

SALOMON'S THEOREM FOR POLYNOMIALS  
WITH SEVERAL PARAMETERS

BY

MARIA FRONTCZAK, PRZEMYSŁAW SKIBIŃSKI AND  
STANISŁAW SPODZIEJA (ŁÓDŹ)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be an algebraically closed field, and  $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_m)$  and  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  systems of variables.

Let  $\overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}$  be the separable closure of  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$ . We say that polynomials  $F_1, F_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}[X]$  are *conjugate* over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$  if there exists a  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda, X)$ -automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}(X)$  such that  $\varphi(F_1) = F_2$ .

We say that a polynomial  $F \in \overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}[X]$  is *monic* if the last coefficient of  $F$  in the lexicographic order is equal to 1.

In the theory of polynomials the following Salomon's Theorem is well-known ([Sa], [Sc, Theorem 17]).

**SALOMON'S THEOREM.** *If  $F \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda_1, X]$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda_1)$  then all monic factors of  $F$  irreducible over  $\overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda_1)}^{\text{sep}}$  are conjugate over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda_1)$  and the number of linearly independent coefficients over  $\mathbb{K}$  of any such factor does not exceed  $\deg_{\Lambda_1} F + 1$ .*

Using the idea of Krull [Kr] (see also [Sc, Theorem 17]) we give a generalization of this theorem to the case of several parameters  $\Lambda$  (Theorem 2). The upper bound  $\deg_{\Lambda_1} F + 1$  is replaced by the number  $\gamma_{\Lambda}(F)$  of integer points of the Newton polyhedron of  $F$  which we now define. Let  $F \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda, X]$  be of the form  $F = \sum_J F_J \Lambda^J$ , where  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$  is a multiindex,  $\Lambda^J = \Lambda_1^{j_1} \dots \Lambda_m^{j_m}$ , and  $F_J \in \mathbb{K}[X]$ . Let  $\text{supp}_{\Lambda}(F) = \{J \in \mathbb{Z}^m : F_J \neq 0\}$ . Then we define the *Newton polyhedron*  $\Delta_{\Lambda}(F)$  of  $F$  and the number  $\gamma_{\Lambda}(F)$  by

$$\Delta_{\Lambda}(F) = \text{conv}(\text{supp}_{\Lambda}(F)), \quad \gamma_{\Lambda}(F) = \#(\Delta_{\Lambda}(F) \cap \mathbb{Z}^m),$$

where  $\text{conv } A$  denotes the convex envelope of a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ . The main difficulty in this generalization is the estimation of the number of linearly

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 12E05.

*Key words and phrases*: conjugate polynomials, decomposition of polynomials.

Research of S. Spodzieja was partially supported by KBN Grant No. 2 P03A 050 10.

independent coefficients in the factors. The problem has been suggested by Professor A. Schinzel in a talk with the third author.

The key role in the proof is played by Proposition 1 (Section 2) on a multilinear form in the coefficients of polynomials.

The estimation obtained is a natural generalization of the one-parameter case because, for  $m = 1$  and an irreducible polynomial  $F$  with  $\deg_X F > 0$ , we have  $\gamma_A(F) = \deg_{A_1} F + 1$  (i.e. the number of coefficients of a polynomial in one variable with given degree). Moreover, in this estimation equality is attainable (Example 1), and it is easy to see that  $\gamma_A(F)$  does not exceed certain numbers which may be generalizations of the number mentioned above:

- $\gamma_A(F) \leq \binom{\deg_A F + m}{m}$ , i.e.  $\gamma_A(F)$  does not exceed the number of coefficients of a polynomial with a given degree,
- $\gamma_A(F) \leq \prod_{i=1}^m (\deg_{A_i} F + 1)$ , i.e.  $\gamma_A(F)$  does not exceed the number of coefficients of a polynomial with given degrees with respect to all variables.

Additionally we have  $\gamma_A(F) \leq (\deg_A F)^m + m$ .

