

## ON PSEUDOSYMMETRIC PARA-KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

BY

FILIP DEFEVER (LEUVEN), RYSZARD DESZCZ (WROCLAW)  
AND LEOPOLD VERSTRAELEN (LEUVEN)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $(M, g, J)$  be a connected,  $n = 2m$ -dimensional,  $m \geq 2$ , semi-Riemannian manifold of class  $C^\infty$  with a not necessarily definite metric  $g$  and an almost complex structure  $J$  such that

$$(1) \quad g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in \Xi(M),$$

where  $\Xi(M)$  is the Lie algebra of  $C^\infty$  vector fields on  $M$ . A manifold  $(M, g, J)$  satisfying (1) is called *almost Hermitian*. The almost Hermitian manifold  $(M, g, J)$  is said to be a *para-Kähler manifold* ([12], [13], see also [10], p. 69) if its Riemann–Christoffel curvature tensor  $R$  satisfies the Kähler identity

$$(2) \quad R(X, Y, Z, W) = R(X, Y, JZ, JW), \quad X, Y, Z, W \in \Xi(M).$$

Evidently, every Kähler manifold satisfies (2). The converse statement is not true; see e.g. [13] or [17].

In this paper we consider para-Kähler manifolds which satisfy curvature conditions of pseudosymmetric type. In Section 2 we give precise definitions. Pseudosymmetric manifolds constitute a generalization of spaces of constant (sectional) curvature, along the line of locally symmetric ( $\nabla R = 0$ ) and semisymmetric ( $R \cdot R = 0$ , cf. [14]) spaces, consecutively. Profound investigation of several properties of semisymmetric manifolds gave rise to their next generalization: the pseudosymmetric manifolds. Both the study of the intrinsic aspect and the study of the extrinsic aspect led to this concept. We have e.g. the following two theorems. Every manifold  $M$  which can be mapped geodesically onto a semisymmetric manifold is pseudosymmetric. Every totally umbilical submanifold, with parallel mean curvature

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53B20, 53C25.

The first author is a postdoctoral researcher of N.F.W.O., Belgium.

Research of the second author supported by a research-grant of the Research Council of the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven.

Research of the third author supported by the grant OT/TBA/95/9 of the Research Council of the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven.

vector field, of a semisymmetric manifold is pseudosymmetric. This concept of pseudosymmetry in the proper sense belongs to a larger class of curvature conditions of pseudosymmetric type. For more detailed information on the geometric motivation for the introduction of pseudosymmetry, and for a review of results on different aspects of pseudosymmetric spaces, see e.g. [6] and [16]. We just mention here the following application. Curvature conditions of pseudosymmetric type often appear in the theory of general relativity, which is rather surprising in view of the purely geometrical origin of the concept. For more information on this aspect see e.g. [3].

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional,  $n \geq 3$ , semi-Riemannian connected manifold of class  $C^\infty$ . We denote by  $\nabla$ ,  $S$  and  $\kappa$  the Levi-Civita connection, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of  $(M, g)$ , respectively. We define on  $M$  the endomorphisms  $\tilde{R}(X, Y)$ ,  $X \wedge Y$  and  $\tilde{C}(X, Y)$  by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z, (X \wedge Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y, \\ \tilde{C}(X, Y) &= \tilde{R}(X, Y) + \frac{1}{n-2} \left( \frac{\kappa}{n-1} X \wedge Y - (X \wedge \tilde{S}Y + \tilde{S}X \wedge Y) \right),\end{aligned}$$

respectively, where  $X, Y, Z \in \Xi(M)$ ,  $\Xi(M)$  being the Lie algebra of vector fields on  $M$ , and the Ricci operator  $\tilde{S}$  of  $(M, g)$  is defined by  $S(X, Y) = g(X, \tilde{S}Y)$ . The  $(0, 4)$ -tensor  $G$  is defined by

$$G(X_1, \dots, X_4) = g((X_1 \wedge X_2)X_3, X_4).$$

The *Riemann curvature tensor*  $R$  and the *Weyl curvature tensor*  $C$  of  $(M, g)$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}R(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= g(\tilde{R}(X_1, X_2)X_3, X_4), \\ C(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= g(\tilde{C}(X_1, X_2)X_3, X_4).\end{aligned}$$

