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#### Abstract

By applying the Hamiltonian reduction technique we derive a matrix first order differential equation that yields the classical $r$-matrices of the elliptic (Euler-) Calogero-Moser systems as well as their degenerations.


1. Introduction. The $r$-matrix of the elliptic Calogero-Moser (CM) model was first found in [1, 2] by direct computations. In [3] the same $r$-matrix was obtained from the $r$-matrix of the Euler-Calogero-Moser (ECM) model by the Hamiltonian reduction. In this lecture we derive, following [4], a new matrix first order differential equation on an unknown linear operator $r$ acting on the space $\mathcal{F}$ of $\operatorname{sl}(n, \mathbf{C})$-valued functions on a torus $\Sigma_{\tau}$ with a modular parameter $\tau$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=[r(X), D]-k \bar{\partial} r(X)+Q(X) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ and $Q$ are constant diagonal matrices, $X=X(z, \bar{z}) \in \mathcal{F}$, and $k$ is a number. We show that its solution $r$ obeying a specific boundary condition is precisely the $r$-matrix of the elliptic CM model with a spectral parameter. The trigonometric and rational $r$ matrices correspond to degenerations of this equation when $\Sigma_{\tau}$ degenerates into a circle and into a point respectively. We observe that choosing another boundary condition one also gets the $r$-matrix of the elliptic ECM model [3] and its degenerations. In this sense eq.(1) can be treated as the generating equation for the family of dynamical $r$-matrices related to the CM systems.

The main tool we use to deduce (1) is the Hamiltonian reduction technique ${ }^{1}$. A hamiltonian action of a group $G$ with a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ on a symplectic manifold $\mathcal{P}$ gives rise to a moment map $\mu: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{*}, \mathcal{G}^{*}$ is the dual to $\mathcal{G}$ with respect to a pairing $<,>$. Let

[^0]$\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{G}^{*}$ and $G_{\mathcal{J}} \subset G$ be the isotropy subgroup of $\mathcal{J}$ under the coadjoint action. Then a quotient $\mathcal{P}_{\text {red }}=\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{J}) / G_{\mathcal{J}}$ admits under some natural assumptions a symplectic structure [5]. The rational and trigonometric CM models are obtained according to this scheme by reducing the geodesic motions on the cotangent bundles of semi-simple Lie groups [6]. Their $L$-operators arise as the matrix functions of coordinates on the reduced phase space $\mathcal{P}_{\text {red }}$, while the Lax representation - as the equation of motion on $\mathcal{P}_{\text {red }}$. As usual $r$-matrices are defined by computing the Poisson bracket of two $L$-operators and arranging it in the form $\left\{L_{1}, L_{2}\right\}=\left[r_{12}, L_{1}\right]-\left[r_{21}, L_{2}\right]$, where $L_{1}=L \otimes 1, L_{2}=1 \otimes L$. The computation of the Poisson bracket can be considerably simplified by using the Dirac bracket construction. According to [7], the Poisson bracket on the reduced phase space can be presented in the following convenient form
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{f, h\}_{\text {red }}=\{f, h\}-<\mathcal{J},\left[V_{f}, V_{h}\right]> \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $f, h$ are functions on $\mathcal{P}$ whose restrictions on $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{J})$ are $G_{\mathcal{J}}$-invariant and $V_{f}$ denotes the solution of $\left\langle\mathcal{J},\left[X, V_{f}\right]\right\rangle=\xi_{X} f$, where $\xi_{X}$ is the hamiltonian vector field generated by $X \in \mathcal{G}$.

The elliptic CM model with the spectral parameter can be obtained starting from an infinite-dimensional phase space. Namely, the Hamiltonian reduction procedure runs as follows $[8,9]$. The infinite-dimensional phase space $\mathcal{P}$ is parametrized by the set $p=(\phi, c ; A, k)$, where $\phi, A \in \mathcal{F}, c, k \in \mathbf{C}$ and is equipped with the standard Poisson (symplectic) structure:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{f, h\}=\int_{\Sigma_{\tau}} d \bar{z} d z \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\delta f}{\delta \phi} \frac{\delta h}{\delta A}-\frac{\delta f}{\delta A} \frac{\delta h}{\delta \phi}\right)+\frac{\delta f}{\delta c} \frac{\delta h}{\delta k}-\frac{\delta f}{\delta k} \frac{\delta h}{\delta c} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

With a pairing

$$
\begin{equation*}
<(A, k),(\phi, c)>=\int_{\Sigma_{\tau}} d \bar{z} d z \operatorname{tr}(A \phi)+k c \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathcal{P}$ can be identified with the cotangent bundle over the centrally extended $s l$ current algebra $(\phi, c)$ on $\Sigma_{\tau}$. The current group $\Sigma_{\tau} \times S L(n)$ acts on $\mathcal{P}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
(\phi(z, \bar{z}), c) & \rightarrow\left(f(z, \bar{z}) \phi(z, \bar{z}) f^{-1}(z, \bar{z}), \quad c+\int_{\Sigma_{\tau}} d \bar{\eta} d \eta \operatorname{tr} \phi A\right)  \tag{5}\\
(A(z, \bar{z}), k) & \rightarrow\left(f(z, \bar{z}) A(z, \bar{z}) f^{-1}(z, \bar{z})-k \bar{\partial} f(z, \bar{z}) f^{-1}(z, \bar{z}), \quad k\right) . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

