

On certain continued fraction expansions of fixed period length

by

A. J. VAN DER POORTEN (Sydney, N.S.W.) and
H. C. WILLIAMS (Winnipeg, Man.)

1. Introduction. It is an interesting problem to detect infinite families of positive integers D for which one can readily describe the fundamental unit of the quadratic number field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$. We will discuss here a class of cases $D = F(X)$ with F a polynomial of even degree and with leading coefficient a square, for which one obtains particularly small units, essentially because the period length is independent of the integer parameter X . That of course means a particularly large class number for the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$.

The context is a result of Schinzel [4], [5], who shows that if F is an integer-valued polynomial, either of odd degree, or of even degree with its leading coefficient not a square, then as the integer X varies one has $\overline{\lim} \text{lp}(\sqrt{F(X)}) = \infty$; here $\text{lp}(\delta)$ denotes the length of the period of the continued fraction expansion of the quadratic irrational δ . On the other hand, in the quadratic case Schinzel shows that $\overline{\lim} \text{lp}(\sqrt{F(X)}) < \infty$ if and only if $F(X) = A^2X^2 + BX + C$ with $A > 0$, discriminant $\Delta = B^2 - 4A^2C \neq 0$ and $\Delta \mid 4(2A^2, B)^2$. Well known examples of such F include the Richaud–Degert types: $A^2X^2 \pm A$, $A^2X^2 \pm 2A$, and $A^2X^2 \pm 4A$, which provide periods of length at most 12. As these Richaud–Degert types have been fully investigated (see, for example, Theorem 3.2.1 of Mollin [1]), we will exclude them from our investigations here.

It will also be convenient to deal only with those $F(X)$ such that $2 \mid A$ and $2 \mid B$. There is no loss of generality in doing so as we can divide the possible values of X into even ($X = 2W$) or odd ($X = 2W + 1$) integers and

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11A55; Secondary 30B70.

Key words and phrases: periodic continued fraction, period length.

The work of the first author was supported in part by a grant from the Australian Research Council, whilst the research of the second author was supported by NSERC Canada grant #A7649.

write

$$F(X) = G(W) = A'^2W^2 + B'W + C',$$

where, if $X = 2W$,

$$A' = 2A, \quad B' = 2B, \quad C' = C,$$

or, if $X = 2W + 1$,

$$A' = 2A, \quad B' = 4A^2 + 2B, \quad C' = A^2 + B + C.$$

In either case we get $\Delta' = B'^2 - A'^2C = 4\Delta$ and $2(2A^2, B) \mid (2A'^2, B')$; hence $\Delta' \mid 4(2A'^2, B')^2$ whenever $\Delta \mid 4(2A^2, B)^2$. As we may always assume that $2 \mid B$ it will be convenient in what follows to replace B by $2B$ in $F(X)$ and rewrite it as

$$F(X) = A^2X^2 + 2BX + C.$$

In this case Schinzel's condition becomes $B^2 - A^2C \mid 4(A^2, B)^2$.

In [6], Stender determines the fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ when $D = F(X)$ with F quadratic as above, provided that D is squarefree. In this paper we consider the quadratic case only. We find that for $X > 0$, Schinzel's condition, together with $(A^2, 2B, C)$ squarefree, entails that the "approximation" $AX + B/A$ to $\sqrt{F(X)}$ usually provides the first half of a period of $\sqrt{F(X)}$. Thus, aside from some possibly degenerate cases with X small and a special case we are about to allude to, the period of $\sqrt{F(X)}$ is not just of bounded, but in fact of constant length. However, if $F(X) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and both the numerator and denominator, after division by the greatest common divisor of A and B , of the approximation $AX + B/A$ are odd, then the expansion of that approximation provides just the first sixth of the period. Indeed, we shall show that, under our conditions, if $C \leq 0$ or C is a perfect square, then $F(X)$ is of Richaud–Degert type; but if C is positive and not a square then the continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{F(X)}$ can usually be expressed very simply in terms of the continued fraction of \sqrt{C} . Furthermore, we show that no matter how large a value of N is selected there are always some A, B, C obeying Schinzel's condition such that $\text{lp}(\sqrt{F(X)}) > N$. Furthermore, this value of the period length is independent of X as long as X is large enough to avoid some degenerate cases. For example, we must have X large enough that $F(X)$ cannot be a perfect square; this will certainly be the case if $2(A^2X + |B|) > |\Delta|$. We should mention that some of our results were known to Stern [7], but we will be more general than he and use different techniques.

2. Preliminary observations. To begin our investigation it is necessary to characterise those values of A, B, C such that $B^2 - A^2C \mid 4(A^2, B)^2$, and $(A^2, 2B, C)$ is squarefree.

