consider here an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P u=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-a(t)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+b(t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=f(t, x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, f$ are some real-valued $C^{\infty}$ functions, and prove that it can have no solutions in the class of distributions in any neighborhood of the origin. Here $a(t) \geq 0$ and the formally adjoint operator $P^{*}$ is also locally non-solvable.

The equation (1) is (weakly) hyperbolic. The Cauchy problem for weakly hyperbolic equations has been studied by M. Protter [1], M. M. Smirnov [2], V. Ya. Ivriĭ and V. Petkov [3], O. A. Oleĭnik and others.

One of the classical methods to study the equation (1) is factorization. Let

$$
v=\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-a(t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}
$$

Then the function $v$ satisfies the relation

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+a(t) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+\left(b(t)+a^{\prime}(t)\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=f
$$

Putting $U=(u, v)$, we obtain the system

$$
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t}+A(t) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}+B(t) U=F(t)
$$

where

$$
A(t)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-a(t) & 0 \\
b(t)+a^{\prime}(t) & a(t)
\end{array}\right), \quad B(t)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad F(t)=\binom{0}{f(t)}
$$

[^0]The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

The principal part of this system can be diagonalized if we put $w=u+k(t) v$, where

$$
k(t)=2 a(t) /\left(a^{\prime}(t)+b(t)\right)
$$

Then

$$
\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}+a(t) \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}=\frac{k^{\prime}(t)+1}{k(t)}(w-u)+k(t) f(t)
$$

This shows that the solvability of the Cauchy problem depends on the properties of the function $k$ (which can also be replaced by $k_{1}(t)=2 a(t) /\left(a^{\prime}(t)-b(t)\right)$, if one takes $\left.v_{1}=\partial u / \partial t+a(t) \partial u / \partial x\right)$.

Another approach consists in the consideration of energy estimates. Multiplying (1) by $2 \partial u / \partial t$, and integrating over $Q_{T}=(0, T) \times \mathbf{R}$, we obtain

$$
\int_{t=T}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+a(t)^{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right] d x d t=2 \int_{Q_{T}}\left[f \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\left(\left(a(t)^{2}\right)^{\prime}-b(t)\right)\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right] d x d t
$$

The method works if, for example,

$$
\left(a(t)^{2}\right)^{\prime}-b(t) \leq k a(t)^{2}
$$

with a constant $k$. This inequality is sufficient for the Cauchy problem to be well posed.
O. A. Oleĭnik [4] used a modification of this method and proved that the Cauchy problem is well posed if the following condition holds:

There exist some constants $\alpha, A, T_{0}, \ldots, T_{N}$, such that $0=T_{0}<T_{1}<\ldots<T_{N}=T$ and for $T_{j}<t<T_{j+1}, j=0,1, \ldots, N-1$, the inequality

$$
\alpha\left(t-T_{j}\right) b(t)^{2} \leq A a(t)^{2}+\left(a(t)^{2}\right)_{t}^{\prime}
$$

or the inequality

$$
\alpha\left(T_{j+1}-t\right) b(t)^{2} \leq A a(t)^{2}+\frac{a(t)^{2}}{\alpha\left(T_{j+1}-t\right)}-\left(a(t)^{2}\right)_{t}^{\prime}
$$

is true.
On the other hand, the well-known classical example of an equation of the first order which is locally non-solvable is one of H. Lewy [5]:

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+i(x+i y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=f(t, x, y)
$$

L. Hörmander [6] has proved that the real equation of the second order

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(y^{2}-z^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}\right) & -x y \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial y} \\
& -\frac{\partial^{2}(x y u)}{\partial x \partial y}+x z \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial z}+\frac{\partial^{2}(x z u)}{\partial x \partial z}=f(x, y, z)
\end{aligned}
$$

is also locally non-solvable.
In the works of L. Hörmander [6], L. Nirenberg and F. Trèves [7], and Yu. V. Egorov [8] necessary conditions were given for the local solvability of differential equations of the general form (see [9]). The most general result in this direction is the one of L. Hörmander [10]. The local solvability for the equations with double characteristics has been studied
by F. Trèves, V. Ya. Ivriĭ, P. R. Popivanov, Ya. Kannai, Yu. V. Egorov and others. Ya. Kannai [11] proved the local unsolvability of the parabolic operator

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+t \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=f(t, x)
$$

This equation is the "inverse heat equation" for all $t \neq 0$. In the work [12] of F. Colombini and S. Spagnolo an example of equation of the form (1) is given with a positive function $a(t)$ (however it is not regular), for which the Cauchy problem is locally non-solvable, and an example of the equation of the form

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{a(t)}{a(x)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)=x
$$

having no solutions of class $C^{1}$ in any neighborhood of the origin, where $2^{-1} \leq a(t) \leq 2$, $a(t) \in C^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha<1$, but $a(t) \notin C^{1}$.

