## COLLOQUIUM MATHEMATICUM

VOL. 82

1999

## ON A PROBLEM OF MATKOWSKI

ΒY

## ZOLTÁN DARÓCZY AND GYULA MAKSA (DEBRECEN)

Abstract. We solve Matkowski's problem for strictly comparable quasi-arithmetic means.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an open interval and let CM(I) denote the class of all *continuous* and *strictly monotone* real functions defined on I. A function  $M: I^2 \to I$  is called a *quasi-arithmetic mean* on I if there exists  $\psi \in CM(I)$  such that

(1.1) 
$$M(x,y) = \psi^{-1}\left(\frac{\psi(x) + \psi(y)}{2}\right) =: A_{\psi}(x,y)$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . In this case,  $\psi \in CM(I)$  is called the *generating function* of the quasi-arithmetic mean  $A_{\psi} : I^2 \to I$ .

We recall the following result ([1], [4], [5]):

If  $\varphi, \chi \in CM(I)$  then  $A_{\varphi}(x, y) = A_{\chi}(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in I$  if, and only if, there exist real constants  $a \neq 0$  and b such that

(1.2) 
$$\varphi(x) = a\chi(x) + b$$
 for all  $x \in I$ .

If for the (generating) functions  $\varphi, \chi \in CM(I)$ , (1.2) holds for some constants  $a \neq 0$  and b then we say that  $\varphi$  is *equivalent* to  $\chi$ ; and, in this case, we write  $\varphi \sim \chi$  or  $\varphi(x) \sim \chi(x)$  if  $x \in I$ .

Matkowski ([6], [7]) proposed the following problem: For which pairs of functions  $\varphi, \psi \in CM(I)$  does the functional equation

(1.3) 
$$A_{\varphi}(x,y) + A_{\psi}(x,y) = x + y$$

hold for all  $x, y \in I$ ? The problem has not been solved yet in this general form. Obviously, it is enough to solve (1.3) disregarding the equivalence of the generating functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ .

A pair  $(\varphi, \psi) \in CM(I)^2$  is called *equivalent* to  $(\Phi, \Psi) \in CM(I)^2$  if  $\varphi \sim \Phi$ and  $\psi \sim \Psi$ . We then write  $(\varphi, \psi) \sim (\Phi, \Psi)$ .

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B22, 26A51.

Key words and phrases: quasi-arithmetic mean, functional equation, convexity.

This work was supported by a grant from the National Foundation for Scientific Research OTKA (no. T-030082).

<sup>[117]</sup> 

We introduce the following one-parameter family of functions belonging to CM(I):

(1.4) 
$$\chi_p(x) := \begin{cases} x & \text{if } p = 0, \\ e^{px} & \text{if } p \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad (x \in I).$$

Using the notions and notations above, Matkowski's result can be formulated as follows ([6]).

THEOREM 1. If a pair  $(\varphi, \psi) \in CM(I)^2$  is a solution of the functional equation (1.3) for all  $x, y \in I$  and the functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are twice continuously differentiable on I then there exists  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(\varphi, \psi) \sim (\chi_p, \chi_{-p})$ , where  $\chi_p$  is the function defined in (1.4).

Daróczy and Páles ([3], see also [2]) improved Matkowski's result by proving the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. If a pair  $(\varphi, \psi) \in CM(I)^2$  is a solution of the functional equation (1.3) for all  $x, y \in I$  and either  $\varphi$  or  $\psi$  is continuously differentiable on I then there exists  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(\varphi, \psi) \sim (\chi_p, \chi_{-p})$ .

These results suggest the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE. If a pair  $(\varphi, \psi) \in CM(I)^2$  is a solution of the functional equation (1.3) for all  $x, y \in I$  then there exists  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(\varphi, \psi) \sim (\chi_p, \chi_{-p})$ .

In this paper we try to give support to our conjecture from a different approach.

2. A preliminary result: The solution of a functional equation. We need the following result.

LEMMA. Let  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an open interval. If the strictly decreasing functions  $f, g: J \to \mathbb{R}_+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > 0\}$  satisfy the functional equation

(2.1) 
$$\frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right)(g(u)-g(v)) = f(v)g(u) - f(u)g(v)$$

for all  $u, v \in J$  then there exist real constants p > 0, b, and c > 0 such that

(2.2) 
$$f(u) = \frac{1}{pu+b} > 0 \quad and \quad g(u) = cf^2(u)$$

for all  $u \in J$ .