LEMMA 2.1. Set $S = (A, B)$ and $(B/S)^2 - (A/S)^2 C = G^2 H$, where H is squarefree. If $B^2 - A^2 C$ divides $4(A^2, B)^2$, then GH divides $2A, 2B/S$ and $2S$, and $G^2 H$ divides $4(A^2, 2B, C)$. Therefore if $(A^2, 2B, C)$ is squarefree, then $G = 1, 2$.

PROOF. Since $G^2 H \mid 4(A^2/S, B/S)^2$ and $(A/S, B/S) = 1$, it follows that $GH \mid 2(S, B/S)$. Also, since $GH \mid 2B/S$ we must have $G^2 H \mid 4C$; hence, $G^2 H \mid 4(A^2, 2B, C)$. ■

THEOREM 2.2. Assume that $B^2 - A^2 C$ divides $4(A^2, B)^2$ and $(A^2, 2B, C)$ is squarefree. Then $F(X) = A^2 X^2 + 2BX + C$ is of Richaud–Degert type when $C \leq 0$ or C is a perfect square; that is $F(X) = R^2 + S$ where S divides $4R$.

PROOF. If $C = 0$, then $B \mid 2A^2$ and since $\gcd(A^2, 2B)$ is squarefree, we must have $B \mid 2A$ and $F(X) = A^2 X^2 + 2BX$ where $2BX \mid 4AX$. This is of Richaud–Degert type. If $C < 0$, we see from Lemma 2.1 that

$$H(2B/(SGH))^2 + (A/S)^2 4C/(G^2 H) = 4.$$

We must have $H > 0$ and $H(2B/(SGH))^2 \leq 3$; hence $2|B| = SG|H|$ and $|H| = 1, 2, 3$. If $|H| = 2$, then $|A| = S$, $2|C| = 2G^2$ and $|B| = SG$. By Lemma 2.1 we get $G \mid A$; it follows that $F(X) = A^2 X^2 \pm 2|A|GX - G^2 = (|A|X \pm G)^2 - 2G^2$, where by Lemma 2.1 we have $2G^2 \mid 4(|A|X \pm G)$. If $|H| = 1$ or 3 , then $4|C| = 3G^2$, $|A| = S$, $2|B| = SG|H|$. Since $G = 1, 2$ we must get $G = 2, C = -3$, $|B| = S|H|$, $|A| = S$. Hence, $F(X) = (SX \pm H)^2 - H^2 - 3$, where, by Lemma 2.1, $H \mid S$. Since $H^2 + 3 \mid 4H$ when $H = 1, 3$ we see that $F(X)$ is of Richaud–Degert type.

Now suppose $C = K^2$. Since $G^2 H \mid 4C$, we get $GH \mid 2K$ and

$$\left(\frac{2B}{SGH}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{2K}{GH}\right)^2 \left(\frac{A}{S}\right)^2 = \frac{4}{H};$$

thus $|H| = 1, 2$. Since a difference of two squares can never be 2, we must have $|H| = 1$. Since two squares can differ by 4 only when both are even, we get $2 \mid (2B/(SG))$ and $2 \mid (2K/G)$; hence,

$$|B/(SG)| + (K/G)|A/S| = 1$$

and $B = 0$, $|A| = S$, $K = G$. Since $F(X) = A^2 X^2 + G^2$ and $G = 1, 2$, we get $G^2 \mid 4AX$ and $F(X)$ is of Richaud–Degert type. ■

Note that if $X < 0$, we may write $F(X) = A^2|X|^2 - 2B|X| + C$; thus, we may always assume that $X > 0$. Also, if we put $X = W + h$, then

$$F(X) = G(W) = A^2(W + h)^2 + 2B(W + h) + C = A'^2 W^2 + 2B'W + C',$$

where $A' = A$, $B' = A^2 h + B$, $C' = A^2 h^2 + 2Bh + C$. We get $\Delta' = 4B'^2 - 4A'^2 C' = 4B^2 - 4A^2 C = \Delta$, $(A'^2, B') = (A^2, B)$, and $(A'^2, 2B', C') =$

$(A^2, 2B, C)$. Thus, since $B' > 0$ for $h > -B/A^2$, we may assume that $B > 0$ for X large enough. Indeed, since

$$C' - G^4 H^2 = A^2(h^2 - G^4 H^2/A^2) + Bh + C,$$

we see that $C' > G^4 H^2$ when $h > G^2 H/A \geq 2G \geq 4$. Thus, we may also assume that $C > G^4 H^2$ for X large enough.