In our example (1) the function $a(t) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$. It is important to note also that the usual technique of the construction of the asymptotic solutions is not applicable in this case. In fact it is impossible to construct in a neighborhood of the origin a smooth phase function $w(t, x)$, with a positive imaginairy part, satisfying the equation

$$
w_{t}^{2}-a(t)^{2} w_{x}^{2}=0
$$

or the equation

$$
w_{t}^{2}-a(t)^{2} w_{x}^{2}+i b(t) w_{x}=0
$$

## 2. Main result

Theorem. There exist real functions

$$
a(t), b(t) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}), \quad a(t) \geq 0, \quad f(t, x) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)
$$

for which equation (1) has no solution in the class of distributions in any neighborhood of the origin. The formally adjoint operator $P^{*}$ is also locally non-solvable in any neighborhood of the origin.

Lemma 1. If equation (1) is locally solvable in a neighborhood $\omega$ of the origin, then there exist constants $C_{1} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{0} \leq C_{1}\left\|P^{*} u\right\|_{N}, \quad u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\omega) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 1. From the local solvability of equation (1) in the domain $\omega$ follows the existence of real constants $C, s$ and $r$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{s} \leq C\left\|P^{*} u\right\|_{r}, \quad u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\omega) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The statement of Lemma 1 is evident if $s \geq 0$. If $s<0$, we choose $n_{1} \in \mathbf{N}$ for which $n_{1}+s \geq 0$. Since $D_{x}^{i} u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\omega)$ for all $i$, if $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\omega)$, we have

$$
\left\|D_{x}^{i} u\right\|_{s} \leq C\left\|D_{x}^{i} f\right\|_{r}
$$

where $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\omega), f=P^{*} u, i=0,1, \ldots$ Of course, we can assume that $r \geq s$.
Writing down $D_{t}^{2} u$ from the equation $P^{*} u=f$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D_{t}^{2} u\right\|_{s-1} & \leq C\left(\left\|D_{x}^{2} u\right\|_{s-1}+\left\|D_{x} u\right\|_{s-1}+\|f\|_{s-1}\right) \\
& \leq C_{1}\left(\left\|D_{x} u\right\|_{s}+\|u\|_{s}+\|f\|_{r-1}\right) \leq C_{2}\left(\left\|D_{x} f\right\|_{r}+\|f\|_{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{s+1} & \leq C_{3}\left(\|u\|_{s}+\left\|D_{x}^{2} u\right\|_{s-1}+\left\|D_{t}^{2} u\right\|_{s-1}\right) \\
& \leq C_{4}\left(\|f\|_{r}+\left\|D_{x} f\right\|_{r}+\|f\|_{r+1}\right) \leq C_{1}^{\prime}\|f\|_{r+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Repeating these arguments, we obtain

$$
\|u\|_{s+2} \leq C_{2}^{\prime}\|f\|_{r+2}, \ldots,\|u\|_{s+n_{1}} \leq C_{n_{1}}^{\prime}\|f\|_{r+n_{1}}
$$

Since $s+n_{1} \geq 0$, we have

$$
\|u\|_{0} \leq C_{n_{1}}\|f\|_{r+n_{1}} .
$$

The index $r+n_{1}$ on the right-hand side can always be enlarged. Therefore $\|u\|_{0} \leq$ $C_{n_{1}}\|f\|_{N}$, where $N \in \mathbf{N}$.
3. Proof of the Theorem. Let $\omega$ be a neighborhood of the origin, $\lambda \gg 1$ and the function $F$ be of class $C_{0}^{\infty}(-1,+1)$. Let $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $I_{k}=(1 / k \pi, 1 /(k-1) \pi)$.

The functions $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ in our example have the following form:

$$
a(t)=\exp \left(-t^{-2}-\sin ^{-2}(1 / t)\right), \quad b(t)=-2 a(t) \mu^{\prime}(t)-a^{\prime}(t)
$$

where $\mu(t)=-\sin ^{-4}(1 / t)-\ln |t|$ is a function such that $e^{\mu(t)} \rightarrow 0$ and $D_{t}^{i} e^{\mu(t)} \rightarrow 0$, as $t$ tends to the end points of the interval $I_{k}$. It is obvious that $b \in C^{\infty}$ and

$$
\int_{I_{k}} e^{2 \mu(t)} d t=\int_{0}^{\pi} \exp \left(-2 \sin ^{-4} s\right) d s=c_{1}
$$

