

ON QUASI- $p$ -BOUNDED SUBSETS

BY

M. SANCHIS (CASTELLÓ) AND  
A. TAMARIZ-MASCARÚA (MÉXICO)

**Abstract.** The notion of quasi- $p$ -boundedness for  $p \in \omega^*$  is introduced and investigated. We characterize quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact subsets of  $\beta(\omega)$  containing  $\omega$ , and we show that the concepts of RK-compatible ultrafilter and  $P$ -point in  $\omega^*$  can be defined in terms of quasi- $p$ -pseudocompactness. For  $p \in \omega^*$ , we prove that a subset  $B$  of a space  $X$  is quasi- $p$ -bounded in  $X$  if and only if  $B \times P_{\text{RK}}(p)$  is bounded in  $X \times P_{\text{RK}}(p)$ , if and only if  $\text{cl}_{\beta(X \times P_{\text{RK}}(p))}(B \times P_{\text{RK}}(p)) = \text{cl}_{\beta X} B \times \beta(\omega)$ , where  $P_{\text{RK}}(p)$  is the set of Rudin–Keisler predecessors of  $p$ .

**1. Introduction.** All the spaces considered in this paper are Tikhonov spaces. The *Rudin–Keisler pre-order*  $\leq_{\text{RK}}$  on  $\beta(\omega)$  is defined by  $p \leq_{\text{RK}} q$  if there exists a function  $g : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  such that  $g^\beta(q) = p$  where  $g^\beta$  is the continuous extension of  $g$  to  $\beta(\omega)$ . If  $p \leq_{\text{RK}} q$  and  $q \leq_{\text{RK}} p$ , for  $p, q \in \omega^*$ , then we say that  $p$  and  $q$  are *RK-equivalent* and we write  $p \approx_{\text{RK}} q$ . It is not difficult to verify that  $p \approx_{\text{RK}} q$  if and only if there is a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\omega$  such that  $\sigma^\beta(p) = q$ . For  $p \in \omega^*$ , we set  $P_{\text{RK}}(p) = \{r \in \beta(\omega) : r \leq_{\text{RK}} p\}$ . The *type* of  $p \in \omega^*$  is the set  $T(p) = \{r \in \omega^* : p \approx_{\text{RK}} r\}$ . We denote by  $\Sigma(p)$  the set  $T(p) \cup \omega$ .

For  $p, q \in \beta(\omega)$  we write  $p <_{\text{R}} q$  if there is a surjection  $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  such that  $f^\beta(q) = p$  and for every  $A \in q$  there is  $n < \omega$  for which  $|A \cap f^{-1}(n)| = \omega$ . If  $p <_{\text{R}} q$ ,  $r \approx_{\text{RK}} p$  and  $s \approx_{\text{RK}} q$ , then  $r <_{\text{R}} s$ . The *Rudin pre-order*  $\leq_{\text{R}}$  on  $\beta(\omega)$ , introduced in [17], is defined by  $p \leq_{\text{R}} q$  if either  $p \approx_{\text{RK}} q$  or  $p <_{\text{R}} q$ . It is obvious that  $p \leq_{\text{R}} q$  implies  $p \leq_{\text{RK}} q$ . For  $p \in \omega^*$  let  $P_{\text{R}}(p)$  be the set  $\{r \in \beta(\omega) : r \leq_{\text{R}} p\}$ .

An  $\omega$ -*partition* of  $\omega$  is a cover of  $\omega$  consisting of infinite pairwise disjoint subsets. For each  $A \subset \omega$  the symbol  $\hat{A}$  indicates the set  $\{p \in \beta(\omega) : A \in p\}$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 54A20, 54A25, 54C50, 54G20.

*Key words and phrases*: free ultrafilter,  $p$ -limit point, bounded subset, (quasi)- $p$ -bounded subset, (quasi)- $p$ -pseudocompact space, Rudin–Keisler pre-order,  $P$ -point.

The first author acknowledges the support of the DEGS, under grant PB95-0737. The second-listed author was supported by Proyecto de Cooperación Intercampus. This author is also grateful to the Department of Mathematics of Jaume I University for its generous hospitality during February–March, 1997.

Two ultrafilters  $p, q \in \omega^*$  are *RK-compatible* if there is  $s \in \omega^*$  such that  $s \leq_{\text{RK}} p$  and  $s \leq_{\text{RK}} q$ .

1.1. DEFINITION. For  $p \in \omega^*$ , a point  $x \in X$  is said to be a *p-limit point* of a sequence  $(U_n)_{n < \omega}$  of nonempty subsets of  $X$  (in symbols:  $x = p\text{-lim}(U_n)$ ) if, for each neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$ , the set  $\{n < \omega : U_n \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$  belongs to  $p$ .

This notion was introduced by Ginsburg and Saks [10] by generalizing the notion of *p-limit point* discovered and investigated by Bernstein [1]. It should be mentioned that Bernstein's *p-limit* concept was also introduced, in a different form, by Frolík [5] and Katětov [13], [14]. A subset  $B$  of a space  $X$  is said to be *bounded (in X)* if every real-valued continuous function on  $X$  is bounded on  $B$ . In [15] N. Noble proved that  $B$  is bounded in  $X$  if (and only if) every sequence of (pairwise disjoint) open sets of  $X$  meeting  $B$  has a cluster point. Starting from this fact and the above concept of *p-limit point*, S. García-Ferreira [7] introduced the notion of *p-bounded subset* for  $p \in \omega^*$ : a subset  $B$  is *p-bounded (in X)* if every sequence of open subsets meeting  $B$  has a *p-limit point*. Obviously, for each  $p \in \omega^*$ , every *p-bounded subset (in X)* is bounded but the converse does not hold in general (see e.g. [7, Theorem 1.10]). Later, *p-boundedness* was widely studied by the authors in [18]. Here we are concerned with *quasi-p-boundedness*, a notion weaker than *p-boundedness*:

1.2. DEFINITION. Let  $p \in \omega^*$ . A subset  $B$  of a space  $X$  is called *quasi-p-bounded in X* if every sequence of pairwise disjoint open subsets of  $X$  meeting  $B$  has a subsequence which admits a *p-limit point*.

Recall that a space is said to be *pseudocompact* if it is bounded in itself. Analogously, for  $p \in \omega^*$ , a space  $X$  is *quasi-p-pseudocompact* (respectively, *p-pseudocompact*) if it is *quasi-p-bounded* (resp., *p-bounded*) in itself. If either  $q <_{\text{RK}} p$  or  $q$  and  $p$  are  $\leq_{\text{RK}}$ -incomparable, then  $\Sigma(p)$  is a pseudocompact space which is not *quasi-q-pseudocompact* (see Corollary 3.4 and Example 3.5). So, *p-boundedness* implies *quasi-p-boundedness* and *quasi-p-boundedness* implies *boundedness* but none of these implications can be reversed.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is devoted to proving several basic results on *quasi-p-boundedness*. In Section 3, we characterize the subsets of  $\beta(\omega)$  which are *quasi-p-bounded* for some  $p \in \omega^*$  and we apply these results to determine when  $\Sigma(q)$ ,  $P_{\text{RK}}(q)$  and  $T(q)$  are *quasi-p-pseudocompact*. Finally, in Section 4, we show that, for  $p \in \omega^*$ , a bounded subset  $B$  of  $X$  is *quasi-p-bounded* if and only if its product with  $P_{\text{RK}}(p)$  is bounded in  $X \times P_{\text{RK}}(p)$ , if and only if  $\text{cl}_{\beta X} B \times \beta(\omega) = \text{cl}_{\beta(X \times P_{\text{RK}}(p))}(B \times P_{\text{RK}}(p))$ .