From all of these observations it is clear that if the conditions of Theorem 2.2 hold, and $F(X)$ is not of Richaud–Degert type, then we may assume with no loss of generality that $F(X) = A^2 X^2 + 2BX + C$, where $X > 0$, $2 \mid A$, $A > 0$, C is not a perfect integral square, and $B > 0$, $C > G^4 H^2$ for X large enough. To avoid repeating all of these conditions in the sequel, we will simply use the expression $F(W) = A^2 W^2 + 2BW + C$ to represent a form satisfying all of these conditions.

3. Continued fractions. Suppose D is a positive integer, not a square, and let δ be an integer of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ with trace t and norm n . In pursuing the continued fraction expansion of δ one obtains a sequence $((\delta + P_h)/Q_h)$ of complete quotients and a sequence (c_h) of partial quotients given by the formulae

$$(\delta + P_h)/Q_h = c_h - (\bar{\delta} + P_{h+1})/Q_h \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Norm}(\delta + P_{h+1}) = -Q_h Q_{h+1}.$$

Here $\bar{\delta}$ denotes the conjugate of δ , and plainly $t + P_h + P_{h+1} = c_h Q_h$. The usual notation for continued fractions has us write

$$\delta = [c_0, c_1, \dots, c_h, (\delta + P_{h+1})/Q_{h+1}].$$

We denote the convergents $[c_0, c_1, \dots, c_h]$ by x_h/y_h . It is often convenient to drop subscripts, writing $x_h = x$, $x_{h-1} = x'$, and so forth. Then we have the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} x & -ny \\ y & x - ty \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} x & x' \\ y & y' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & P \\ 0 & Q \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} c_h & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & P \\ 0 & Q \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where $P = P_{h+1}$, $Q = Q_{h+1}$; hence $x^2 - txy + ny^2 = (-1)^{h+1}Q$. In particular, the case $Q = Q_{h+1} = 1$ ($P_{h+1} = P_1 = c_0$) yields a nontrivial solution

$$X^2 - tXY + nY^2 = (X - \delta Y)(X - \bar{\delta} Y) = \pm 1$$

to ‘‘Pell’s equation’’. A central remark is that

LEMMA 3.1. *A nontrivial solution $X^2 - tXY + nY^2 = \pm 1$ to ‘‘Pell’s equation’’ formally corresponds to a period of δ in that*

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & -nY \\ Y & X - tY \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} a_{r+1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

entails $\delta = [\bar{a}_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r+1}]$.

For details see [2] or [3]. Note, however, that the entries in the “period” may not be *admissible*, as they might not all be positive. For example, $\delta = (\sqrt{D} + 1)/2$ has a periodic expansion of the shape

$$[\overline{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_r, 0}] = [a_0, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_r, 0, a_0}] = [a_0, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_r + a_0}].$$

The case $Q = 1$, signalling a complete period—and thus halfway to two such periods—is a special case of $Q \mid t + 2P$, signalling halfway to a period. We note

LEMMA 3.2. *Suppose $(\delta + P)/Q$ is a complete quotient of the quadratic integer δ with norm n and trace t . If $Q \mid t + 2P$ then $Q \mid t^2 - 4n$; and if Q is squarefree and $Q \mid t^2 - 4n$ then $Q \mid t + 2P$.*

Proof. It is easy to verify that every complete quotient has $Q \mid \text{Norm}(\delta + P)$, that is, $Q \mid n + tP + P^2$. Hence $4Q \mid (t^2 - 4n) - (t + 2P)^2$ and the claims are immediate. ■

Of course this is well known and says no more than that the \mathbb{Z} -module $\langle Q, \delta + P \rangle$ is equal to its conjugate essentially when its norm Q is squarefree and divides the discriminant $t^2 - 4n$. The point is that it is easy to check that such \mathbb{Z} -modules—to wit, with $Q \mid \text{Norm}(\delta + P)$ —are $\mathbb{Z}[\delta]$ -modules, and thus precisely the ideals of the order $\mathbb{Z}[\delta]$. The condition just mentioned is the *ambiguity* of the ideal. These matters are discussed in extenso in [2].

We will find it useful to introduce the definitions

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

the point being that

$$\begin{pmatrix} c & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} J = R^c, \quad J \begin{pmatrix} c & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = L^c,$$

whilst $J^2 = I$. This notation allows one the alternative of viewing a product

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} c_h & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots,$$

corresponding to a continued fraction expansion, as an R – L sequence

$$R^{c_0} L^{c_1} R^{c_2} L^{c_3} \dots$$

LEMMA 3.3. *If $x^2 - txy + ny^2 = \pm Q$, and $Q \mid t + 2P$, then x/y yields half a period of δ .*

