ANNALES POLONICI MATHEMATICI LXV.3 (1997)

Classifications and existence of nonoscillatory solutions of second order nonlinear neutral differential equations

by WANTONG LI (Zhangye)

Abstract. A class of neutral nonlinear differential equations is studied. Various classifications of their eventually positive solutions are given. Necessary and/or sufficient conditions are then derived for the existence of these eventually positive solutions. The derivations are based on two fixed point theorems as well as the method of successive approximations.

1. Introduction. Neutral nonlinear differential equations of the form

(1)
$$(r(t)(x(t) - p(t)x(t - \tau))')' + f(t, x(t - \delta)) = 0$$

have been studied by a number of authors [2]–[5]. In particular, Lu in [4] studied the equation

$$(x(t) - p(t)x(t - \tau))'' + f(t, x(t - \delta)) = 0$$

and obtained classifications of its nonoscillatory solutions as well as necessary and/or sufficient conditions for their existence.

Here, we will assume that τ is a positive number, δ is a nonnegative number, $p(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $0 \leq p(t) \leq p < 1$ for $t \geq t_0$ and $r(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$. We further assume that for any $t \geq t_0$, f is continuous as a function of $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and xf(t, x) > 0 for $x \neq 0$, $t \geq t_0$.

In this paper we consider the classification of all nonoscillatory solutions of (1) in the cases

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} \, du < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} \, du = \infty$$

and give necessary and/or sufficient conditions for their existence.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 34C15.

Key words and phrases: oscillation, neutral nonlinear differential equation, asymptotic behavior.

Supported by the Science Foundation of Gansu Province of China for the Young.

^[283]

W. T. Li

Hereafter, the term solution of (1) is always used to denote a real function x(t) satisfying (1) for which $\sup_{t \ge t_1} |x(t)| > 0$ for any $t_1 \ge t_0$. We assume that (1) always has such solutions. A solution of (1) is called nonoscillatory if it is eventually positive or eventually negative. Otherwise it is called oscillatory. Equation (1) is called oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory. We use the functions R(s, t) and R(s) defined by

$$R(s,t) = \int_{s}^{t} \frac{1}{r(u)} du \quad \text{and} \quad R(s) = \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} du$$

where $s \ge t_0$. We also let $R_0 = \lim_{t\to\infty} R(t_0, t)$.

We shall say that *condition* (H) is met if the following hold:

(H₁) xf(t,x) > 0 for $x \neq 0$, $t \ge t_0$, and $f(t,x_1) \ge f(t,x_2)$ for $x_1 \ge x_2 > 0$ or $x_1 \le x_2 < 0$, $t \ge t_0$;

(H₂) $0 \le p(t) for <math>t \ge t_0$.

Let x(t) be a solution of (1). We will define a function y(t) associated with x(t) by

(2)
$$y(t) = x(t) - p(t)x(t - \tau), \quad t \ge t_0$$

Note that if x(t) is eventually positive, then the fact that

$$(r(t)y'(t))' = -f(n, x(t-\tau)) < 0$$

for all large t implies that y'(t) is of constant positive or constant negative sign eventually. This fact, in turn, implies that y(t) is eventually positive or eventually negative.

In the following sections, we first give some lemmas which are interesting in their own right and then discuss the existence and asymptotic behavior of nonoscillatory solutions of the differential equation (1) for $R_0 < \infty$ and $R_0 = \infty$ respectively.

2. Preparatory lemmas. In this section, we will give some lemmas which are important in proving our results in Sections 3 and 4.

LEMMA 1. Suppose that (H) holds. Let x(t) be an eventually positive (negative) solution of (1) and let y(t) be defined by (2). Then y'(t) is eventually of constant sign.

LEMMA 2. Suppose that (H) holds. Let x(t) be an eventually positive (negative) solution of (1) and let y(t) be defined by (2).

(i) If $R_0 = \infty$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$, then y(t) is eventually increasing (decreasing) and negative (positive) and $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$.

(ii) If $\limsup_{t\to\infty} x(t) > 0$ (resp. $\limsup_{t\to\infty} (-x(t)) > 0$), then y(t) is eventually positive (respectively negative).

Proof. (i) Let x(t) be an eventually positive solution of (1). If $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$, then $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$. Suppose to the contrary that y(t) > 0 for all large t. Then y'(t) < 0 for all large t. From (r(t)y'(t))' < 0, we obtain

(3)
$$y(t) \le y(s) + r(s)y'(s) \int_{s}^{t} \frac{1}{r(u)} du = y(s) + r(s)y'(s)R(s,t)$$

for $t \geq s$, where $s \geq t_0$ such that x(t) > 0 for $t \geq s$. Since r(t)y'(t) is eventually decreasing, we can choose t_1 so large that $r(t_1)y'(t_1) < 0$, thus, $r(s)y'(s) < r(t_1)y'(t_1) = -a_1$ for $s \geq t_1$. Therefore

(4)
$$y(t) \le y(s) - a_1 R(s, t), \quad a_1 > 0.$$

If $R_0 = \infty$, taking the limit as $t \to \infty$ on both sides of the last inequality and in view of $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$, we see that

$$y(s) \ge \infty,$$

which is a contradiction.

