## A. FDIL (Marrakech)

## CONVERGENCE ACCELERATION BY THE $E_{+p}$ -ALGORITHM

Abstract. A new algorithm which generalizes the E-algorithm is presented. It is called the  $E_{+p}$ -algorithm. Some results on convergence acceleration for the  $E_{+p}$ -algorithm are proved. Some applications are given.

1. Introduction. Many convergent sequences  $(s_n)$  of complex numbers are of the form

(1) 
$$s_n = s + a_1 g_1(n) + \ldots + a_i g_i(n) + r_n,$$

where  $(g_i(n))$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,k$ , are known sequences satisfying for each i,  $g_{i+1}(n)=o(g_i(n))$  (i.e.  $g_{i+1}(n)/g_i(n)\to 0$  as  $n\to\infty$ ), the limit s of  $(s_n)$  and the coefficients  $a_i,\ i=1,\ldots,k$ , are unknown and  $r_n=o(g_k(n))$ .

When the sequences  $(g_i(n))$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,k$ , satisfy  $g_i(n+1)/g_i(n) \to b_i$  as  $n\to\infty$ , with some additional assumptions, the *E*-algorithm with  $(g_i(n))$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,k$ , as auxiliary sequences is effective for accelerating  $(s_n)$  (see [2,3,5]). However, in general, the *E*-algorithm cannot accelerate  $(s_n)$  when the sequences  $(g_i(n+1)/g_i(n))$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,k$ , are not convergent. This is, for example, the case of the sequence

$$s_n = g_1(n) + r_n,$$

where

$$g_1(2n) = \frac{1}{3^n}, \quad g_1(2n+1) = \frac{1}{3^n} + \frac{1}{5^n}, \quad r_{2n} = \frac{1}{4^n}, \quad r_{2n+1} = 0$$
for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ 

We have

$$\frac{g_1(2n+1)}{g_1(2n)} \xrightarrow{n} 1, \quad \frac{g_1(2n+2)}{g_1(2n+1)} \xrightarrow{n} \frac{1}{3}, \quad \frac{g_1(n+2)}{g_1(n)} \xrightarrow{n} \frac{1}{3}.$$

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 65B05.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:$  convergence acceleration, E-algorithm, linear periodic convergence, numerical quadrature.

The convergence of  $(s_n)$  is linear periodic of period 2. One can easily check that  $(s_n)$  is not accelerated by the sequence transformation

$$E_1:(s_n)\to \left(\frac{g_1(n+1)s_n-g_1(n)s_{n+1}}{g_1(n+1)-g_1(n)}\right)$$

which is the first step of the E-algorithm. However, the sequence transformation

$$E_{+2,1}:(s_n)\to \left(\frac{g_1(n+2)s_n-g_1(n)s_{n+2}}{g_1(n+2)-g_1(n)}\right)$$

does accelerate  $(s_n)$ .

The sequence transformation  $E_{+2,1}$  is a particular case of the sequence transformation

$$E_{+p,1}:(s_n)\to \left(\frac{g_1(n+p)s_n-g_1(n)s_{n+p}}{g_1(n+p)-g_1(n)}\right),$$

where p is a positive integer,  $p \ge 1$  and  $(g_1(n))$  is an auxiliary sequence. It includes the sequence transformation  $T_{+p}$  of Gray and Clark  $(g_1(n) = \Delta s_n)$  [7] and the process  $(\Delta_p^2)$  of Delahaye  $(g_1(n) = s_{n+p} - s_n)$  [4].

In order to accelerate convergence of sequences  $(s_n)$  of complex numbers of the form (1), where the  $g_i$  are such that

$$\frac{g_i((n+1)p+j)}{g_i(np+j)} \xrightarrow{n} b_{j,i} \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, p-1$$

(p is a fixed positive integer), we present in Section 2 a new algorithm called the  $E_{+p}$ -algorithm. Its first step is the preceding sequence transformation  $E_{+p,1}$ . It is a generalization of the E-algorithm.

In Section 3 we establish some results on convergence acceleration for the  $E_{+p}$ -algorithm. Section 4 is devoted to some applications of the  $E_{+p}$ algorithm. Numerical examples are given for illustrating the theoretical results.