Proof. It is convenient to notice that a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & -ny \\ y & x - ty \end{pmatrix} R^t J = \begin{pmatrix} -ny + tx & x \\ x & y \end{pmatrix}$$

is symmetric. Hence, if $t + 2P = cQ$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} x & -ny \\ y & x - ty \end{pmatrix}^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} x & x' \\ y & y' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & P \\ 0 & Q \end{pmatrix} R^t J \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ P & Q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ x' & y' \end{pmatrix} J R^{-t} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} x & x' \\ y & y' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t + 2P & Q \\ Q & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ y' & x' \end{pmatrix} R^{-t} \\ &= Q \begin{pmatrix} x & x' \\ y & y' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ y' & x' \end{pmatrix} R^{-t}, \end{aligned}$$

yielding a product of unimodular matrices corresponding to the expansion

$$[c_0, c_1, \dots, c_h, c, c_h, \dots, c_1, c_0 - t, 0];$$

that is,

$$\delta = [c_0, \overline{c_1, \dots, c_h, c, c_h, \dots, c_1}, 2c_0 - t].$$

Here we use the observation that if the continued fraction $[c_0, c_1, \dots, c_h]$ corresponds to the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} x & x' \\ y & y' \end{pmatrix}$, then the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ y' & x' \end{pmatrix}$ corresponds to the expansion $[c_h, c_{h-1}, \dots, c_0, 0]$. ■

We also point out that if some $Q \mid t + 2P$, then $Q = Q_n$ where $n \equiv \text{lp}(\delta)/2 \pmod{\text{lp}(\delta)}$ and $P_n = P_{n+1}$.

We conclude these ‘‘rappels’’ by recalling that

LEMMA 3.4. *If $D > 0$, and x, y are integers satisfying $x^2 - Dy^2 = K$ where $|K| < \sqrt{D}$, then x/y is a convergent in the continued fraction expansion of \sqrt{D} .*

4. A continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{A^2W^2 + 2BW + C}$. We set out to expand \sqrt{D} , where $D = A^2W^2 + 2BW + C$. As in Lemma 2.1, put $S = \text{gcd}(A, B)$; so $S \mid B$. We notice that

$$\sqrt{D} = AW + B/A - (-\sqrt{D} + AW + B/A),$$

suggesting we consider the approximation u/v of \sqrt{D} , where $v = A/S$ and $u = (A^2/S)W + B/S$. We compute that

$$u^2 - Dv^2 = (B^2 - A^2C)/S^2 = G^2H,$$

where H is squarefree.

We set $x = B/S$, $y = A/S$ and remark that

$$u/v - AW = B/A = [c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n] = x/y.$$

This may appear not well defined. Thus we shall insist that $c_n \geq 2$ unless $B/A = 0$ or 1 , cases which are excluded by our insistence that D not be of

Richaud–Degert type. Then, writing $x'/y' = [c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} u & Dv \\ v & u \end{pmatrix} &= R^{AW} \begin{pmatrix} B/S & AC/S \\ A/S & B/S \end{pmatrix} R^{AW} \\ &= R^{AW} \begin{pmatrix} x & x' \\ y & y' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & P \\ 0 & G^2|H| \end{pmatrix} R^{AW}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $(x'y - y'x)P = xx' - yy'C$, which is $\pm P = y'AC/S - x'B/S$. The square of the matrix above is readily seen ⁽¹⁾ to be

$$\begin{aligned} R^{AW} \begin{pmatrix} x & x' \\ y & y' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & P \\ 0 & G^2|H| \end{pmatrix} R^{2AW} \begin{pmatrix} P & G^2|H| \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ y' & x' \end{pmatrix} R^{AW} \\ = R^{AW} \begin{pmatrix} x & x' \\ y & y' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2P + 2AW & G^2|H| \\ G^2|H| & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ y' & x' \end{pmatrix} R^{AW}. \end{aligned}$$

The equation for P and Lemma 2.1 show that always $GH \mid 2P + 2AW$, so the product has the constant divisor GH . In other words, if we “nearly” disregard a possibly unpleasant 2, we see that

$$|GH|^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u & Dv \\ v & u \end{pmatrix}^2$$

is “nearly” a unimodular matrix and “nearly” corresponds to a period of \sqrt{D} .

THEOREM 4.1. *Suppose $G = 1$ in Lemma 2.1. Then, if $|H| > 1$, we get*

$$\sqrt{D} = [AW + c_0, \overrightarrow{w}, \overline{2(P + AW)/|H|}, \overleftarrow{w}, \overline{2(AW + c_0)}],$$

where $x/y = B/A = [c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n]$. Since we set $\overrightarrow{w} = c_1, \dots, c_n$ for brevity, we also write $\overleftarrow{w} = c_n, c_{n-1}, \dots, c_1$. If $|H| = 1$, then

$$\sqrt{D} = [AW + c_0, \overrightarrow{w}, \overline{2(AW + c_0)}].$$

Proof. The claim follows easily from Lemma 3.1 and

$$\begin{pmatrix} u & Dv \\ v & u \end{pmatrix}^2 = |H| R^{AW} \begin{pmatrix} x & x' \\ y & y' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2(P + AW)/|H| & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ y' & x' \end{pmatrix} R^{AW}.$$

