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1. Introduction. Let $\sigma_{u}(n)=\sum_{d \mid n} d^{u}$, the sum of $u$ th powers of divisors of $n$, and let $e(x)=e^{2 \pi i x}$. For given integers $a$ and $q$ with $(a, q)=1$, $q \geq 1$, the Estermann zeta function $E_{u}=E_{u}(\cdot, a / q)$ is defined by the Dirichlet series

$$
E_{u}\left(s, \frac{a}{q}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{u}(n) e\left(\frac{a n}{q}\right) n^{-s}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s)>\max \{0, \operatorname{Re}(u)+1\},
$$

and has an analytic continuation to the whole $s$-plane with possible poles at $s=1, u+1$. This function has its origin in Estermann's paper [1] and plays an important role in recent theory of divisor functions and allied problems ([6], [7], [9]).

In this paper we determine the linear relations among the values of $E_{u}$ at negative integral arguments

$$
\left\{E_{u}(-j, a / q): 1 \leq a \leq q,(a, q)=1\right\}
$$

over the rational number field $\mathbb{Q}$, where $j \geq 1$ and $u \geq 0$ are rational integers, which extends our previous result [4]. Our main result is

Theorem. The numbers $E_{u}(-j, a / q), 1 \leq a \leq q,(a, q)=1$, belong to the qth cyclotomic field, in particular they vanish for any odd number $u$, and if $q$ is a prime power, we have

$$
\sum_{(a, q)=1} c_{a} E_{u}(-j, a / q)=0 \text { if and only if } c_{a}=(-1)^{j} c_{q-a} \text { for } c_{a} \in \mathbb{Q}, u \text { even. }
$$

From this Theorem, we easily deduce

[^0]Corollary. If $q$ is a prime power and $u$ is even, then the numbers $E_{u}(-j, a / q), 1 \leq a \leq q / 2,(a, q)=1$, are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.

In Section 2, we prove the first part of the Theorem by evaluating these special values in terms of the cotangent function, and in Section 3, $\mathbb{Q}$-linear relations are determined by the method of K. Girstmair [2].
2. Special values. Let $B_{m}$ and $B_{m}(x)$ be the $m$ th Bernoulli number and the $m$ th Bernoulli polynomial respectively, and let $\cot ^{(m)}(\pi x)$ be the $m$ th derivative of $\cot (\pi x)$.

Proposition 1. Let $q \geq 2,1 \leq a \leq q,(a, q)=1, i=\sqrt{-1}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{u}\left(-j, \frac{a}{q}\right)= & \frac{q^{j}}{j+1}\left(-\frac{i}{2}\right)^{j+u+1} \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} B_{j+1}\left(\frac{l}{q}\right) \cot ^{(j+u)}\left(\frac{\pi a l}{q}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& +q^{j}(1+q)^{j} \frac{B_{j+1}}{j+1} \cdot \frac{B_{j+u+1}}{j+u+1}
\end{align*}
$$

for $u \geq 0, j \geq 1$. For $q=1$,

$$
E_{u}(-j, 1)=\frac{B_{j+1}}{j+1} \cdot \frac{B_{j+u+1}}{j+u+1} .
$$

In particular, for $q \geq 2$ the right hand side of (1) is 0 for $u$ odd.
Proof. We can express the function $E_{u}(s, a / q)$ in terms of the Hurwitz zeta function

$$
\zeta(s, x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+x)^{-s}, \quad 0<x \leq 1
$$

with $\zeta(s, 1)=\zeta(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$ the Riemann zeta function, as follows:
$E_{u}\left(s, \frac{a}{q}\right)=q^{u-2 s} \sum_{k, l=1}^{q} e\left(\frac{a k l}{q}\right) \zeta\left(s-u, \frac{k}{q}\right) \zeta\left(s, \frac{l}{q}\right), \quad \operatorname{Re}(s)>\operatorname{Re}(u)+1$
(cf. [4]). Since $\zeta(s, x)$ can be analytically continued to a meromorphic function with simple pole at $s=1$, this equation gives an analytic continuation of $E_{u}(s, a / q)$. To evaluate the values at negative integer points in terms of the cotangent function, we need

$$
\zeta(-j, a / q)=-\frac{1}{j+1} B_{j+1}(a / q), \quad j \geq 0
$$

and

$$
(j+1)\left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^{j+1} \cot ^{(j)}\left(\frac{\pi a}{q}\right)=q^{j} \sum_{k=1}^{q} e\left(-\frac{a k}{q}\right) B_{j+1}\left(\frac{k}{q}\right) \quad(\text { see }[2]) .
$$