(ii) Let x(t) be an eventually positive solution of (1) and $\limsup_{t\to\infty} x(t) > 0$. Then y(t) > 0. If not, we have y(t) < 0 for all large t. If x(t) is unbounded, then there exists a sequence $\{t_k\}$ which tends to infinity and is such that

$$x(t_k) = \max_{t \le t_k} x(t)$$

and $\lim_{k\to\infty} x(t_k) = \infty$. Then, from (2), we have

$$y(t_k) = x(t_k) - p(t_k)x(t_k - \tau) \ge x(t_k)(1 - p)x(t_k)$$

From the above inequality we obtain $\lim_{k\to\infty} y(t_k) = \infty$. This is a contradiction. If x(t) is bounded, then there is a sequence $\{t_k\}$ which tends to infinity and is such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x(t_k) = \limsup_{t \to \infty} x(t) = L > 0.$$

Since $\lim_{k\to\infty} x(t_k - \tau) \leq L$, we have

$$0 \ge \lim_{k \to \infty} y(t_k) \ge L(1-p) > 0.$$

This is also a contradiction and the proof is complete.

LEMMA 3. Suppose that (H) holds. Let x(t) be an eventually positive (negative) solution of (1) and let y(t) be defined by (2). If $R_0 = \infty$, then y'(t) > 0 (respectively y'(t) < 0) for all large t.

Proof. Suppose x(t) is an eventually positive solution of (1) and let y(t) be defined by (2). We assert that y'(t) > 0 for all large t. If $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$,

in view of part (i) of Lemma 2, the conclusion holds. If $\limsup_{t\to\infty} x(t) > 0$, by part (ii) of Lemma 2, we have y(t) > 0 for all large t. Suppose to the contrary that y'(t) is eventually negative. Note that in view of (1), $(r(t)y'(t))' = -f(t, x(t-\delta)) < 0$ for all large t. Thus there is $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that y(t) > 0, y'(t) < 0 and (r(t)y'(t))' < 0 for all $t \ge t_1$. Then

$$y(t) \le y(t_1) + r(t_1)y'(t_1) \int_{t_1}^t \frac{1}{r(s)} ds \to -\infty,$$

which is a contradiction. The proof is complete.

The following lemma is independent of equation (1), and is obtained by Lu in [4].

LEMMA 4. Suppose that $0 \le p(t) \le p < 1$, x(t) > 0 (resp. x(t) < 0) and y(t) is defined by (2).

(i) If $\lim_{t\to\infty} p(t) = p_0$ (with $0 \le p_0 < 1$) and $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = a$ (with $|a| < \infty$), then $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = a/(1-p_0)$.

(ii) If $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = \infty$ (resp. $-\infty$), then $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = \infty$ (resp. $-\infty$).

3. The case $R_0 < \infty$. We have already remarked that if x(t) is an eventually positive solution of (1), then y(t) and y'(t) are also of constant sign eventually. These sign regularities provide additional asymptotic information as will be seen in the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 5. Suppose that (H) holds. If x(t) is a nonoscillatory solution of (1), then y(t) defined by (2) is eventually increasing or decreasing and $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = L$ exists, where L is a finite constant.

Proof. Suppose x(t) is an eventually positive solution of (1). If $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$, then $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$. If $\limsup_{t\to\infty} x(t) > 0$, by part (ii) of Lemma 2, we have y(t) > 0 for all large t. Thus, there exists $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that $x(t-\delta) > 0$ and y(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_1$. It follows from (1) that (r(t)y'(t))' < 0, that is,

(5)
$$y(t) < y(s) + r(s)y'(s)\int_{s}^{t} \frac{du}{r(u)}$$

for $t \ge s$, $s \ge t_1$. If there exists a $t_2 \ge t_1$ such that $y'(t_2) \le 0$, then it follows from (5) that y(t) < y(s) for $t > s \ge t_2$. This means that y(t) is eventually decreasing. If y(t) is eventually decreasing, it follows from y(t) > 0 that $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = L$ exists and $|L| < \infty$. If there does not exist an $s \ge t_1$ such that $y'(s) \le 0$, then y'(s) > 0 for all $s \ge t_1$. This means y(t) is eventually increasing. From (5), we have

(6)
$$y(t) < y(s) + r(s)y'(s)R(s,t).$$

Since $R_0 < \infty$ and y'(t) > 0, we see that y(t) is bounded. Therefore $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = L$ exists and $|L| < \infty$.

Similarly, we can discuss the case when x(t) is an eventually negative solution of (1). The proof is complete.

LEMMA 6. Suppose that (H) holds. If x(t) is a nonoscillatory solution of (1) and y(t) is defined by (2), then there exist two positive constants a_1 , a_2 and $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that either

$$a_1 R(t) \le y(t) \le a_2$$
 or $-a_2 \le y(t) \le -a_1 R(t)$

for all $t \geq t_1$.

Proof. Let x(t) be an eventually positive solution of (1). By Lemma 1, y(t) is eventually of constant sign. We have four cases to consider:

(i) y(t) > 0 and y'(t) > 0 eventually;

(ii) y(t) > 0 and y'(t) < 0 eventually;

(iii) y(t) < 0 and y'(t) > 0 eventually;

(iv) y(t) < 0 and y'(t) < 0 eventually.

We shall only consider cases (i) and (ii) in detail, since the other two cases are similar.

(i) If y(t) is eventually increasing, then (6) holds. From Lemma 5, there exists a positive constant a_2 such that $y(t) \leq a_2$. Since we are assuming y(t) is positive and increasing and since $R(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, there exists a positive constant a_1 and $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $y(t) \geq a_1 R(t)$ for all $t \geq t_1$.

(ii) If y(t) is eventually decreasing, then, from (6),

$$y(s) \ge y(t) - r(s)y'(s)R(s,t)$$

By Lemma 5, $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = L \ge 0$. Taking the limit as $t \to \infty$ on both sides of the last inequality, we can see that

$$y(s) \ge L - r(s)y'(s)R(s).$$

Since r(t)y'(t) is eventually decreasing, we can choose t_1 so large that $r(t_1)y'(t_1) < 0$; then $r(s)y'(s) \le r(t_1)y'(t_1) = -a_1$ for all $s \ge t_1$. Therefore

$$y(s) \ge a_1 R(s)$$

for $s \ge t_1$, where $a_1 > 0$ is independent of s. Changing s to t, we can see that

$$y(t) \ge a_1 R(t), \quad a_1 > 0$$

for $t \geq t_1$.