Note that if $|H| = 1$, then $Q = 1$, $P = P_1 = c_0$. ■

THEOREM 4.2. *If $G = 2$ in Lemma 2.1, then $D \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. If $|H| > 1$, then*

$$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{D} + 1) = \left[\frac{1}{2}(AW + c_0 + 1), \overrightarrow{w}, \overline{(P + AW)/|H|}, \overleftarrow{w}, \overline{AW + c_0} \right]$$

⁽¹⁾ The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} B/S & AC/S \\ A/S & B/S \end{pmatrix}$ is *false symmetric*. Taking its *false transpose* we get $\begin{pmatrix} G^2|H| & P \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y' & x' \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} G^2|H| & P \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} J \cdot J \begin{pmatrix} y' & x' \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P & G^2|H| \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ y' & x' \end{pmatrix}$.

displays the period of $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{D}+1)$. Here, $[\frac{1}{2}c_0 + \frac{1}{2}, \overrightarrow{w}] = \frac{1}{2}x/y + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(B/(2A) + 1)$. If $|H| = 1$, then

$$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{D} + 1) = [\frac{1}{2}(AW + c_0 + 1), \overrightarrow{w}, \overline{AW + c_0}].$$

Proof. On referring to Lemma 2.1 we see that if $2 \mid A/S$, then $2 \mid B/S$, which is impossible. If $2 \mid B/S$, then $4 \mid C$ and $4 \mid \gcd(A^2, 2B, C)$, which is also impossible. Hence, we must have $2 \nmid B/S$ and $2 \nmid A/S$. It follows that C is odd and, since $H \mid C$, that H is odd; hence, $D \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$.

We note that $u \equiv v \pmod{2}$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(u+v) & \frac{1}{4}(D-1)v \\ v & \frac{1}{2}(u-v) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} R \begin{pmatrix} u & Dv \\ v & u \end{pmatrix} R^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} R \begin{pmatrix} x & x' \\ y & y' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & P \\ 0 & 4|H| \end{pmatrix} R^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ = 2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(x+y) & x'+y' \\ y & 2y' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & P-1 \\ 0 & 2|H| \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} (u+v)/2 & \frac{1}{4}(D-1)v \\ v & (u-v)/2 \end{pmatrix}^2 \\ = |H| R^{AW/2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(x+y) & x'+y' \\ y & 2y' \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} (P+AW)/|H| & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & \frac{1}{2}(x+y) \\ 2y' & x'+y' \end{pmatrix} R^{AW/2-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Our result now follows from Lemma 3.1. ■

As hinted at earlier, all these expansions are of the shape

$$[b_0 + \frac{1}{2}(QWy + s), \overline{b_1, \dots, b_h, b + Wy, b_h, \dots, b_1, 2b_0 + QWy}].$$

To sustain this remark we note that above $[c_0, c_1, \dots, c_h] = (B/S)/(A/S)$ whence $y = A/S$ and $Qy = QA/S$. Thus $S = Q$ and $|H| = Q$ throughout. Finally, we observe that all the $\sqrt{A^2W^2 + 2BW + C}$ have the same period length for all W with W large enough to avoid some degenerate cases.

We can also produce the continued fraction expansion of

$$\sqrt{A^2W^2 + 2BW + C}$$

in terms of the continued fraction expansion of \sqrt{C} .

THEOREM 4.3. *If $G = 1$ in Lemma 2.1, let $\sqrt{C} = [c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n, \dots]$. Set $\vec{w} = c_1, \dots, c_n$, and so $\overleftarrow{w} = c_n, \dots, c_1$. Here $Q_{n+1} = |H|$. Then*

$$\sqrt{D} = [AW + c_0, \overrightarrow{w}, 2AW/Q_{n+1} + c_{n+1}, \overleftarrow{w}, 2(AW + c_0)]$$

if $|H| > 1$. When $|H| = 1$,

$$\sqrt{D} = [AW + c_0, \overrightarrow{w}, 2(AW + c_0)].$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, we know that if

$$(B/S)^2 - (A/S)^2 C = H$$

is soluble, then $|H|$ must be some Q_{n+1} . Further, $n + 1 = (2k + 1)\pi/2$, where $\pi = \text{lp}(\sqrt{C})$, $P = P_{n+1} = P_n$, and $c_{n+1} = 2P_{n+1}/Q_{n+1}$. Also, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & x' \\ y & y' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} c_n & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The result now follows in a similar fashion to that of Theorem 4.1. ■

We also have a result connecting the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{D} + 1)$ to that of $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{C} + 1)$.