This action preserves the Poisson structure (3). The moment map is fixed to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(p)=k \bar{\partial} \phi+[A, \phi]=\mathcal{J}, \quad \mathcal{J}=\nu J \delta(z, \bar{z}) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ denotes some element of the coadjoint $s l$ orbit to be specified later (Sec.3) and $\nu$ is a coupling constant. Explicitly, $\mathcal{P}_{\text {red }}$ is described by a pair $(L, D)$, where $D$ is a constant diagonal matrix and $L$ is a solution of (7) with $A=D . L$ appears to be the $L$-operator of the elliptic CM system.
2. Generating equation. To start with we note that generically an element $A \in \mathcal{F}$ is gauge equivalent to a complex constant diagonal matrix $D(A)$ [9], i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=g(A) D(A) g(A)^{-1}-k \bar{\partial} g(A) g(A)^{-1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This matrix is defined up to the action of the elliptic affine Weyl group. Indeed, the gauge transformation with $f(z)=e^{2 \pi i \frac{\overline{\bar{z} z-w \bar{z}}}{\tau-\bar{\tau}}}$, where $w=\operatorname{diag}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right), s_{i}=m_{i}+\tau n_{i}$, $m_{i}, n_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}, \operatorname{tr} w=0$ leads to the substitution $D(A) \rightarrow D(A)+\frac{2 \pi i k}{\tau-\bar{\tau}} w$. We fix $D(A)$ by choosing the fundamental Weyl chamber. The factor $g(A)$ is not uniquely defined. An element $\tilde{g}(A)=g(A) t$, where a diagonal matrix $t=t(z)$ is an entire function, also satisfies (8). Requiring $\tilde{g}(A)$ to be doubly periodic, we get that $t(z)$ is a constant matrix, i.e. an element of a maximal torus $T$ of $S L(n)$. We also normalize $g$ as $g(D)=1$.

Let us assign to any $X \in \mathcal{F}$ a function $F_{X}$ on $\mathcal{P}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{X}(p)=<\phi, g(A) X g(A)^{-1}> \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(A)$ is some solution of (8). According to the choice (7), $G_{\mathcal{J}}$ acting on the surface $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{J})$ coincides with a group of smooth mappings $\left\{g: \Sigma_{\tau} \rightarrow S L(n), g(0) \in H\right\}$, where $H$ is the isotropy group of $J$. Now assume $J$ to be such that $H \cap T=0$. In this case there is no ambiguity in the choice of $g(A)$ for $A$ restricted to $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{J})$, i.e. on this surface $F_{X}$ is well defined.

Functions $F_{X}, X \in \mathcal{F}$ are of interest due to their specific properties. The first one is that $F_{X}$ is invariant with respect to (5),(6) with $g \in G_{\mathcal{J}}$, i.e. it can be viewed as a genuine function on the reduced phase space $\mathcal{P}_{\text {red }}$. The second property is that if we parametrize $\mathcal{P}_{\text {red }}$ by a pair $(L, D)$, then owing to the normalization condition $g(D)=1 F_{X}$ restricted to $\mathcal{P}_{\text {red }}$ coincides with a matrix element $\langle L, X\rangle$ of the $L$-operator. Thus, we can use (2) for $F_{X}$ and $F_{Y}$ to compute the Poisson bracket between the matrix elements of the $L$-operator. The calculations are straightforward. Let $f \circ A$ be a shorthand for the gauge transformation (6). Introducing a derivative $\nabla_{X} g(A)=\frac{d}{d t} g\left(e^{t X} \circ A\right)_{\mid t=0}$ of $g(A)$ at the point $A$ along an orbit of gauge transformations, we find how the hamiltonian vector field $\xi_{X}$ generated by $X$ acts on $F_{Z}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{X} F_{Z}=\frac{d}{d t}<e^{t X} \phi e^{-t X}, g\left(e^{t X} \circ A\right) Z g\left(e^{t X} \circ A\right)^{-1}>_{\mid t=0} \\
= & <\phi, g(A)\left[g(A)^{-1} \nabla_{X} g(A)-g(A)^{-1} X g(A), Z\right] g(A)^{-1}>,
\end{aligned}
$$

