# Corrections to the paper "On values of a polynomial at arithmetic progressions with equal products" 

(Acta Arith. 72 (1995), 67-76)

N. Saradha (Mumbai), T. N. Shorey (Mumbai) and R. Tijdeman (Leiden)

We wish to make the following changes and corrections in the above paper.

From lines $24-26$ on page 70 , we delete the definition of $K=$ $\mathbb{Q}\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{\mu}\right)$, the assumption that $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{\mu}$ are all the automorphisms of $K$ and the notation that $\sigma_{q}(\beta)=\beta^{(q)}$ for $\beta \in K$ and $1 \leq q \leq \mu$.

We replace $K$ by $\mathbb{Q}\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{\mu}\right)$ on page 71 , line 8 . We replace lines 11-19 (and part of line 20 to the period) on page 72 by the following:
"We prove that every element of $S$ has $\mu$ distinct conjugates. Let $1 \leq$ $i \leq s$ be given. We observe that
(*) $\quad\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(t_{i, j}\right): \mathbb{Q}\right]=\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(u_{i, j^{\prime}}\right): \mathbb{Q}\right]=\mu \quad$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$ and $1 \leq j^{\prime} \leq m$.
Hence $\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(t_{i, j}\right): \mathbb{Q}\left(v_{i}\right)\right]=\mu /\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(v_{i}\right): \mathbb{Q}\right]$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$. On the other hand, we see from (10) that for any $1 \leq j \leq l, t_{i, j}$ satisfies the polynomial equation $F(X)-v_{i}=0$ over $\mathbb{Q}\left(v_{i}\right)$ where the degree of $F$ is $l$. Hence $\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(t_{i, j}\right): \mathbb{Q}\left(v_{i}\right)\right]$ divides $l$. Thus $\left.\frac{\mu}{\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(v_{i}\right): \mathbb{Q}\right]} \right\rvert\, l$. Similarly we derive from (11) that $\left.\frac{\mu}{\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(v_{i}\right): \mathbb{Q}\right]} \right\rvert\, m$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(l, m)=1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(v_{i}\right): \mathbb{Q}\right]=\mu . \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence every element of $S$ has $\mu$ distinct conjugates."
We delete "By subtracting (10) ..., we derive that" from lines 26-27 of page 72 .

Finally, we explain the proof of (12). Let $1 \leq i \leq k$ be given. By subtracting (10) with $X=x$ from (11) with $Y=y$ and using (8) we have

$$
\left(x-t_{i, 1}\right) \ldots\left(x-t_{i, l}\right)=\left(y-u_{i, 1}\right) \ldots\left(y-u_{i, m}\right) .
$$

From (10), (11), (*) and (**) we observe that $\mathbb{Q}\left(v_{i}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left(t_{i, j}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left(u_{i, j^{\prime}}\right)$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$ and $1 \leq j^{\prime} \leq m$. Thus taking the norm over $\mathbb{Q}\left(v_{i}\right)$ of the above equation we derive (12).

We thank Professor M. V. Nori for bringing to our notice the inaccuracies in the paper and suggesting the above corrections.
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