

*CESÀRO SUMMABILITY OF ONE- AND TWO-DIMENSIONAL
TRIGONOMETRIC-FOURIER SERIES*

BY

FERENC WEISZ (BUDAPEST)

We introduce p -quasilocal operators and prove that, if a sublinear operator T is p -quasilocal and bounded from L_∞ to L_∞ , then it is also bounded from the classical Hardy space $H_p(\mathbf{T})$ to L_p ($0 < p \leq 1$). As an application it is shown that the maximal operator of the one-parameter Cesàro means of a distribution is bounded from $H_p(\mathbf{T})$ to L_p ($3/4 < p \leq \infty$) and is of weak type (L_1, L_1) . We define the two-dimensional dyadic hybrid Hardy space $H_1^\sharp(\mathbf{T}^2)$ and verify that the maximal operator of the Cesàro means of a two-dimensional function is of weak type $(H_1^\sharp(\mathbf{T}^2), L_1)$. So we deduce that the two-parameter Cesàro means of a function $f \in H_1^\sharp(\mathbf{T}^2) \supset L \log L$ converge a.e. to the function in question.

1. Introduction. It can be found in Zygmund [23] that the Cesàro means $\sigma_n f$ of a function $f \in L_1(\mathbf{T})$ converge a.e. to f as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and that if $f \in L \log^+ L(\mathbf{T}^2)$ then the two-parameter Cesàro summability holds. Analogous results for Walsh–Fourier series are due to Fine [11] and Móricz, Schipp and Wade [15].

The Hardy–Lorentz spaces $H_{p,q}$ of distributions on the unit circle are introduced with the $L_{p,q}$ Lorentz norms of the non-tangential maximal function. Of course, $H_p = H_{p,p}$ are the usual Hardy spaces ($0 < p \leq \infty$).

In the one-dimensional case it is known (see Zygmund [23] and Torchinsky [20]) that the maximal operator of the Cesàro means $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\sigma_n|$ is of weak type (L_1, L_1) , i.e.

$$\sup_{\gamma > 0} \gamma \lambda(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\sigma_n f| > \gamma) \leq C \|f\|_1 \quad (f \in L_1(\mathbf{T}))$$

(for the Walsh case see Schipp [17]). Also, for Walsh–Fourier series, the

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 42A24, 42B08; Secondary 42B30.

Key words and phrases: Hardy spaces, p -atom, atomic decomposition, p -quasilocal operator, interpolation, Cesàro summability.

This research was supported by the Foundation for Hungarian Higher Education and Research.

boundedness of the operator $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\sigma_n|$ from H_p to L_p was shown by Fujii [12] ($p = 1$) and by Weisz [21] ($1/2 < p \leq 1$).

In this paper we generalize these results for trigonometric-Fourier series with the help of the so-called p -quasilocals operators. An operator T is p -quasilocals ($0 < p \leq 1$) if for all p -atoms a the integral of $|Ta|^p$ over $\mathbf{T} \setminus I$ is less than an absolute constant where I is the support of the atom a . We shall verify that a sublinear, p -quasilocals operator T which is bounded from L_∞ to L_∞ is also bounded from H_p to L_p ($0 < p \leq 1$). By interpolation we find that T is bounded from $H_{p,q}$ to $L_{p,q}$ as well ($0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$) and is of weak type (L_1, L_1) .

It will be shown that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\sigma_n|$ is p -quasilocals for each $3/4 < p \leq 1$. Consequently, $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\sigma_n|$ is bounded from $H_{p,q}$ to $L_{p,q}$ for $3/4 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$ and is of weak type (L_1, L_1) . We will extend this result also to (C, β) means.

For two-dimensional trigonometric-Fourier series we will verify that $\sup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} |\sigma_{n,m}|$ is of weak type (H_1^\sharp, L_1) where H_1^\sharp is defined by the L_1 -norm of the two-dimensional hybrid non-tangential maximal function. Recall that $L \log L(\mathbf{T}^2) \subset H_1^\sharp$ (see Zygmund [23]). A usual density argument implies then that $\sigma_{n,m} f \rightarrow f$ a.e. as $\min(n, m) \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $f \in H_1^\sharp$.