On $\mathcal{P}_{\text {red }}$ this formula takes the form $\xi_{X} F_{Z}=<L,\left[\nabla_{X} g(D)-X, Z\right]>$ and it provides the second term in (2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\mathcal{J},\left[V_{F_{X}}, V_{F_{Y}}\right]>=<L,\left[\nabla_{V_{F_{X}}} g(D)-V_{F_{X}}, Y\right]> \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the bracket $\left\{F_{X}, F_{Y}\right\}_{r e d}$ we first calculate the quantities $\frac{\delta F_{X}}{\delta A_{i j}(\eta, \bar{\eta})}$ and $\frac{\delta F_{X}}{\delta \phi_{i j}(\eta, \bar{\eta})}$ and after substituting them into (3), we combine $\left\{F_{X}, F_{Y}\right\}$ with (10) according to (2). In this way we prove that there exists a linear operator $r: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(X)(z, \bar{z})=\sum_{i j} \int_{\Sigma_{\tau}} d \bar{\eta} d \eta X_{i j}(\eta, \bar{\eta}) \frac{\delta g(A)}{\delta A_{i j}(\eta, \bar{\eta})}(z, \bar{z})-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{V_{F_{X}}} g(D)-V_{F_{X}}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and such that the Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{P}_{\text {red }}$ acquires the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{F_{X}, F_{Y}\right\}_{\text {red }}=<L,[r(X), Y]+[X, r(Y)]> \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that formula (11) reminds the one derived in [7] for the $r$-matrix of the trigonometric CM system without a spectral parameter.

In contrast to the Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{P}_{\text {red }}, r$-matrix (11) depends on the extension of $F_{X}$ in the vicinity of $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{J})$. We extend $F_{X}$ in a way as to get the simplest form of $r$. To this end we assume that vector $J$ is such that $\operatorname{sl}(n)$ decomposes into the direct sum $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ are the Lie algebras of $H$ and $T$ respectively and $\mathcal{C}$ is an orthogonal to $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{T}$ with respect to the Killing metric. We also assume that $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are two Lagrangian subspaces of the nondegenerate two-form $\omega(X, Y)=J([X, Y])$ defined on $\mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{C}$. These restrictions on $J$ are similar to that in the finite-dimensional case [6, 7] and will be justified in the next section.

Recall that $g(A)(z, \bar{z})=e^{X(z, \bar{z})}$ is defined up to the right multiplication by an element of $T$. We remove this ambiguity by choosing $X(z, \bar{z})$ to obey a boundary condition $X(0) \in$ $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{C}$. In addition, if $A \in \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{J})$, then $X(0) \in \mathcal{H}$.

Having fixed $g(A)$, we find that $\nabla_{X} g(D)(z, \bar{z})=(P X)(z, \bar{z})$, where $P: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a unit operator at all points of $\Sigma_{\tau}$ except zero and it projects $X(0)$ on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{C}$ parallel to $\mathcal{T}$. For $X$ such that $X(0) \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{C}$ due to the singularity of $\mathcal{J}$ eq.(10) reduces to $0=<L,\left[\nabla_{X} g(D)-X, Y\right]>=J\left(\left[X(0), V_{F_{Y}}(0)\right]\right)$. With our choice of $J$ we conclude that $V_{F_{Y}}(0) \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{C}$ for any $Y$. Hence, defining $g(A)$ as described above, we get from (11) the following $r$-matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(X)(z, \bar{z})=\sum_{i j} \int_{\Sigma_{\tau}} d \bar{\eta} d \eta X_{i j}(\eta, \bar{\eta}) \frac{\delta g(A)}{\delta A_{i j}(\eta, \bar{\eta})}(z, \bar{z}) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula has a transparent geometric meaning. Defining a time evolution of the field $A(t)$ as: $A(0)=D$ and $\left.\frac{d A}{d t}\right|_{t=0}=X$, one has $r(X)=\frac{d}{d t} g(A(t))_{\left.\right|_{t=0}}$. Since eq.(8) is valid for any $t$, i.e.