Further, for a symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor field  $A$  on  $M$ , we define the endomorphism  $X \wedge_A Y$  of  $\Xi(M)$  by  $(X \wedge_A Y)Z = A(Z, Y)X - A(Z, X)Y$ , where  $X, Y, Z \in \Xi(M)$ . Evidently, we have  $X \wedge_g Y = X \wedge Y$ . For a  $(0, k)$ -tensor field  $T$  on  $M$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , and a symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor field  $A$  on  $M$ , we define the  $(0, k+2)$ -tensor fields  $R \cdot T$  and  $Q(A, T)$  by

$$\begin{aligned}(R \cdot T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y) &= -T(\tilde{R}(X, Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) \\ &\quad - \dots - T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, \tilde{R}(X, Y)X_k), \\ Q(A, T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y) &= -T((X \wedge_A Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) \\ &\quad - \dots - T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, (X \wedge_A Y)X_k).\end{aligned}$$

Curvature conditions involving tensors of the form  $R \cdot T$  and  $Q(A, T)$  are called *curvature conditions of pseudosymmetric type*. E.g. manifolds satis-

fyng the condition

$$(3) \quad R \cdot R = L_R Q(g, R)$$

on the set  $U_R = \{x \in M \mid R - \frac{\kappa}{n(n-1)}G \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$  are called *pseudosymmetric*; in particular, for  $L_R = 0$  they contain the *semisymmetric spaces* ( $R \cdot R = 0$ ). Manifolds satisfying the condition

$$(4) \quad R \cdot S = L_S Q(g, S)$$

on the set  $U_S = \{x \in M \mid S - \frac{\kappa}{n}g \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$  are called *Ricci-pseudosymmetric*; in particular, for  $L_S = 0$  they contain the *Ricci-semisymmetric spaces* ( $R \cdot S = 0$ ). Manifolds satisfying the condition

$$(5) \quad R \cdot C = L_C Q(g, C)$$

on the set  $U_C = \{x \in M \mid C \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$  are called *Weyl-pseudosymmetric*; in particular, for  $L_C = 0$  they contain the *Weyl-semisymmetric spaces* ( $R \cdot C = 0$ ).

The inclusions among the above mentioned classes of manifolds can be summarized in the following table; in general, for manifolds with dimension  $\geq 4$ , all inclusions are strict [6].

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R \cdot S = L_S Q(g, S) & \supset & R \cdot R = L_R Q(g, R) & \subset & R \cdot C = L_C Q(g, C) \\ \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\ R \cdot S = 0 & \supset & R \cdot R = 0 & \subset & R \cdot C = 0 \end{array}$$

In the present paper, we prove that on para-Kähler manifolds the curvature conditions of pseudosymmetric type  $R \cdot T = LQ(g, T)$  for  $T = R, S$  and  $C$  reduce to the corresponding curvature conditions of semisymmetric type, e.g.  $R \cdot T = 0$  for  $T = R, S$  and  $C$ , respectively. This question for Ricci-generalized pseudosymmetric para-Kähler manifolds (i.e. manifolds realizing a curvature condition of the form  $R \cdot R = LQ(S, R)$ ) was treated in [2].

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the class of all almost Hermitian manifolds  $(M, g, J)$ . Further, the class of all para-Kähler manifolds will be denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_1$ . According to [10], we denote by  $\mathcal{L}_2$  and  $\mathcal{L}_3$  the classes of all almost Hermitian manifolds realizing the relations

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = R(JX, JY, Z, W) + R(JX, Y, JZ, W) + R(JX, Y, Z, JW)$$

and

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = R(JX, JY, JZ, JW),$$

respectively, where  $X, Y, Z, W \in \Xi(M)$ . As shown in [10] (Lemma 5.1, p. 68), we have the following inclusions:

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}_2 \subset \mathcal{L}_3 \subset \mathcal{L}.$$

Some results on the above classes of manifolds are presented in Chapter II of [10].