Our notation is standard:  $\text{cl}_X A$  and  $\text{int}_X A$  denote the closure and the interior, respectively, of a subset  $A$  of  $X$ . A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is called *regular-*

closed if  $A = \text{cl}_X(\text{int}_X A)$ . The symbol  $\mathbb{R}$  stands for the real numbers endowed with the usual topology. For terminology and notation not defined here and for general background see [4] and [8].

**2. Basic results on quasi- $p$ -bounded subsets.** We begin by showing several useful lemmas.

2.1. LEMMA. *Let  $p \in \omega^*$ ,  $(U_n)_{n < \omega}$  be a sequence of subsets of a space  $X$ , and  $x \in X$ . Then:*

(1) *If  $g : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  is a function satisfying  $g^\beta(p) = r$ , then  $x = r\text{-lim}(U_n)$  if and only if  $x = p\text{-lim}(U_{g(n)})$ ;*

(2) *If there are  $r' \in \omega^*$  with  $r' \leq_{\text{RK}} p$ , and a subsequence  $(V_n)_{n < \omega}$  of  $(U_n)_{n < \omega}$  such that  $x = r'\text{-lim}(V_n)$ , then there is an  $r$ -limit point in  $X$  of  $(U_n)_{n < \omega}$  with  $r \leq_{\text{RK}} p$ .*

Proof. We obtain (1) because  $W \subset \omega$  belongs to  $r$  if and only if  $g^{-1}(W) \in p$ , and  $\{n < \omega : U_{g(n)} \cap A \neq \emptyset\} = g^{-1}(\{n < \omega : U_n \cap A \neq \emptyset\})$  for every  $A \subset X$ .

Now we prove (2). For each  $n < \omega$  there is  $k(n) < \omega$  such that  $V_n = U_{k(n)}$ . Let  $g : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  be defined by  $g(n) = k(n)$ . By (1),  $x = r\text{-lim}(U_n)$  where  $r = g^\beta(r')$ . Moreover,  $r \leq r' \leq p$ . ■

The following lemma is already known and we omit the proof.

2.2. LEMMA. *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff space and let  $(A_n)_{n < \omega}$  be a sequence of nonempty open subsets of  $X$ . Then either there exists  $n_0 < \omega$  such that  $A_n = A_{n_0}$  for every  $n \geq n_0$  and  $|A_{n_0}| < \aleph_0$ , or there is a sequence  $(k_n)_{n < \omega}$  of natural numbers and a sequence  $(B_n)_{n < \omega}$  of nonempty disjoint open subsets of  $X$  such that  $B_n \subset A_{k_n}$  for every  $n < \omega$ .*

2.3. THEOREM. *Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $p \in \omega^*$ . For each subset  $B$  of  $X$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1)  *$B$  is quasi- $p$ -bounded in  $X$ ;*

(2) *Every sequence of open nonempty subsets of  $X$  meeting  $B$  has a subsequence which has a  $p$ -limit point in  $X$ ;*

(3) *For every sequence  $(U_n)_{n < \omega}$  of nonempty open subsets of  $X$  meeting  $B$  there are  $r \in \omega^*$ , with  $r \leq_{\text{RK}} p$ , and  $x \in X$  such that  $x = r\text{-lim}(U_n)$ ;*

(4) *For every sequence  $(U_n)_{n < \omega}$  of open nonempty subsets of  $X$  meeting  $B$ , there are a subsequence  $(V_n)_{n < \omega}$  of  $(U_n)_{n < \omega}$ , an  $r \in \omega^*$  with  $r \leq_{\text{RK}} p$ , and  $x \in X$  such that  $x = r\text{-lim}(V_n)$ .*

Proof. The implications (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) are trivial. Moreover, the implications (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2), (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3), (4) $\Rightarrow$ (3) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) are consequences of Lemmas 2.2, 2.1(1), 2.1(2) and 2.1(1), respectively. ■

In view of this last theorem, the concept of quasi- $p$ -pseudocompactness is equivalent to the concept of  $M$ -pseudocompactness, with  $M = P_{RK}(p)$ , introduced in [7], which coincides with condition (3) of Theorem 2.3.

The proof of the following lemma is left to the reader.

2.4. LEMMA. *For each  $p \in \omega^*$ , the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *Quasi- $p$ -boundedness is preserved under continuous functions;*
- (2) *Quasi- $p$ -pseudocompactness is inherited by regular closed subsets.*

A *Frolík sequence* in a space  $X$  is a sequence  $(U_n)_{n < \omega}$  of open subsets of  $X$  such that for each filter  $\mathcal{G}$  of infinite subsets of  $\omega$ ,

$$\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{G}} \text{cl}_X \left( \bigcup_{n \in F} U_n \right) \neq \emptyset.$$

A subset  $B$  of a space  $X$  is *strongly bounded in  $X$*  (see [19]) if each infinite family of mutually disjoint open subsets of  $X$  meeting  $B$  contains a disjoint subfamily  $(U_n)_{n < \omega}$  which is a Frolík sequence. The *Frolík class*  $\mathcal{P}$  is the class of pseudocompact spaces whose product with each pseudocompact space is also pseudocompact. So, Theorem 3.6 of [6] says:

2.5. THEOREM. *A pseudocompact space belongs to the Frolík class  $\mathcal{P}$  if, and only if, it is strongly bounded in itself.*

2.6. THEOREM. *If a subset  $B$  is strongly bounded in  $X$ , then  $B$  is quasi- $p$ -bounded in  $X$  for each  $p \in \omega^*$ .*

PROOF. Let  $p \in \omega^*$  and let  $(U_n)_{n < \omega}$  be a sequence of pairwise disjoint open sets whose elements meet  $B$ . Since  $B$  is strongly bounded in  $X$ , there exist a subsequence  $(U_{n(k)})_{k < \omega}$  of  $(U_n)_{n < \omega}$  and  $x \in X$  such that

$$x \in \bigcap_{F \in p} \text{cl}_X \left( \bigcup_{k \in F} U_{n(k)} \right).$$

It is apparent that  $x$  is a  $p$ -limit point of  $(U_{n(k)})_{k < \omega}$ . ■

As an immediate consequence of the previous result, pseudocompact spaces in the Frolík class  $\mathcal{P}$  are quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact for every  $p \in \omega^*$ . We shall explore this fact in the following. Consider the (proper) subclass  $\mathcal{P}^*$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  defined as the class of spaces  $X$  with the property that each sequence of disjoint open sets in  $X$  has a subsequence such that each of its elements meets some fixed compact set. This class was introduced and studied by N. Noble in [16]. In particular, Noble showed that  $X \in \mathcal{P}^*$  whenever  $k_{\mathbb{R}}X$ , the  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space associated with  $X$  (that is, the set  $X$  endowed with the weak topology induced by the real-valued functions on  $X$  which are continuous on all compact subsets of  $X$ ) is pseudocompact. Thus, pseudocompact spaces which are locally compact or sequential are quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact for every  $p \in \omega^*$  (for an example of a space in  $\mathcal{P}^*$  such that  $k_{\mathbb{R}}X$  is not pseudocompact,

see [2] and [12]). As every completely regular space can be embedded as a closed subspace of a pseudocompact  $k_R$ -space [16, 2.3], we have the following result.