THEOREM 4.4. *If we take $G = 2$ in Lemma 2.1 and $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{C} + 1) = [c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n, \dots]$, where $Q_{n+1} = |H|$, then*

$$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{D} + 1) = [AW/2 + c_0, \overrightarrow{w}, AW/Q_{n+1} + c_{n+1}, \overleftarrow{w}, AW + 2c_0 - 1]$$

if $|H| > 1$, and when $|H| = 1$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{D} + 1) = [AW/2 + c_0, \overrightarrow{w}, AW + 2c_0 - 1].$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 4.3 we have $n + 1 = (2h + 1)\pi/2$ —recall that $\pi = \text{lp}(\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{C} + 1))$ — $Q_{n+1} = |H|$, $P_{n+1} = P_{n+2}$, $Q_{n+1} \mid 2P_{n+1} + 1$, $P = 2P_{n+1} + 1$, and $c_{n+1} = P/Q_{n+1}$. Since by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} (x+y)/2 & x'+y' \\ y & 2y' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} c_n & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we get our result as in the proof of Theorem 4.2. ■

Notice that in the case of Theorem 4.3 we get

$$\text{lp}(\sqrt{D}) = \begin{cases} (2k+1)\pi & \text{if } |H| > 1, \\ (2k+1)\pi/2 & \text{if } |H| = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\pi = \text{lp}(\sqrt{C})$; hence $\text{lp}(\sqrt{D})$ can be as large as we want by selecting k large enough. There is, of course, a similar result in the case of Theorem 4.4.

5. The final case. Our task at this point is still incomplete. We undertook to produce the continued fraction expansion of \sqrt{D} , but we have only

that of $(\sqrt{D} + 1)/2$ in the case of $G = 2$. However, we can get a result, like that of Theorem 4.3, in which we relate the continued fraction expansion of \sqrt{D} to that of \sqrt{C} . First we need some results concerning the continued fraction expansion of \sqrt{C} .

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $C \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ and suppose that in the continued fraction expansion of \sqrt{C} we get $4 \mid Q_{m+1}$, $1 < \frac{1}{4}Q_{m+1} < C$, $\frac{1}{4}Q_{m+1}$ square-free, and $\frac{1}{4}Q_{m+1} \mid C$. If m is the least nonnegative integer for which these conditions hold, then the fundamental unit ε of the order $\mathcal{O} = \langle 1, \sqrt{C} \rangle$ is given by*

$$\varepsilon = \delta^6 / Q_{m+1}^3,$$

where $\delta = x_m + \sqrt{C}y_m$.

PROOF. We know that $2Q_{m+1} \mid x_m(x_m^2 + 3Cy_m^2)$ and $2Q_{m+1} \mid y_m(Cy_m^2 + 3x_m^2)$ by the same reasoning as that used above. Hence $\nu = \lambda^3 / (2Q_{m+1}) \in \mathcal{O}$. Now $|n(\nu)| = \frac{1}{4}Q_{m+1}$ and $\frac{1}{4}Q_{m+1} \mid C$. Thus, $\varepsilon \equiv 4\nu^2 / Q_{m+1} \in \mathcal{O}$ and $n(\varepsilon) = 1$. It follows that $\varepsilon = \lambda^6 / Q_{m+1}^3$ is a unit of \mathcal{O} . Also there must exist some $\theta = x_r + y_r\sqrt{C}$ such that $Q_{r+1} = Q_{m+1}$ and $\eta = 4\theta^2 / Q_{m+1}$ is the fundamental unit of \mathcal{O} . By definition of λ we have $\lambda < \theta$; consequently, $\lambda^2 < \eta$ and $\varepsilon < \eta^3$. It follows that $\varepsilon = 1$, η , or η^2 . If $\varepsilon = 1$, we get $\lambda^2 / Q_{m+1} = 1$ and $\lambda^2 = Q_{m+1}$. If $\varepsilon = \eta^2$, we get $(\lambda^3 / (Q_{m+1}\eta))^2 = Q_{m+1}$. In either case we find that $Q_{m+1} = \alpha^2$ where $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$. If $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{C}$, then $ab = 0$. If $b = 0$, then $Q_{m+1} = a^2$; if $Q = 0$, then $\frac{1}{4}Q_{m+1} = (b/2)^2C$. Thus $\varepsilon = \eta$. ■

COROLLARY 5.2. *If $\mu = 2\lambda^2 / Q_{m+1}$, then $\mu = x_n + \sqrt{C}y_n$, where n is the least nonnegative integer such that $Q_{n+1} = 4$. Also, $\nu = x_p + \sqrt{C}y_p$, where $p = \pi/2$ and $\pi = \text{lp}(\sqrt{C})$.*