$$
A(t)=g(A)(t) D(A)(t) g(A)(t)^{-1}-k \bar{\partial} g(A)(t) g(A)(t)^{-1}
$$

we differentiate it with respect to $t$ and put $t=0$. The result is equation (1), where $Q=\frac{d}{d t} D_{\left.\right|_{t=0}}$. For any smooth function $X(z, \bar{z}) \in \mathcal{F}$ it has a unique solution $r(X)$ obeying the boundary condition $r(X)(0) \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{C}$. From (1) we also read off that the $r$-matrix is dynamical $[10,11]$ since it depends on $D$ accumulating the coordinates on $\mathcal{P}_{\text {red }}$. We refer to (1) as to the factorization problem for $s l$ connection. Hence, by construction the $r$-matrix of the elliptic CM model is defined as a unique solution of the factorization problem for $s l$ connection obeying some specific boundary condition.
3. Elliptic $r$-matrix. In this section we show how to solve (1). To this end we first analyze an equation on $\Sigma_{\tau}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} \mathcal{E}(z, \bar{z})=\delta(z, \bar{z}) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the vicinity of the origin eq.(14) defines a meromorphic function with a first order pole with the residue $1 / 2 \pi i$. We define a solution of (14) as a meromorphic function having simple poles at the points of the period lattice $\mathbf{Z} \tau_{1}+\mathbf{Z} \tau_{2}\left(\tau_{1}=1, \tau_{2}=\tau\right)$ with residues $1 / 2 \pi i$ and satisfying the quasiperiodicity condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}\left(z+\tau_{k}\right)=\mathcal{E}(z)+C_{\tau_{k}}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{\tau_{k}}$ are complex numbers. Note that $\mathcal{E}(z)$ can not be doubly periodic since there is no elliptic functions with first order poles. The difference of two solutions $\mathcal{E}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ of
(14) is a holomorphic but non-periodic function $\psi$ (poles and residues of $\mathcal{E}$ 's coincide) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(z+\tau_{k}\right)=\psi(z)+\delta_{k}, \quad \delta_{k}=C_{\tau_{k}}-\tilde{C}_{\tau_{k}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that the numbers $C_{k}$ are not arbitrary. They obey Legendre's identity $C_{1} \tau_{2}-$ $C_{2} \tau_{1}=1$, which originates from integrating $\mathcal{E}(z)$ around the pole at the origin [13]. Therefore, we get $\delta_{1} \tau_{2}-\delta_{2} \tau_{1}=0$. The only holomorphic function obeying (16) with $\delta_{k}$ constrained as above is $\psi(z)=\alpha z+\beta, \alpha=\delta_{1}, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$. Hence, any two solutions of (14) are related as $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(z)=\mathcal{E}(z)+\alpha z+\beta$. The Weierstrass zeta-function $\zeta(z)=$ $\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n, m \in \mathbf{Z}}\left(\frac{1}{z-\omega_{n m}}+\frac{1}{\omega_{n m}}+\frac{z}{\omega_{n m}^{2}}\right), \quad \omega_{n m}=n \tau_{1}+m \tau_{2}$, satisfies the properties listed above and therefore represents a peculiar solution of (14). Thus, we conclude that any meromorphic function $\mathcal{E}(z)$ with only simple poles at the points of the period lattice $\mathbf{Z} \tau_{1}+\mathbf{Z} \tau_{2}$ with the residues $1 / 2 \pi i$ and obeying (15) is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \zeta(z)+\alpha z+\beta \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\beta=0$ these functions are odd $\mathcal{E}(-z)=-\mathcal{E}(z)$.
Introduce the notation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\sum_{i j} x_{i j}(z, \bar{z}) e_{i j}, \quad Y=r(X)=\sum_{i j} y_{i j}(z, \bar{z}) e_{i j}, \quad D=\sum_{i} d_{i} e_{i i}, \quad Q=\sum_{i} q_{i} e_{i i} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{i j}$ are the matrix unities, then eq.(1) is equivalent to the system

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\partial} y_{i i} & =\frac{1}{k} t_{i}  \tag{19}\\
\bar{\partial} y_{i j}+\frac{d_{i j}}{k} y_{i j} & =-\frac{1}{k} x_{i j}, \quad i \neq j \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t_{i}(z, \bar{z})=q_{i}-x_{i i}(z, \bar{z})$ and $d_{i j}=d_{i}-d_{j}$.
By forming the convolution of the fundamental solution $\mathcal{E}(z)$ with the r.h.s. of (19) we restore the diagonal part $Y_{\text {diag }}$ of $Y$ up to a constant matrix $h \in \mathcal{T}: Y_{\text {diag }}(z, \bar{z})=$ $\sum_{i} \int_{\Sigma_{\tau}} \frac{d \bar{\eta} d \eta}{k} \mathcal{E}(z-\eta) t_{i}(\eta, \bar{\eta})+h$. Requiring $Y$ to be doubly periodic, we determine the unknown matrix $Q$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{1}{2 i \Sigma_{\tau}} \int_{\Sigma_{\tau}} d \bar{\eta} d \eta \quad x_{i i}(\eta, \bar{\eta}) e_{i i} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

To solve eq.(20) by a similar device we need a doubly periodic solutions $\mathcal{E}_{i j}$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} \mathcal{E}_{i j}(z, \bar{z})+\frac{d_{i j}}{k} \mathcal{E}_{i j}(z, \bar{z})=\delta(z, \bar{z}), \quad i \neq j \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq.(22) coincides in essence with the one defining the $L$-operator of the elliptic CM model and it has a unique doubly periodic solution given by [9]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{i j}(z, \bar{z})=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} e^{\frac{d_{i j}}{k}(z-\bar{z})} \frac{\sigma\left(z+\frac{d_{i j}}{\pi k} \operatorname{Im} \tau\right)}{\sigma(z) \sigma\left(\frac{d_{i j}}{\pi k} \operatorname{Im} \tau\right)} \equiv w_{i j}(-z,-\bar{z}), \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma(z)$ is the Weierstrass sigma-function.