Theorem 2 does not fully explain the generalization of Bertini's Theorem ([Sc, Theorem 18]) to the case of polynomials with arbitrary degree with respect to the parameters. Such a generalization was claimed by Riehle in [R] (inaccessible to the authors), but Krull [Kr] objected to the validity of the proof. Riehle claimed that the number of linearly independent coefficients in the above mentioned factors does not exceed  $1 + \prod_{i=1}^m \deg_{A_i} F$ .

**2. Multilinear forms in the coefficients of polynomials.** For  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  we write

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\} \quad \text{and} \quad nA = \underbrace{A + \dots + A}_{n \text{ times}}.$$

Thus, if  $A$  is convex, then  $nA = \{na : a \in A\}$ .

LEMMA 1. *Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be bounded and convex. If  $G, Q_1, \dots, Q_N \in \mathbb{K}[A]$  are polynomials such that*

$$(1) \quad \Delta_A(Q_i) \subset iA \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N,$$

and

$$(2) \quad G^N + Q_1 G^{N-1} + \dots + Q_N = 0,$$

then  $\Delta_A(G) \subset A$ .

Proof. Since  $\Delta_A(G^i) = i\Delta_A(G)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , from (1) and (2) we obtain

$$(3) \quad N\Delta_A(G) \subset \text{conv} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^N [(N-i)\Delta_A(G) + iA] \right).$$

Assume that, on the contrary,  $\Delta_\Lambda(G) \not\subset A$ . Then there exist  $J_0 \in \Delta_\Lambda(G) \setminus A$  and a linear form  $L : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(4) \quad L(J_0) \geq L(J) \quad \text{for } J \in \Delta_\Lambda(G),$$

$$(5) \quad L(J_0) > L(J') \quad \text{for } J' \in A.$$

By (3) there exist  $J_1, \dots, J_s \in \Delta_\Lambda(G)$ ,  $J'_1, \dots, J'_s \in A$ ,  $0 < i_1, \dots, i_s \leq N$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t_i \geq 0$ ,  $t_1 + \dots + t_s = 1$ , such that

$$NJ_0 = \sum_{k=1}^s t_k [(N - i_k)J_k + i_k J'_k].$$

Hence, from (4) and (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} NL(J_0) &= \sum_{k=1}^s t_k [(N - i_k)L(J_k) + i_k L(J'_k)] \\ &< \sum_{k=1}^s t_k [(N - i_k)L(J_0) + i_k L(J_0)] = NL(J_0), \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. This ends the proof.

We are going to formulate a proposition which plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 1. First we define multilinear forms in the coefficients of polynomials which will be used in the proof of Proposition 1.

For a multiindex  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ , let  $\|I\| = i_1 + \dots + i_n$  and  $X^I = X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n}$ . Let  $F_j \in \overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}[X]$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , be of the form

$$(6) \quad F_j = \sum_{\|I\| \leq v} \alpha_{j,I} X^I,$$

where  $v \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $v \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha_{j,I} \in \overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $\|I\| \leq v$ . Let  $Y_j = (Y_{j,I}; \|I\| \leq v)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . If  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[Y_1, \dots, Y_k]$  is a homogeneous form of degree  $k$  such that  $\deg_{Y_j} g = 1$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , then

$$G = g(\alpha_{j,I} : \|I\| \leq v, j = 1, \dots, k) \in \overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}$$

is called a *multilinear form in the coefficients of the polynomials*  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  (where for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  we put  $r \cdot 1 \in \overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}$ ).