After the substitution $x_{1}=x-A(t)$, where $A$ is a function such that $A^{\prime}(t)=a(t)$, the equation $P^{*} u=0$ takes the form

$$
P_{1} u \equiv \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-2 a(t) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t \partial x}-\left(b(t)+a^{\prime}(t)\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=0
$$

(we drop the subscript 1 for simplicity).
We wish to construct a function $u_{\lambda}(t, x) \in C_{0}^{\infty}(K)$, where $K=I_{k} \times\left(-\lambda^{-1}, \lambda^{-1}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{0} \geq c_{0}>0, \quad\left\|P^{*} u_{\lambda}\right\|_{N} \leq C \lambda^{-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

After substitution of this function in (2), we are led to a contradiction for $\lambda$ and $k$ sufficiently large. Since for any neighborhood $\omega$ of the origin the domain $K$ is inside $\omega$ for $\lambda>\lambda_{\omega}, k>k_{\omega}$, this proves that the operator $P$ is locally non-solvable at the origin.

Let

$$
u(t, x)=F(\lambda x) e^{\mu(t)} v(t, x)
$$

Then the function $v$ satisfies the equation

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial t^{2}}-2 a(t) \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial t \partial x}-2 a(t) \lambda \frac{F^{\prime}(\lambda x)}{F(\lambda x)} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+2 \mu^{\prime}(t) \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+\left(\mu^{\prime}(t)^{2}+\mu^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) v=0
$$

The change of the variable $x_{2}=\lambda x_{1}$ gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial t^{2}}-2 a(t) \lambda \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial t \partial x}-2 a(t) \lambda \frac{F^{\prime}(x)}{F(x)} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+2 \mu^{\prime}(t) \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+\left(\mu^{\prime}(t)^{2}+\mu^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) v=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(we drop again the subscript 2).

We are looking for an approximate solution of the equation (5) of the form

$$
v(t, x)=\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \lambda^{-j} v_{j}(t, x)
$$

where $v_{0}(t, x)=1, v_{j}(t, x)=\mu_{j}(t) F_{j}(x)$, for $j=1, \ldots, N+1$ and

$$
\frac{\partial^{2}\left(F(x) v_{j}(t, x)\right)}{\partial t \partial x}=\frac{F(x)}{a(t)}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} v_{j-1}(t, x)}{\partial t^{2}}+2 \mu^{\prime}(t) \frac{\partial v_{j-1}(t, x)}{\partial t}+\left[\mu^{\prime}(t)^{2}+\mu^{\prime \prime}(t)\right] v_{j-1}\right]
$$

$j=1,2, \ldots, N+1$. But then

$$
G_{j}^{\prime}(x)=G_{j-1}(x), \quad \mu_{j}^{\prime}(t)=\left[\mu_{j-1}^{\prime \prime}+2 \mu^{\prime} \mu_{j-1}^{\prime}+\left(\mu^{\prime \prime}+\mu^{2}\right) \mu_{j-1}\right] / a(t)
$$

where $G_{j}(x)=F(x) F_{j}(x), j=1,2, \ldots, N+1, G_{0}(x)=F(x), \mu_{0}(t)=1$. Of course, this means that we have first to choose a smooth function $G_{N+1}(x)$ such that $F_{N+1}$ is flat at the end points of $(-1,+1)$ and next to put $G_{N+1-j}(x)=G_{N+1}^{(j)}(x), j=1, \ldots, N+1$. It is easy to see that the function $\mu_{j}(t) e^{\mu(t)}$ is smooth in $I_{k}$ and flat at the end points of $I_{k}$.

On the other hand,

$$
\iint_{K} e^{2 \mu(t)} F(\lambda x)^{2} d x d t \geq c_{0} \lambda^{-1}>0, \quad \iint_{K} e^{2 \mu(t)} F(\lambda x)^{2} v_{j}(t, \lambda x)^{2} d x d t \leq C_{j, k} \lambda^{-1},
$$

$j=1,2, \ldots, N+1$ and thus $\|u\|_{0}^{2} \geq c_{0} \lambda^{-1} / 2$ for $\lambda>\Lambda(\omega, k, N)$. At the same time $\left\|P^{*} u(t, x)\right\|_{N}^{2} \leq C \lambda^{-3}$. Therefore the inequalities (4) are valid for the function

$$
u_{\lambda}=\lambda^{1 / 2} F(\lambda(x-A(t))) e^{\mu(t)} v(t, \lambda(x-A(t)))
$$

This implies the statement of Theorem in the standard way (see [10]).
In order to prove the theorem for the adjoint operator $P^{*}$ it is sufficient to remark that it can be obtained from $P$ after the substitution $x=-x_{1}$.

The proof is complete.
The question of the solvability of the equations of the form (1) was posed to me by Professor P. Guan from McMaster University in Hamilton, Canada, and I thank him for this.
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