2.7. THEOREM. *Every pseudocompact space can be embedded as a closed subspace of a space which is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact for each  $p \in \omega^*$ . So, quasi- $p$ -pseudocompactness is not inherited by closed pseudocompact subsets.*

In the context of this result the question of characterizing quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact spaces whose closed sets are also quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact arises. We are concerned with this question in the following theorem.

2.8. THEOREM. *Let  $p \in \omega^*$ . Every closed subset of a space  $X$  is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact if and only if every sequence in  $X$  contains a subsequence which admits a  $p$ -limit.*

Proof. Suppose that every closed subset of  $X$  is a quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact space and let  $(x_n)_{n < \omega}$  be a sequence in  $X$ . We can assume, without loss of generality, that  $\{x_n : n < \omega\}$  contains no  $p$ -limit points of  $(x_n)_{n < \omega}$ . We prove, by induction on  $n$ , that there is a subsequence  $(y_n)_{n < \omega}$  of  $(x_n)_{n < \omega}$  which is a copy of  $\omega$ . In fact, put  $y_0 = x_0$  and suppose that, for  $k < \omega$ , there exist a subset  $\{y_0, \dots, y_k\}$  where  $y_s = x_{g(s)}$  and  $g(s) < g(s + 1)$ ,  $s = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$ , and a family  $(U_n)_{n \leq k}$  of pairwise disjoint open subsets such that

- (1)  $y_n \in U_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, k,$
- (2)  $M_n = \{t < \omega : x_t \in \text{cl}_X U_n\} \notin p.$

By inductive hypothesis,  $M = \bigcap_{n \leq k} (\omega \setminus M_n)$  belongs to  $p$ . Let  $m \in M$  be such that  $m > g(k)$ . We define  $y_{k+1} = x_m$ . The induction step is finished by taking an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $y_{k+1}$  which does not meet  $U_n$  for every  $n \leq k$  and such that  $\{n < \omega : x_n \in V\} \notin p$ , and by taking an open set  $U_{k+1}$  containing  $y_{k+1}$  and such that its closure is a subset of  $V$  (so,  $U_{k+1}$  is an open neighborhood of  $y_{k+1}$  which does not meet  $U_n$  for every  $n \leq k$  and such that  $\{t < \omega : x_t \in \text{cl}_X U_{k+1}\} \notin p$ ).

Now, consider  $H = \text{cl}_X \{y_n\}_{n < \omega}$ . Since  $\{y_n\}_{n < \omega}$  is a copy of  $\omega$ , it is a sequence of open sets in  $H$ . By assumption,  $(y_n)_{n < \omega}$  admits a subsequence having a  $p$ -limit point, as was to be proved. The converse is clear. ■

Relating to the previous theorems, we construct a space in the class  $\mathcal{P}$  which is not  $p$ -pseudocompact for any  $p \in \omega^*$ .

2.9. EXAMPLE. For each  $p \in \omega^*$ , let  $X(p) = \beta(\omega) \setminus \{p\}$ . Since  $P_{RK}(p)$  is not contained in  $X(p)$ ,  $X(p)$  is not  $p$ -pseudocompact [7, Lemma 1.9]. Let  $Y = \prod_{p \in \omega^*} X(p)$ . For every  $p \in \omega^*$ , the space  $Y$  is not  $p$ -pseudocompact because the image of  $Y$  under the  $p$ -projection is  $X(p)$ . But  $X(p) \in \mathcal{P}$  for

each  $p \in \omega^*$  [6, Example 4.4] and, since the class  $\mathcal{P}$  is closed under arbitrary products [16, Theorem 3.1],  $Y$  is also in  $\mathcal{P}$ . In particular, by Theorem 2.6,  $Y$  is quasi- $q$ -pseudocompact for every  $q \in \omega^*$ .

Later (in Example 3.2) we will see an example of a quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact space for every  $p \in \omega^*$  which does not belong to  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a cover of a space  $X$ . A function  $g$  from  $X$  into a space  $Y$  is  $\alpha$ -continuous if the restriction of  $g$  to each member of  $\alpha$  is continuous. A space  $X$  for which every real-valued  $\alpha$ -continuous function is continuous is called an  $\alpha_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space. We say that a point  $x \in X$  is an  $\alpha_{\mathbb{R}}$ -point if there exists a neighborhood of  $x$  which is an  $\alpha_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space. For instance,  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -spaces are  $\alpha_{\mathbb{R}}$ -spaces when  $\alpha$  is the cover of compact sets. In the following, if  $p \in \omega^*$ , we denote by  $\alpha(p)$  the cover of all quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact subsets of  $X$ .

2.10. THEOREM. *Let  $p \in \omega^*$  and let  $B$  be a bounded subset of a space  $X$ . If every point of  $X$  is either an  $\alpha(q)_{\mathbb{R}}$ -point for some  $q \leq_{\text{RK}} p$  or a  $P$ -point, then  $B$  is quasi- $p$ -bounded in  $X$ .*

PROOF. If  $B$  is not quasi- $p$ -bounded in  $X$ , by Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.3(4), there exists a sequence  $(U_n)_{n < \omega}$  of pairwise disjoint open sets in  $X$  meeting  $B$  such that for each quasi- $q$ -pseudocompact subset  $Y$  of  $X$ , with  $q \leq_{\text{RK}} p$ , only a finite subcollection of  $\{U_n : n < \omega\}$  meet  $Y$ . We shall see that this fact leads us to a contradiction. Consider a sequence  $(V_n)_{n < \omega}$  of regular-closed sets meeting  $B$  and that  $V_n \subset U_n$  for every  $n < \omega$ . For all  $n < \omega$ , let  $x_n \in \text{int}_X V_n$  and define a real-valued continuous function  $f_n$  such that  $f_n(x_n) = n$  and  $f_n(X \setminus V_n) = 0$ .