Let  $F_j \in \overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}[X]$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , be all the conjugates of  $F_1$  over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$ . Then there exist polynomials  $P_1, \dots, P_d \in \mathbb{K}[X]$  and  $\hat{\alpha}_{j,i} \in \overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}$  such that

$$(7) \quad F_j = \hat{\alpha}_{j,1} P_1 + \dots + \hat{\alpha}_{j,d} P_d$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, k$  (and  $\hat{\alpha}_{1,i}, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_{k,i}$  are all the conjugates of  $\hat{\alpha}_{1,i}$  over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ ). Let  $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_d)$  be a system of variables and

$$E_j = \hat{\alpha}_{j,1} Z_1 + \dots + \hat{\alpha}_{j,d} Z_d \in \overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}[Z], \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $f \in \mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$ ,  $f \neq 0$ . If  $d$  is the minimal number in (7) and

$$F = fF_1 \dots F_k \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda, X],$$

then

$$E = fE_1 \dots E_k \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda, Z] \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_\Lambda(E) = \Delta_\Lambda(F).$$

Proof. From the choice of  $d$  we see that the polynomials  $P_1, \dots, P_d$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{K}$ . Since  $\mathbb{K}$  is an infinite field, there exist  $x^1, \dots, x^d \in \mathbb{K}^n$  such that

$$(8) \quad \det[P_i(x^j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,d} \neq 0.$$

From (6) and (7) we have

$$\sum_{\|I\| \leq v} \alpha_{i,I}(x^j)^I = \dot{\alpha}_{i,1}P_1(x^j) + \dots + \dot{\alpha}_{i,d}P_d(x^j), \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

So, by (8), from Cramer's formulae we find that there exist  $\xi_{i,I} \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $\|I\| \leq v$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , such that

$$\dot{\alpha}_{s,i} = \sum_{\|I\| \leq v} \alpha_{s,I} \xi_{i,I}.$$

Thus any multilinear form in the coefficients of  $fE_1, \dots, E_k$  is a linear combination over  $\mathbb{K}$  of multilinear forms in the coefficients of  $fF_1, \dots, F_k$ . Hence, since  $F \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda, X]$ , by Kronecker's Theorem ([K], [Sc, Theorem 10], [Kö, VI, §2]), the multilinear forms in the coefficients of  $fE_1, \dots, E_k$  are integer over  $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda]$ . Since  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  are all the conjugates of  $F_1$  over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$ , it follows that  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  are all the conjugates of  $E_1$  over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$ . In consequence  $E \in \mathbb{K}(\Lambda)[X]$ , thus  $E \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda, X]$ .

The inclusion  $\Delta_\Lambda(E) \supset \Delta_\Lambda(F)$  is obvious. We prove that  $\Delta_\Lambda(E) \subset \Delta_\Lambda(F)$ . Let  $E = \sum_J A_J Z^J$ , where  $A_J \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda]$  for every multiindex  $J$ . Since

$$\Delta_\Lambda(E) = \text{conv} \left( \bigcup_J \Delta_\Lambda(A_J) \right),$$

it suffices to prove that  $\Delta_\Lambda(A_J) \subset \Delta_\Lambda(F)$  for all  $J$ . Take any coefficient  $G = A_J \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda]$  of the polynomial  $E$ . Obviously  $G$  is a multilinear form in the coefficients of  $fE_1, \dots, E_k$ . Then there exist multilinear forms  $G_1, \dots, G_M$  in the coefficients of  $fF_1, \dots, F_k$  and  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_M \in \mathbb{K}$  such that

$$(9) \quad G = \xi_1 G_1 + \dots + \xi_M G_M.$$

By Kronecker's Theorem ([Sc, Theorem 9], [Kö, VI, §2]) there exists a non-empty set of non-zero forms  $h_1, \dots, h_N$  in the coefficients of  $fF_1, \dots, F_k$  such that every multilinear form  $G_s$  in the coefficients of  $fF_1, \dots, F_k$  satisfies

$$G_s h_i = \sum_{j=1}^N b_{i,j,G_s} h_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where  $b_{i,j,G_s}$  are some linear forms in the coefficients of  $F$ . Hence (9) yields