Proof. (i) First we prove that f and g are continuous functions on J. Let  $t \in J$ . Then 2t - J is an open interval and  $t \in 2t - J$ . Thus  $U := J \cap (2t - J)$  is an open interval containing t. If  $u \in U (\subset J)$  and  $u \neq t$  then let  $v := 2t - u \in U \ (\subset J)$  in (2.1). Then, since g is strictly monotone, (2.1) implies

(2.3) 
$$f(t) = 2 \frac{f(2t-u)g(u) - f(u)g(2t-u)}{g(u) - g(2t-u)}$$

for all  $u \in U$ ,  $u \neq t$ . Because of the monotonicity of f and g, there exists  $u_0 \neq t$  such that f and g are continuous at  $2t - u_0$ . Therefore, by (2.3), with the substitution  $u := u_0$ , we find that f is continuous at t.

Now let  $v \in J$  be fixed. Then by the continuity of f, there exists  $\delta > 0$  for which  $\frac{1}{2}f((u+v)/2) - f(v) \neq 0$  if  $u \in ]v - \delta, v + \delta[ \subset J$ . Thus from (2.1) we deduce that for the values  $u \in ]v - \delta, v + \delta[ \subset J$  we have

$$g(u) = g(v) \frac{\frac{1}{2}f((u+v)/2) - f(u)}{\frac{1}{2}f((u+v)/2) - f(v)},$$

which implies

$$\lim_{u \to v} g(u) = g(v) \lim_{u \to v} \frac{\frac{1}{2}f((u+v)/2) - f(u)}{\frac{1}{2}f((u+v)/2) - f(v)} = g(v),$$

that is, g is continuous at v.

(ii) Let  $F := f \circ g^{-1} : g(J) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ , where, by the previous results,  $g(J) \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  is an open interval. F is obviously continuous on g(J) and

$$F(g(u)) = f(u)$$
 for all  $u \in J$ .

Then from equation (2.1), for any  $s, t \in g(J)$  with  $s \neq t$ , with the substitutions  $u = g^{-1}(s), v = g^{-1}(t)$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{g^{-1}(s)+g^{-1}(t)}{2}\right) = \frac{F(t)s-F(s)t}{s-t} = F(t) - t\frac{F(s)-F(t)}{s-t}.$$

By the continuity of f and g, the limit of the left hand side exists as  $s \to t$ , thus the right hand side also has a limit. Therefore F is differentiable and

$$\frac{1}{2}F(t) = \frac{1}{2}f \circ g^{-1}(t) = \lim_{s \to t} \frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{g^{-1}(s) + g^{-1}(t)}{2}\right) = F(t) - tF'(t)$$

for all  $t \in g(J)$ . Since t > 0 and F(t) > 0, this implies

$$(\log F(t) - \log \sqrt{t})' = 0.$$

Therefore, there exists d > 0 such that  $F(t) = d\sqrt{t}$ . This yields, by the definition of F, that  $f \circ g^{-1}(t) = d\sqrt{t}$ , i.e.,  $f(u) = d\sqrt{g(u)}$  for  $u \in J$ , which gives

(2.4) 
$$g(u) = cf^2(u) \quad \text{for } u \in J,$$

where  $c = 1/d^2 > 0$ . Putting (2.4) back in (2.1), for  $u \neq v$  we have

$$f\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) = \frac{2f(u)f(v)}{f(u)+f(v)},$$

which obviously also holds for u = v. This implies that the function h defined by h(u) := 1/f(u) ( $u \in J$ ) satisfies Jensen's functional equation

$$h\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) = \frac{h(u)+h(v)}{2} \quad (u,v \in J)$$

([1], [5]), thus, by the continuity and strict monotonicity of f, we have h(u) = pu + b, where p > 0 and b are constants. From this we have

$$f(u) = \frac{1}{pu+b} > 0 \quad \text{ for } u \in J,$$

and so, by (2.4), the statement of the lemma is proved.

3. Comparable quasi-arithmetic means and the main result. The notion of comparability forms the basis of the different approach mentioned in the introduction ([4], [5]). Let  $(\varphi, \psi) \in CM(I)^2$ . We say that the quasi-arithmetic means  $A_{\varphi}$  and  $A_{\psi}$  are strictly comparable in I if

(3.1) 
$$A_{\varphi}(x,y) \triangleleft A_{\psi}(x,y)$$
 for all  $x \neq y, x, y \in I$ ,

where  $\triangleleft$  is one of the relations =, <, > on the real numbers. With this natural notion, our main result is the following:

THEOREM 3. If a pair  $(\varphi, \psi) \in CM(I)^2$  is a solution of the functional equation (1.3), and the quasi-arithmetic means  $A_{\varphi}$  and  $A_{\psi}$  are strictly comparable in I, then there exists  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(\varphi, \psi) \sim (\chi_p, \chi_{-p})$ .

Proof. (i) If the relation  $\triangleleft$  is = then, by (1.3) and  $A_{\varphi} = A_{\psi}$ ,

$$A_{\varphi}(x,y) = \frac{x+y}{2} = A_{\psi}(x,y) \quad \text{ if } x, y \in I, \ x \neq y.$$

This implies that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  satisfy Jensen's functional equation, thus, by the continuity and strict monotonicity,  $\varphi(x) = ax + b$  and  $\psi(x) = Ax + B$  for all  $x \in I$ , where  $aA \neq 0$ , b, B are constants ([1], [5]). Therefore  $(\varphi, \psi) \sim (\chi_0, \chi_0)$ , that is, the conclusion holds with p = 0.