## **2.** The $E_{+p}$ -algorithm. Let us begin with the following notations:

- N: the set of positive integers.
- $\bullet \mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \{0\}.$
- $\bullet$   $\mathbb{C}$ : the set of complex numbers.
- Re z: real part of the complex number z.
- $Conv(\mathbb{C})$ : the set of convergent sequences of complex numbers.
- If  $(s_n) \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{C})$ , then s denotes its limit.
- $u_n = o(v_n)$  means that  $u_n/v_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Let  $(s_n) \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{C})$  be such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

(2) 
$$s_n = s + a_1 g_1(n) + \ldots + a_i g_i(n) + \ldots,$$

where the  $g_i$  are some known sequences. We have

$$s_n = s + a_1 g_1(n) + \ldots + a_i g_i(n) + \ldots,$$
  
 $s_{n+p} = s + a_1 g_1(n+p) + \ldots + a_i g_i(n+p) + \ldots$ 

Thus

$$\frac{g_1(n+p)s_n - g_1(n)s_{n+p}}{g_1(n+p) - g_1(n)} = s + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} a_i \frac{g_1(n+p)g_i(n) - g_1(n)g_i(n+p)}{g_1(n+p) - g_1(n)}.$$

Set

$$E_{+p,1}^{(n)} = \frac{g_1(n+p)s_n - g_1(n)s_{n+p}}{g_1(n+p) - g_1(n)},$$

$$g_{1,i}^{(n)} = \frac{g_1(n+p)g_i(n) - g_1(n)g_i(n+p)}{g_1(n+p) - g_1(n)}, \quad n \ge 0, \ i \ge 2.$$

Thus

$$E_{+p,1}^{(n)} = s + a_2 g_{1,2}^{(n)} + \ldots + a_i g_{1,i}^{(n)} + \ldots$$

The sequence  $(E_{+p,1}^{(n)})$  is of the form (2). Consequently, the process can be repeated. Thus, we obtain the following  $E_{+p}$ -algorithm:

$$E_{+p,0}^{(n)} = s_n, \quad g_{0,i}^{(n)} = g_i(n), \qquad n \ge 0, \ i \ge 1,$$

$$E_{+p,k}^{(n)} = \frac{g_{k-1,k}^{(n+p)} E_{+p,k-1}^{(n)} - g_{k-1,k}^{(n)} E_{+p,k-1}^{(n+p)}}{g_{k-1,k}^{(n+p)} - g_{k-1,k}^{(n)}}, \quad n \ge 0, \ k \ge 1,$$

$$g_{k,j}^{(n)} = \frac{g_{k-1,k}^{(n+p)} g_{k-1,j}^{(n)} - g_{k-1,k}^{(n)} g_{k-1,j}^{(n+p)}}{g_{k-1,k}^{(n+p)} - g_{k-1,k}^{(n)}}, \quad n \ge 0, \ k \ge 1, \ j > k.$$

The sequences  $(g_i(n))$ ,  $i \ge 1$ , are called the auxiliary sequences of the  $E_{+p}$ -algorithm.

Remarks. 1. When p = 1, we obtain the E-algorithm.

- 2. For each j > k, the sequence  $(g_{k,j}^{(n)})_n$  is obtained by applying the sequence transformation  $E_{+p,k}: (s_n) \to (E_{+p,k}^{(n)})$  to the sequence  $(g_j(n))$ .
- 3. If the sequences  $(g_1(n)), \ldots, (g_k(n))$  do not depend (respectively depend) on  $(s_n)$ , then the sequence transformation  $E_{+p,k}$  is linear (respectively nonlinear).
- 4. The  $E_{+p}$ -algorithm can be generalized by replacing p by an integer p(n,k) (depending on n and k) in the rules of the  $E_{+p}$ -algorithm.

THEOREM 1. Let  $j \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$  and  $k \geq 0$ . Let  $E_{j,k}^{(n)}$ ,  $k \geq 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , be the quantities obtained by applying the E-algorithm (i.e. the  $E_{+1}$ -algorithm) to  $(s_{np+j})_n$  with  $(h_{j,i}(n)) = (g_i(np+j))$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ , as auxiliary sequences. Then  $E_{j,k}^{(n)} = E_{+p,k}^{(np+j)}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

Proof. By induction on k with the help of Remarks 1 and 2.

DEFINITION. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Let  $(s_n)$  be a sequence of complex numbers. We say that  $(s_n)$  is m-periodic if  $s_{n+m} = s_n$  for n = 0, 1, ...

Definition. Let T be a sequence transformation. The set of sequences  $(s_n)$  such that the sequence  $(T^{(n)})$  obtained by applying T to  $(s_n)$  is 1-periodic is called the kernel of T.

