# COLLOQUIUM MATHEMATICUM

VOL. LXIX

1995

## KRENGEL-LIN DECOMPOSITION FOR NONCOMPACT GROUPS

#### ΒY

# WOJCIECH BARTOSZEK (PRETORIA) AND RYSZARD REBOWSKI (WROCŁAW)

**1. Introduction.** Let G be a locally compact  $\sigma$ -compact topological Hausdorff group with a right Haar measure  $\lambda$ . The class of all such G with equivalent right and left uniform structures is denoted by **SIN**. A comprehensive review of properties of such groups can be found in [HR] or in a more recent paper [HT]. We note that if G is in addition second countable then  $G \in$ **SIN** if and only if there exists a (two-sided) invariant metric on G.

We denote by P(G) the convex convolution semigroup of all Borel (Radon) probability measures on G. For a fixed  $\mu \in P(G)$ , and  $f \in L^p(\lambda)$ or  $f \in C_0(G)$ , we define

$$P_{\mu}f(x) = \int f(xg) \, d\mu(g).$$

To simplify, and in accordance with [DL2], we write T(t) for  $P_{\delta_t}$ . Clearly,  $P_{\mu}$  is a positive linear contraction on each  $L^p(\lambda)$  where  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  as well as on  $C_0(G)$ . We notice that  $P_{\mu}$  considered as a linear contraction on  $L^{\infty}(\lambda)$  may be treated as adjoint to  $P_{\tilde{\mu}}$ , which obviously acts on  $L^1(\lambda)$ . Moreover, it is doubly stochastic on  $L^1(\lambda)$ .  $P_{\tilde{\mu}}(\nu) = \nu \star \check{\mu}$  is an extension of  $P_{\tilde{\mu}}$  to M(G), the (AL) Banach lattice of all bounded (Radon) measures on G. Clearly  $P^*_{\mu}(\nu) = \nu \star \mu$ , where  $P_{\mu}$  is now an operator on  $C_0(G)$ .

The smallest closed subgroup which contains the topological support  $S(\mu)$  of  $\mu$  is denoted by  $G(\mu)$ . If  $G(\mu) = G$  then we say that  $\mu$  is *adapted*. We introduce another subgroup which is strongly responsible for asymptotic properties of the iterates  $P_{\mu}^{n}$ . Namely, we denote by  $h(\mu)$  the smallest closed subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  such that

$$gH = Hg$$
 and  $\mu(gH) = 1$  for each  $g \in S(\mu)$ .

[87]

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 22D40, 43A05, 43A35, 47A35, 60B15.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:$  random walk, concentration function, Markov operator, ergodic theory.

The first-named author thanks the Foundation for Research Development for financial support.

In [DL2],  $h(\mu)$  is identified as the closed subgroup generated by

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [S(\check{\mu}^{\star n} \star \mu^{\star n}) \cup S(\mu^{\star n} \star \check{\mu}^{\star n})].$$

Our notation is taken mainly from [DL2]. In particular,  $\check{\mu}$  stands for the symmetric reflection of  $\mu$  and  $\star$  denotes as usual the convolution operation.

In this paper we shall study the following:

PROBLEM. Characterize  $\mu \in P(G)$  such that for all  $f \in L^2(\lambda)$  we have

$$(\star) \qquad \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \|P^n_{\mu}f\|_2 = 0.$$

On the other hand, if for some  $f \in L^2(\lambda)$  the convergence  $(\star)$  does not hold, then identify all such  $f \in L^2(\lambda)$ .

In 1984 Y. Derriennic and M. Lin [DL1] proved that if G is Abelian then  $(\star)$  holds for all  $f \in L^2(\lambda)$  if and only if  $h(\mu)$  is noncompact. We introduce the class  $\mathcal{G}_{DL}$  of all locally compact,  $\sigma$ -compact groups with the property that  $(\star)$  holds if and only if  $h(\mu)$  is noncompact. It was shown in [B2] that all countable groups belong to  $\mathcal{G}_{DL}$ . Subsequently in [B3] it was proved that all Polish, locally compact groups with invariant metrics are in  $\mathcal{G}_{DL}$ . Proposition 1 of [B4] gives examples of Lie groups without invariant metrics which still belong to  $\mathcal{G}_{DL}$ . Although a full characterization of the class  $\mathcal{G}_{DL}$  is not provided here, we show that **SIN**  $\subset \mathcal{G}_{DL}$ .