$$(10) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \delta_{i,j} G - \sum_{s=1}^M \xi_s b_{i,j,G_s} \right) h_j = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where  $\delta_{i,j}$  is the Kronecker symbol. Since  $h_1, \dots, h_N$  are non-zero, the determinant of the linear system (10) vanishes. Thus we have

$$(11) \quad G^N + Q_1 G^{N-1} + \dots + Q_N = 0,$$

where  $Q_j \in \mathbb{K}[A]$  is a homogeneous form of degree  $j$  in the coefficients of  $F$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ . Thus  $\Delta_\Lambda(Q_j) \subset j\Delta_\Lambda(F)$ . By Lemma 1,  $\Delta_\Lambda(G) \subset \Delta_\Lambda(F)$ , which ends the proof.

**3. Generalization of Salomon's Theorem.** Let  $F \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda, X]$ . The main result of the paper will be preceded by

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $F_j \in \overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}[X]$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , be all the conjugates of  $F_1$  over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$  and let  $f \in \mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$ ,  $f \neq 0$ , be such that*

$$F = fF_1 \dots F_k \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda, X].$$

*Then the number of linearly independent coefficients (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ) of any  $F_j$  does not exceed  $\gamma_\Lambda(F)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $F_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , be of the form (6). Let  $d$  be the dimension of the following linear space over  $\mathbb{K}$ :

$$\left\{ \sum_{\|I\| \leq v} \xi_I \alpha_{1,I} : \xi_I \in \mathbb{K} \text{ for } \|I\| \leq v \right\},$$

and  $\alpha_{1,1}, \dots, \alpha_{1,d}$  be its basis. Then there exist  $P_1, \dots, P_d \in \mathbb{K}[X]$  such that

$$F_1 = \alpha_{1,1}P_1 + \dots + \alpha_{1,d}P_d.$$

Since  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  are conjugate over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$ , we have

$$F_j = \alpha_{j,1}P_1 + \dots + \alpha_{j,d}P_d$$

for  $j = 2, \dots, k$  (and  $\alpha_{1,i}, \dots, \alpha_{k,i}$  are all the conjugates of  $\alpha_{1,i}$  over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ ). Let

$$E_j = \alpha_{j,1}Z_1 + \dots + \alpha_{j,d}Z_d \in \overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}[Z], \quad j = 1, \dots, k,$$

where  $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_d)$ . By Proposition 1 we have  $E = fE_1 \dots E_k \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda, Z]$  and  $\Delta_\Lambda(E) \subset \Delta_\Lambda(F)$ . Thus  $\gamma_\Lambda(E) \leq \gamma_\Lambda(F)$ . In consequence there exist homogeneous forms  $H_i \in \mathbb{K}[Z]$  and polynomials  $B_i \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \gamma_\Lambda(F)$ , such that

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma_\Lambda(F)} B_i H_i.$$

Assume, contrary to our claim, that  $\gamma_\Lambda(F) < d$ . Then the forms  $H_1, \dots, \dots, H_{\gamma_\Lambda(F)}$  have a common non-trivial zero  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \in \mathbb{K}^d$  and so

$$0 = f \prod_{j=1}^k (\hat{\alpha}_{j,1}\xi_1 + \dots + \hat{\alpha}_{j,d}\xi_d).$$

In consequence, at least one factor of the right-hand side is zero. This contradicts the definition of  $d$  (since for any  $j = 1, \dots, k$  the elements  $\hat{\alpha}_{j,1}, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_{j,d}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{K}$ ). This ends the proof.