THEOREM 2 (see [2]). The kernel of the sequence transformation  $E_{+1,k}$ is the set of sequences  $(s_n)$  such that

$$s_n = s + a_1 g_1(n) + \ldots + a_k g_k(n), \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots$$

Theorem 3. The kernel of the sequence transformation  $E_{+p,k}$  is the set of sequences  $(s_n)$  of the form

$$s_n = s + a_1(n)g_1(n) + \ldots + a_k(n)g_k(n), \quad n \ge 0,$$

where the sequences  $(a_i(n))$ , i = 1, ..., k, are p-periodic.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorems 1 and 2.

Remark. The kernel of  $E_{+p,k}$  contains the kernel of  $E_{+1,k}$ .

Theorem 4 (see [2]). If for all n,

$$s_n = s + a_1 g_1(n) + \ldots + a_i g_i(n) + \ldots,$$

then for all k and n.

$$E_{+1,k}^{(n)} = s + a_{k+1}g_{k,k+1}^{(n)} + \dots + a_ig_{k,i}^{(n)} + \dots$$

An immediate consequence of Theorems 1 and 4 is

Theorem 5. If for all n,

$$s_n = s + a_1(n)q_1(n) + \ldots + a_i(n)q_i(n) + \ldots,$$

where the sequences  $(a_i(n)), i \geq 1$ , are p-periodic, then for all k and n,

$$E_{+p,k}^{(n)} = s + a_{k+1}(n)g_{k,k+1}^{(n)} + \ldots + a_i(n)g_{k,i}^{(n)} + \ldots$$

Let us now establish some results on convergence acceleration for the  $E_{+p}$ -algorithm.

**3. Convergence acceleration.** Let  $(s_n) \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

THEOREM 6. Assume that:

- 1.  $E_{+p,k-1}^{(n)} \to s \text{ as } n \to \infty$ . 2. There are  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n_0$  such that for all  $n \ge n_0$ ,

$$|g_{k-1,k}^{(n+p)}/g_{k-1,k}^{(n)}-1| \ge \varepsilon.$$

Then  $E_{+n,k}^{(n)} \to s$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Proof. We have

$$E_{+p,k}^{(n)} - s = (E_{+p,k-1}^{(n)} - s) + \frac{(E_{+p,k-1}^{(n)} - s) - (E_{+p,k-1}^{(n+p)} - s)}{g_{k-1,k}^{(n+p)}/g_{k-1,k}^{(n)} - 1}.$$

Thus

$$|E_{+p,k}^{(n)} - s| \le \left(1 + \frac{2}{|g_{k-1,k}^{(n+p)}/g_{k-1,k}^{(n)} - 1|}\right) \max(|E_{+p,k-1}^{(n)} - s|, |E_{+p,k-1}^{(n+p)} - s|),$$

and from assumptions 1 and 2 we get the assertion.  $\blacksquare$ 

THEOREM 7. Assume that:

1. 
$$E_{+n,k-1}^{(n)} \to s \text{ as } n \to \infty$$
.

1. 
$$E_{+p,k-1}^{(n)} \to s \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
  
2. For each  $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ ,  $g_{k-1,k}^{((n+1)p+j)}/g_{k-1,k}^{(np+j)} \to l_j \neq 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$ 

(i) 
$$E_{+p,k}^{(n)} \to s \text{ as } n \to \infty$$
.

(i) 
$$E_{+p,k}^{(n)} \to s \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
  
(ii)  $E_{+p,k}^{(n)} - s = o(E_{+p,k-1}^{(n)} - s) \text{ iff}$ 

$$\forall j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}, \quad \frac{E_{+p,k-1}^{((n+1)p+j)} - s}{E_{+p,k-1}^{(np+j)} - s} \xrightarrow{n} l_j.$$

Proof. (i) follows from Theorem 6

(ii) We have

(3) 
$$\frac{E_{+p,k}^{(n)} - s}{E_{+p,k-1}^{(n)} - s} = \frac{\frac{g_{k-1,k}^{(n+p)}}{g_{k-1,k}^{(n)}} - \frac{E_{+p,k-1}^{(n+p)} - s}{E_{+p,k-1}^{(n)} - s}}{\frac{g_{k-1,k}^{(n+p)}}{g_{k-1,k}^{(n)}} - 1},$$

(4) 
$$E_{+p,k}^{(n)} - s = o(E_{+p,k-1}^{(n)} - s)$$
 iff 
$$\forall j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}, \quad E_{+p,k}^{(np+j)} - s = o(E_{+p,k-1}^{(np+j)} - s).$$

From (3)–(4) and assumption 2 we get the assertion.