2. Preliminaries and notations. For a set $\mathbf{X} \neq \emptyset$ let \mathbf{X}^2 be the Cartesian product $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X}$; moreover, let $\mathbf{T} := [-\pi, \pi)$ and λ be the Lebesgue measure. We also use the notation $|I|$ for the Lebesgue measure of the set I . We briefly write L_p or $L_p(\mathbf{T}^j)$ instead of the real $L_p(\mathbf{T}^j, \lambda)$ space ($j = 1, 2$), and the norm (or quasinorm) of this space is defined by $\|f\|_p := (\int_{\mathbf{T}^j} |f|^p d\lambda)^{1/p}$ ($0 < p \leq \infty$). For simplicity, we assume that for a function $f \in L_1$ we have $\int_{\mathbf{T}} f d\lambda = 0$.

The distribution function of a Lebesgue-measurable function f is defined by

$$\lambda(\{|f| > \gamma\}) := \lambda(\{x : |f(x)| > \gamma\}) \quad (\gamma \geq 0).$$

The weak L_p space L_p^* ($0 < p < \infty$) consists of all measurable functions f for which

$$\|f\|_{L_p^*} := \sup_{\gamma > 0} \gamma \lambda(\{|f| > \gamma\})^{1/p} < \infty;$$

moreover, we set $L_\infty^* = L_\infty$.

The spaces L_p^* are special cases of the more general Lorentz spaces $L_{p,q}$. In their definition another concept is used. For a measurable function f the *non-increasing rearrangement* is defined by

$$\tilde{f}(t) := \inf\{\gamma : \lambda(\{|f| > \gamma\}) \leq t\}.$$

The Lorentz space $L_{p,q}$ is defined as follows: for $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q < \infty$,

$$\|f\|_{p,q} := \left(\int_0^\infty \tilde{f}(t)^q t^{q/p} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}$$

while for $0 < p \leq \infty$,

$$\|f\|_{p,\infty} := \sup_{t>0} t^{1/p} \tilde{f}(t).$$

Let

$$L_{p,q} := L_{p,q}(\mathbf{T}^j, \lambda) := \{f : \|f\|_{p,q} < \infty\} \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

One can show the following equalities:

$$L_{p,p} = L_p, \quad L_{p,\infty} = L_p^* \quad (0 < p \leq \infty)$$

(see e.g. Bennett–Sharpley [1] or Bergh–Löfström [2]).

Let f be a distribution on $C^\infty(\mathbf{T})$ (briefly $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{T}) = \mathcal{D}'$). The n th Fourier coefficient is defined by $\hat{f}(n) := f(e^{-inx})$ where $\iota = \sqrt{-1}$. In the special case when f is an integrable function,

$$\hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(x) e^{-inx} dx.$$

Denote by $s_n f$ the n th partial sum of the Fourier series of a distribution f , namely,

$$s_n f(x) := \sum_{k=-n}^n \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx}.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{D}'$ and $z := re^{ix}$ ($0 < r < 1$) let

$$u(z) = u(re^{ix}) := f * P_r(x)$$

where $*$ denotes the convolution and

$$P_r(x) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|k|} e^{ikx} = \frac{1-r^2}{1+r^2-2r \cos x} \quad (x \in \mathbf{T})$$

is the Poisson kernel. It is easy to show that $u(z)$ is a harmonic function on the unit disc and

$$u(re^{ix}) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k) r^{|k|} e^{ikx}$$

with absolute and uniform convergence (see e.g. Kashin–Saakyan [13], Edwards [8]).

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ be an arbitrary number. We denote by $\Omega_\alpha(x)$ ($x \in \mathbf{T}$) the region bounded by two tangents to the circle $|z| = \alpha$ from e^{ix} and the longer arc of the circle included between the points of tangency. The non-tangential

maximal function is defined by

$$u_\alpha^*(x) := \sup_{z \in \Omega_\alpha(x)} |u(z)| \quad (0 < \alpha < 1).$$

For $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ the *Hardy–Lorentz space* $H_{p,q}(\mathbf{T}) = H_{p,q}$ consists of all distributions f for which $u_\alpha^* \in L_{p,q}$; we set

$$\|f\|_{H_{p,q}} := \|u_{1/2}^*\|_{p,q}.$$

The equivalence $\|u_\alpha^*\|_{p,q} \sim \|u_{1/2}^*\|_{p,q}$ ($0 < p, q < \infty$, $0 < \alpha < 1$) was proved in Burkholder–Gundy–Silverstein [3] and Fefferman–Stein [10]. Note that in case $p = q$ the usual definition of Hardy spaces $H_{p,p} = H_p$ is obtained. For other equivalent definitions we also refer to the previous two papers. It is known that if $f \in H_p$ then $f(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u(re^{2x})$ in the sense of distributions (see Fefferman–Stein [10]). Recall that $L_1 \subset H_{1,\infty}$ and $L \log L \subset H_1$; more exactly,