**3. Para-Kähler manifolds of pseudosymmetric type.** Let  $(M, g, J)$ ,  $n = \dim M \geq 4$ , be a para-Kähler manifold covered by a system of coordinate neighbourhoods  $\{U; u^h\}$ . We denote by  $J_h^k = J_h^k$  the local components of the almost complex structure  $J$ . Moreover, let  $C_{hijk}$ ,  $R_{hijk}$ ,  $S_{ij}$  and  $g_{ij}$  be the local components of the Weyl conformal curvature tensor  $C$ , the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor  $R$ , the Ricci tensor  $S$  and the metric tensor  $g$ , respectively. Thus, by (1), we have

$$(6) \quad J_k^s J_s^l = -\delta_k^l, \quad J_k^r J_l^s g_{rs} = g_{kl}, \quad J^{kl} = g^{ks} J_s^l.$$

Further, (2) takes the form

$$(7) \quad J_h^r J_i^s R_{rsjk} = R_{hijk},$$

whence, by (6), we obtain

$$(8) \quad J_h^s R_{sljk} - J_l^s R_{shjk} = 0.$$

Further, using the above relations, we find

$$(9) \quad J^{rs} R_{rsjk} = 2A_{jk}, \quad J^{rs} R_{rij s} = -A_{ij},$$

where  $A_{jk} = -J_j^s S_{sk}$ .

### 3.1. Ricci-semisymmetric para-Kähler manifolds

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Every semi-Riemannian Ricci-pseudosymmetric para-Kähler manifold  $(M, g, J)$ ,  $\dim M \geq 4$ , is Ricci-semisymmetric.*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be a point of the set  $U_S$  and let  $\tilde{U} \subset U_S$  be a coordinate neighbourhood of  $x$ . Then the equality

$$(R \cdot S)_{hirs} = L_S Q(g, S)_{hirs}$$

holds on  $\tilde{U}$ . Transvecting this with  $J_j^r J_k^s$  and using the Ricci identity and (7) we obtain

$$(R \cdot S)_{hirs} = L_S Q(g, S)_{hirs} J_s^r J_k^s.$$

Thus by (4) we have

$$L_S Q(g, S)_{hirs} J_j^r J_k^s = L_S Q(g, S)_{hijk}.$$

Suppose that the function  $L_S$  is non-zero at  $x$ . Then the last equality gives

$$Q(g, S)_{hirs} J_j^r J_k^s = Q(g, S)_{hijk},$$

which, by contraction with  $g^{hk}$ , yields  $S_{ij} = \frac{\kappa}{n} g_{ij}$ , a contradiction. Thus the function  $L_S$  vanishes identically on  $U_S$ , which completes the proof.

The above proposition generalizes Theorem 1 of [11].

### 3.2. Pseudosymmetric para-Kähler manifolds

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Every semi-Riemannian pseudosymmetric para-Kähler manifold  $(M, g, J)$ ,  $\dim M \geq 4$ , is semisymmetric.*

Proof. Let  $x$  be a point of the set  $U_R$  and let  $\tilde{U} \subset U_R$  be a coordinate neighbourhood of  $x$ . Then the equality

$$(R \cdot R)_{hijkrs} = L_R Q(g, R)_{hijkrs}$$

holds on  $\tilde{U}$ . Now, in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 3.1 we obtain

$$L_R Q(g, R)_{hijkrs} J_l^r J_m^s = L_R Q(g, R)_{hijklm}.$$

Suppose that the function  $L_R$  is non-zero at  $x$ . Then the last equality gives

$$(10) \quad Q(g, R)_{hijkrs} J_l^r J_m^s = Q(g, R)_{hijklm}.$$

Since every pseudosymmetric manifold is Ricci-pseudosymmetric, from Proposition 3.1 it follows that the equality

$$(11) \quad S_{ij} = \frac{\kappa}{n} g_{ij}$$

holds at  $x$ . Contracting (10) with  $g^{hm}$  and using (11), (7), and (9), we get