REMARK. If  $\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} l_j \neq 0$ , then

$$E_{+p,k}^{(n)} - s = o(E_{+p,k-1}^{(n+p)} - s) \quad \text{iff} \quad E_{+p,k}^{(n)} - s = o(E_{+p,k-1}^{(n)} - s).$$

Let  $L_p$  be the set of sequences  $(s_n) \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{C})$  such that for every  $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\},\$ 

$$\frac{s_{(n+1)p+j}-s}{s_{np+j}-s} \xrightarrow[n]{} a_j \in [-1,1[.$$

Then  $L_p$  contains the set  $P_p$  of sequences  $(s_n) \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{C})$  such that for all  $j \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ ,

$$\frac{s_{np+j+1}-s}{s_{np+j}-s} \xrightarrow{n} a_j \neq 1 \quad \text{with } 0 < \left| \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j \right| < 1$$

(i.e. the convergence of  $(s_n)$  is linear periodic of period p). The sequence transformation  $(\Delta_p^2)$  accelerates  $P_p$  (i.e.  $(\Delta_p^2)$  accelerates the convergence of each sequence  $(s_n) \in P_p$ ; see [4]).

THEOREM 8. The sequence transformation  $(\Delta_p^2)$  accelerates  $L_p$ . The sequence transformation  $T_{+p}$  accelerates the set of sequences  $(s_n) \in L_p$  such that for all  $j \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{s_{(n+1)p+j+1} - s_{(n+1)p+j}}{s_{np+j+1} - s_{np+j}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{s_{(n+1)p+j} - s}{s_{np+j} - s}.$$

In particular,  $T_{+p}$  accelerates  $P_p$ .

Proof. This follows from Theorem 7.

DEFINITION. We say that the auxiliary sequences  $(g_i(n))$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , of the  $E_{+p}$ -algorithm satisfy the *condition*  $(b_{+p})$  if for all  $i \geq 1$  and  $j \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ ,

$$\frac{g_i((n+1)p+j)}{g_i(np+j)} \xrightarrow{n} b_{j,i} \neq 1 \quad \text{with } b_{j,i} \neq b_{j,k} \text{ for } k \neq i.$$

REMARKS. 1. The condition  $(b_{+1})$  is a condition due to Brezinski, under which some results on convergence acceleration for the E-algorithm are proved in [2].

- 2. If the  $g_i$  satisfy the condition  $(b_{+1})$ , then the condition  $(b_{+p})$  is satisfied in the following cases:
  - (i)  $|b_{0,i}| \neq |b_{0,j}|$  for all  $i \neq j$ ;
  - (ii) the numbers  $b_i$  are real and  $b_{0,i}b_{0,j} > 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ ;
  - (iii) the numbers  $b_{0,i}$  are real and p is odd.

We assume in the sequel that the condition  $(b_{+p})$  is satisfied.

LEMMA. Let  $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ . For each  $k \ge 0$  and i > k,

$$\frac{g_{k,i}^{((n+1)p+j)}}{g_{k,i}^{(np+j)}} \xrightarrow[n]{} b_{j,i}.$$

Proof. By induction on k.

With the help of Theorem 7 and the Lemma, we can easily prove

THEOREM 9. Let  $(s_n) \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{C}), k \geq 1$ . Then:

1. 
$$E_{+p,k}^{(n)} \to s \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

2. 
$$E_{+p,k}^{(n)} - s = o(E_{+p,k-1}^{(n)} - s)$$
 iff for all  $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ ,
$$\frac{E_{+p,k-1}^{((n+1)p+j)} - s}{E_{+p,k-1}^{(np+j)} - s} \xrightarrow{n} b_{j,k}.$$

Definition. Let  $(f_i(n)), i = 1, 2, \ldots$ , be some sequences of complex numbers. Then  $(f_1, \ldots, f_i, \ldots)$  is called an asymptotic sequence if for each i,  $f_{i+1}(n) = o(f_i(n)).$ 

Let  $(f_1, \ldots, f_i, \ldots)$  be an asymptotic sequence. Let  $(t_n)$  be a sequence of complex numbers. The notation

$$t_n \approx a_1(n)f_1(n) + \ldots + a_k(n)f_k(n) + \ldots,$$

where for each i,  $(a_i(n))$  is a p-periodic sequence, means that for all  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$t_n = a_1(n)f_1(n) + \ldots + a_k(n)f_k(n) + o(f_k(n))$$
 as  $n \to \infty$ 

(i.e. for each  $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ ,  $(t_{np+j})$  has an asymptotic expansion with respect to  $(h_1, ..., h_i, ...)$  where  $(h_i(n)) = (f_i(np+j)), i = 1, 2, ...)$ .