COROLLARY 5.3. *If $Q_{k+1} = Q_{m+1}$ and $k < \pi$, then $k = m$ or $k = \pi - m - 2$; if $Q_{k+1} = 4$ and $k < \pi$, then $k = n$ or $k = \pi - n - 2$; if $Q_{k+1} = Q_{p+1}$ and $k < \pi$, then $k + 1 = \pi/2$.*

COROLLARY 5.4. *Suppose $r = m + k\pi$ (for some $k \geq 0$). Set*

$$2(x_r + \sqrt{D}y_r)^2 / Q_{m+1} = x_s + \sqrt{C}y_s, \quad (x_r + \sqrt{C}y_r)^3 / (2Q_{m+1}) = x_t + \sqrt{C}y_t.$$

Then $s = n + 2k\pi$ and $t = p + 3k\pi$. If $r = -m + (k + 1)\pi$ ($k \geq 0$), then $s = -n + 2(k + 1)\pi$ and $t = -p + 3(k + 1)\pi$.

COROLLARY 5.5. *Let r , s , and t be defined as in Corollary 5.4. We must have $s > r + 1$ and, unless $y_r = 1$, we must have $t > s + 1$.*

PROOF. We have $|x_k - \sqrt{C}y_r| < 1$ as a property of the convergents in a continued fraction expansion; hence, $x_m + \sqrt{C}y_m > Q_{m+1}$, and therefore $\mu > \nu > \lambda$. It follows that $p > n > m$. Thus, we must have $s > r$. If $s = r + 1$, then $4 \mid Q_{r+1}$ and $4 \mid Q_{r+2}$, which means that $P_{r+2}^2 - C \equiv 0 \pmod{16}$, which

is impossible as $C \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. If $t = s + 1$, then we can only have $r = m$, $s = n$, and $t = p$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} x_m & Cy_m \\ y_m & x_m \end{pmatrix} &= Q_{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} x_n & Cy_n \\ y_n & x_n \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x_p & Cy_p \\ y_p & x_p \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n+1} & -P_{n+1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{n+1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & P_{p+1} \\ 0 & Q_{p+1} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

by our observations at the beginning of Section 3. Hence, $y_r = y_m = 1$. We note that if $t = s + 1$, then $v = A/S = y_r = 1$ and $u^2 - D = 4Q_{r+1}$. Since $u = (A^2/S)W + B/S$ and $Q_{r+1} = |H|$ and H is odd, we get $4Q_{r+1} \mid 4u$ and D is of Richaud–Degert type. ■

In the following theorem we will, as usual, assume that D is not of Richaud–Degert type and that therefore $t > s + 1$. We are now able to derive the form of the continued fraction expansion of \sqrt{D} .

THEOREM 5.6. *If $G = 2$ in Lemma 2.1, then for $\sqrt{C} = [c_0, c_1, \dots, c_k, \dots]$, we must get $G^2|H| = Q_{r+1}$ for $r = m + k\pi$, or $r = -m + (k + 1)\pi$ ($k \geq 0$), where m is defined in Theorem 5.1 and π is defined in Corollary 5.2. Put $\vec{w}_1 = c_1, \dots, c_p$; $\vec{w}_2 = c_{r+2}, \dots, c_s$; $\vec{w}_3 = c_{s+2}, \dots, c_t$, where s and t are given by Corollary 5.4. The continued fraction expansion of \sqrt{D} is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} &[AW + c_0, \overrightarrow{w_1}, 2AW/Q_{m+1} + c_{m+1}, \overrightarrow{w_2}, \frac{1}{2}AW + c_{n+1}, \overrightarrow{w_3}, 2AW/Q_{p+1} + c_{p+1}, \\ &\quad \overrightarrow{w_3}, \frac{1}{2}AW + c_{n+1}, \overleftarrow{w_2}, 2AW/Q_{m+1} + c_{m+1}, \overleftarrow{w_1}, 2AW + 2c_0], \end{aligned}$$

when $4|H| = Q_{m+1} \neq 4$. If $4|H| = Q_{m+1} = 4$, it is given by

$$\sqrt{D} = [AW + c_0, \overrightarrow{w_1}, \frac{1}{2}AW + c_{m+1}, \overrightarrow{w_2}, \frac{1}{2}AW + c_{n+1}, \overrightarrow{w_3}, 2AW + 2c_0].$$