Similarly, we can prove the case when x(t) is an eventually negative solution of (1). This completes the proof.

The following result is one of the main classification theorems.

THEOREM 1. Suppose that (H) holds and that $\lim_{t\to\infty} p(t) = p_0$, where $0 \le p_0 < 1$. Then any nonoscillatory solution of (1) must belong to one of the following four types:

$$\begin{split} S(b,a,c): \quad x(t) \to b &= \frac{a}{1-p_0} \neq 0, \quad y(t) \to a \neq 0, \\ r(t)y'(t) \to c \quad (t \to \infty), \\ S(b,a,\infty): \quad x(t) \to b &= \frac{a}{1-p_0} \neq 0, \quad y(t) \to a \neq 0, \\ r(t)y'(t) \to \pm \infty \quad (t \to \infty), \\ S(0,0,c): \quad x(t) \to 0, \quad y(t) \to 0, \quad r(t)y'(t) \to c \neq 0 \quad (t \to \infty), \\ S(0,0,\infty): \quad x(t) \to 0, \quad y(t) \to 0, \quad r(t)y'(t) \to \pm \infty \quad (t \to \infty), \end{split}$$

where a, b, c are some finite constants.

Proof. Suppose that x(t) is a nonoscillatory solution of (1). By Lemmas 1 and 5, y(t) is eventually of constant sign and $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = L$, where L is a finite constant. So there are only two possibilities: $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = a \neq 0$ or $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$, where a is a finite constant. According to Lemma 4, $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = b \neq 0$ or $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$.

In addition, by our assumption that xf(t,x) > 0 for $x \neq 0$ we see from (1) that r(t)y'(t) is eventually decreasing or increasing. Again there are only two possibilities: $\lim_{t\to\infty} r(t)y'(t) = c$ or $\lim_{t\to\infty} r(t)y'(t) = \pm\infty$, where c is a finite constant.

From the above discussion, we see that x(t) must belong to one of the four types as stated, except that we have not yet shown that for the case S(0,0,c), the constant $c \neq 0$. We do this next.

Suppose x(t) is a nonoscillatory solution of (1) which belongs to S(0, 0, c), that is, $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$, and $\lim_{t\to\infty} r(t)y'(t) = c$. Then $c \neq 0$. In fact, consider functions y(t) and R(t). From our assumption, it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} R(t) = 0, \quad R'(t) < 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{y'(t)}{R'(t)} = \lim_{t \to \infty} -r(t)y'(t) = -c.$$

By l'Hopital's theorem, $\lim_{t\to\infty} (y(t)/R(t))$ exists, and

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{y(t)}{R(t)} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{y'(t)}{R'(t)} = -c.$$

If y(t) is eventually positive, then, by Lemma 6, there exist two positive

288

constants a_1 and a_2 such that $a_1R(t) \leq y(t) \leq a_2$. Thus $y(t)/R(t) \geq a_1$; that is, $a_1 \leq -c$. It follows that $c \neq 0$. If y(t) is eventually negative, then by Lemma 6, there exist two positive constants a_1 and a_2 such that $-a_2 \leq$ $y(t) \leq -a_1R(t)$. Thus $y(t)/R(t) \leq -a_1$, which means $-c \leq -a_1$. Once more it follows that $c \neq 0$, which completes the proof.

Next we derive two existence theorems.

THEOREM 2. Assume that (H) holds and $\lim_{t\to\infty} (R(t-\tau)/R(t)) = 1$. A necessary and sufficient condition for (1) to have a nonoscillatory solution x(t) which belongs to S(0,0,c) is that

(7)
$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} |f(t,\lambda R(t-\delta))| \, dt < \infty$$

for some $\lambda \neq 0$.

Proof. Necessity. Let x(t) be any nonoscillatory positive solution of (1) which belongs to S(0,0,c), i.e., $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} r(t)y'(t) = c \neq 0$. Without loss of generality we may assume that c < 0. Since r(t)y'(t) is monotone, there exist two positive constants λ_1, λ_2 and $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $-\lambda_1 \leq r(t)y'(t) \leq -\lambda_2$ for $t \geq t_1$. It follows that

$$-\lambda_1 R(t,s) \le y(s) - y(t) \le -\lambda_2 R(t,s)$$

for s > t, $t \ge t_1$. Let $s \to \infty$; then $-\lambda_1 R(t) \le -y(t) \le -\lambda_2 R(t)$. That is, $\lambda_2 R(t) \le y(t) \le \lambda_1 R(t)$. On the other hand, by (1),

$$r(t)y'(t) = r(t_1)y'(t_1) - \int_{t_1}^t f(s, x(s-\delta)) \, ds$$

Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} r(t)y'(t) = c < 0$, we have

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} |f(s, x(s-\delta))| \, ds < \infty.$$

Furthermore, since (H) holds, by $y(t) \leq x(t)$, we have

$$f(s, x(s-\delta)) \ge f(s, y(s-\delta)) \ge f(s, \lambda_2 R(s-\delta)).$$

This means that

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} |f(s, \lambda_2 R(s-\delta))| \, ds < \infty.$$

Sufficiency. Suppose that (7) holds for $\lambda > 0$. A similar argument can be applied if $\lambda < 0$. Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} (R(t-\tau)/R(t)) = 1$, we may choose p < A < 1

W. T. Li

and $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that $p(t)R(t-\tau)/R(t) \le A$ and