This fact will be used in the third section which includes the main result of the paper. We extend the Krengel–Lin decomposition to the class **SIN**. Namely, we show that if  $\mu$  is adapted then  $L^2(\lambda) = E_0 \oplus E_1$  where  $E_0 = \{f \in L^2(\lambda) : \lim_{n\to\infty} ||P_{\mu}^n f||_2 = 0\}$  and  $E_1 = L^2(G, \Sigma_d(P_{\mu}), \lambda)$ , where according to [F],  $\Sigma_d(P_{\mu})$  stands for the *deterministic*  $\sigma$ -field of the Markov operator  $P_{\mu}$ . We recall that it is defined as

 $\Sigma_{d}(P_{\mu}) = \{ A \subseteq G : A \text{ is measurable and } \forall_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \exists B_{n} P_{\mu}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{A} = \mathbf{1}_{B_{n}} \}.$ 

It is proved in [KL] that the tail  $\sigma$ -field

$$\Sigma_{t}(P_{\mu}) = \{A \subseteq G : A \text{ is measurable and } \forall_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \exists B_{n} P_{\mu}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{B_{n}} = \mathbf{1}_{A}\}$$

coincides with  $\Sigma_{\rm d}(P_{\tilde{\mu}})$ . We will prove that if  $E_1$  is nontrivial then  $\Sigma_{\rm d}(P_{\mu})$  is atomic and consists of classes of the group  $h(\mu)$ . As a result we get  $\Sigma_{\rm d}(P_{\mu})$  $= \Sigma_{\rm d}(P_{\tilde{\mu}})$  and consequently, the deterministic part  $G_1(\mu)$  defined as

ess sup{
$$A : A \in \Sigma_{d}(P_{\mu}) \cap \Sigma_{t}(P_{\mu})$$
 with  $\lambda(A) < \infty$ }

is the whole group G.

CONVENTION. All groups considered in this paper are locally compact, Hausdorff, and  $\sigma$ -compact. Measures are Borel and Radon.

**2. Concentrated probabilities.** We start with some auxiliary results and provide the necessary definitions. Most of them are taken from [B3]. We say that  $\mu \in P(G)$  is *concentrated* if there exist a compact set  $K \subseteq G$  and a sequence  $g_n \in G$  such that

$$\mu^{\star n}(g_n K) \equiv 1$$
 for all natural  $n$ .

A measure  $\mu \in P(G)$  is said to be *scattered* if  $(\star)$  holds.

The following lemma, which actually is a version of the theorem on convergence of alternating sequences, has been proved in [B3]. In its proof we used Lemma 1.2 and part a) of the proof of Theorem 3.1, both from [C]. It may be easily checked that even though the separability assumption is essential for most of the proofs in [C], the results we quote are valid for general topological groups.

LEMMA 1. Let  $\mu \in P(G)$ . Then either  $\mu$  is scattered, or there exists a probability measure  $\varrho \in P(G)$  such that  $\check{\mu}^{\star n} \star \mu^{\star n} \Rightarrow \varrho$  in the weak measure topology. Moreover, we have the obvious identity

(1) 
$$\check{\mu} \star \varrho \star \mu = \varrho.$$

The above convergence has also been studied in [E] and from the general point of view in [AB].

As in [B3], for a unimodular group G and  $\mu \in P(G)$  we define

$$T_{\mu}f(g) = \int \int f(ygz^{-1}) \, d\mu(y) \, d\mu(z)$$

We recall that any group  $G \in SIN$  is unimodular (see [HR], p. 278).

LEMMA 2. Let  $\mu \in P(G)$  and  $G \in SIN$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) there exists a measure  $\varrho \in P(G)$  such that  $\check{\mu} \star \varrho \star \mu = \varrho$ ,
- ( $\beta$ )  $T_{\mu}(f_*) = f_*$  for some nonnegative and nonzero  $f_* \in L^1(\lambda) \cap L^{\infty}(\lambda)$ .