For  $F$  irreducible, the above theorem immediately yields the following generalization of Salomon's Theorem to the case of several parameters.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $F \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda, X]$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$  then all monic factors in  $\overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}[X]$  of  $F$  irreducible over  $\overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}$  are conjugate over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$  and the number of linearly independent coefficients (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ) of any such factor does not exceed  $\gamma_\Lambda(F)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $F_1$  be a monic factor of  $F$  irreducible over  $\overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}$  and  $F_2, \dots, F_k$  be all its conjugates over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$ . Since  $\overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}$  is Galois over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$ ,  $F_j$  are all irreducible over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$  and since they are monic, they are pairwise relatively prime. Hence  $F_j$  is a divisor of  $F$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . It follows that  $\prod_{j=1}^k F_j$  is a divisor of  $F$ . However,  $\prod_{j=1}^k F_j$  is invariant with respect to any automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}$  over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$ , hence  $\prod_{j=1}^k F_j \in \mathbb{K}(\Lambda)[X]$  and, by the irreducibility of  $F$  over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$ , there is an  $f \in \mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$  such that

$$(12) \quad F = f \prod_{j=1}^k F_j.$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, we have the assertion.

The upper bound given by Theorem 2 can be attained, as shown by the following

**EXAMPLE 1.** Let  $X = (X_0, \dots, X_n)$  be a system of variables and  $P(t_1, t_2, X) = \sum_{r+s=n} t_1^r t_2^s X_r$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be a primitive root of unity of degree  $n$ . Then the polynomials

$$F_j = P(\sqrt[n]{\Lambda_2 \dots \Lambda_m}, \varepsilon^j \sqrt[n]{\Lambda_1}, X) \in \overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}[X], \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

are all the conjugates of  $F_1$  over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$ . Hence  $F = \prod_{j=1}^n F_j \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda, X]$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$ . Moreover,  $\gamma_\Lambda(F) = n + 1$  and the  $F_j$  have each  $n + 1$  coefficients linearly independent over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

In the above example the polyhedron of  $F$  is a segment and one can reduce this example to the case of one parameter  $\Lambda_1$  (putting  $\Lambda_2 = \dots = \Lambda_m = 1$ ). The authors do not know such examples with  $\Delta_\Lambda(F)$   $m$ -dimensional.

From Theorem 2 we obtain the following

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $F \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda, X]$ . Then the number of linearly independent coefficients (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ) of any factor of  $F$  irreducible over  $\overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}$  does not exceed  $\gamma_\Lambda(F)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $F_1 \in \overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}[X]$  be any factor of  $F$  irreducible over  $\overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $F_1$  is monic. Let  $F = R_1 \dots R_k$  be the decomposition of  $F$  into irreducible factors in  $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda, X]$ . From Ostrowski's Theorem ([O, Theorem VI]) we have

$$\Delta_\Lambda(R_1) + \dots + \Delta_\Lambda(R_k) = \Delta_\Lambda(F).$$

So,  $\gamma_\Lambda(R_j) \leq \gamma_\Lambda(F)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Since  $F_1$  is a divisor of at least one  $R_j$ , Theorem 2 yields the assertion.

The above theorem is not true for arbitrary factors, as is shown by the following

**EXAMPLE 2.** For  $F = X_1^s - A_1$  we have  $\gamma_\Lambda(F) = 2$  and

$$F = (X_1 - \sqrt[s]{A_1})(X_1^{s-1} + X_1^{s-2}\sqrt[s]{A_1} + \dots + (\sqrt[s]{A_1})^{s-1}).$$

It is easy to see that the last factor has  $s$  coefficients linearly independent over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

**REMARK 1.** The above results hold for arbitrary Galois extensions of  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$  in place of  $\overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}$ , with no change in the proofs.

**4. A corollary.** In this section we give a particular version of Theorem 2.

Assume that  $\mathbb{K}$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Then  $\overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}$  is the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$ .