By using the previous Lemma, we can easily prove

Theorem 10. If  $(g_1, \ldots, g_i, \ldots)$  is an asymptotic sequence, then so is  $(g_{k,k+1},\ldots,g_{k,i},\ldots)$  for each  $k\geq 1$ .

THEOREM 11. Let  $(s_n) \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{C})$ . Assume that:

- 1.  $(g_1, \ldots, g_i, \ldots)$  is an asymptotic sequence.
- 2.  $s_n s \approx a_1(n)g_1(n) + \ldots + a_i(n)g_i(n) + \ldots$  where  $(a_i(n))$  is p-periodic for each i.

For each  $k \geq 1$ , we have:

- (i)  $E_{+p,k}^{(n)} s \approx a_{k+1}(n)g_{k,k+1}^{(n)} + \dots + a_i(n)g_{k,i}^{(n)} + \dots$
- (ii) If  $a_i(n) = 0$  for all i > k and n, then  $E_{+p,k}^{(n)} = s$  for all n.
- (iii) Let  $j \in \{0, ..., p-1\}$ . If  $a_i(j) = 0$  for all i > k, then  $E_{+p,k}^{(np+j)} = s$ for all n. If the coefficients  $a_i(j)$ ,  $i \geq k$ , are not all zero, then

$$\frac{E_{+p,k}^{(np+j)} - s}{E_{+p,k-1}^{(np+j)} - s} \xrightarrow{n} \frac{b_{j,k} - b_{j,i_j}}{b_{j,k} - 1},$$

where 
$$i_j$$
 is the smallest index such that  $i_j \ge k$  and  $a_{i_j}(j) \ne 0$ .  
(iv) If  $\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} a_k(j) \ne 0$  then  $E_{+p,k}^{(n)} - s = o(E_{+p,k-1}^{(n+p)} - s)$ .

Proof. (i) By induction on k.

(ii), (iii) and (iv) follow from (i). ■

REMARK. If  $\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} a_k(j) \neq 0$  for each  $k \geq 1$ , then  $E_{+p,k}^{(n)} - s =$  $o(E_{+p,k-1}^{(n+p)} - s)$  for all  $k \ge 1$ .

An immediate consequence of Theorem 11 is

COROLLARY. Let  $(s_n) \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{C})$ . Assume that  $(g_1, \ldots, g_i, \ldots)$  is an asymptotic sequence. If

$$s_n - s \approx a_1 g_1(n) + \ldots + a_i g_i(n) + \ldots,$$

where  $a_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ , then  $E_{+p,k}^{(n)} - s = o(E_{+p,k-1}^{(n+p)} - s)$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

THEOREM 12. Let  $(s_n) \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{C})$ . Assume that:

1. For each  $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ ,

(5) 
$$s_{np+j} - s \approx \lambda_j^n n^{\alpha_j} \left( a_{j,0} + \frac{a_{j,1}}{n^{\alpha_{j,1}}} + \ldots + \frac{a_{j,i}}{n^{\alpha_{j,i}}} + \ldots \right),$$

where  $0 < |\lambda_j| < 1$ ,  $a_{j,0} \neq 0$ ,  $0 < \operatorname{Re} \alpha_{j,1} < \operatorname{Re} \alpha_{j,2} < \ldots < \operatorname{Re} \alpha_{j,i} < \ldots$ 

2. The auxiliary sequences  $(g_i(n))$  of the  $E_{+p}$ -algorithm are such that for all  $i \ge 1$  and  $j \in \{0, ..., p-1\},\$ 

$$g_i(np+j) \approx \lambda_j^n n^{\theta_{j,i}} \left( a_{j,i,0} + \frac{a_{j,i,1}}{n^{\alpha_{j,i,1}}} + \dots + \frac{a_{j,i,k}}{n^{\alpha_{j,i,k}}} + \dots \right),$$

with  $a_{j,i,0} \neq 0$ ,  $0 < \operatorname{Re} \alpha_{j,i,1} < \operatorname{Re} \alpha_{j,i,2} < \ldots < \operatorname{Re} \alpha_{j,i,k} < \ldots$ 