Substituting these formulas for $E_{u}(-j, a / q)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{u}\left(-j, \frac{a}{q}\right)= & \frac{q^{j}}{j+1}\left(-\frac{i}{2}\right)^{j+u+1} \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} B_{j+1}\left(\frac{l}{q}\right) \cot ^{(j+u)}\left(\frac{\pi a l}{q}\right) \\
& +\frac{q^{2 j+u} B_{j+1}}{(j+1)(j+u+1)} \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} B_{j+u+1}\left(\frac{k}{q}\right) \\
& +\frac{q^{2 j+u} B_{j+u+1}}{(j+1)(j+u+1)} \sum_{l=1}^{q} B_{j+1}\left(\frac{l}{q}\right) \\
= & S_{1}+S_{2}+S_{3}, \quad \text { say. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the Fourier series of $\cot ^{(j+u)}(\pi x / q)$ and changing the order of summation, we see that the symmetric terms appearing in the innermost sum over the range from 1 to $q$ will cancel out each other for odd $u$, so that $S_{1}=0 . S_{2}+S_{3}$ is evaluated by the distribution relation of the Bernoulli polynomial:

$$
B_{k}(x)=m^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} B_{k}\left(\frac{x+j}{m}\right),
$$

and we also have $S_{2}+S_{3}=0$ for odd $u$ by the properties of Bernoulli numbers.

For $q=1$, the formula follows from

$$
E_{u}(s, 1)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{u}(n)}{n^{s}}=\zeta(s) \zeta(s-u)
$$

Thus the proposition is proved.
Since $i^{j+u+1} \cot ^{(j+u)}(\pi a l / q)$ belong to the $q$ th cyclotomic field, the above proposition implies the first part of our Theorem.
3. $\mathbb{Q}$-linear relations. Let $\mathbb{Q}_{q}=\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ be the $q$ th cyclotomic field with $\zeta=e(1 / q)$ and let $G=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{q} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ be its Galois group. The $\mathbb{Q}$-linear relations of the conjugate numbers $\left\{\sigma(b): b \in \mathbb{Q}_{q}, \sigma \in G\right\}$ are determined by the annihilator ideal $W_{q}[b]$ in the group ring $\mathbb{Q} G$ defined by

$$
W_{q}[b]=\{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} G: \alpha \circ b=0\},
$$

where the $\mathbb{Q} G$ action on $\mathbb{Q}_{q}$ is defined by

$$
\alpha \circ b=\sum_{\sigma \in G} a_{\sigma} \sigma(b) \quad \text { for } \alpha=\sum_{\sigma \in G} a_{\sigma} \sigma \in \mathbb{Q} G .
$$

In [2], K. Girstmair proves that $W_{q}[b]$ is generated by the idempotent element $\varepsilon_{X}=\sum_{\chi \in X} \varepsilon_{\chi}$, with $\varepsilon_{\chi}=|G|^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi\left(\sigma^{-1}\right) \sigma$, attached to a certain subset $X$ of the character group $\widehat{G}$ of $G$ determined by $X=\{\chi \in$ $\widehat{G}: y(\chi \mid b)=0\}$. Here, $y(\chi \mid b)$ are Leopoldt's character coordinates defined
by $y(\chi \mid b) \tau\left(\bar{\chi}_{f} \mid 1\right)=\sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi\left(\sigma^{-1}\right) \sigma(b)$, where $f$ is the conductor of $\chi, \chi_{f}$ is the primitive character modulo $f$ attached to $\chi$ and $\tau(\chi \mid k)$ is the $k$ th Gauss sum.

He also proves, for $q \geq 2$,
(2) $y\left(\chi \mid i^{j+1} \cot ^{(j)}(\pi / q)\right)$

$$
= \begin{cases}0, & \chi \text { principal, } j=0, \\ \left(\frac{2 q}{f}\right)^{j+1} \prod_{p \mid q}\left(1-\frac{\bar{\chi}_{f}(p)}{p^{j+1}}\right) \frac{B_{j+1, \chi_{f}}}{j+1}, & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

where $B_{j, \chi_{f}}$ is the generalized Bernoulli number attached to the character $\chi_{f}$. Thus $W_{q}\left[i^{j+1} \cot ^{(j)}(\pi / q)\right]=\left\langle 1+(-1)^{j} \sigma_{-1}\right\rangle$, where $\sigma_{k} \in G$ are such that $\sigma_{k}(\zeta)=\zeta^{k},(k, q)=1$.