(8)
$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} f(s, \lambda R(s-\tau)) \, ds < a(1-A),$$

where $a = \lambda/2$. Consider the equation

(9)
$$x(t) = p(t)x(t-\tau) + R(t) \Big[(1-A)a + \int_{t_1}^t f(s, x(s-\delta)) \, ds \Big] \\ + \int_t^\infty R(s)f(s, x(s-\delta)) \, ds$$

for $t \ge t_1 + \max\{\delta, \tau\}$. It is easy to see that a solution of (9) must also be a solution of (1). We shall show that (9) has a nonoscillatory solution x(t)which belongs to S(0, 0, c) by means of the method of successive approximations. Consider the sequence $\{x^k(t)\}$ of successive approximating sequences defined as follows:

$$x^{1}(t) = 0,$$
 $t_{0} \le t \le t_{1},$
 $x^{i+1}(t) = (Fx^{i})(t),$ $t \ge t_{1}, i = 1, 2, \dots$

,

where F is defined by

(10)
$$(Fx)(t) = p(t)x(t-\tau) + R(t) \Big[(1-A)a + \int_{t_1}^{t} f(s, x(s-\delta)) ds \Big]$$

 $+ \int_{t}^{\infty} R(s)f(s, x(s-\delta)) ds$

for $t \ge t_1 + \max\{\delta, \tau\}$, and $(Fx)(t) = (Fx)(t_1 + \max\{\delta, \tau\})$ for $t_1 \le t \le t_1 + \max\{\delta, \tau\}$. In view of (H₁), it is easy to see that

$$0 \le x^{i}(t) \le x^{i+1}(t), \quad t \ge t_1, \ i = 1, 2, \dots$$

On the other hand,

$$x^{2}(t) = (Fx^{1})(t) = a(1-A)R(t) \le 2aR(t), \quad t \ge t_{1},$$

and inductively, we have

$$(Fx^{i})(t) \leq 2p(t)aR(t-\tau) + (1-A)aR(t) + (1-A)aR(t)$$

$$\leq 2AaR(t) + 2(1-A)aR(t) = 2aR(t)$$

for $i \ge 2$. Thus, by means of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we see that Fx = x. Furthermore, it is clear that x(t), and hence its associated function y(t), converges to zero (since R(t) does). Finally, in view of (9), we

see that

$$-(1-A)a > r(t)y'(t) = -(1-A)a - \int_{t_1}^t f(s, x(s-\delta)) ds$$

> $-(1-A)a - \int_{t_1}^t f(s, \lambda R(s-\delta)) ds$
> $-(1-A)a - (1-A)a = -2(1-A)a,$

which implies $\lim_{t\to\infty} r(t)y'(t) = c \neq 0$ as required. The proof is complete.

THEOREM 3. Assume that (H) holds and that $\lim_{t\to\infty} p(t) = p_0$, where $0 \le p_0 < 1$. A necessary and sufficient condition for (1) to have a nonoscillatory solution x(t) which belongs to S(b, a, c) or $S(b, a, \infty)$ is that

(11)
$$\int_{t_2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{t_1}^{s} |f(u,\lambda)| \, du \, ds < \infty$$

for some $\lambda \neq 0$ and $t_2 \geq t_1 \geq t_0$.

Proof. Necessity. Let x(t) be any nonoscillatory positive solution of (1) such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = b > 0$. By (2), we have $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = a = b(1-p_0) > 0$, which means that y(t) is eventually positive and monotonically tends to a. Thus there exist two positive constants c_1 , c_2 and $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that $c_1 \le y(t) \le c_2$ for $t \ge t_1$. It follows from (1) that

$$y(t) = y(s) + r(t_1)y'(t_1)\int_{s}^{t} \frac{1}{r(u)} du - \int_{s}^{t} \frac{1}{r(u)}\int_{t_1}^{u} f(v, x(v-\delta)) dv du.$$

Taking the limit as $t \to \infty$ on both sides of the last equality, we obtain

$$a = y(s) + r(t_1)y'(t_1) \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} du - \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{t_1}^{u} f(v, x(v - \delta)) dv du.$$

This means that

(12)
$$0 \le \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{t_1}^{u} f(v, x(v-\delta)) \, dv \, du < \infty.$$

By (H), we have $f(t, c_1) \leq f(t, y(t-\delta)) \leq f(t, x(t-\delta))$. It follows from (12) that

$$\int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{t_1}^{u} f(v, c_1) \, dv \, du < \infty.$$

Sufficiency. Suppose that (11) holds for $\lambda > 0$. A similar argument can

be applied if $\lambda < 0$. Choose $t_1 \ge t_0$ so large that

(13)
$$\int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{t_{1}}^{u} f(v, \lambda) \, dv \, du < (1-p)a,$$

where $a = \lambda/2$. Consider the equation

(14)
$$x(t) = p(t)x(t-\tau) + (1-p)a + \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{t_1}^{u} f(v, x(v-\delta)) \, dv \, du$$

It is easy to verify that a solution of (14) must also be a solution of (1). Consider the Banach space Φ of all bounded real functions x(t) with norm $\sup_{t \ge t_1} |x(t)|$, endowed with the usual pointwise ordering \le : for $x, y \in \Phi$, $x \le y$ is equivalent to $x(t) \le y(t)$ for $t \ge t_1$. Then Φ is partially ordered. Define a subset Ω of Φ as follows:

$$\Omega = \{ x \in \Phi : (1-p)a \le x(t) \le 2a, \ t \ge t_1 \}.$$

For any subset $B \subset \Omega$, it is obvious that $\inf B \in \Omega$ and $\sup B \in \Omega$. We also define an operator $F : \Omega \to \Phi$ as

$$(Fx)(t) = p(t)x(t-\tau) + (1-p)a + \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{t_1}^{u} f(v, x(v-\delta)) \, dv \, du, \quad t \ge t_1.$$

The mapping F satisfies the assumptions of Knaster's fixed point theorem [1]. Namely, it satisfies the following:

(i) F maps Ω into itself. Indeed, if $x \in \Omega$, by (13), we have

 $(1-p)a \le (Fx)(t) \le 2p(t)a + (1-p)a + (1-p)a \le 2pa + 2(1-p)a = 2a.$

(ii) By (H), F is nondecreasing. That is, for any $x, y \in \Omega$, $x \leq y$ implies that $Fx \leq Fy$.