Proof. Only  $(\alpha) \Rightarrow (\beta)$  needs to be proved. For  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$  let f be a continuous function with compact support K such that  $0 \le f \le 1$  and  $\int f d\varrho > 1 - \varepsilon$ . Then  $\int_K T^n_\mu f d\varrho > 1 - 2\varepsilon$ , so  $T^n_\mu f(x_n) > 1 - 2\varepsilon$  for some  $x_n \in K$ . Since the family  $\{T^n_\mu f : n \text{ natural}\}$  is equicontinuous ([HR], (4.14)(g)), there exists a compact neighbourhood W of e such that  $T^n_\mu f(g) > 1 - 2\varepsilon$  whenever  $g \in W x_n$ . Consequently,

$$\int_{WK} T^n_{\mu} f \, d\lambda \ge (1 - 2\varepsilon)\lambda(Wx_n) = (1 - 2\varepsilon)\lambda(W).$$

This implies that the Cesàro  $L^2$  limit of the sequence  $T^n_{\mu}f$  (we denote it by  $f_*$ ) does not vanish, and is  $T_{\mu}$ -invariant. Clearly  $f_* \in L^1(\lambda) \cap L^{\infty}(\lambda)$  since  $T_{\mu}$  is doubly stochastic.

The following theorem extends some results of [B3] to **SIN** groups. We will apply this result in the next section. The proof is omitted as it easily follows from Theorem 2 of [B3] and Lemma 2.

THEOREM 1. Let  $\mu \in P(G)$  be adapted and  $G \in SIN$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) there is a compact set K and  $g_n$ ,  $\tilde{g}_n \in G$  so that  $\mu^{*n}(g_n K) = \mu^{*n}(\tilde{g}_n K) \equiv 1$  for all n,

(ii)  $\mu$  is nonscattered,

(iii) there exists  $f \in L^2(\lambda)$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|P^n_{\mu} f\|_2 > 0$ ,

- (iv) there exists  $\varrho \in P(G)$  such that  $\check{\mu} \star \varrho \star \mu = \varrho$ ,
- (v)  $h(\mu)$  is compact.

We notice that for noncompact G and adapted  $\mu \in P(G)$ , if  $h(\mu)$  is compact then it has positive Haar measure. This follows from the identity  $G/h(\mu) = \mathbb{Z}$ , which may be easily inferred from [DL2], Proposition (1.6). Using Baire category methods it may be shown that the interior of  $h(\mu)$  is nonempty.

**3.** Krengel–Lin decomposition. In this section we extend the Krengel–Lin decomposition from compact groups to the class **SIN**. We begin with the following

LEMMA 3. Let  $G \in SIN$ . Then for any  $\mu \in P(G)$  and  $f \in L^2(\lambda)$  the set

$$G_{f,\mu} = \{ t \in G : \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T(t)P_{\mu}^{n}f - P_{\mu}^{n}f\|_{2} = 0 \}$$

is a closed subgroup containing  $h(\mu)$ . As a result, if  $\mu$  is nonscattered and  $\varrho = \lim_{n \to \infty} \check{\mu}^{\star n} \star \mu^{\star n}$ , then for any  $f \in L^2(\lambda)$  we have

(2) 
$$\lim_{\mu \to 0} \|P_{\varrho}P_{\check{\mu}}^n f - P_{\check{\mu}}^n f\|_2 = 0.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that the considered functions f are taken from  $C_0(G) \cap L^2(\lambda)$ . Clearly  $G_{f,\mu}$  is a subgroup. Now let  $t_{\alpha} \to t_0$ , where  $t_{\alpha} \in G_{f,\mu}$ . We find that independently of n,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t_{\alpha})P_{\mu}^{n}f - T(t_{0})P_{\mu}^{n}f\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= \int \left|\int \left(f(xt_{\alpha}y) - f(xt_{0}y)\right)d\mu^{*n}(y)\right|^{2}d\lambda(x) \\ &\leq \int \int |f(xt_{\alpha}y) - f(xt_{0}y)|^{2}d\mu^{*n}(y)d\lambda(x) \\ &= \int \int |f(xy^{-1}t_{0}^{-1}t_{\alpha}y) - f(x)|^{2}d\lambda(x)d\mu^{*n}(y) \leq (\varepsilon/2)^{2} \end{aligned}$$

for  $t_0^{-1}t_\alpha$  close to e, the neutral element of G. Therefore for sufficiently "large"  $\alpha$  and n we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t_0)P_{\mu}^n f - P_{\mu}^n f\|_2 \\ &\leq \|T(t_0)P_{\mu}^n f - T(t_{\alpha})P_{\mu}^n f\|_2 + \|T(t_{\alpha})P_{\mu}^n f - P_{\mu}^n f\|_2 \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $t_0 \in G_{f,\mu}$ .