$$(1) \quad \|f\|_{H_{1,\infty}} = \sup_{\gamma > 0} \gamma \lambda(u_{1/2}^* > \gamma) \leq \|f\|_1 \quad (f \in L_1)$$

and

$$(2) \quad \|f\|_{H_1} \leq C + CE(|f| \log^+ |f|) \quad (f \in L \log L)$$

where $\log^+ u = 1_{\{u > 1\}} \log u$. Moreover, $H_{p,q} \sim L_{p,q}$ for $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ (see Fefferman–Stein [10], Stein [19], Fefferman–Rivière–Sagher [9]).

The following interpolation result concerning Hardy–Lorentz spaces will be used several times in this paper (see Fefferman–Rivière–Sagher [9]).

THEOREM A. *If a sublinear operator T is bounded from H_{p_0} to L_{p_0} and from L_∞ to L_∞ then it is also bounded from $H_{p,q}$ to $L_{p,q}$ if $p_0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$.*

3. Quasiloca operators. The atomic decomposition is a useful characterization of Hardy spaces. To demonstrate this let us introduce first the concept of an atom. A *generalized interval* on \mathbf{T} is either an interval $I \subset \mathbf{T}$ or $I = [-\pi, x) \cup [y, \pi)$. A bounded measurable function a is a *p-atom* if there exists a generalized interval I such that

- (i) $\int_I a(x)x^\alpha dx = 0$ where $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \leq [1/p - 1]$, the integer part of $1/p - 1$,
- (ii) $\|a\|_\infty \leq |I|^{-1/p}$,
- (iii) $\{a \neq 0\} \subset I$.

The basic result on the atomic decomposition is stated as follows (see Coifman [4], Coifman–Weiss [5] and also Weisz [22]).

THEOREM B. *A distribution f is in H_p ($0 < p \leq 1$) if and only if there exist a sequence $(a_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$ of p -atoms and a sequence $(\mu_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$ of real*

numbers such that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k a_k &= f \quad \text{in the sense of distributions,} \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the following equivalence of norms holds:

$$(4) \quad \|f\|_{H_p} \sim \inf \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

where the infimum is taken over all decompositions of f of the form (3).

Motivated by the definition in Móricz–Schipp–Wade [15] we introduce the quasilocal operators. Their definition is weakened and extended here.

An operator T which maps the set of distributions into the collection of measurable functions will be called p -quasilocal if there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{T} \setminus 4I} |Ta|^p d\lambda \leq C_p$$

for every p -atom a where I is the support of the atom and $4I$ is the generalized interval with the same center as I and with length $4|I|$.

The quasilocal operators were defined in [15] only for $p = 1$ and for L_1 functions instead of atoms.

The following result gives sufficient conditions for T to be bounded from H_p to L_p . For the sake of completeness it is verified here.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that the operator T is sublinear and p -quasilocal for some $0 < p \leq 1$. If T is bounded from L_∞ to L_∞ then*

$$\|Tf\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_{H_p} \quad (f \in H_p).$$

Proof. Suppose that a is a p -atom with support I . By the p -quasilocality and L_∞ boundedness of T we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |Ta|^p d\lambda &= \int_{4I} |Ta|^p d\lambda + \int_{\mathbf{T} \setminus 4I} |Ta|^p d\lambda \\ &\leq \|T\|_\infty^p \|a\|_\infty^p 4|I| + C_p = C_p \end{aligned}$$

where the symbol C_p may denote different constants in different contexts. Applying Theorem B, we get

$$\|Tf\|_p^p \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p \|Ta_k\|_p^p \leq C_p \|f\|_{H_p}^p,$$

which proves the theorem. ■

Taking into account Theorem A and (1) we have

COROLLARY 1. *Suppose that the operator T is sublinear and p -quasiloca for each $p_0 < p \leq 1$. If T is bounded from L_∞ to L_∞ then*

$$\|Tf\|_{p,q} \leq C_{p,q} \|f\|_{H_{p,q}} \quad (f \in H_{p,q})$$

for every $p_0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. In particular, T is of weak type $(1, 1)$, i.e. if $f \in L_1$ then

$$\|Tf\|_{1,\infty} = \sup_{\gamma>0} \gamma \lambda(|Tf| > \gamma) \leq C_1 \|f\|_{H_{1,\infty}} \leq C_1 \|f\|_1.$$

4. Cesàro summability of one-dimensional trigonometric-Fourier series. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a distribution f the Cesàro mean of order n of the Fourier series of f is given by

$$\sigma_n f := \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n s_k f = f * K_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

where K_n is the Fejér kernel of order n . It is shown in Zygmund [23] that

$$(5) \quad 0 \leq K_n(t) \leq \frac{\pi^2}{(n+1)t^2} \quad (0 < |t| < \pi)$$

and

$$(6) \quad \int_{\mathbf{T}} K_n(t) dt = \pi.$$

As an application of Theorem 1 we have the following result.