$$(12) \quad J_l^r J_j^s R_{rkis} - J_l^r J_k^s R_{rjis} + \frac{2\kappa}{n} J_{li} J_{jk} - \frac{\kappa}{n} J_{lj} J_{ki} + \frac{\kappa}{n} J_{lk} J_{ji} \\ = (n-3)R_{lijk} - \frac{\kappa}{n} G_{lijk},$$

where  $J_{li} = J_l^s g_{si}$  and  $G_{lijk} = g_{lk} g_{ij} - g_{lj} g_{ik}$  are the local components of the tensor  $G$ . Transvecting (12) with  $J_h^j$  and using (6) and (7), we find

$$-J_l^r R_{rkih} - J_k^s R_{sihl} - \frac{2\kappa}{n} J_{li} g_{hk} - \frac{\kappa}{n} J_{ki} g_{hl} - \frac{\kappa}{n} J_{lk} g_{hi} \\ = (n-3)J_h^s R_{skli} - \frac{\kappa}{n} g_{kl} J_{hi} + \frac{\kappa}{n} g_{ik} J_{hl}.$$

Contracting this with  $g^{hi}$  and applying (6), (7), (8) and (11), we find  $\kappa J_{kl} = 0$ , whence  $\kappa = 0$ . Thus (11) yields  $S_{ij} = 0$ . Now (9) reduces to

$$(13) \quad J^{rs} R_{rsjk} = 0, \quad J^{rs} R_{rij}s = 0,$$

respectively. Further, from (7), by (8), we have

$$J_h^r J_i^s (R \cdot R)_{rsjkab} = (R \cdot R)_{hijkab},$$

which, by virtue of (3), (7) and the assumption that  $L_R$  is non-zero at  $x$ , turns into

$$g_{ia} R_{bhjk} + g_{hb} R_{aijk} - g_{ha} R_{bjik} - g_{ib} R_{ahjk} \\ = J_{ha} J_i^s R_{sbjk} + J_{ib} J_h^s R_{sajk} - J_{ia} J_h^s R_{sbjk} - J_{hb} J_i^s R_{sajk}.$$

This yields

$$g_{ia} (R \cdot R)_{bhjklm} + g_{hb} (R \cdot R)_{aijklm} - g_{ha} (R \cdot R)_{bjiklm} - g_{ib} (R \cdot R)_{ahjklm} \\ = J_{ha} J_i^s (R \cdot R)_{sbjklm} + J_{ib} J_h^s (R \cdot R)_{sajklm} \\ - J_{ia} J_h^s (R \cdot R)_{sbjklm} - J_{hb} J_i^s (R \cdot R)_{sajklm}.$$

Finally, applying (3) and contracting the resulting equality with  $g^{am}$  and  $g^{hb}$  we get  $R_{lij k} = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $L_R$  vanishes at  $x$ . But this completes the proof.

The above proposition generalizes Theorem 4 of [4].

### 3.3. Weyl-pseudosymmetric para-Kähler manifolds

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *Every semi-Riemannian Weyl-pseudosymmetric para-Kähler manifold  $(M, g, J)$ ,  $\dim M \geq 4$ , is Weyl-semisymmetric.*

**Proof.** Let  $x$  be a point of  $U_C$ . First assume that  $\dim M \geq 5$ . Thus, in view of Theorem 1 of [7] and our Proposition 3.2, the tensor  $R \cdot R$  vanishes at  $x$ . Thus  $R \cdot C = 0$  holds at  $x$ , completing the proof of this case. Now assume that  $\dim M = 4$ . Transvecting the equality

$$(R \cdot C)_{hijklm} = L_C Q(g, C)_{hijklm}$$

with  $J_a^l J_b^m$  and using the Ricci identity and (7) we obtain

$$(R \cdot C)_{hijkab} = L_C J_a^l J_b^m Q(g, C)_{hijklm},$$

whence

$$L_C Q(g, C)_{hijkab} = L_C J_a^l J_b^m Q(g, C)_{hijklm}.$$

Suppose that the function  $L_C$  is non-zero at  $x$ . Thus the last equality reduces to