Then for each  $k \ge 1$  and each  $j \in \{0, ..., p-1\}$ , either there exists  $n_0$  such that  $E_{+p,k}^{(np+j)} = s$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ , or  $E_{+p,k}^{(np+j)} - s = o(E_{+p,k-1}^{((n+1)p+j)} - s)$ and

$$E_{+p,k}^{(np+j)} - s \approx \lambda_j^n n^{\beta_{j,k}} \left( b_{j,k,0} + \frac{b_{j,i,1}}{n^{\beta_{j,i,1}}} + \dots + \frac{b_{j,i,k}}{n^{\beta_{j,i,k}}} + \dots \right),$$

with  $b_{j,k,0} \neq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} \beta_{j,k} \leq \operatorname{Re} \alpha_j - k$ ,  $0 < \operatorname{Re} \beta_{j,i,1} < \operatorname{Re} \beta_{j,i,2} < \dots$  $\ldots < \operatorname{Re} \beta_{j,i,k} < \ldots$ 

Proof. By induction on k.

Theorem 12 generalizes a result for the E-algorithm (i.e. p=1) given in [5].

DEFINITION. The  $E_{+p}$ -algorithm is called *effective* on  $(s_n)$  if for all  $k \geq 1$ , either  $E_{+p,k}$  is exact on  $(s_n)$  (i.e. there exists  $n_0$  such that  $E_{+p,k}^{(n)} = s$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ ) or  $E_{+p,k}^{(n)} - s = o(E_{+p,k-1}^{(n+p)} - s)$ 

Theorem 13. Assume that  $(s_n)$  satisfies (5). The  $E_{+p}$ -algorithm with the following particular auxiliary sequences is effective on  $(s_n)$ :

I. 
$$g_i(n) = s_{n+ip} - s_{n+(i-1)p}, i \ge 1;$$

II.  $g_1(np+j) = \lambda_j^{np+j} n^{\beta_j}, \ \beta_j \in \mathbb{C}, \ j=0,\ldots,p-1 \ and \ g_i(n) =$  $s_{n+(i-1)p} - s_{n+(i-2)p}$  for  $i \ge 2$ ;

III. 
$$g_i(n) = (s_n - s_{n-p})/n^{i-1}, i \ge 1;$$
  
IV.  $g_i(n) = (s_n - s_{n-p})/n^{i-2}, i \ge 1;$ 

IV. 
$$g_i(n) = (s_n - s_{n-p})/n^{i-2}, i \ge 1;$$

V. 
$$g_i(n) = \frac{(s_{n+p} - s_n)(s_n - s_{n-p})^2}{(s_{n+p} - 2s_n + s_{n-p})n^{i-1}}, i \ge 1;$$
  
VI.  $g_i(np+j) = \lambda_j^{np+j}(n+i)^{\beta_j}, \ \beta_j \in \mathbb{C}, \ i \ge 1, \ j = 0, \dots, p-1.$ 

 ${\bf P}\,{\bf r}\,{\bf o}\,{\bf o}\,{\bf f}.$  This follows immediately from Theorem 12.

Let us mention that in the cases considered in Theorem 13 the  $E_{+p}$ -algorithm is a generalization of the  $\varepsilon$ -algorithm (case I), the process p (case II), the transformation T of Levin (case III), the transformation U of Levin (case IV), the transformation V of Levin (case V), and the G-transformation (case VI).

**4. Applications.** Let  $(s_n)$  be a convergent sequence such that the error  $s_n - s$  has an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$s_n - s \approx a_1 g_1(n) + \ldots + a_i g_i(n) + \ldots,$$

where for all  $i \geq 1$  and  $j \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ ,

$$\frac{g_i(np+j)}{g_i(np+j-1)} \xrightarrow{n} b_{j,i}$$

with

$$\prod_{m=0}^{p-1} b_{m,i} \neq 0, 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{m=0}^{p-1} b_{m,i} \neq \prod_{m=0}^{p-1} b_{m,k} \quad \text{for } i \neq k.$$

The auxiliary sequences  $(g_i(n)), i \geq 1$ , satisfy the condition  $(b_{+p})$ . Consequently, we can use the  $E_{+p}$ -algorithm for accelerating  $(s_n)$ .

If there exist  $i_0 \geq 1$  and  $r, s \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$  such that  $b_{r,i_0} \neq b_{s,i_0}$  then  $(g_{i_0(n+1)}/g_{i_0(n)})$  is not convergent. Hence, we cannot use Brezinski's result [2] and Fdil's result [5] for the *E*-algorithm.