We prove that the function  $f(x) = \sum_{n < \omega} f_n$  is continuous. Let  $x \in X$ . Since  $V_n \cap V_m = \emptyset$  when  $n \neq m$ ,  $f$  is continuous in  $\bigcup_{m < \omega} \text{int } V_m$ . If  $x$  is a  $P$ -point of  $X$  belonging to  $X \setminus \bigcup_{n < \omega} V_n$ , then  $f$  is zero on the neighborhood  $\bigcap_{n < \omega} (X \setminus V_n)$  of  $x$ . So,  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ .

Suppose now that  $x \in X \setminus \bigcup_{m < \omega} \text{int } V_m$  is not a  $P$ -point. By assumption  $x$  is an  $\alpha(q)_{\mathbb{R}}$ -point for some  $q \leq_{\text{RK}} p$ . So there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  which is an  $\alpha(q)_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space. Let  $Q \subset V$  be a quasi- $q$ -pseudocompact space. Then  $Q$  only meets a finite subcollection of  $\{V_n : n < \omega\}$  and, consequently,  $f$  agrees on  $Q$  with a finite sum of continuous functions. Hence,  $f$  is continuous at  $Q$ . Thus, since  $V$  is an  $\alpha(q)_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space,  $f|_V$  is continuous; but  $V$  is a neighborhood of  $x$ , so  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ . As  $f$  is continuous on all of  $X$  and unbounded on  $B$ , we have just obtained a contradiction. ■

2.11. COROLLARY. *Let  $p \in \omega^*$ . Each open pseudocompact subset of a quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact space is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact.*

PROOF. Let  $X$  be a quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact space and consider an open pseudocompact subset  $P$  of  $X$ . Since each point of  $P$  belongs to a regular-

closed subset contained in  $P$ , each point of  $P$  is an  $\alpha(p)_R$ -point. Thus, the result is a consequence of Theorem 2.10. ■

2.12. COROLLARY. *Let  $p \in \omega^*$ . A free topological sum  $X = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$ , where  $X_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ , is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact if and only if each  $X_\alpha$  is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact and  $|A| < \aleph_0$ .*

**3. Quasi- $p$ -pseudocompactness in  $\beta(\omega)$ .** This section is devoted to studying the notion of quasi- $p$ -pseudocompactness in  $\beta(\omega)$ . In [7, Lemma 1.9] it was proven that  $P_{RK}(p)$  is  $p$ -pseudocompact for every  $p \in \omega^*$ . Our first result in this section relates quasi- $p$ -pseudocompactness to  $P_{RK}(p)$ .

3.1. THEOREM. *Let  $\omega \subset X \subset \beta(\omega)$  and  $p \in \omega^*$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X$  is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact;
- (2)  $X \cap P_{RK}(p)$  is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact;
- (3)  $(X \cap P_{RK}(p)) \setminus \omega$  is dense in  $\omega^*$ .

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Assume that  $X$  is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact, and let  $(U_n)_{n < \omega}$  be a sequence of pairwise disjoint open sets in  $X \cap P_{RK}(p)$ . For each  $n < \omega$ , choose  $k_n \in U_n \cap \omega$ . The sequence  $(\{k_n\})_{n < \omega}$  has an  $r$ -limit point  $x \in X$  where  $r \in \omega^*$  and  $r \leq_{RK} p$ . Define  $g : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  by  $g(n) = k_n$ . If  $B \in x$ , then  $\{n < \omega : k_n \in B\} = \{n < \omega : g(n) \in B\} = g^{-1}(B) \in r$ . So  $B \in g^\beta(r)$ . Thus,  $g^\beta(r) = x$ ; that is,  $x \leq_{RK} r \leq_{RK} p$ . We have just proved that  $x \in X \cap P_{RK}(p)$  and  $x = r\text{-lim}(U_{k_n})$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Let  $A$  be an infinite subset of  $\omega$ . We are going to prove that there exists a free ultrafilter on  $\omega$  that belongs to  $P_{RK}(p) \cap X \cap \widehat{A}$ . Let  $g : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  be an injective function which enumerates  $A$ :  $A = \{g(n) : n < \omega\}$ . By assumption, there is a subsequence of  $(\{g(n)\})_{n < \omega}$  which has a  $p$ -limit point in  $P_{RK}(p) \cap X$ . By Lemma 2.1, the sequence  $(\{g(n)\})_{n < \omega}$  has an  $r$ -limit point  $x \in X$  where  $r \in \omega^*$  and  $r \leq_{RK} p$ . Thus,  $g^\beta(r) = x$ ; that is, for every  $B \in x$ , we have  $g^{-1}(B) = \{n < \omega : g(n) \in B\} \in r$ . So,  $B \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $A \in x$ ; and this means that  $x \in \widehat{A} \cap X$ . Moreover,  $x \leq_{RK} r \leq_{RK} p$ , and  $x$  is free because otherwise we contradict the injectivity of  $g$ .

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let  $(A_n)_{n < \omega}$  be a sequence of nonempty subsets of  $\omega$ . We are going to prove that the sequence  $(\widehat{A}_n \cap X)_{n < \omega}$  of nonempty open subsets of  $X$  has an  $r$ -limit point in  $X$ , where  $r \in \omega^*$  and  $r \leq_{RK} p$ . For each  $n < \omega$ , let  $g(n)$  be an element of  $A_n$ . Take the set  $A = \{g(n) : n < \omega\}$ . Using our hypothesis, we obtain an  $x_g \in X \cap P_{RK}(p) \cap \widehat{A} \cap \omega^*$ . Hence,  $A \in x_g$ ,  $x_g \leq_{RK} p$ ,  $x_g \in X$  and  $x_g$  is a free ultrafilter. The collection  $\{g^{-1}(g(n)) : n < \omega\}$  defines a partition on  $\omega$ , so it defines an equivalence relation  $R$  in  $\omega$ . Let  $\omega/R$  be the collection of equivalence classes, and let  $c : \omega \rightarrow \omega/R$  be the function which assigns to each  $n < \omega$  its equivalence class. We choose a function  $\xi$

on  $\{c(n) : n < \omega\}$  with values in  $\omega$  such that  $\xi(c(n)) \in g^{-1}(g(n))$ . Also, we take a function  $h : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  which satisfies  $h^\beta(p) = x_g$ . Finally, we define  $\phi : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  in the following way:  $\phi(n) = \xi(c(m))$  if  $h(n) = g(m)$ , and  $\phi(n) = 0$  if  $h(n) \notin A$ . The relation  $\phi$  is a function from  $\omega$  to  $\omega$ . Let  $r_g$  be the image of  $p$  under  $\phi^\beta$ . In particular, we have  $r_g \leq_{\text{RK}} p$ .