THEOREM 2. *There are absolute constants C and $C_{p,q}$ such that*

$$(7) \quad \left\| \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\sigma_n f| \right\|_{p,q} \leq C_{p,q} \|f\|_{H_{p,q}} \quad (f \in H_{p,q})$$

for every $3/4 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. In particular, if $f \in L_1$ then

$$(8) \quad \lambda\left(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\sigma_n f| > \gamma\right) \leq \frac{C}{\gamma} \|f\|_1 \quad (\gamma > 0).$$

Proof. By Corollary 1 the proof of Theorem 2 will be complete if we show that the operator $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\sigma_n|$ is p -quasiloca for each $3/4 < p \leq 1$ and bounded from L_∞ to L_∞ .

The boundedness follows from (6). To verify the p -quasilocality for $3/4 < p \leq 1$ let a be an arbitrary p -atom with support I and $2^{-K-1} < |I|/\pi \leq 2^{-K}$ ($K \in \mathbb{N}$). We can suppose that the center of I is zero. In this case

$$[-\pi 2^{-K-2}, \pi 2^{-K-2}] \subset I \subset [-\pi 2^{-K-1}, \pi 2^{-K-1}].$$

Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{T} \setminus 4I} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\sigma_n a(x)|^p dx &\leq \sum_{|i|=1}^{2^K-1} \int_{\pi i 2^{-K}}^{\pi(i+1)2^{-K}} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\sigma_n a(x)|^p dx \\
&\leq \sum_{|i|=1}^{2^K-1} \int_{\pi i 2^{-K}}^{\pi(i+1)2^{-K}} \sup_{n \geq r_i} |\sigma_n a(x)|^p dx \\
&\quad + \sum_{|i|=1}^{2^K-1} \int_{\pi i 2^{-K}}^{\pi(i+1)2^{-K}} \sup_{n < r_i} |\sigma_n a(x)|^p dx \\
&= (A) + (B)
\end{aligned}$$

where $r_i := [2^K/i^\alpha]$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) with $\alpha > 0$ to be chosen later.

It follows from (5) and from the definition of the atom that

$$|\sigma_n a(x)| = \left| \int_{\mathbf{T}} a(t) K_n(x-t) dt \right| \leq C_p 2^{K/p} \int_I \frac{1}{(n+1)(x-t)^2} dt.$$

By a simple calculation we get

$$\int_{-\pi 2^{-K-1}}^{\pi 2^{-K-1}} \frac{1}{(x-t)^2} dt \leq \frac{C 2^{-K}}{(\pi|i|2^{-K} - \pi 2^{-K-1})^2} \leq \frac{C 2^K}{i^2}$$

if $x \in [\pi i 2^{-K}, \pi(i+1)2^{-K}]$ ($|i| \geq 1$). Hence

$$|\sigma_n a(x)|^p \leq C_p 2^{K+Kp} \frac{1}{(n+1)^p i^{2p}}.$$

Using the value of r_i we can conclude that

$$(A) \leq C_p \sum_{i=1}^{2^K-1} 2^{-K} 2^{K+Kp} \frac{1}{(r_i+1)^p i^{2p}} \leq C_p \sum_{i=1}^{2^K-1} \frac{1}{i^{2p-\alpha p}}.$$

This series is convergent if

$$(9) \quad \alpha < \frac{2p-1}{p} \quad (\leq 1).$$

Now let us consider (B). It is well-known that

$$\sigma_n a(x) = \sum_{|j|=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{n+1}\right) \widehat{a}(j) e^{ijx}.$$

If $n < r_i$ then

$$|\sigma_n a(x)| \leq \sum_{|j|=1}^n \left(\frac{n+1-|j|}{|j|}\right) |\widehat{a}(j)| \leq \sum_{|j|=1}^{r_i} \left(\frac{r_i-|j|}{|j|}\right) |\widehat{a}(j)|.$$