Assume that the auxiliary sequences  $(g_i(n))$ ,  $i \ge 1$ , of the *E*-algorithm are such that for all  $k \ge 0$  and i > k,

$$\frac{g_{k,i}^{(n+1)}}{g_{k,i}^{(n)}} \xrightarrow[n]{} b_i \quad \text{with } 1 > b_1 \ge \dots \ge b_i \ge \dots$$

If some numbers  $b_i$  are close to 1, the E-algorithm is numerically unstable. Choose a positive integer  $p^*$  (odd if there exists i such that  $b_i = -1$ ) such that  $b_1^{p^*}$  is not close to 1 (for example,  $b_1^{p^*} \leq 0.8$ ). Then the condition  $(b_{+p^*})$  is satisfied and the  $E_{+p^*}$ -algorithm with  $(g_i(n))$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , as auxiliary sequences is numerically stable. Consequently, we can use the  $E_{+p^*}$ -algorithm instead of the E-algorithm for accelerating the convergence. For illustration, consider the sequence

$$s_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (k+1)(k+2)(.9)^k, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

It is convergent to s = 2000. From a result due to Wimp [14, p. 19], we get

$$s_n - s \approx a_1 g_1(n) + \ldots + a_i g_i(n) + \ldots$$

with 
$$g_i(n) = (.9)^n (n+1)^{2-i+1}, i \ge 1, n \ge 0.$$

Applying the E-algorithm and the  $E_{+4}$ -algorithm to  $(s_n)$ , with  $g_i(n)$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , as auxiliary sequences, we obtain

n	$E_n^{(0)}$	$E_{+4,n/4}^{(0)}$
4	2000.00000000115	2.934258724233079
8	2000.000000001432	28.04921739106413
12	2000.000000010298	1999.99999999997
16	2000.000000096219	2000.0000000000004
20	2000.000060098672	2000.0000000000002
24	1999.999887612695	1999.99999999999

Let us now give another application of the  $E_{+p}$ -algorithm.

The use of some quadrature formulas for computing the integral  $s = \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 f(x_1, \dots, x_k) dx_1 \dots dx_k$ , where f does not have a logarithmic singularity, often leads to an asymptotic expansion of the form

(6) 
$$T(h) - s \approx a_1 h^{\gamma_1} + \ldots + a_k h^{\gamma_k} + \ldots,$$

where T(h) is an approximate value of s, associated with the step length h ([0,1] is divided into 1/h subintervals of length h),  $0 < \gamma_1 < \ldots < \gamma_i < \ldots$  (see, for example, [6, 8–12]).

Let  $(h_n)$  be a sequence of step lengths. Set  $s_n = T(h_n), n \geq 0$ , and  $g_i(n) = h_n^{\gamma_i}$  for  $i \geq 1, n \geq 0$ . Thus

$$s_n - s \approx a_1 g_1(n) + \ldots + a_i g_i(n) + \ldots$$

For the choice  $h_n = 1/2^n$ ,  $n \ge 0$  (geometric sequence), the auxiliary sequences  $(g_i(n))$ ,  $i \ge 1$ , satisfy the condition  $(b_{+1})$   $(b_i = 1/2^{\gamma_i})$  and the E-algorithm is numerically stable. Consequently, we can compute s with high accuracy. The disadvantage of this choice is that the number of function evaluations is doubled from one step to the next.

The choice  $h_n = 1/(n+1)$ ,  $n \ge 0$  (harmonic sequence), is the most economic in terms of the number of function evaluations. However, the E-algorithm with  $(g_i(n))$ ,  $i \ge 1$ , as auxiliary sequences is numerically unstable

Håvie [9] proposed the following general choice:

$$h_{2n} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0 M^n}, \quad h_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{\sigma_1 M^n}, \quad n \ge 0,$$

where  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, M \in \mathbb{N}^*$  with  $1 \le \sigma_0 < \sigma_1, \ 2 \le M$ .

$\sigma_0$	$\sigma_1$	M	$(h_n)$
1	2	4	$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$
1	2	3	Bauer
2	3	2	Bulirsch

For this general choice, we have, for all  $i \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\frac{g_i(2n+1)}{g_i(2n)} = \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1}\right)^{\gamma_i}, \quad \frac{g_i(2n+2)}{g_i(2n+1)} = \left(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_0 M}\right)^{\gamma_i}.$$

The sequences  $(g_i(n))$ ,  $i \ge 1$ , satisfy the condition  $(b_{+2})$ . Consequently, the  $E_{+2}$ -algorithm can be used for accelerating  $(s_n)$ .