We are going to prove that  $x_g = r_g\text{-lim}\{g(n)\}$ , that is, for every  $B \in x_g$ ,  $g^{-1}(B) \in r_g$ . In order to do this, it is enough to prove that for every  $B \in x_g$ ,  $\phi^{-1}g^{-1}(B) \in p$ . But  $g^{-1}(B) \supset g^{-1}(B \cap A)$  (recall that  $B \cap A \in x_g$ ). Then  $\phi^{-1}(g^{-1}(B)) \supset \phi^{-1}(g^{-1}(B \cap A))$ , and this last set contains  $h^{-1}(B \cap A)$ . In fact, let  $x \in h^{-1}(B \cap A)$ , so  $h(x) = g(m)$  for some  $m < \omega$ . This means that  $\phi(x) = \xi(c(m)) \in g^{-1}(g(m))$ . Hence,  $g(\phi(x)) = g(m) \in B \cap A$ . Therefore,  $\phi(x) \in g^{-1}(B \cap A)$ . Since  $h^{-1}(B \cap A) \in p$ ,  $\phi^{-1}(g^{-1}(B)) \in p$ . This implies that  $g^{-1}(B) \in r_g$ , so  $x_g = r_g\text{-lim}\{g(n)\}$ . ■

Now, we obtain some results that are consequences of the previous theorem.

**3.2. EXAMPLE.** Let  $p$  be a free non-RK-minimal ultrafilter on  $\omega$ . The space  $X = \beta(\omega) \setminus T(p)$  is quasi- $q$ -pseudocompact for all  $q \in \omega^*$  and does not belong to  $\mathcal{P}$ .

*Proof.* In fact, let  $q \in \omega^*$ . If  $p \not\approx_{\text{RK}} q$  then  $T(q) \subset X \cap P_{\text{RK}}(q)$ , and if  $p \approx_{\text{RK}} q$  then  $X \cap P_{\text{RK}}(q) \supset T(r)$  where  $r \in \omega^*$  is strictly less than  $p$  in the Rudin–Keisler pre-order. So, in both cases,  $X \cap P_{\text{RK}}(q)$  is dense in  $\omega^*$ . By Theorem 3.1 we conclude that  $X$  is quasi- $q$ -pseudocompact for every  $q \in \omega^*$ .

Now we are going to prove that  $X$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let  $U_n = \{n\}$  for each  $n \in \omega$ , and let  $\{V_n : n < \omega\}$  be a subsequence of  $\{U_n : n < \omega\}$  such that  $V_n \neq V_m$  if  $n \neq m$ ; that is, for each  $n < \omega$  there is  $k_n < \omega$  such that  $V_n = U_{k_n}$ . The function  $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  defined by  $f(n) = k_n$  is one-to-one. Moreover,

$$\bigcap_{N \in p} \text{cl}_X \left( \bigcup_{n \in N} V_n \right) = \bigcap_{N \in p} \text{cl}_X(f(N)) = \left( \bigcap_{N \in p} \text{cl}_{\beta(\omega)} f(N) \right) \cap X.$$

But  $\bigcap_{N \in p} \text{cl}_{\beta(\omega)} f(N) = \{f^\beta(p)\}$  and  $f^\beta(p) \in T(p)$ ; therefore,

$$\bigcap_{N \in p} \text{cl}_X \left( \bigcup_{n \in N} V_n \right) = \emptyset.$$

We conclude, using Theorem 2.5, that  $X$  is not in  $\mathcal{P}$ . ■

Another consequence of Theorem 3.1 is the following.

**3.3. COROLLARY.** For  $p, q \in \omega^*$ ,  $P_{\text{RK}}(q)$  is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact if and only if  $p$  and  $q$  are RK-compatible.

Blass and Shelah [3] have defined a model  $\mathfrak{M}$  of ZFC in which

$$\mathfrak{M} \models \forall p, q \in \omega^* \exists r \in \omega^* (r \leq_{\text{RK}} p \wedge r \leq_{\text{RK}} q),$$

so, by Corollary 3.3,

$\mathfrak{M} \models \forall p \in \omega^* (P_{\text{RK}}(p) \text{ is quasi-}q\text{-pseudocompact for every } q \in \omega^*).$

(Observe that  $P_{\text{RK}}(p)$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{P}$  because if  $p <_{\text{RK}} q$ , then  $P_{\text{RK}}(p) \times \Sigma(q)$  is not pseudocompact.)

By definition, if  $q \leq_{\text{RK}} p$ , then every quasi- $q$ -pseudocompact space is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact. Moreover, Theorem 3.1 shows that  $\Sigma(q)$  is quasi- $q$ -pseudocompact, and if  $\Sigma(q)$  is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact, then we must have  $q \leq_{\text{RK}} p$ . So, we obtain:

3.4. COROLLARY. *Let  $p, q \in \omega^*$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $q \leq_{\text{RK}} p$ ;
- (2) *Every quasi- $q$ -pseudocompact space is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact;*
- (3)  *$\Sigma(q)$  is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact.*

Now we are able to give an example of a pseudocompact space which is not quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact for any  $p \in \omega^*$ .

3.5. EXAMPLE. Let  $K$  be the one-point compactification of the space  $\bigoplus_{p \in \omega^*} (\beta(\omega) \times \{p\})$ . The subspace  $X = \bigoplus_{p \in \omega^*} (\Sigma(p) \times \{p\}) \cup \{x_0\}$  of  $K$ , where  $x_0$  is the distinguished point in  $K$ , is a pseudocompact space. Also,  $X$  contains a clopen copy of  $\Sigma(p)$  for each  $p \in \omega^*$ . Since  $\omega^*$  does not have  $\leq_{\text{RK}}$ -maximal elements, and because of Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 3.4,  $X$  is not quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact for any  $p \in \omega^*$ .

We finish this section by studying the space  $T(p)$  related to the properties that we are analyzing. We begin by determining when  $T(q)$  is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact and we characterize  $P$ -points in  $\omega^*$  in terms of quasi- $p$ -pseudocompactness of  $T(p)$ . The following result, proved in [7], will help us.

3.6. THEOREM. *For  $p, q \in \omega$ ,  $p <_{\text{R}} q$  if and only if  $T(q)$  is  $p$ -pseudocompact.*

3.7. THEOREM. *Let  $p, q \in \omega^*$ . The space  $T(q)$  is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact if and only if  $(P_{\text{RK}}(p) \cap P_{\text{R}}(q)) \setminus \Sigma(q) \neq \emptyset$ .*

PROOF. Assume that  $T(q)$  is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact and let  $(A_n)_{n < \omega}$  be an  $\omega$ -partition of  $\omega$ . There are  $r \leq_{\text{RK}} p$  and  $s \in T(q)$  such that  $s = r\text{-lim } \widehat{A}_n$ . Thus, for each  $A \in s$ ,  $\{n < \omega : \widehat{A} \cap \widehat{A}_n \neq \emptyset\} \in r$ . Since  $\{n < \omega : |A \cap A_n| = \aleph_0\} \supset \{n < \omega : \widehat{A} \cap \widehat{A}_n \neq \emptyset\}$ , it follows that  $\{n < \omega : |A \cap A_n| = \aleph_0\} \in r$ . Let  $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  be defined by  $f(m) = n$  if  $m \in A_n$ . The function  $f$  is surjective and  $\{n < \omega : |A \cap f^{-1}(n)| = \aleph_0\} \in r$  for each  $A \in s$ . Then  $r <_{\text{R}} s$ . Since  $s \approx_{\text{RK}} q$ , we have  $r <_{\text{R}} q$ . Therefore,  $r \in (P_{\text{RK}}(p) \cap P_{\text{R}}(q)) \setminus \Sigma(q)$ .