From the above arguments it is easy to conclude that all sets

(3) 
$$\{t \in G : \lim_{j \to \infty} \|T(t)P_{\mu}^{n_j}f - P_{\mu}^{n_j}f\|_2 = 0\},\$$

where  $n_j \to \infty$  are arbitrary, are closed.

Now, let

$$G_{L^2,\mu} = \bigcap_{f \in L^2(\lambda)} G_{f,\mu}.$$

Clearly it is a closed subgroup of G. We prove  $h(\mu) \subseteq G_{L^2,\mu}$ . It follows from the convergence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int \|T(t)P_{\mu}^{n}f - P_{\mu}^{n}f\|_{2} \, d\eta(t) = 0$$

where

$$\eta = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \nu^{\star k} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} (\mu^{\star k} \star \check{\mu}^{\star k} + \check{\mu}^{\star k} \star \mu^{\star k})$$

(see [DL2]), that for any sequence  $m_j \to \infty$  there exists a subsequence  $n_j \to \infty$  such that

(4) 
$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \|T(t)P_{\mu}^{n_j}f - P_{\mu}^{n_j}f\|_2 = 0,$$

where t runs over a set of full  $\eta$  measure. By (3) the convergence (4) holds for all  $t \in S(\eta) = h(\mu)$  and the inclusion  $h(\mu) \subseteq G_{L^2,\mu}$  is proved.

The second part of the lemma is an easy consequence of the first one. For nonscattered  $\mu \in P(G)$  we have  $S(\varrho) \subseteq S(\eta) = h(\check{\mu})$ . This implies that for all  $f \in L^2(\lambda)$ ,

$$\|P_{\varrho}P_{\check{\mu}}^{n}f - P_{\check{\mu}}^{n}f\|_{2} \leq \int \|T(t)P_{\check{\mu}}^{n}f - P_{\check{\mu}}^{n}f\|_{2} \, d\varrho(t) \to 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $G \in SIN$  and  $\mu \in P(G)$  be adapted. If  $\mu$  is nonscattered then  $S(\varrho) = h(\mu)$ , where  $\varrho = \lim_{n \to \infty} \check{\mu}^{\star n} \star \mu^{\star n}$ . If in addition the group G is noncompact, then

(5) 
$$\varrho = \frac{\lambda|_{h(\mu)}}{\lambda(h(\mu))}$$

is the normalized Haar measure on  $h(\mu)$  and  $\tau = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu^{\star n} \star \check{\mu}^{\star n} = \varrho$ .

Proof. Firstly we notice that  $e \in S(\varrho)$ . For this, let W be a compact neighbourhood of e. By Theorem 1 the group  $h(\mu)$  is compact, so it may be covered by a finite union  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{p} x_j W$ . Hence

$$S(\mu^{\star n}) \subseteq g^n h(\mu) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^p g^n x_j W,$$

where  $g \in S(\mu)$  is arbitrary. Therefore for any *n* there exists  $x_{j_n}$  such that  $\mu^{*n}(g^n x_{j_n} W) > 1/p$ . Consequently,

$$\check{\mu}^{\star n} \star \mu^{\star n}(W^{-1}W) = \check{\mu}^{\star n} \star \mu^{\star n}(W^{-1}x_{j_n}^{-1}g^{-n}g^n x_{j_n}W) \ge \frac{1}{p^2}$$

Passing with n to infinity we get  $\rho(W^{-1}W) \ge 1/p^2$ . Since W is arbitrary, it follows that  $e \in S(\rho)$ . In the same way we obtain  $e \in S(\tau)$ .