On the other hand, by the definition of the atom,

$$|\widehat{a}(j)| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_I a(x)(e^{-vjx} - 1) dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_I |a(x)| \cdot |jx| dx \leq \frac{|j| \cdot |I|^{2-1/p}}{4\pi}.$$

Therefore

$$\sup_{n < r_i} |\sigma_n a(x)| \leq C_p \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} (r_i - j) 2^{-K(2-1/p)} \leq C_p r_i^2 2^{-K(2-1/p)}.$$

Finally, we can estimate (B):

$$(B) \leq C_p \sum_{i=1}^{2^K-1} 2^{-K} \left(\frac{2^K}{j^\alpha} \right)^{2p} 2^{-K(2-1/p)p} = C_p \sum_{i=1}^{2^K-1} \frac{1}{j^{2\alpha p}}.$$

The last series converges if

$$(10) \quad \alpha > \frac{1}{2p}.$$

The number α satisfies (9) and (10) if and only if $3/4 < p \leq 1$. The proof of the theorem is complete. ■

Note that (8) can be found in Zygmund [23] or in Torchinsky [20], however, (7) was known only for Walsh–Fourier series (see Weisz [21]).

5. (C, β) summability of one-dimensional trigonometric-Fourier series. In this section we generalize Theorem 2. For $0 < \beta \leq 1$ let

$$A_j^\beta := \binom{j+\beta}{j} = \frac{(\beta+1)(\beta+2)\dots(\beta+j)}{j!} = O(j^\beta) \quad (j \in \mathbb{N})$$

(see Zygmund [23]). The (C, β) means of a distribution f are defined by

$$\sigma_n^\beta f := \frac{1}{A_n^\beta} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\beta-1} s_k f = f * K_n^\beta$$

where the K_j^β kernel satisfies the conditions

$$|K_j^\beta(t)| \leq \frac{C_\beta}{j^\beta t^{\beta+1}} \quad (0 < |t| < \pi)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} |K_j^\beta(t)| dt = C_\beta \quad (j \in \mathbb{N})$$

(see Zygmund [23]). In case $\beta = 1$ we get the Cesàro means.

The following result can be proved with the same method as Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. If $0 < \beta \leq 1$ then there are absolute constants C and $C_{p,q}$ such that

$$\left\| \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_n^\beta f \right\|_{p,q} \leq C_{p,q} \|f\|_{H_{p,q}} \quad (f \in H_{p,q})$$

for every $(\beta+2)/2(\beta+1) < p \leq \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. In particular, if $f \in L_1$ then

$$\lambda(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_n^\beta f > \gamma) \leq \frac{C}{\gamma} \|f\|_1 \quad (\gamma > 0).$$

The latter weak type inequality implies the next convergence result.

COROLLARY 2. If $0 < \beta \leq 1$ and $f \in L_1$ then

$$\sigma_n^\beta f \rightarrow f \quad \text{a.e. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We remark that this corollary can also be found in Zygmund [23].

6. Cesàro summability of two-dimensional trigonometric-Fourier series. For $f \in L_1(\mathbf{T}^2)$ and $z := re^{ix}$ ($0 < r < 1$) let

$$u(z, y) = u(re^{ix}, y) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(t, y) P_r(x-t) dt$$

and

$$u_\alpha^*(x, y) := \sup_{z \in \Omega_\alpha(x)} |u(z, y)| \quad (0 < \alpha < 1).$$

We say that $f \in L_1(\mathbf{T}^2)$ is in the *hybrid Hardy space* $H_1^\sharp(\mathbf{T}^2) = H_1^\sharp$ if

$$\|f\|_{H_1^\sharp} := \|u_{1/2}^*\|_1 < \infty.$$

The Fourier coefficients of a two-dimensional integrable function are defined by

$$\widehat{f}(n, m) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(x, y) e^{-inx} e^{-iny} dx dy.$$

We can introduce the Cesàro means $\sigma_{n,m}f$ again as the arithmetic mean of the rectangle partial sums of the Fourier series of f and can prove that

$$\sigma_{n,m}f = f * (K_n \times K_m).$$

We generalize (8) in the following way.