By Knaster's fixed point theorem [1], there exists an $x \in \Omega$ such that Fx = x, that is, x(t) is a nonoscillatory solution of (1) which belongs to S(b, a, c) or $S(b, a, \infty)$. Note that $b \neq 0$. Since $x \in \Omega$ and by (2) and Lemma 4, $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = a$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = a/(1-p_0) = b \neq 0$ must exist. This completes the proof.

4. The case $R_0 = \infty$. When $R_0 = \infty$, the asymptotic behaviors of the nonoscillatory solutions of (1) are quite different from those obtained in Section 3.

LEMMA 7. Suppose that (H) holds. If x(t) is an eventually positive (negative) solution of (1) and $\limsup_{t\to\infty} x(t) > 0$ (resp. $\limsup_{t\to\infty} (-x(t)) > 0$), then there exist two positive constants a_1, a_2 and $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that the function y(t) defined by (2) is monotonically increasing (decreasing) and

(15) $a_1 \le y(t) \le a_2 R(t_1, t)$ (resp. $-a_2 R(t_1, t) \le y(t) \le -a_1$) for all $t \ge t_1$.

Proof. Let x(t) be an eventually positive solution of (1) and $\limsup_{t\to\infty} x(t) > 0$. Then, by part (ii) of Lemma 2, we have y(t) > 0eventually. Thus, there exists $s \ge t_0$ such that x(t) > 0 and y(t) > 0 for all $t \ge s$. It follows from (1) that (r(t)y'(t))' < 0 and thus r(t)y'(t) is eventually decreasing. By Lemma 3, and in view of $R_0 = \infty$, we have y'(t) > 0eventually. Thus, y(t) is eventually increasing and r(t)y'(t) is positive. By (3) we then see that (15) holds. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 4. Suppose that (H) holds and $\lim_{t\to\infty} p(t) = p_0$, where $0 \le p_0 < 1$. Then any nonoscillatory solution of (1) must belong to one of the following five types:

$$\begin{array}{lll} S(0,0,0)\colon & x(t) \to 0, \quad y(t) \to 0, \quad r(t)y'(t) \to 0 \quad (t \to \infty), \\ S(0,0,c)\colon & x(t) \to 0, \quad y(t) \to 0, \quad r(t)y'(t) \to c \neq 0 \quad (t \to \infty), \\ S(b,a,0)\colon & x(t) \to b = \frac{a}{1-p_0} \neq 0, \quad y(t) \to a \neq 0, \\ & r(t)y'(t) \to 0 \quad (t \to \infty), \\ S(\infty,\infty,c)\colon & x(t) \to \infty, \quad y(t) \to \infty, \quad r(t)y'(t) \to c \neq 0 \quad (t \to \infty), \\ S(\infty,\infty,0)\colon & x(t) \to \infty, \quad y(t) \to \infty, \quad r(t)y'(t) \to 0 \quad (t \to \infty), \end{array}$$

where a, b, c are some finite constants.

Proof. For any nonoscillatory solution of (1), without loss of generality, we may suppose that x(t) is an eventually positive solution. If $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$, then, by part (i) of Lemma 2, $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$ and y'(t) > 0 for all large t. Since (r(t)y'(t))' < 0 for all large t, we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} r(t)y'(t) = c \ge 0.$$

If $\limsup_{t\to\infty} x(t) > 0$, in view of part (ii) of Lemma 2, we have y(t) > 0 for all large t. By Lemma 7, we know that y(t) is eventually increasing, and r(t)y'(t) is positive and decreasing. Thus there exist only the following possibilities:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = a, \quad 0 < a < \infty, \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = \infty,$$

and

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} r(t)y'(t) = c \ge 0$$

Since r(t)y'(t) is eventually decreasing and $\lim_{t\to\infty} r(t)y'(t) = c$, we have

$$r(t)y'(t) \ge c$$
, i.e., $y'(t) \ge \frac{c}{r(t)}$

for all large t. Integrating the inequality, we obtain

(16)
$$y(t) \ge y(s) + c \int_{s}^{t} \frac{1}{r(u)} du.$$

If c > 0 and $R_0 = \infty$, it follows that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = \infty.$$

By Lemma 4, we have $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = \infty$. If c = 0 and $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = a$ (resp. ∞), then, by Lemma 4, it follows that $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = b = a/(1-p_0)$ (resp. ∞). Therefore x(t) must belong to one of the five types as stated. The proof is complete.

Next we derive several existence criteria for the nonoscillatory solutions of (1).

THEOREM 5. Assume that (H) holds and $\lim_{t\to\infty} p(t) = p_0$, where $0 \le p_0 < 1$. A necessary and sufficient condition for (1) to have a nonoscillatory solution which belongs to S(b, a, 0) is that

(17)
$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{u}^{\infty} |f(v,\lambda)| \, dv \, du < \infty$$

for some $\lambda \neq 0$.