In Theorems 1–3 the reducibility of the polynomial  $F$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}[X]$ , by Emma Noether's Theorem ([N], [Sc, Theorem 15]), is equivalent to the reducibility of  $F(\lambda, X)$  in  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^m$  such that  $\deg F(\lambda, X) = \deg_X F$ . We now give a version of Theorem 2 in the case when  $F(\lambda, X) - z$  is reducible in  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^m$  such that  $\deg F(\lambda, X) = \deg_X F$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $F \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda, X]$  be an irreducible polynomial monic with respect to  $X_1$ . If  $F(\lambda, X) - z$  is reducible for all  $z \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^m$  such that  $\deg F(\lambda, X) = \deg_X F$ , then there exists a representation*

$$F = F_1 \dots F_k,$$

where  $F_j \in \overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}[X]$  are all the conjugates of  $F_1$  over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$ ,  $\deg F_j < \deg_X F$  and the number of linearly independent coefficients (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ) of any  $F_j$  does not exceed  $2^{-m}(\deg_{\Lambda_1} F + 2) \dots (\deg_{\Lambda_m} F + 2)$ .

**PROOF.** By [FS, Corollary 6] there exist  $R \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda, X]$ ,  $\deg_X R < \deg_X F$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda]$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, s$ ,  $s \geq 2$ , such that

$$F = a_0 R^s + a_1 R^{s-1} + \dots + a_s.$$

Moreover, one can assume that  $R(\Lambda, 0) = 0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{\Lambda_j} F &\geq \deg_{\Lambda_j} (F - a_{s-1}R - a_s) \\ &\geq \deg_{\Lambda_j} (a_0R^{s-2} + a_1R^{s-3} + \dots + a_{s-2}) + 2 \deg_{\Lambda_j} R, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(15) \quad \deg_{\Lambda_j} R \leq \frac{\deg_{\Lambda_j} F}{2} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Since  $F$  is monic with respect to  $X_1$ , we may assume that  $R$  is monic with respect to  $X_1$  and  $a_0 = 1$ . From the irreducibility of  $F$  we see that  $h = a_0Z^s + a_1Z^{s-1} + \dots + a_s$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda, Z]$ , hence,

$$h = (Z - f_1) \dots (Z - f_s)$$

where  $Z - f_j \in \overline{\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)}^{\text{sep}}[Z]$  are conjugate over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$ . Taking  $F_j = R - f_j$  we see that  $F_j$  are conjugate over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda)$  and, by (15), the number of linearly independent coefficients (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ) of any  $F_j$  does not exceed  $2^{-m}(\deg_{\Lambda_1} F + 2) \dots (\deg_{\Lambda_m} F + 2)$ . This gives the assertion.

#### REFERENCES

- [FS] M. Frontczak, P. Skibiński and S. Spodzieja, *On factorization of polynomials with holomorphic coefficients*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 46 (1998), 39–54.
- [Kö] J. König, *Einleitung in die allgemeine Theorie der algebraischen Größen*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1903.
- [K] L. Kronecker, *Zur Theorie der Formen höherer Stufen*, Monatsber. Akad. Wiss. Berlin 37 (1883), 957–960; Werke 2, Chelsea, 1968, 417–424.
- [Kr] W. Krull, *Über einen Irreduzibilitätssatz von Bertini*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 177 (1937), 94–104.
- [N] E. Noether, *Ein algebraisches Kriterium für absolute Irreduzibilität*, Math. Ann. 85 (1922), 26–33.
- [O] A. M. Ostrowski, *On multiplication and factorization of polynomials. I. Lexicographic orderings and extreme aggregates of terms*, Aequationes Math. 13 (1975), 201–228.
- [R] A. Riehle, *Über den Bertinischen Satz und seine Erweiterung*, Diss. Tübingen, 1919.
- [Sa] G. Salomon, *Über das Zerfallen von Systemen von Polynomen*, Jahresber. Deutsche Math.-Verein. 24 (1915), 225–246.
- [Sc] A. Schinzel, *Selected Topics on Polynomials*, The Univ. of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1982.

Faculty of Mathematics  
 University of Łódź  
 Banacha 22  
 90-238 Łódź, Poland  
 E-mail: spodziej@imul.uni.lodz.pl

*Received 16 June 1998;  
 revised 10 September 1998*