Combining all the pieces together we can write a general solution $Y(z)$ of eq.(1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=h+\int_{\Sigma_{\tau}} \frac{d \bar{\eta} d \eta}{k}\left(\mathcal{E}(z-\eta) \sum_{i} t_{i}(\eta, \bar{\eta}) e_{i i}-\sum_{i \neq j} w_{i j}(\eta-z, \bar{\eta}-\bar{z}) x_{i j}(\eta, \bar{\eta}) e_{i j}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point we specify $J$. In the elliptic case [9] one should choose the following representative $J$ on the coadjoint $s l(n)$ orbit

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=1-u \otimes s^{\dagger} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is a vector in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ and $\overline{s_{i}}=1 / u_{i}$. Then eq.(7) defines the following $L$-operator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\sum_{i} p_{i} e_{i i}-\nu \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{u_{i}}{u_{j}} \mathcal{E}(z, \bar{z})_{i j} e_{i j} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The momentum part in $L$ follows from the diagonal part of (7) with $J_{i i}=0$. Later on we point out the connection of (26) with Krichever's $L$-operator [12].

The Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}$ of the isotropy group $H$ of $J$ is determined by $(X \in \mathcal{H})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}\left(s^{\dagger} X\right)_{j}-(X u)_{i} \bar{s}_{j}=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing in (27) $i=j$, one gets $\left(s^{\dagger} X\right)_{i}=\frac{\overline{s_{i}}}{u_{i}}(X u)_{i}$ and thereby (27) reduces to $\frac{(X u)_{i}}{u_{i}}=$ $\frac{(X u)_{j}}{u_{j}}=\lambda$, where $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$. One also has $\left(s^{\dagger} X\right)_{i}=\frac{\overline{s_{i}}}{u_{i}} \lambda u_{i}=\lambda \bar{s}_{i}$. Thus, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\left\{X \in \operatorname{sl}(n, \mathbf{C}): \quad X u=\lambda u, \quad s^{\dagger} X=\lambda s^{\dagger}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C}\right\} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (28) we can read off that $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{T}=0$. Since the real dimension of $\operatorname{sl}(n, \mathbf{C})$ is $2\left(n^{2}-1\right)$ and $\mathcal{H}$ is defined by $4 n-4$ equations, we get $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H}=2\left(n^{2}-1\right)-(4 n-4)=2(n-1)^{2}$.

Decompose $\operatorname{sl}(n)$ into the direct sum $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{C}$, as above. To describe $\mathcal{C}$ explicitly we introduce a matrix $C$

$$
C=z \otimes s^{\dagger}-u \otimes y^{\dagger}
$$

depending on two vectors $z, y \in \mathbf{C}^{n}$. Let $X \in \mathcal{H}$ and $X u=s^{\dagger} X=0$, then $\operatorname{Tr}(X C)=0$ by the cyclic property of the trace. On the other hand, if $X \in s l(n)$ we have $\operatorname{Tr}(X C)=$ $\sum_{i k}\left(z_{i} \overline{s_{k}}-u_{i} \overline{y_{k}}\right) x_{k i}$ and consequently for $C$ to be orthogonal to any $X=\left(x_{i} \delta_{i j}\right) \in \mathcal{T}$, we get $z_{i} \bar{s}_{i}-u_{i} \bar{y}_{i}=\beta$ for any $i$, where $\beta$ is arbitrary. Orthogonality of $\mathcal{H}$ and $C$ also implies: $0=\operatorname{Tr}(J C)=\beta n\left(1-<s^{\dagger}, u>\right)=\beta n(1-n)$ that gives $\beta=0$. Thus, $C \in \mathcal{C}$ if $\overline{y_{i}}=\frac{\overline{s_{i}}}{u_{i}} z_{i}=\frac{1}{u_{i}^{2}} z_{i}$. We put $\sum_{i} \frac{z_{i}}{u_{i}}=0$ to have the correct dimension of $\mathcal{C}: \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{C}=2(n-1)$. Note also that $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ form a pair of complementary Lagrangian subspaces with respect to $\omega(X, Y)=<J,[X, Y]>$ defined on $\mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{C}$.

Now we find that $(C u)_{i}=n z_{i}$ and $\left(s^{\dagger} C\right)_{i}=-\frac{n}{u_{i}^{2}} z_{i}$. This allows us to describe the action of a generic element $X \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{C}$ on $u$ and $s$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& (X u)_{i}=\lambda u_{i}+n z_{i} \\
& \left(s^{\dagger} X\right)_{i}=\frac{\lambda}{u_{i}}-\frac{n z_{i}}{u_{i}^{2}} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Summing up the second lines in (29) and taking into account $\sum \frac{z_{i}}{u_{i}}=0$, we find $\lambda$ : $\lambda=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \frac{(X u)_{i}}{u_{i}}$. Solving (29) for $z_{i}$, we arrive at