Proof. Necessity. Let x(t) be a nonoscillatory solution of (1) which belongs to S(b, a, 0), i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = b, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = a, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} r(t)y'(t) = 0$$

Without loss of generality, we may suppose that b > 0. By (2), it follows that $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = a = b(1-p_0) > 0$. Then there exist two positive constants c_1, c_2 and $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that

(18)
$$c_1 \le y(t) \le c_2 \quad \text{for } t \ge t_1.$$

On the other hand, by (1),

(19)
$$r(t)y'(t) = r(s)y'(s) - \int_{s}^{t} f(u, x(u-\delta)) \, du$$

for $t \ge s \ge t_1$. After taking the limit as $t \to \infty$ on both sides of (19) and using $\lim_{t\to\infty} r(t)y'(t) = 0$, we obtain

(20)
$$r(s)y'(s) = \int_{s}^{\infty} f(u, x(u-\delta)) \, du$$

for $s \ge t_1$. Now it follows from (20) that

(21)
$$y(s) = y(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^s \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_u^\infty f(v, x(v-\delta)) \, dv \, du$$

for $s \ge t_1$. Let $s \to \infty$. Then

$$a = y(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{u}^{\infty} f(v, x(v - \delta)) \, dv \, du.$$

Therefore

(22)
$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{u}^{\infty} f(v, x(v-\delta)) \, dv \, du < \infty.$$

By (H), $f(t, c_1) \le f(t, y(t - \delta)) \le f(t, x(t - \delta))$, so (17) holds.

Sufficiency. Without loss of generality we may assume that (17) holds for $\lambda > 0$. Then there exists $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{u}^{\infty} f(v, \lambda) \, dv \, du < (1-p)a,$$

where $a = \lambda/2$. Now consider the equation

(23)
$$x(t) = p(t)x(t-\tau) + (1-p)a + \int_{t_1}^t \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_u^\infty f(v, x(v-\delta)) \, dv \, du$$

for $t \ge t_1$. Consider the Banach space Φ of all bounded real functions x(t) with norm $\sup_{t\ge t_1} |x(t)|$, endowed with the usual pointwise ordering \le : for $x, y \in \Phi, x \le y$ is equivalent to $x(t) \le y(t)$ for $t \ge t_1$. Then Φ is partially ordered. Define a subset Ω of Φ as follows:

$$\Omega = \{ x \in \Phi : (1 - p)a \le x(t) \le 2a, \ t \ge t_1 \}.$$

If $x(t) \in \Phi$, let also

$$(Fx)(t) = p(t)x(t-\tau) + (1-p)a + \int_{t_1}^t \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_u^\infty f(v, x(v-\delta)) \, dv \, du, \quad t \ge t_1.$$

Then, by using Knaster's fixed point theorem and Lemma 7, we can show that there exists a nonoscillatory solution of (23), and thus of (1), which belongs to S(b, a, 0). We omit the details. The proof is complete.

THEOREM 6. Assume that (H) holds. A necessary and sufficient condition for (1) to have a nonoscillatory solution which belongs to $S(\infty, \infty, c)$ is that

(24)
$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} |f(u,\lambda R(t_1,u-\delta))| \, du < \infty$$

for some $\lambda \neq 0$ and $t_1 \geq t_0$.

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that x(t) is an eventually positive solution of (1) which belongs to $S(\infty, \infty, c)$. That is,

(25)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = \infty, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = \infty, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} r(t)y'(t) = c > 0.$$

Then it follows from (15) and (16) that there exist two positive constants c_1, c_2 and $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that

(26)
$$c_1 R(t_1, t) \le y(t) \le c_2 R(t_1, t)$$

for $t \ge t_1$. On the other hand, by (1),

(27)
$$r(t)y'(t) = r(t_1)y'(t_1) - \int_{t_1}^t f(u, x(u-\delta)) \, du.$$

Let $t \to \infty$ on both sides of (27); we obtain

(28)
$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} |f(u, x(u-\delta))| \, du < \infty.$$

Since (H) holds, $f(t, c_1R(t_1, t - \delta)) \leq f(t, y(t - \delta)) \leq f(t, x(t - \delta))$ and we can conclude from (28) that (24) holds for some $\lambda \neq 0$ and $t_1 \geq t_0$.

Sufficiency. Without loss of generality, we may assume that (24) holds for $\lambda > 0$ and $t_1 \ge t_0$. Then there exists $t_2 \ge t_1$ such that

$$\int_{t_2}^{\infty} f(u, \lambda R(t_1, u - \delta)) \, du < (1 - p)a,$$

where $a = \lambda/2$. Now consider the equation

(29)
$$x(t) = p(t)x(t-\tau) + (1-p)aR(t_1,t) + \int_{t_2}^{t} R(t_2,u)f(u,x(u-\delta)) du + R(t_1,t) \int_{t_1}^{\infty} f(u,x(u-\delta)) du$$

for $t \ge t_2$. We introduce the Banach space Φ of all bounded real functions x(t) which satisfy

$$\sup_{t \ge t_2} \frac{|x(t)|}{R(t_1, t)} < \infty,$$

with the norm

$$||x|| = \sup_{t \ge t_2} \frac{|x(t)|}{R(t_1, t)}.$$

 Φ is considered to be endowed with the usual pointwise ordering \leq : for $x, y \in \Phi, x \leq y$ is equivalent to $x(t) \leq y(t)$ for $t \geq t_2$. Then Φ is partially ordered. Define a subset Ω of Φ and an operator $F : \Omega \to \Phi$ as follows:

$$\Omega = \{ x \in \Phi : (1-p)aR(t_1, t) \le x(t) \le 2aR(t_1, t), \ t \ge t_2 \},\$$

and for $x(t) \in \Phi$,

$$(Fx)(t) = p(t)x(t - \tau) + (1 - p)aR(t_1, t) + \int_{t_2}^t R(t_2, u)f(u, x(u - \delta)) du + R(t_1, t) \int_t^\infty f(u, x(u - \delta)) du, \quad t \ge t_2.$$

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 3, we can show that there exists a nonoscillatory solution of (29), and hence also of (1), which belongs to $S(\infty, \infty, c)$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 7. Assume that (H) holds. A sufficient condition for (1) to have a nonoscillatory solution which belongs to $S(\infty, \infty, 0)$ is that

(30)
$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} R(t_0, u) |f(u, \lambda_1 R(t_1, u - \delta))| \, du = \infty$$

and

(31)
$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} |f(u,\lambda_2 R(t_1,u-\delta))| \, du < \infty$$

for some λ_1, λ_2 such that $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 > 0$ and $t_1 \ge t_0$.