Proof. The first part of the theorem follows from Lemma 3.4 and Corollary 5.4. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} x_s & Cy_s \\ y_s & x_s \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{2}{Q_{m+1}} \begin{pmatrix} x_r & Cy_r \\ y_r & x_r \end{pmatrix}^2, \\ \begin{pmatrix} x_t & Cy_t \\ y_t & x_t \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x_s & Cy_s \\ y_s & x_s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_r & Cy_r \\ y_r & x_r \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & P_{k+1} \\ 0 & Q_{k+1} \end{pmatrix} R^{2AW} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q_{k+1} \\ 1 & -P_{k+2} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2AW/Q_{k+1} + c_{k+1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $i + 1 < j$, define

$$\begin{aligned} T_{i,j} &= \begin{pmatrix} x_{i+1} & x_i \\ y_{i+1} & y_i \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x_j & x_{j-1} \\ y_j & y_{j-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} c_{i+2} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{i+3} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} c_j & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$T_{i,j} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & P_{j+1} \\ 0 & Q_{j+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q_{i+1} \\ 1 & -P_{i+2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_i & Cy_i \\ y_i & x_i \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x_j & Cy_j \\ y_j & x_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} u & Dv \\ v & u \end{pmatrix}^3 &= R^{AW} \begin{pmatrix} x_r & x_{r-1} \\ y_r & y_{r-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & P_{m+1} \\ 0 & Q_{m+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \times R^{2AW} \begin{pmatrix} x_r & Cy_r \\ y_r & x_r \end{pmatrix} R^{2AW} \begin{pmatrix} x_r & Cy_r \\ y_r & y_r \end{pmatrix} R^{AW} \\ &= 2Q_{m+1} R^{AW} \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} T_{-1,r} \begin{pmatrix} 2AW/Q_{m+1} + c_{m+1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \times T_{r,s} \begin{pmatrix} AW/2 + c_{n+1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} T_{s,t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & P_{p+1} \\ 0 & Q_{p+1} \end{pmatrix} R^{AW}. \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} T_{-1,r} \begin{pmatrix} 2AW/Q_{m+1} + c_{m+1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} T_{r,s} \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} AW/2 + c_{n+1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} T_{s,t}, \end{aligned}$$

and note that $K \begin{pmatrix} 1 & P_{p+1} \\ 0 & Q_{p+1} \end{pmatrix}$ is false symmetric. Hence,

$$\frac{1}{Q_{m+1}^3} \begin{pmatrix} u & Dv \\ v & u \end{pmatrix}^6 = K \begin{pmatrix} 2AW/Q_{p+1} + c_{p+1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} K^* R^{AW},$$

where $K^* = K^t J$, with K^t the transpose of K and, as above, $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then our claimed continued fraction expansion follows immediately from Lemma 3.1 and our observations at the beginning of this section. Further, if $|H| = 1$, then $Q_{m+1} = Q_{n+1} = 4$, $c_{p+1} = 2c_0$, and we have the palindrome

$$\vec{w}_1, c_{m+1}, \vec{w}_2, c_{n+1}, \vec{w}_3 = \overleftarrow{w}_3, c_{n+1}, \overleftarrow{w}_2, c_{m+1}, \overleftarrow{w}_1.$$

This information yields the expansion of \sqrt{D} claimed for the case $|H| = 1$. ■

Thus, if $G = 2$, we get, for some $k \geq 0$,

$$\text{lp}(\sqrt{D}) = \begin{cases} (6k+1) \text{lp}(\sqrt{C}) & \text{if } |H| > 1, \\ (6k+1) \text{lp}(\sqrt{C})/2 & \text{if } |H| = 1. \end{cases}$$

References

- [1] R. A. Mollin, *Quadratics*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1996.
- [2] R. A. Mollin and A. J. van der Poorten, *A note on symmetry and ambiguity*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 51 (1995), 215–233.
- [3] R. A. Mollin, A. J. van der Poorten and H. C. Williams, *Halfway to a solution of $X^2 - DY^2 = -3$* , J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 6 (1994), 421–459.
- [4] A. Schinzel, *On some problems of the arithmetical theory of continued fractions*, Acta Arith. 6 (1961), 393–413.
- [5] —, *On some problems of the arithmetical theory of continued fractions II*, *ibid.* 7 (1962), 287–298. Corrigendum, *ibid.* 47 (1986), 295.
- [6] H.-J. Stender, *Über die Grundeinheit der reell-quadratischen Zahlkörper $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{A^2N^2 + BN + C})$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. 311/312 (1979), 302–306.
- [7] M. A. Stern, *Zur Theorie der periodischen Kettenbrüche*, *ibid.* 8 (1857), 1–102.

School of MPCE
Macquarie University
Sydney, New South Wales 2109
Australia
E-mail: alf@mpce.mq.edu.au

Department of Computer Science
University of Manitoba
Winnipeg, Manitoba
Canada R3T 2N2
E-mail: williams@cs.umanitoba.ca

*Received on 20.5.1997
and in revised form on 13.11.1998*

(3181)