In our case $E_{u}(-j, a / q)=\sigma_{a}\left(E_{u}(-j, 1 / q)\right)$, and so we also have
Proposition 2. $W_{q}\left[E_{u}(-j, 1 / q)\right]=\left\langle 1+(-1)^{j} \sigma_{-1}\right\rangle$ for prime power $q$, $j \geq 1$, and $u$ even.

Proof. Let $l=k d, d=(l, q)$ in the formula for $E_{u}(-j, 1 / q)$, which gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{u}(-j, 1 / q) \\
&=\frac{q^{j}}{j+1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{j+u+1} \sum_{d \mid q} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\
(k, d)=1}}^{d-1} B_{j+1}\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) i^{j+u+1} \cot ^{(j+u)}\left(\frac{\pi k}{d}\right) \\
&=\frac{q^{j}}{j+1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{j+u+1} C_{j, u}, \quad \text { say. }
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2) and the $\mathbb{Q} G$-linearity of $y(\chi \mid-)$ with the reduction formula

$$
y(\chi \mid b)= \begin{cases}(\varphi(q) / \varphi(d)) \cdot y\left(\chi_{d} \mid b\right), & f \mid d, \\ 0, & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

for $b \in \mathbb{Q}_{d} \subset \mathbb{Q}_{q}$, where $\chi_{d}$ is the character mod $d$ attached to $\chi$ (see [8]), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y\left(\chi \mid C_{j, u}\right)= & \frac{\varphi(q)}{j+u+1}\left(\frac{2}{f}\right)^{j+u+1} \sum_{\substack{d \\
f|d| q}} \frac{d^{u+1}}{\varphi(d)}  \tag{3}\\
& \times \prod_{p \mid d}\left(1-\frac{\bar{\chi}_{f}(p)}{p^{j+u+1}}\right) \prod_{p \mid d}\left(1-\chi_{f}(p) p^{j}\right) B_{j+1, \chi_{f}} B_{j+u+1, \chi_{f}}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
= \begin{cases}\frac{\varphi(q)}{j+u+1}\left(\frac{2}{f}\right)^{j+u+1} \sum_{\substack{d \\ f|d| q}} \frac{d^{u+1}}{\varphi(d)} B_{j+1, \chi_{f}} B_{j+u+1, \chi_{f}}, & \chi \neq 1, \\ \frac{\varphi(q)}{j+u+1}\left(\frac{2}{f}\right)^{j+u+1} \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ f| d \mid q}} \frac{d^{u+1}}{\varphi(d)} \prod_{p \mid d}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{j+u+1}}\right) & \\ \times \prod_{p \mid d}\left(1-p^{j}\right) B_{j+1} B_{j+u+1}, & \chi=1 .\end{cases}
$$

Here

$$
B_{j+1, \chi_{d}}=d^{j} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \chi_{d}(k) B_{j+1}(k / d)
$$

and we have the formula

$$
B_{j+1, \chi_{d}}=\prod_{p \mid d}\left(1-\chi_{f}(p) p^{j}\right) \cdot B_{j+1, \chi_{f}}
$$

which is a generalization of Hasse's formula [3, p. 18], and can be proved in the same way, or instantly obtained by comparing both sides of the equality

$$
L\left(s, \chi_{d}\right)=\prod_{p \mid d}\left(1-\chi_{f}(p) p^{-s}\right) L\left(s, \chi_{f}\right)
$$

at negative integral arguments, where $L\left(s, \chi_{f}\right)$ denotes the Dirichlet $L$ function.

In the case of a primitive character it is known that

$$
\begin{cases}B_{n+1, \chi} \neq 0, & n \not \equiv \delta_{\chi} \bmod 2, \\ B_{n+1, \chi}=0, & n \equiv \delta_{\chi} \bmod 2,\end{cases}
$$

for $n \geq 1$, where $\delta_{\chi}=0$ for even $\chi$ and 1 for odd $\chi([5])$. Further, for principal $\chi$, we see that $B_{n+1, \chi_{f}}=B_{n+1}=0$ for even $n \geq 2$, and $B_{n+1, \chi_{d}} \neq 0$ for $n$ odd.

Hence we get $X=\left\{\chi \in \widehat{G}: \chi\left(\sigma_{-1}\right)=(-1)^{j}\right\}$ from (3), so that $\varepsilon_{X}=$ $1+(-1)^{j} \sigma_{-1}$ generates $W_{q}\left[E_{u}(-j, 1 / q)\right]$.

This proposition implies the latter half of our Theorem.
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