THEOREM 4. If $f \in H_1^\sharp$ then

$$\lambda\left(\sup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} |\sigma_{n,m}f| > \gamma\right) \leq \frac{C}{\gamma} \|f\|_{H_1^\sharp} \quad (\gamma > 0).$$

Proof. Applying Fubini's theorem, (8) and the positivity of K_m (see (5)) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda\left((x, y) : \sup_{n, m \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \int_{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(t, u) K_n(x-t) K_m(y-u) dt du \right| > \gamma\right) \\
& \leq \lambda\left((x, y) : \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \left(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(t, u) K_n(x-t) dt \right| \right) K_m(y-u) du > \gamma\right) \\
& = \int_{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} (\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} | \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(t, u) K_n(\cdot - t) dt |) K_m(\cdot - u) du > \gamma\}}(x, y) dy dx \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\gamma} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(t, y) K_n(x-t) dt \right| dy dx.
\end{aligned}$$

For a fixed $y \in \mathbf{T}$ we deduce by (7) that

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(t, y) K_n(x-t) dt \right| dx \leq C \int_{\mathbf{T}} u_{1/2}^*(x, y) dx.$$

Theorem 4 follows from Fubini's theorem. ■

Note that we can verify with the same method that the operator $\sup_{n, m \in \mathbb{N}} |\sigma_{n, m}|$ is bounded from $L_p(\mathbf{T}^2)$ to $L_p(\mathbf{T}^2)$ if $1 < p \leq \infty$.

It is easy to show that the two-dimensional trigonometric polynomials are dense in H_1^\sharp . Hence Theorem 4 and the usual density argument (see Marcinkiewicz–Zygmund [14]) imply

COROLLARY 3. *If $f \in H_1^\sharp$ then*

$$\sigma_{n, m} f \rightarrow f \quad a.e. \quad \text{as } \min(n, m) \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that $H_1^\sharp \supset L \log L(\mathbf{T}^2)$ by (2). Corollary 3 for $L \log L$ functions can be found in Zygmund [23], and, for Walsh–Fourier series in Móricz–Schipp–Wade [15].

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Pure Appl. Math. 129 Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [2] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction*, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [3] D. L. Burkholder, R. F. Gundy and M. L. Silverstein, *A maximal function characterization of the class H^p* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 157 (1971), 137–153.
- [4] R. R. Coifman, *A real variable characterization of H^p* , Studia Math. 51 (1974), 269–274.
- [5] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1977), 569–645.
- [6] P. Duren, *Theory of H^p Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [7] R. E. Edwards, *Fourier Series. A Modern Introduction*, Vol. 1, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [8] —, *Fourier Series. A Modern Introduction*, Vol. 2, Springer, Berlin, 1982.

- [9] C. Fefferman, N. M. Rivière and Y. Sagher, *Interpolation between H^p spaces: the real method*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 191 (1974), 75–81.
- [10] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, *H^p spaces of several variables*, Acta Math. 129 (1972), 137–194.
- [11] N. J. Fine, *Cesàro summability of Walsh–Fourier series*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 41 (1955), 558–591.
- [12] N. Fujii, *A maximal inequality for H^1 -functions on a generalized Walsh–Paley group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1979), 111–116.
- [13] B. S. Kashin and A. A. Saakyan, *Orthogonal Series*, Transl. Math. Monographs 75, Amer. Math. Soc. 75, Providence, R.I., 1989.
- [14] J. Marcinkiewicz and A. Zygmund, *On the summability of double Fourier series*, Fund. Math. 32 (1939), 122–132.
- [15] F. Móricz, F. Schipp and W. R. Wade, *Cesàro summability of double Walsh–Fourier series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 329 (1992), 131–140.
- [16] N. M. Rivière and Y. Sagher, *Interpolation between L^∞ and H^1 , the real method*, J. Funct. Anal. 14 (1973), 401–409.
- [17] F. Schipp, *Über gewissen Maximaloperatoren*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math. 18 (1975), 189–195.
- [18] F. Schipp and P. Simon, *On some (H, L_1) -type maximal inequalities with respect to the Walsh–Paley system*, in: Functions, Series, Operators, Budapest, 1980, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 35, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981, 1039–1045.
- [19] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- [20] A. Torchinsky, *Real-Variable Methods in Harmonic Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1986.
- [21] F. Weisz, *Cesàro summability of one- and two-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series*, Anal. Math. 22 (1996), 229–242.
- [22] —, *Martingale Hardy Spaces and their Applications in Fourier Analysis*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1568, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [23] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1959.

Department of Numerical Analysis
Eötvös L. University
Múzeum krt. 6-8
H-1088 Budapest, Hungary
E-mail: weisz@ludens.elte.hu

*Received 18 June 1996;
revised 11 December 1996*