Now, if  $r \in (P_{\text{RK}}(p) \cap P_{\text{R}}(q)) \setminus \Sigma(q)$ , then  $r <_{\text{R}} q$ , so  $T(q)$  is  $r$ -pseudocompact (Theorem 3.6). In particular,  $T(q)$  is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact. ■

The result that follows generalizes Theorem 5.3 in [10].

3.8. COROLLARY. *Let  $q \in \omega^*$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $q$  is a  $P$ -point in  $\omega^*$ ;
- (2)  $T(q)$  is not pseudocompact;
- (3)  $T(q)$  is not quasi- $q$ -pseudocompact;
- (4)  $T(q)$  is not quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact for any  $p \in \omega^*$ ;
- (5)  $T(q)$  is not  $p$ -pseudocompact for any  $p \in \omega^*$ .

Proof. The implications (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) $\Rightarrow$ (5) are trivial, and (5) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is a consequence of Theorem 3.6 (it is also a result due to Ginsburg and Saks in [10]). Finally, (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) holds because if  $q$  is a  $P$ -point in  $\omega^*$ , then  $T(q)$  is a  $P$ -space, and so it cannot be pseudocompact because pseudocompact  $P$ -spaces are finite. ■

Also, as a consequence of Theorems 3.6 and 3.7, the space  $T(q)$  is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact if and only if  $T(q)$  is  $r$ -pseudocompact for some  $r \leq_{\text{RK}} p$ .

**4. Products of quasi- $p$ -bounded subsets.** Let  $p \in \omega^*$ . In [7] it was proved that, if  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $p$ -pseudocompact spaces, then so is  $X \times Y$ . However, in Example 2.9 a space  $Y$  in the Frolík class  $\mathcal{P}$  has been constructed which is not  $p$ -pseudocompact for any  $p \in \omega^*$ . Since  $Y \in \mathcal{P}$ , the product space  $X \times Y$  is pseudocompact for each pseudocompact space  $X$ . These facts suggest the question of characterizing the spaces whose product with every  $p$ -pseudocompact space is pseudocompact. The following theorem answers this question.

4.1. THEOREM. *Let  $p \in \omega^*$ . For a subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A$  is quasi- $p$ -bounded in  $X$ ;
- (2) For each  $p$ -bounded subset  $B$  of a space  $Y$ ,  $A \times B$  is quasi- $p$ -bounded in  $X \times Y$ ;
- (3) For each  $p$ -bounded subset  $B$  of a space  $Y$ ,  $A \times B$  is bounded in  $X \times Y$ ;
- (4)  $A \times P_{\text{RK}}(p)$  is bounded in  $X \times P_{\text{RK}}(p)$ .

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let  $(U_n \times V_n)_{n < \omega}$  be a sequence of open sets in  $X \times Y$  meeting  $A \times B$ . We prove that there is a subsequence of  $(U_n \times V_n)_{n < \omega}$  which admits a  $p$ -limit point. By assumption,  $(U_n)_{n < \omega}$  has a  $q$ -limit point for some  $q \leq_{\text{RK}} p$ . So, by Lemma 2.1(1), there exists a subsequence  $(U_{g(n)})_{n < \omega}$  of  $(U_n)_{n < \omega}$  and a point  $x \in X$  such that  $x = p\text{-lim}(U_{g(n)})$ . Now, since  $B$  is  $p$ -bounded in  $Y$ , we can find  $y \in Y$  such that  $y = p\text{-lim}(V_{g(n)})$ . Thus,  $(x, y) = p\text{-lim}(U_{g(n)} \times V_{g(n)})_{n < \omega}$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) are clear.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let  $(U_n)_{n < \omega}$  be a sequence of open sets in  $X$  meeting  $A$ . Since  $X \times P_{\text{RK}}(p)$  is bounded,  $(U_n \times \{n\})_{n < \omega}$  has a cluster point  $(x, r)$ . We claim

that  $x = r\text{-lim}(U_n)$ . In fact, suppose to the contrary that there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that the set  $M = \{n < \omega : U_n \cap U \neq \emptyset\} \notin r$ . Since  $r$  is an ultrafilter,  $\omega \setminus M \in r$ . So,  $U \times \widehat{\omega \setminus M}$  is a neighborhood of  $(x, r)$  missing  $U_n \times \{n\}$  for all  $n < \omega$ , which leads us to a contradiction. ■

Consequently, a space  $X$  is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact for a  $p \in \omega^*$  if and only if  $X \times P_{\text{RK}}(p)$  is pseudocompact.

We remind the reader that a *compactification*  $K$  of a space  $X$  is a compact space containing  $X$  as a dense subset. Two compactifications  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  of  $X$  are said to be *equivalent* if the identity map on  $X$  admits a continuous extension to a homeomorphism from  $K_1$  onto  $K_2$ . In this case we write  $K_1 = K_2$ .

For bounded subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of two topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, the equality  $\text{cl}_{\beta(X \times Y)}(A \times B) = \text{cl}_{\beta X} A \times \text{cl}_{\beta Y} B$  has been widely studied (see e.g. [9], [11], [18]). In what follows we analyze this equality in the field of quasi- $p$ -bounded subsets. The following lemma is necessary for our purposes. A proof is available in [9, Lemma 2.5].

4.2. LEMMA. *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be bounded subsets of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. If  $\text{cl}_{\beta(X)} A \times \text{cl}_{\beta(Y)} B = \text{cl}_{\beta(X \times Y)}(A \times B)$ , then  $A \times B$  is bounded in  $X \times Y$ .*

We remind the reader that a family  $\{f_\delta\}_{\delta \in D}$  of real-valued functions on a space  $X$  is said to be *equicontinuous at*  $x_0 \in X$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x_0$  such that, for each  $\delta \in D$ ,  $|f_\delta(x) - f_\delta(x_0)| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $x \in V$ . For each real-valued bounded continuous function on a product space  $X \times Y$  we denote by  $\beta(f)$  its continuous extension to  $\beta(X \times Y)$ . Given  $x \in X$ ,  $\beta(f)(a, -)$  stands for the continuous extension to  $\beta Y$  of the bounded function  $g$  on  $Y$  defined by the requirement  $g(y) = f(x, y)$  whenever  $y \in Y$ . For each  $y \in \beta Y$ ,  $\beta(f)(a, y)$  stands for  $\beta(f)(a, -)(y)$  and, if  $y \in \beta Y$ ,  $\beta(f)(-, y)$  for the function from  $X$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\beta(f)(-, y)(x) = \beta(f)(x, y)$$

whenever  $x \in X$ . As usual, for each subset  $U$  of  $X$ , we define the *oscillation* of  $f$  in  $U$ ,  $\text{osc}(f, U)$ , as  $\sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : (x, y) \in U \times U\}$ .