Proposition 1. Let $X$ be an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{C}$. Then the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}\left(s^{\dagger} X\right)_{i}+(X u)_{i} \frac{1}{u_{i}}=\frac{2}{n} \sum_{j} \frac{(X u)_{j}}{u_{j}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for any $i$.
We use Proposition 1 to fix an element $h$. To this end we require $Y(0)$ to be an element of $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{C}$. Then by substituting $Y(0)$ in (30) we completely determine $h$ :

$$
h=-\int_{\Sigma_{\tau}} \frac{d \bar{\eta} d \eta}{k} \mathcal{E}(-\eta) \sum_{i} t_{i} e_{i i}+\sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\Sigma_{\tau}} \frac{d \bar{\eta} d \eta}{2 k}\left(\frac{u_{j}}{u_{i}} w_{i j} x_{i j}+\frac{u_{i}}{u_{j}} w_{j i} x_{j i}\right)\left(e_{i i}-\frac{1}{n} I\right) .
$$

Thus, we arrive at
Proposition 2. There is a unique solution $Y(z, \bar{z})$ of eq.(1) obeying the boundary condition $Y(0) \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{C}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y(z, \bar{z})= \sum_{i} \int_{\Sigma_{\tau}} \frac{d \bar{\eta} d \eta}{k}(\mathcal{E}(z-\eta)-\mathcal{E}(-\eta))\left(q_{i}-x_{i i}(\eta, \bar{\eta})\right) e_{i i}  \tag{31}\\
&-\sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\Sigma_{\tau}} \frac{d \bar{\eta} d \eta}{k} w_{i j}(\eta-z, \bar{\eta}-\bar{z}) x_{i j}(\eta, \bar{\eta}) e_{i j} \\
&+\sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\Sigma_{\tau}} \frac{d \bar{\eta} d \eta}{2 k}\left(\frac{u_{j}}{u_{i}} w_{i j}(\eta, \bar{\eta}) x_{i j}(\eta, \bar{\eta})+\frac{u_{i}}{u_{j}} w_{j i}(\eta, \bar{\eta}) x_{j i}(\eta, \bar{\eta})\right)\left(e_{i i}-\frac{1}{n} I\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $q_{i}$ and $w_{i j}$ are given by (21) and (23) respectively.
Using the explicit form (17) of $\mathcal{E}(z)$ and taking into account (21) it is easy to reduce the first line in (31) to

$$
\int_{\Sigma_{\tau}} \frac{d \bar{\eta} d \eta}{2 i k}\left(\frac{\Phi(z, \bar{z})}{\Sigma_{\tau}}-\frac{(\zeta(z-\eta)+\zeta(\eta))}{\pi}\right) \sum_{i} x_{i i}(\eta, \bar{\eta}) e_{i i}
$$

where we have introduced a function $\Phi(z, \bar{z})=\int_{\Sigma_{\tau}} \frac{d \bar{\eta} d \eta}{2 \pi i}(\zeta(z-\eta)+\zeta(\eta))$. Hence, despite $\alpha z+\beta$ enters (17) the solution of (1) does not depend on it. We get from (31) the following

THEOREM 1. The r-matrix corresponding to L-operator (26) is the following $\operatorname{sl}(n) \otimes$ sl(n)-valued function on $\Sigma_{\tau} \times \Sigma_{\tau}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
r(z, \eta)=\left(\frac{\Phi(z, \bar{z})}{2 i k \Sigma_{\tau}}-\frac{\zeta(z-\eta)+\zeta(\eta)}{2 \pi i k}\right) \sum_{i} e_{i i} \otimes e_{i i}-\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i \neq j} w_{i j}(\eta-z, \bar{\eta}-\bar{z}) e_{i j} \otimes e_{j i}(3  \tag{32}\\
+\frac{1}{2 k} \sum_{i \neq j}\left(e_{i i}-\frac{1}{n} I\right) \otimes\left(\frac{u_{j}}{u_{i}} w_{i j}(\eta, \bar{\eta}) e_{j i}+\frac{u_{i}}{u_{j}} w_{j i}(\eta, \bar{\eta}) e_{i j}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

$L$-operator as well as $r$-matrix (32) depend on a vector $u \in \mathbf{C}^{n}$. However, by conjugating $L$ with the matrix $e^{U}, U_{i j}=u_{i} \delta_{i j}$ this dependence may be removed. The corresponding $r$-matrix is given by (32) with all $u_{i}=1$.