$$Q(g, C)_{hijkab} = J_a^l J_b^m Q(g, C)_{hijklm}.$$

This, by contraction with  $g^{hb}$ , gives

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} 2C_{aijk} &= J_a^s J_i^r C_{srjk} + J_a^s J_j^r C_{skir} - J_a^s J_k^r C_{sjir} \\ &\quad - J_{ai} J^{sr} C_{srjk} - J_{aj} J^{sr} C_{skir} + J_{ak} J^{sr} C_{sjir}, \end{aligned}$$

which, by transvection with  $J_h^a$ , turns into

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} 2J_h^s C_{sijk} &= J_i^r C_{rhjk} - J_j^r C_{hkir} + J_k^r C_{hjir} \\ &\quad + g_{hj} J^{sr} C_{skir} - g_{hk} J^{sr} C_{sjir} + g_{hi} J^{sr} C_{srjk}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, contracting (15) with  $g^{hi}$  we obtain

$$(16) \quad J^{sr} C_{srjk} = 0,$$

which reduces (15) to

$$(17) \quad 2J_h^s C_{sijk} = J_i^s C_{shjk} - J_j^s C_{sikh} + J_k^s C_{sijh}.$$

Next, summing (17) cyclically in  $h, j, k$  we get

$$J_h^s C_{sijk} + J_j^s C_{sikh} + J_k^s C_{sijh} = 0.$$

Now (17), by making use of the above relation, yields

$$J_k^s C_{sijk} = J_i^s C_{shjk}.$$

From this, by (8) and (5), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{ha}C_{bijk} + g_{ia}J_h^s C_{sbjk} - J_{hb}C_{aijk} - g_{ib}J_h^s C_{sajk} \\ = J_{ia}C_{bhjk} + g_{hl}J_i^s C_{sbjk} - J_{ib}C_{ahjk} - g_{hb}J_i^s C_{sajk} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_{ha}Q(g, C)_{bijklm} - J_{hb}Q(g, C)_{aijklm} \\ + g_{ia}J_h^s Q(g, C)_{sbjklm} - g_{ib}J_h^s Q(g, C)_{sajklm} \\ = J_{ia}Q(g, C)_{bhjklm} - J_{ib}Q(g, C)_{ahjklm} \\ + g_{hl}J_i^s Q(g, C)_{sbjklm} - g_{hb}J_i^s Q(g, C)_{sajklm}. \end{aligned}$$

Contracting the last equality with  $g^{am}$  and  $g^{hb}$ , after some calculations, we obtain

$$J_i^s C_{sljk} = 0.$$

Applying this and (15) in (14) we get at  $x$  the relation  $C = 0$ , a contradiction. Our theorem is thus proved.

**Remark 3.1.** An example of a non-conformally flat and non-semisymmetric Weyl-semisymmetric manifold  $(M, g)$ ,  $\dim M = 4$ , which is a Kähler manifold was described in [5] (Lemme 1.1).

**Remark 3.2.** Let  $B$  be the Bochner curvature tensor ([1], [18], [15]) of a para-Kähler semi-Riemannian manifold  $(M, g, J)$ ,  $n = 2m \geq 4$ . In [8] Kähler Riemannian manifolds with semisymmetric Bochner tensor ( $R \cdot B = 0$ ) were considered. From the main results of [8] (Theorem) we can conclude that if the tensor  $B$  of a para-Kähler Riemannian manifold  $(M, g, J)$ ,  $n = 2m \geq 4$ , is semisymmetric then the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor  $R$  of  $(M, g, J)$  is semisymmetric on the subset  $\mathcal{U}_B \subset M$  consisting of all points of  $M$  at which  $B$  is non-zero. Most recently this statement was generalized as follows [9]: if the Bochner tensor  $B$  of a para-Kähler semi-Riemannian manifold  $(M, g, J)$ ,  $n = 2m \geq 4$ , is pseudosymmetric, i.e.  $R \cdot B = L_B Q(g, B)$  holds on  $\mathcal{U}_B$ , then the tensor  $R$  of  $(M, g, J)$  is semisymmetric on the set  $\mathcal{U}_B$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The first author (F.D.) acknowledges support from the project ‘‘Gauge theories, applied supersymmetry and quantum gravity’’, contract SC1-CT92-0789 of the European Economic Community.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Bochner, *Curvature and Betti numbers, II*, Ann. of Math. 50 (1949), 77–94.
- [2] F. Defever, R. Deszcz and L. Verstraelen, *On semisymmetric para-Kähler manifolds*, Acta Math. Hungar. 74 (1997), 7–17.
- [3] F. Defever, R. Deszcz, L. Verstraelen and L. Vrancken, *On pseudosymmetric spacetimes*, J. Math. Phys. 35 (1994), 5908–5921.