Now we apply Theorem 1 (condition (iv)). For all natural n we have

$$\mu^{\star n} \star \check{\mu}^{\star n} \star \varrho \star \mu^{\star n} \star \check{\mu}^{\star n} = \mu^{\star n} \star \varrho \star \check{\mu}^{\star n}$$

The left side tends to  $\tau \star \varrho \star \tau$ . By (2) the right side is convergent to  $\tau$ . As a result,

(6) 
$$\tau \star \varrho \star \tau = \tau$$

and consequently  $\tau \star \varrho \star \tau \star \varrho = \tau \star \varrho$ . It is well known (see [H]) that then  $\tau \star \varrho$  must be the normalized Haar measure of the compact subgroup  $S(\tau \star \varrho)$ .

Now we show that  $S(\tau)$  is a group. It is obvious that this closed set is symmetric and contains the neutral element of the group. It remains to prove that it is a semigroup. This follows from

$$S(\tau)S(\tau) = S(\tau)eS(\tau) \subseteq S(\tau)S(\varrho)S(\tau) = S(\tau\star\varrho\star\tau) = S(\tau)$$

Notice that  $S(\varrho) \subseteq S(\tau)S(\varrho) = S(\tau \star \varrho)$ . Hence  $\varrho \star \tau \star \varrho = \tau \star \varrho$ . Interchanging  $\varrho$  with  $\tau$  in (6) we have  $\varrho \star \tau \star \varrho = \varrho$ , so  $\varrho = \tau \star \varrho$ . Since  $S(\tau) \subseteq S(\tau \star \varrho)$ , it follows that  $\tau = \tau \star \varrho \star \tau = \varrho$ . The inclusion

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (S(\check{\mu}^{\star n} \star \mu^{\star n}) \cup S(\mu^{\star n} \star \check{\mu}^{\star n}))$$
$$\subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (S(\check{\mu}^{\star n} \star \varrho \star \mu^{\star n}) \cup S(\mu^{\star n} \star \tau \star \check{\mu}^{\star n})) = S(\varrho)$$

is obvious and we get  $h(\mu) \subseteq S(\varrho)$ . The opposite inclusion  $S(\varrho) \subseteq h(\mu)$  is always valid so  $S(\varrho) = h(\mu)$ .

It is noticed in (5) that  $\lambda(h(\mu)) > 0$  for noncompact G. Therefore the measure  $\rho$  may be identified as

$$\varrho = \frac{\lambda|_{h(\mu)}}{\lambda(h(\mu))}$$

and the proof is complete.  $\blacksquare$ 

It is well known that on compact groups left and right uniform structures are equivalent. So, the following theorem extends the Krengel–Lin decomposition which is discussed in [KL] only for compact groups.

KRENGEL-LIN DECOMPOSITION

THEOREM 2. Let  $\mu$  be an adapted probability measure on a noncompact group  $G \in SIN$ . If  $\mu$  is nonscattered then:

(a)  $\Sigma_{d}(P_{\mu}) = \sigma(\{g^{n}h(\mu) : n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } g \in S(\mu) \text{ arbitrary}\}),$ 

(b)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|P^n_{\mu}(f - \mathbb{E}_d f)\|_2 = 0$  for all  $f \in L^2(\lambda)$ , where  $\mathbb{E}_d$  stands for the conditional expectation operator with respect to  $\Sigma_d(P_{\mu})$ .

Proof. For natural n and j we have  $P_{\mu}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{g^{j}h(\mu)} = \mathbf{1}_{g^{j-n}h(\mu)}$ , so

$$\sigma(\{g^n h(\mu) : n \in \mathbb{Z}, g \in S(\mu)\}) \subseteq \Sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(P_{\mu}).$$

It follows from [F] that

 $L^{2}(G, \Sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(P_{\mu}), \lambda) = \{ f \in L^{2}(\lambda) : P_{\check{\mu}^{\star n} \star \mu^{\star n}} f = f \text{ for any natural } n \}.$ 

This gives  $P_{\varrho}f = f$  for  $f \in L^2(G, \Sigma_d(P_{\mu}), \lambda)$  where  $\varrho$  is defined in Proposition 1. Using that proposition we have

$$f(x) = \int f(xy) \, d\varrho(y) = \int {}_x f(y) \, d\varrho(y) = \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} {}_x f(y) \, d\lambda(y).$$