Now it is the time to state a connection of (32) with the $r$-matrix of the elliptic CM model found in $[1,2]$. Without loss of generality we can assume that the integration domain $\Sigma_{\tau}$ has the vertices at the points $\pm \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\tau}{2}$. Then by the oddness of $\zeta$-function one
has $\int_{\Sigma_{\tau}} d \eta d \bar{\eta} \zeta(\eta)=0$ and therefore $\Phi(z, \bar{z})$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(z, \bar{z})=\int_{\Sigma_{\tau}} \frac{d \bar{\eta} d \eta}{2 \pi i} \zeta(z-\eta) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq.(33) means that $\Phi(z, \bar{z})$ is a solution of the equation $\bar{\partial} \Phi(z, \bar{z})=1$, i.e. $\Phi(z, \bar{z})=$ $\bar{z}+f(z)$, where $f(z)$ is an entire function. The monodromy properties of $\zeta$ define the ones for $\Phi(z, \bar{z}): \Phi\left(z+\tau_{k},, \bar{z}+\overline{\tau_{k}}\right)=\Phi(z, \bar{z})+\frac{\Sigma_{\tau}}{\pi} C_{\tau_{k}}$. For $f(z)$ this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(z+\tau_{k}\right)-f(z)=\frac{\Sigma_{\tau}}{\pi} C_{\tau_{k}}-\bar{\tau}_{k} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the same lines as above we conclude that the only entire function obeying (34) is $f(z)=\alpha z+\beta$ with $\alpha=\frac{C_{\tau} / \pi-\bar{\tau}}{\tau}$. The constant $\beta$ is equal to zero by the oddness of $\Phi(z)$. Thus, we get for $\Phi(z, \bar{z})$ the following explicit expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(z, \bar{z})=\bar{z}-z+\frac{C_{1}}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \tau z \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [1] Krichever's $L$-operator [12]: $L^{K r}=\sum_{i} p_{i} e_{i i}-\frac{\nu}{2 \pi i} \sum_{i \neq j} G_{i j}(z) e_{i j}$, where $G_{i j}(z)=$ $2 \pi i e^{\frac{d_{i j}}{k} \Phi(z, \bar{z})} \mathcal{E}_{i j}(z, \bar{z})$, was used to find the corresponding $r$-matrix. We see that $L^{K r}$ is related to (26) by the similarity transformation $L^{K r}(z)=W(z, \bar{z}) L(z, \bar{z}) W(z, \bar{z})^{-1}$, with $W(z, \bar{z})=e^{\frac{D}{k} \Phi(z, \bar{z})}$. Calculating $\left\{W_{2}, L_{1}\right\}$ with the help of the canonically conjugated variables $\{P, D\}=\frac{1}{2 i \Sigma_{\tau}} \sum_{i} e_{i i} \otimes e_{i i}, P=\sum_{i} p_{i} e_{i i}$ on $\mathcal{P}_{\text {red }}$, we can show [4] that the $r$-matrix for $L^{K r}$ is just the one found in [1, 2].
4. Trigonometric $r$-matrix. Consider the cotangent bundle to the centrally extended current algebra $S^{1} \rightarrow s u(n)$. It is known [14] that reducing it by the action of the current group $S^{1} \rightarrow S U(n)$ and imposing the moment $\mathcal{J}=J \delta(\varphi)$ with $J=i \nu \sum_{i \neq j}\left(e_{i j}+e_{j i}\right)$ one left with the phase space $(L, D)$ of the trigonometric CM model, where $L$ is the corresponding $L$-operator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\varphi)=i \sum_{i} p_{i} e_{i i}+\frac{\nu}{2 k} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{e^{\frac{i}{k} d_{i j}(\pi-\varphi)}}{\sin \frac{\pi}{k} d_{i j}} e_{i j} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Retracing the same steps as in the elliptic case one gets the following equation on the $r$-matrix (see [4] for details)

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=[Y, D]-k Y^{\prime}+Q, \quad X, Y \in S^{1} \times s u(n), \quad D, Q \in \mathcal{T} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where this time $\mathcal{T}$ is a maximal torus of $s u(n)$. Clearly, eq.(37) may be viewed as a degeneration of (1) that corresponds to a degeneration of $\Sigma_{\tau}$ into a circle. In this section we solve (37) explicitly and thereby recover the $r$-matrix of the trigonometric CM model [11].

The root decomposition of $s u(n)$ elements $X, Y, D$ and $Q$ is given by (18) with coefficients obeying the unitary condition $x_{j i}=-x_{i j}^{*}$, etc. From (37) one finds two equations on diagonal and nondiagonal parts of $Y$ respectively. Imposing the periodicity condition: $Y(0)=Y(2 \pi)$, we reconstruct $Y$ up to an element $h \in \mathcal{T}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(\varphi)=h+\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i j} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi^{\prime} w_{i j}\left(\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right) x_{i j}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right) e_{i j} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i j}\left(\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{i e^{-\frac{i \pi d_{i j}}{k}}}{2 \sin \frac{\pi}{k} d_{i j}}-\theta\left(\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right)\right) e^{-\frac{i d_{i j}}{k}\left(\varphi-\varphi^{\prime}\right)}, \quad w_{i i}\left(\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\varphi}{2 \pi}-\theta\left(\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\theta\left(\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right)$ is the Heviside function.
Since $\operatorname{su}(n)=\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a maximal proper Lie subgroup of $s u(n)$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is an orthogonal to $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{T}$, just as in the elliptic case we can fix $h$ by requiring $Y(0)$ to be an element of $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{C}$. From the results of [7] it follows that this requirement is equivalent to the set of relations $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j} \operatorname{Im} Y_{i j}(0)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \neq j} \operatorname{Im} Y_{i j}(0) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $Y(0)$ in (40), one finds $h$ that makes (40) true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\frac{1}{2 k} \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi^{\prime} w_{i j}\left(0, \varphi^{\prime}\right) x_{i j}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)\left(\left(\frac{1}{n}-e_{i i}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{n}-e_{j j}\right)\right) . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (38) and (41), we finally get
Proposition 3. Eq.(37) has a unique solution $Y(\varphi)$ obeying the constraint $Y(0) \in$ $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{C}$ and it is given by (38) and (41).