Let p be a positive integer,  $p \geq 2$ . Put

$$h_j = \frac{1}{2^j}, \quad h_{p(n+1)+j} = \frac{1}{2^{n+p}+j}, \quad j = 0, \dots, p-1, \ n = 0, 1, \dots$$

This choice is more economical than Håvie's choice. We have, for all  $i \geq 1$ ,

$$\frac{g_i(np)}{g_i(np-1)} \xrightarrow{n} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\gamma_i}, \quad \frac{g_i(np+j)}{g_i(np+j-1)} \xrightarrow{n} 1 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, p-1,$$

$$\frac{g_i(n+p)}{g_i(n)} \xrightarrow{n} b_i = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\gamma_i}.$$

The condition  $(b_{+p})$  is satisfied and the  $E_{+p}$ -algorithm is numerically stable. Thus, we can use the  $E_{+p}$ -algorithm for computing s with high accuracy. Note that the E-algorithm with  $g_i(n) = h_n^{\gamma_i}$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , as auxiliary sequences is numerically unstable because for all  $i \geq 1$ , the sequence  $(g_i(n+1)/g_i(n))$  has 1 as an accumulation point.

EXAMPLE: 
$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} dx = 2.$$

Let T(h) be the approximate value of  $s = \int_0^1 (1/\sqrt{x}) dx$  computed by the rectangular method:

$$T(h) = h \sum_{k=0}^{1/h-1} ((k+1/2)h)^{-1/2}.$$

The function T(h) has an asymptotic expansion of the form (6), with  $\gamma_i = (2i-1)/2$  for i=1,2,...

Let  $(h_n)$  be the preceding sequence of step lengths with p=4. Applying the E-algorithm and the  $E_{+4}$ -algorithm to the sequence  $s_n=T(h_n)$ , we obtain

n	$E_n^{(0)}$	$E_{+4,n/4}^{(0)}$
8	2.000005028488225	2.000267155507016
16	2.000000047703804	2.000001670788114
24	2.000002619190568	2.000000068392044
32	1.99977686651984	2.000000003881382
40	2.203733445234798	2.00000000023691
48	0.5596855849893074	2.000000000014719

We see that the  $E_{+4}$ -algorithm is more effective than the E-algorithm for accelerating  $(s_n)$ .

## References

- [1] C. Brezinski, Algorithmes d'Accélération de la Convergence en Analyse Numérique. Etude numérique, Technip, Paris, 1978.
- [2] —, A general extrapolation algorithm, Numer. Math. 35 (1980), 175–187.
- [3] C. Brezinski and M. Redivo Zaglia, Extrapolation Methods, Theory and Practice, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991.
- [4] J. P. Delahaye, Sequence Transformations, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [5] A. Fdil, Some results on convergence acceleration for the E-algorithm, Appl. Math. (Warsaw) 24 (1997), 393–413.
- [6] L. Fox, Romberg integration for a class of singular integrands, Comput. J. 10 (1967), 87–93.
- [7] H. L. Gray and W. D. Clark, On a class of nonlinear transformations and their applications to the evaluation of infinite series, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards Sect. B 73 (1969), 251–274.
- [8] T. Håvie, Error derivation in Romberg integration, BIT 12 (1972), 516–527.
- [9] —, Generalized Neville type extrapolation schemes, ibid. 19 (1979), 204–213.
- [10] D. C. Joyce, Survey of extrapolation processes in numerical analysis, SIAM Rev. 13 (1971), 435–490.
- [11] J. N. Lyness, Applications of extrapolation techniques to multidimensional quadrature of some integrand functions with a singularity, J. Comput. Phys. 20 (1976), 346–364.
- [12] J. N. Lyness and B. W. Ninham, Numerical quadrature and asymptotic expansions, Math. Comp. 21 (1967), 162–177.
- [13] C. Schneider, Vereinfachte Rekursionen zur Richardson-Extrapolation in Spezialfallen, Numer. Math. 24 (1975), 177–184.
- [14] J. Wimp, Sequence Transformations and their Applications, Academic Press, New York, 1984.

A. Fdil Département de Mathématiques E.N.S. de Marrakech B.P. S 41 40000 Marrakech, Morocco

Received on 28.5.1997