4.3. THEOREM. *Let  $p \in \omega^*$ . For a bounded subset  $A$  of  $X$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A$  is quasi- $p$ -bounded;
- (2) For each  $p$ -bounded subset  $B$  of a space  $Y$ ,  $\text{cl}_{\beta(X \times Y)}(A \times B) = \text{cl}_{\beta X} A \times \text{cl}_{\beta Y} B$ ;
- (3) For each  $p$ -pseudocompact space  $Y$ ,  $\text{cl}_{\beta(X \times Y)}(A \times Y) = \text{cl}_{\beta X} A \times \beta Y$ ;
- (4)  $\text{cl}_{\beta(X \times P_{\text{RK}}(p))}(A \times P_{\text{RK}}(p)) = \text{cl}_{\beta X} A \times \beta(\omega)$ .

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let  $\beta(i)$  be the continuous extension to  $\beta(X \times Y)$  of the identity mapping  $i : X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y \subset \beta X \times \beta Y$ . It is

clear that  $\beta(i)|_{\text{cl}_{\beta(X \times Y)}(A \times B)}$  maps  $\text{cl}_{\beta(X \times Y)}(A \times B)$  onto  $\text{cl}_{\beta X} A \times \text{cl}_{\beta Y} B$ . We prove that  $\beta(i)|_{\text{cl}_{\beta(X \times Y)}(A \times B)}$  is injective. For this, suppose to the contrary that there exist two different points  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\text{cl}_{\beta(X \times Y)}(A \times B) \setminus (A \times B)$  such that  $\beta(i)(a) = \beta(i)(b) = (a_0, b_0)$ . Choose a real-valued continuous function  $f$  on  $\beta(X \times Y)$  such that  $f(a) = 0$  and  $f(b) = 1$ .

We begin by checking that the family  $\{\beta(f)(a, -) : a \in A\}$  is not equicontinuous at  $b_0$ . Indeed, let  $(b_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$  be a net in  $B$  converging to  $b_0$ . Then, if  $\{\beta(f)(a, -) : a \in A\}$  were equicontinuous at  $b_0$ , the function  $\beta(f)(-, a_0)$  is the uniform limit (on  $A$ ) of the net  $(\beta(f)(-, b_\delta))_{\delta \in D}$  and, consequently, it admits a continuous extension  $g$  to  $\text{cl}_{\beta X} A$ . Consider now a net  $(a_\delta, b_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$  in  $A \times B$  converging to  $a$ . Then  $(a_\delta, b_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$  converges to  $(a_0, b_0)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\{\beta(f)(a, -) : a \in A\}$  is equicontinuous at  $b_0$  and  $g$  is continuous on  $\text{cl}_{\beta X} A$ , there exists  $\delta_0 \in D$  such that

$$|f(a_\delta, b_\delta) - \beta(f)(a_\delta, b_0)| < \varepsilon/2, \quad |\beta(f)(a_\delta, b_0) - g(a_0)| < \varepsilon/2$$

whenever  $\delta > \delta_0$ . So, by the triangle inequality,

$$|f(a_\delta, b_\delta) - g(a_0)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus,  $g(a_0) = 0$ . In the same way, we obtain  $g(a_0) = 1$ , a contradiction.

We have just proved that  $\{\beta(f)(a, -) : a \in A\}$  is not equicontinuous at  $b_0$ . Hence the following condition is satisfied:

- (E) there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that, for each neighborhood  $V$  of  $b_0$  in  $\beta Y$ , there are  $a \in A$  and  $b \in V \cap B$  such that

$$|f(a, b) - \beta(f)(a, b_0)| > \varepsilon.$$

Next, we define by induction a sequence  $(a_n, b_n)_{n < \omega} \subset A \times B$  and two sequences  $(W_n)_{n < \omega}$ ,  $(U_n \times V_n)_{n < \omega}$  of regular-closed subsets of  $\beta Y$  and  $X \times Y$ , respectively, such that:

- (1)  $|f(a_n, b_n) - \beta(f)(a_n, b_0)| > \varepsilon$  for each  $n < \omega$ ;
- (2) For each  $n < \omega$ ,  $b_0 \in \text{int}_{\beta Y} W_n$  and  $\text{osc}(\beta(f)(a_n, -), W_n) < \varepsilon/4$ ;
- (3) For each  $n < \omega$ ,  $(a_n, b_n) \in \text{int}_{X \times Y}(U_n \times V_n)$  and  $\text{osc}(f, U_n \times V_n) < \varepsilon/4$ ;
- (4) For each  $n < \omega$ ,  $\text{int}_Y V_n \subset \text{int}_{\beta Y} W_{n-1}$  and  $\text{int}_{\beta Y} W_n \subset \text{int}_{\beta Y} W_{n-1}$ .

In fact, by condition (E), we can find a point  $(a_1, b_1) \in A \times B$  such that

$$|f(a_1, b_1) - \beta(f)(a_1, b_0)| > \varepsilon.$$

As  $f$  and  $\beta(f)(a_1, -)$  are both continuous functions on  $X \times Y$  and on  $\beta Y$ , respectively, there exists a regular-closed neighborhood (in  $X \times Y$ )  $U_1 \times V_1$  of  $(a_1, b_1)$  and a regular-closed neighborhood (in  $\beta Y$ )  $W_1$  of  $b_0$  such that

$$\text{osc}(f, U_1 \times V_1) < \varepsilon/4, \quad \text{osc}(\beta(f)(a_1, -), W_1) < \varepsilon/4.$$

This completes step  $n = 1$ .

For  $n > 1$ , by condition (E) again, there exist  $b_n \in \text{int}_{\beta Y} W_{n-1} \cap B$  and  $a_n \in A$  such that

$$|f(a_n, b_n) - \beta(f)(a_n, b_0)| > \varepsilon.$$

From an argument similar to that given in step  $n = 1$ , we can find a regular-closed neighborhood (in  $X \times Y$ )  $U_n \times V_n$  of  $(a_n, b_n)$  with  $\text{int}_Y V_n \subset \text{int}_{\beta Y} W_{n-1}$  and a regular-closed neighborhood (in  $\beta Y$ )  $W_n$  of  $b_0$  with  $\text{int}_{\beta Y} W_n \subset \text{int}_{\beta Y} W_{n-1}$  such that

$$\text{osc}(f, U_n \times V_n) < \varepsilon/4, \quad \text{osc}(\beta(f)(a_n, -), W_n) < \varepsilon/4.$$

This completes the induction.