- [4] J. Deprez, R. Deszcz and L. Verstraelen, *Pseudosymmetry curvature conditions on hypersurfaces of Euclidean spaces and on Kählerian manifolds*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse 9 (1988), 183–192.
- [5] A. Derdziński, *Exemples de métriques de Kaehler et d'Einstein autoduales sur le plan complexe*, in: Géométrie riemannienne en dimension 4, Séminaire Arthur Besse 1978/79, Cedic/Fernand Nathan, Paris, 1981, 334–346.
- [6] R. Deszcz, *On pseudosymmetric spaces*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sér. A 44 (1992), 1–34.
- [7] R. Deszcz and W. Grycak, *On manifolds satisfying some curvature conditions*, Colloq. Math. 57 (1989), 89–92.
- [8] M. Hotłoś, *On a certain class of Kählerian manifolds*, Demonstratio Math. 12 (1979), 935–945.
- [9] —, *On holomorphically pseudosymmetric Kählerian manifolds*, in: Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds, VII, World Scientific, River Edge, N.J., 1995, 139–142.
- [10] C. C. Hsiung, *Almost Complex and Complex Structures*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.
- [11] Z. Olszak, *Bochner flat Kählerian manifolds with a certain condition on the Ricci tensor*, Simon Stevin 63 (1989), 295–303.
- [12] G. B. Rizza, *Varietà parakähleriane*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 98 (1974), 47–61.
- [13] S. Sawaki and K. Sekigawa, *Almost Hermitian manifolds with constant holomorphic sectional curvature*, J. Differential Geom. 9 (1974), 123–134.
- [14] Z. I. Szabó, *Structure theorems on Riemannian spaces satisfying  $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$ . I. The local version*, ibid. 17 (1982), 531–582.
- [15] S. Tachibana, *On the Bochner curvature tensor*, Natur. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ. 18 (1967), 15–19.
- [16] L. Verstraelen, *Comments on pseudosymmetry in the sense of Ryszard Deszcz*, in: Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds VI, World Scientific, River Edge, N.J., 1994, 199–209.
- [17] H. Yanamoto, *On orientable hypersurfaces of  $\mathbb{R}^7$  satisfying  $R(X, Y) \circ F = 0$* , Res. Rep. Nagaoka Tech. College 8 (1972), 9–14.
- [18] K. Yano and S. Bochner, *Curvature and Betti Numbers*, Ann. of Math. Stud. 32, Princeton Univ. Press, 1953.

Filip Defever  
 Institute of Theoretical Physics  
 Katholieke Universiteit Leuven  
 Celestijnenlaan 200 D  
 B-3001 Leuven, Belgium  
 E-mail: Filip.Defever@wis.kuleuven.ac.be

Ryszard Deszcz  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Agricultural University of Wrocław  
 Grunwaldzka 53  
 PL-50-375 Wrocław, Poland  
 E-mail: rysz@ozi.ar.wroc.pl

Leopold Verstraelen  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Katholieke Universiteit Leuven  
 Celestijnenlaan 200 B  
 B-3001 Leuven, Belgium  
 E-mail: Leopold.Verstraelen@wis.kuleuven.ac.be

Received 13 November 1996;  
 revised 27 January 1997