~

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$. Take $t_2 \ge t_1$ such that for $t \ge t_2$,

(32)
$$\frac{\lambda_1}{R(t_1,t)\lambda_2} + p(t) + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \int_{t_2}^{\infty} f(u,\lambda_2 R(t_1,u-\delta)) \, du < 1.$$

Define an operator F as

$$(Fx)(t) = \frac{\lambda_1}{R(t_1, t)} + \frac{R(t_1, t - \tau)}{R(t_1, t)} p(t) x(t - \tau) + \frac{1}{R(t_1, t)} \int_{t_1}^t R(t_1, u) f(u, R(t_1, u - \delta) x(u - \delta)) du + \int_t^\infty f(u, R(t_1, u - \delta) x(u - \delta)) du, \quad t \ge t_2,$$

and

 $(Fx)(t) = Fx(t_2), \quad t_1 \le t \le t_2,$

and let $x^{1}(t) = 0$, $x^{i+1}(t) = (Fx^{i})(t)$, $t \ge t_{1}$, i = 1, 2, ... By (H) and induction, it is easy to see that $0 \le x^{i}(t) \le x^{i+1}(t)$, $t \ge t_{1}$, i = 1, 2, ... On the other hand, $x^{2}(t) \le \lambda_{2}$, $t \ge t_{1}$. It follows from (32) that

(33)
$$x^{i+1}(t) \leq \lambda_2 \left[\frac{\lambda_1}{R(t_1, t)\lambda_2} + p(t) + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \int_{t_2}^{\infty} f(u, \lambda_2 R(t_1, u - \delta)) \, du \right] \leq \lambda_2.$$

By induction, we have $x^i(t) \leq \lambda_2$ for $t \geq t_1, i = 1, 2, \dots$ Let

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} x^i(t) = x^*(t), \quad t \ge t_2.$$

Using Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$x^*(t) = (Fx^*)(t), \quad t \ge t_1$$

By (32) and (33), it is easy to see that

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{R(t_1,t)} \le x^*(t) \le \lambda_2.$$

Set

$$z(t) = R(t_1, t)x^*(t), \quad t \ge t_1.$$

Then we have $\lambda_1 \leq z(t) \leq \lambda_2 R(t_1, t)$ and

$$z(t) = \lambda_1 + p(t)z(t-\tau) + \int_{t_1}^t R(t_1, u)f(u, z(u-\delta)) \, du + R(t_1, t) \int_t^\infty f(u, z(u-\delta)) \, du, \quad t \ge t_2.$$

Again set

$$w(t) = z(t) - p(t)z(t - \tau);$$

298

then, by (30), we have $\lim_{t\to\infty} w(t) = \infty$. From part (ii) of Lemma 2, it follows that $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) = \infty$. Since

$$r(t)w'(t) = \int_{t}^{\infty} f(u, z(u - \delta)) \, du,$$

it follows that $\lim_{t\to\infty} r(t)w'(t) = 0$. This means z(t) is a positive solution of (1) that belongs to $S(\infty, \infty, 0)$, which completes the proof.

THEOREM 8. Assume that (H) holds, that $|p(t)-p(s)| \leq K|t-s|$ and that r(t) is nondecreasing. Furthermore, suppose that there exist $K_1 > K_2 > 0$ such that

(34)
$$p(t)e^{K_1\tau} > 1 \ge p(t)e^{K_2\tau},$$

and for large t_1 and $t \ge t_1$,

(35)
$$(p(t)e^{K_1\tau} - 1)e^{-K_1t} \ge \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_u^\infty f(v, e^{-K_2(v-\delta)}) \, dv \, du.$$

Then (1) has a nonoscillatory solution $x(t) \in S(0,0,0)$.

Proof. Set

$$\Omega = \{x \in C[0,\infty) : e^{-K_1 t} \le x(t) \le e^{-K_2 t}, |x(t) - x(s)| \le L|t - s|, t \ge s \ge t_0\},$$

where $L \ge \max\{K, K_1\}$. It is easy to show that Ω is nonempty, bounded, convex and closed in Φ defined to be all bounded real functions x(t) with norm $\sup_{t\ge t_0}|x(t)|$.