If  $\widetilde{x} \in xh(\mu)$  then

$$f(\widetilde{x}) = \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(x^{-1}\widetilde{x}y) \, d\lambda(y) = \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) \, d\lambda(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) \, d\lambda(y) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} \int_{h(\mu)} x f(y) \, d\lambda(y) \, d\lambda(y) \, d\lambda(y) + \frac{1}{\lambda(h(\mu))} + \frac{1}{\lambda(h($$

This means that f is constant on cosets of  $h(\mu)$ , and (a) is proved. Here we notice that  $\Sigma_{\rm d}(P_{\mu}) = \Sigma_{\rm d}(P_{\bar{\mu}}) = \Sigma_{\rm t}(P_{\mu})$ . Since  $\lambda(h(\mu))$  is finite, the deterministic part is the whole group.

To prove (b) we must show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||P^n_{\mu}f||_2 = 0$  for any  $f \in L^2(\lambda)$  satisfying

$$\int_{gh(\mu)} f \, d\lambda = 0 \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

We notice that the above condition is equivalent to  $P_{\varrho}f = 0$ . Now,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|P_{\mu}^{n}f\|_{2}^{2} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int P_{\mu}^{*n} P_{\mu}^{n}f \cdot f \, d\lambda = \int P_{\varrho}f \cdot f \, d\lambda = 0. \quad \bullet$$

COROLLARY 1. For any adapted probability measure  $\mu$  on a noncompact group  $G \in SIN$  there exists a decomposition

$$L^{2}(\lambda) = E_{0} \oplus L^{2}(G, \Sigma_{d}(P_{\mu}), \lambda),$$

where  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|P^n_{\mu}f\|_2 = 0$  for all  $f \in E_0$ , and if nontrivial, then  $(L^2(G, \Sigma_d(P_{\mu}), \lambda), P_{\mu})$  is isomorphic to the bilateral shift  $(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}), \sigma)$ .

In the above decomposition it may happen that  $E_0 = L^2(\lambda)$  ( $\mu$  is scattered) or that  $E_0$  is trivial ( $G = \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\mu = \delta_1$ ).

### REFERENCES

- [AB] M. A. Akcoglu and D. Boivin, Approximation of L<sub>p</sub>-contractions by isometries, Canad. Math. Bull. 32 (1989), 360-364.
- [B1] W. Bartoszek, On the asymptotic behaviour of positive linear operators, Notices South African Math. Soc. 25 (1993), 48-78.
- [B2] -, On concentration functions on discrete groups, Ann. Probab. 22 (1994).
- [B3] -, On concentrated probabilities, Ann. Polon. Math. 61 (1995), 25–38.
- [B4] -, On convolution powers on semidirect products, Israel J. Math. (1995), to appear.
- [C]I. Csiszár, On infinite products of random elements and infinite convolutions of probability distributions on locally compact groups, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 5 (1966), 279-295.
- [DL1]Y. Derriennic et M. Lin, Sur le comportement asymptotique de puissances de convolution d'une probabilité, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré 20 (1984), 127-132.
- [DL2]-, -, Convergence of iterates of averages of certain operator representations and convolution powers, J. Funct. Anal. 85 (1989), 86-102.
  - [E]P. Eisele, On shifted convolution powers of a probability measure, Math. Z. 211 (1992), 557-574.
  - [F] S. R. Foguel, The Ergodic Theory of Markov Processes, Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1969.
- [HT]G. Hansel and J. P. Troallic, On a class of weakly periodic mappings, Semigroup Forum 41 (1990), 357-372.
- [HR] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, Abstract Harmonic Analysis I, Springer, 1963.
- [H]H. Heyer, Probability Measures on Locally Compact Groups, Springer, 1977.
- [KL] U. Krengel and M. Lin, On the deterministic and asymptotic  $\sigma$ -algebras of a Markov operator, Canad. Math. Bull. 32 (1) (1989), 64–73.
- R. Rębowski, Convergence of iterates of averages of group representations, [R]Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 33 (1993), 453-461.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AFRICA TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WROCŁAW P.O. BOX 392 WYBRZEŻE WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27 PRETORIA 0001. SOUTH AFRICA E-mail: BARTOWK@RISC5.UNISA.AC.ZA

50-370 WROCŁAW, POLAND E-mail: REBOWSKI@PLWRTU11.BITNET

Reçu par la Rédaction le 5.7.1993; en version modifiée le 21.7.1994