As a direct consequence of this lemma we get that $r$-matrix of the trigonometric CM system is the following function on $S^{1} \times S^{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& r\left(\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\varphi-\pi}{2 \pi k} \sum_{i} e_{i i} \otimes e_{i i}+\frac{i}{2 k} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\cos \frac{\pi d_{i j}}{k}}{\sin \frac{\pi d_{i j}}{k}} e^{-i \frac{d_{i j}}{k}\left(\varphi-\varphi^{\prime}\right)} e_{i j} \otimes e_{i j}  \tag{42}\\
& -\frac{1}{2 k} \sum_{i \neq j}\left(e_{i i}-\frac{1}{n}\right) \otimes\left(\frac{e^{-i \frac{d_{i j}}{k}}}{1-e^{-\frac{2 \pi i d_{i j}}{k}}} e_{i j}-\frac{e^{i \frac{d_{i j}}{k}}}{e^{\frac{2 \pi i d_{i j}}{k}}} e_{j i}\right)+\frac{1}{2 k} s\left(\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

In (42) we have introduced a matrix $s$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sum_{i \neq j} e^{-\frac{i d_{i j}}{k}\left(\varphi-\varphi^{\prime}\right)} e_{i j} \otimes e_{j i}+\sum_{i} e_{i i} \otimes e_{i i}\right) \epsilon\left(\varphi-\varphi^{\prime}\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon\left(\varphi-\varphi^{\prime}\right)=\left[1-2 \theta\left(\varphi-\varphi^{\prime}\right)\right]$ is the sign function.
By direct calculations [4] one can prove the following
Proposition 4. Matrix s leads to the trivial Poisson bracket on the reduced phase space, i.e. the following relation is satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[s_{12}\left(\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right), L(\varphi) \otimes I\right]-\left[s_{21}\left(\varphi^{\prime}, \varphi\right), I \otimes L\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)\right]=0 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary. r-matrix of the trigonometric CM model is given by (42) with $s\left(\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right)$ $=0$.

On $\mathcal{P}_{\text {red }}$ the variables $(P, X)$ are canonically conjugated: $\left\{P_{1}, X_{2}\right\}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{i} e_{i i} \otimes e_{i i}$. $L$-operator (36) as well as $r$-matrix (42) depend on the parameter $\varphi$. However, this parameter may be removed by the similarity transformation $L \rightarrow \tilde{L}=Q(\varphi) L(\varphi) Q(\varphi)^{-1}$, where $Q(\varphi)=e^{-\frac{i}{k} X(\pi-\varphi)}$. One can easily show that the $r$-matrix corresponding to the
$\tilde{L}$ coincides with the one first found in [11] and then derived in [7] by the Hamiltonian reduction applied to the cotangent bundle $T^{*} S L(n)$.
5. Concluding remarks. A few remarks are in order. Assuming in (24) $h=0$ and choosing $\mathcal{E}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \zeta(z)$, we find a matrix

$$
r(z, \eta)=\left(\frac{\Phi(z, \bar{z})}{2 i k \Sigma_{\tau}}-\frac{\zeta(z-\eta)}{2 \pi i k}\right) \sum_{i} e_{i i} \otimes e_{i i}-\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i \neq j} w_{i j}(\eta-z, \bar{\eta}-\bar{z}) e_{i j} \otimes e_{j i}
$$

that turns out to be an $r$-matrix for the $L$-operator

$$
L=\sum_{i}\left(p_{i}-f_{i i} \zeta(z)\right) e_{i i}-\nu \sum_{i \neq j} \mathcal{E}_{i j}(z) f_{i j} e_{i j}
$$

of the elliptic ECM model containing the additional dynamical variables $f_{i j}[3]$. Thus, eq.(1) also covers the ECM system being a spin extension of the CM model and gives a suggestion that the ECM $r$-matrix can be obtained by the Hamiltonian reduction.

If $\Sigma_{\tau}$ degenerates into a point, eq.(1) takes the form $X=[r(X), D]+Q$. One can easily show that it defines the $r$-matrices of the rational CM and ECM systems without spectral parameter.

Since eq.(1) is Lie-algebraic it hopefully may be used to find spectral-dependent $r$ matrices for CM models related to the other root systems. We also suppose that the study of possible deformations of eq.(1) is a good starting point to develop the $r$-matrix approach [15] to the Ruijsenaars systems [16] being relativistic extensions of the CM models.
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