Define an operator F on Ω as

(36)
$$(Fx)(t) = \begin{cases} p(t)x(t-\tau) - \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{u}^{\infty} f(v, x(v-\delta)) \, dv \, du, \quad t \ge t_2 \\ \exp\left(\frac{\ln((Fx)(t_2))}{t_2}t\right), \quad t_0 \le t \le t_2, \end{cases}$$

where $t_2 \ge t_1$, and for given α , $p < \alpha < 1$, let

(37)
$$\frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{t_2}^{\infty} f(s, e^{-K_2(s-\delta)}) \, ds \le (\alpha - p(t))L, \quad t \ge t_2,$$

and

(38)
$$\alpha + e^{-K_2(t-\tau)} \le 1, \quad t \ge t_2.$$

The mapping F satisfies the assumptions of Schauder's fixed point theorem. Namely, it satisfies the following:

(i) F maps Ω into Ω . For any $x \in S$, by (34) and (35) we obtain

$$(Fx)(t) \le p(t)x(t-\tau) \le p(t)\exp(-K_2(t-\tau)) \le \exp(-K_2t),$$

W. T. Li

$$\begin{aligned} (Fx)(t) &\geq p(t) \exp(-K_1(t-\tau)) - \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_u^\infty f(v, \exp(-K_2(v-\delta))) \, dv \, du \\ &= \exp(-K_1 t) + (p(t) \exp(K_1 \tau) - 1) \exp(-K_1 t) \\ &- \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_u^\infty f(v, \exp(-K_2(v-\delta))) \, dv \, du \\ &\geq \exp(-K_1 t), \end{aligned}$$

and so

(39)
$$K_2 \le \frac{-\ln((Fx)(t_2))}{t_2} \le K_1.$$

For any $s \ge t \ge t_2$, by (37) and (38) we get

$$|(Fx)(s) - (Fx)(t)| \leq \left[(p(s) + \exp(-K_2(t-\tau)))L + \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_t^\infty f(u, \exp(-K_2(u-\delta))) \, du \right] |s-t|$$

$$\leq \left[\exp(-K_2(t-\tau)) + \alpha \right] L |s-t| \leq L |s-t|,$$

and for $t_0 \leq t \leq s \leq t_2$,

$$|(Fx)(s) - (Fx)(t)| = \left| \exp\left(\frac{\ln((Fx)(t_2))}{t_2}s\right) - \exp\left(\frac{\ln((Fx)(t_2))}{t_2}t\right) \right| \le L|s-t|.$$

Hence, F maps Ω into Ω .

(ii) F is continuous. Let $x^i(t)\in \varOmega$ such that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \|x^i - x\| = 0.$$

Since Ω is closed, $x \in \Omega$. Then, by (36), we get

$$\begin{split} |(Fx^{i})(t) - (Fx)(t)| \\ &\leq p(t) ||x^{i} - x|| + \int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{u}^{\infty} |f(v, x^{i}(v - \delta)) - f(v, x(v - \delta))| \, dv \, du \\ &\leq p ||x^{i} - x|| + \int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{u}^{\infty} |f(v, x^{i}(v - \delta)) - f(v, x(v - \delta))| \, dv \, du. \end{split}$$

By the continuity of f and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, it follows that

(40)
$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \sup_{t \ge t_2} |(Fx^i)(t) - (Fx)(t)| = 0.$$

300

We can easily show that

(41)
$$\sup_{t_0 \le t \le t_2} |(Fx^i)(t) - (Fx)(t)| \le |\ln((Fx^i)(t_2)) - \ln((Fx)(t_2))|.$$

I

Using (40) and (41), it is easy to show that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \|Fx^i - Fx\| = 0.$$

(iii) $F(\Omega)$ is precompact. Let $x \in \Omega$ and $s, t \ge t_2$. Then by (37) we have, for s > t,

$$\begin{split} |(Fx)(t) - (Fx)(s)| &= \left| p(t)x(t-\tau) - p(s)x(s-\tau) \right. \\ &+ \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{u}^{\infty} f(v, x(v-\delta)) \, dv \, du \\ &- \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{u}^{\infty} f(v, x(v-\delta)) \, dv \, du \right| \\ &\leq |p(t)x(t-\tau) - p(s)x(s-\tau)| \\ &+ \left| \int_{s}^{t} \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{u}^{\infty} f(s, x(v-\delta)) \, dv \, du \right| \\ &\leq |p(t)x(t-\tau) - p(s)x(s-\tau)| \\ &+ \left| \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{u}^{\infty} f(s, x(v-\delta)) \, dv \, du \right| \\ &\leq 2e^{-K_{2}(t-\tau)} + (p(t)e^{K_{1}\tau} - 1)e^{-K_{1}t}. \end{split}$$

Since $e^{-K_1t} \to 0$ and $e^{-K_2(t-\tau)} \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, we conclude from the above inequalities that, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $t_3 \ge t_2$ such that for all $x \in \Omega$, and $s, t \ge t_3$,

$$|(Fx)(t) - (Fx)(s)| < \varepsilon.$$

This means that $F(\Omega)$ is relatively compact in the topology of the Fréchet space $C[t_0, \infty)$.

Similarly to Theorem 2 in [4] and using Schauder's fixed point theorem we can conclude that there exists an $x \in \Omega$ such that x = Fx. That is, x(t)is a positive solution of (36) and thus also of (1). Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$, by Lemma 2, it follows that $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} y'(t) = 0$. The proof is complete.

We close this paper by remarking that it would not be difficult to extend all the results in this paper to an equation whose nonlinear term has the form

$$f(t, x(t-\delta_1), \ldots, x(t-\delta_m)).$$

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Professor Sui Sun Cheng for his assistance.

References

- [1] I. Gyori and G. Ladas, Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations with Applications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.
- H. J. Li and W. L. Liu, Oscillations of second order neutral differential equations, Math. Comput. Modelling 22 (1995), 45–53.
- [3] —, —, Oscillation criteria for second order neutral differential equations, preprint.
- [4] W. D. Lu, Existence and asymptotic behavior of nonoscillatory solutions of second order nonlinear neutral equations, Acta Math. Sinica 36 (1993), 476–484.
- J. Ruan, Types and criteria of nonoscillatory solutions of second order linear neutral differential equations, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A 8 (1987), 114–124.

Department of Mathematics Zhangye Teachers' College Zhangye, Gansu 734000 P.R. China

Reçu par la Rédaction le 19.6.1996