Now, since  $B$  is quasi- $p$ -bounded, there exists a subsequence  $(V_{n(k)})_{k < \omega}$  which admits a  $p$ -limit  $y$  in  $Y$ . By (4) it is an easy matter to check that  $y$  is a cluster point of  $(W_n)_{n < \omega}$  and, consequently,  $y$  belongs to  $W_n$  for each  $n < \omega$ . On the other hand, as  $\beta(f)(-, y)$  is continuous, we can find a sequence  $(M_n)_{n < \omega}$  of regular-closed sets in  $X$  with  $a_n \in \text{int}_X M_n \subset U_n$  such that  $\text{osc}(\beta(f)(-, y), M_n) < \varepsilon/4$  for each  $n < \omega$ . The subset  $A$  being  $p$ -bounded, we can choose a  $p$ -limit  $x$  of the sequence  $(M_{n(k)})_{k < \omega}$ . It is clear that  $(x, y)$  is a cluster point of both  $(M_{n(k)}, V_{n(k)})_{k < \omega}$  and  $(M_{n(k)}, W_{n(k)})_{k < \omega}$ .

Next, let  $U \times V$  be a regular-closed neighborhood on  $X \times Y$  such that  $|f(a, b) - f(x, y)| < \varepsilon/4$  whenever  $(a, b) \in U \times V$  and consider the set  $J = \{k < \omega : (U \times V) \cap (M_{n(k)} \times V_{n(k)}) \neq \emptyset\}$ . According to (4),  $J \subset \{k < \omega : (U \times V) \cap (M_{n(k)} \times V_{n(k)}) \neq \emptyset\}$ . So, by (3),

$$|f(x, y) - f(a_{n(k)}, b_{n(k)})| < \varepsilon/4$$

whenever  $k \in J$ .

On the other hand, because  $y \in W_{n(k)}$  and  $\text{osc}(\beta(f)(-, y), M_{n(k)}) < \varepsilon/4$  for each  $k < \omega$ , we have

$$|f(a_{n(k)}, y) - \beta(f)(a_{n(k)}, b_0)| < \varepsilon/4, \quad |f(a, y) - f(a_{n(k)}, y)| < \varepsilon/4$$

whenever  $a \in M_{n(k)}$ . Therefore,  $|\beta(f)(a, y) - \beta(f)(a_{n(k)}, b_0)| < \varepsilon/2$  whenever  $k < \omega$ . This contradicts the fact that

$$|f(a_{n(k)}, b_{n(k)}) - \beta(f)(a_{n(k)}, b_0)| > \varepsilon.$$

Thus, the function  $\beta(i)$  is injective, as was to be proved.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) are clear.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Since  $\omega \subset P_{\text{RK}}(p) \subset \beta(\omega)$ , we have  $\beta P_{\text{RK}}(p) = \beta(\omega)$ . So, condition (4) and Lemma 4.2 imply that  $A \times P_{\text{RK}}(p)$  is bounded in  $X \times P_{\text{RK}}(p)$ . The result follows from Theorem 4.1. ■

4.4. COROLLARY. *Let  $p \in \omega^*$ . A bounded subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  is quasi- $p$ -bounded in  $X$  if and only if for each  $p$ -bounded subset  $B$  of a space  $Y$ , the restriction to  $A \times B$  of every real-valued continuous function on  $X \times Y$  admits a continuous extension to  $\text{cl}_{\beta X} A \times \text{cl}_{\beta Y} B$ .*

4.5. COROLLARY. *Let  $p \in \omega^*$ . A pseudocompact space  $X$  is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact if and only if  $\beta(X \times P_{\text{RK}}(p)) = \beta X \times \beta(\omega)$ .*

We give an example which points out that quasi- $p$ -boundedness is not preserved under finite products.

4.6. EXAMPLE. Let  $p \in \omega^*$  be a non-RK-minimal free ultrafilter and choose  $r <_{\text{RK}} p$ . By Corollary 3.4 both  $\Sigma(p)$  and  $\Sigma(r)$  are quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact subsets. Since the sequence  $((n, n))_{n < \omega}$  of open sets in  $\Sigma(p) \times \Sigma(r)$  does not have cluster points, the space  $\Sigma(p) \times \Sigma(r)$  is not pseudocompact. Now, consider  $Z = \Sigma(p) \oplus \Sigma(r)$ . By Corollary 2.12,  $Z$  is quasi- $p$ -pseudocompact. However,  $Z \times Z$  has a clopen copy of  $\Sigma(p) \times \Sigma(r)$  which is not pseudocompact and, consequently,  $Z \times Z$  is not pseudocompact.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. R. Bernstein, *A new kind of compactness for topological spaces*, Fund. Math. 66 (1970), 185–193.
- [2] J. L. Blasco, *Two problems on  $k_r$ -spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar. 32 (1978), 27–30.
- [3] A. Blass and S. Shelah, *There may be simple  $P_{\aleph_1}$ -points and  $P_{\aleph_2}$ -points and the Rudin–Keisler ordering may be downward directed*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 33 (1987), 213–243.
- [4] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Polish Sci. Publ., Warszawa, 1977.
- [5] Z. Frolík, *Sums of ultrafilters*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967), 87–91.
- [6] —, *The topological product of two pseudocompact spaces*, Czechoslovak Math. J. 85 (1960), 339–349.
- [7] S. García-Ferreira, *Some generalizations of pseudocompactness*, in: Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 728, New York Acad. Sci., 1994, 22–31.
- [8] S. García-Ferreira, V. I. Malykhin and A. Tamariz-Mascarúa, *Solutions and problems on convergence structures to ultrafilters*, Questions Answers Gen. Topology 13 (1995), 103–122 .
- [9] S. García-Ferreira, M. Sanchis and S. Watson, *Some remarks on the product of  $C_\alpha$ -compact subsets*, Czechoslovak Math. J., to appear.
- [10] J. Ginsburg and V. Saks, *Some applications of ultrafilters in topology*, Pacific J. Math. 57 (1975), 403–418.
- [11] S. Hernández, M. Sanchis and M. Tkačenko, *Bounded sets in spaces and topological groups*, Topology Appl., to appear.
- [12] A. Kato, *A note on pseudocompact  $k_r$ -spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 61 (1977), 175–176.
- [13] M. Katětov, *Characters and types of point sets*, Fund. Math. 50 (1961), 367–380.
- [14] —, *Products of filters*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 9 (1968), 173–189.
- [15] N. N. Noble, *Ascoli theorems and the exponential map*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (1969), 393–411.
- [16] —, *Countably compact and pseudocompact products*, Czechoslovak Math. J. 19 (1969), 390–397.
- [17] M. E. Rudin, *Partial orders on the types of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 155 (1971), 353–362.

- [18] M. Sanchis and A. Tamariz-Mascarúa, *p-pseudocompactness and related topics in topological spaces*, *Topology Appl.*, to appear.
- [19] M. G. Tkačenko, *Compactness type properties in topological groups*, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 113 (1988), 324–341.

Departament de Matemàtiques  
Universitat Jaume I  
Campus de Penyeta Roja s/n  
12071, Castelló, Spain  
E-mail: sanchis@mat.uji.es

Departamento de Matemáticas  
Facultad de Ciencias  
U.N.A.M.  
Ciudad Universitaria  
México 04510, México  
E-mail: atamariz@servidor.unam.mx

*Received 6 February 1998;  
revised 28 September 1998*