(ii) If the relation  $\triangleleft$  is  $\lt$  or > then, since  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  can be interchanged, it is enough to investigate only one direction. Suppose that it is >, i.e.,

(3.2) 
$$A_{\varphi}(x,y) > A_{\psi}(x,y) \quad \text{for } x, y \in I, \ x \neq y$$

Then, by (3.2), (1.3) implies

(3.3) 
$$A_{\varphi}(x,y) > \frac{x+y}{2} \text{ and } \frac{x+y}{2} < A_{\psi}(x,y)$$

for all  $x, y \in I$  with  $x \neq y$ . Since we disregard the equivalence of the generating functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , we can assume that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are strictly increasing functions on *I*. Then, by (3.3),  $\varphi$  is strictly Jensen convex and  $\psi$  is strictly Jensen concave. Since  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are continuous and strictly increasing,  $\varphi$ is strictly convex and  $\psi$  is strictly concave on *I*. Therefore  $\varphi^{-1}$  is strictly concave on  $\varphi(I)$ ,  $\psi^{-1}$  is strictly convex on  $\psi(I)$ , and  $\gamma := \psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is strictly increasing and strictly concave on  $\varphi(I)$  ([5], [8]). Thus the left and right derivatives of the functions  $\varphi^{-1}$  and  $\gamma$  exist on the open interval  $J := \varphi(I)$ , as well as those of  $\psi^{-1}$  on the open interval  $\psi(I)$ . If  $u, v \in J = \varphi(I)$  and  $x = \varphi^{-1}(u), \ y = \varphi^{-1}(v)$  in (1.3) then

(3.4) 
$$\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{\gamma(u) + \gamma(v)}{2}\right) = \varphi^{-1}(u) + \varphi^{-1}(v) - \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right)$$

for all  $u, v \in J$ .

By the previous results, the right derivative (denoted by  $h'_+$  for a function h) of each function in (3.4) exists at all the points of the domain. Since  $\gamma$  is strictly increasing, both sides of (3.4) can be differentiated from the right with respect to  $u \in J$  and  $v \in J$ ; and by the well-known rules, we have the following equations for all  $u, v \in J$ :

$$\psi_{+}^{-1'}\left(\frac{\gamma(u)+\gamma(v)}{2}\right)\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{+}'(u) = \varphi_{+}^{-1'}(u) - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{+}^{-1'}\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right),$$
$$\psi_{+}^{-1'}\left(\frac{\gamma(u)+\gamma(v)}{2}\right)\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{+}'(v) = \varphi_{+}^{-1'}(v) - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{+}^{-1'}\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right).$$

These two equations imply, as  $(\varphi_+^{-1'}(u) - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_+^{-1'}((u+v)/2))\gamma'_+(v) =: u \circ v = v \circ u$ , that

(3.5) 
$$\frac{1}{2}\varphi_{+}^{-1'}\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right)(\gamma_{+}'(u)-\gamma_{+}'(v))=\varphi_{+}^{-1'}(v)\gamma_{+}'(u)-\varphi_{+}^{-1'}(u)\gamma_{+}'(v)$$

for all  $u, v \in J$ .

We recall that the right derivatives of strictly concave functions are positive and strictly decreasing ([5], [8]). Therefore the functions  $f, g: J \to \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by

(3.6) 
$$f(u) := \varphi_+^{-1'}(u) \text{ and } g(u) := \gamma'_+(u) \quad (u \in J)$$

are strictly decreasing on I and satisfy (2.1) for all  $u, v \in J$ . Thus the Lemma implies that there exist real constants p > 0, b, and c > 0 such that

(3.7) 
$$f(u) = \frac{1}{pu+b} > 0 \text{ and } g(u) = cf^2(u)$$

for all  $u \in J$ .

Therefore, by (3.6), the functions  $\varphi_{+}^{-1'}$  and  $\gamma'_{+}$  are continuous on J. Thus, since  $\varphi^{-1}$  and  $\gamma$  are concave,  $\varphi^{-1}$  and  $\gamma$  are differentiable on J ([5]). Therefore (3.7) and (3.6) show that

(3.8) 
$$\varphi^{-1'}(u) = \frac{1}{pu+b}$$
 and  $\gamma'(u) = \frac{c}{(pu+b)^2}$   $(u \in J),$ 

where p > 0, b, c > 0 are constants. From (3.8) we have

(3.9) 
$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{p}(e^{p(x-d)} - b) \sim e^{px} \quad \text{for } x \in I$$

where p > 0 (*d* is a constant of integration). On the other hand, as  $\gamma = \psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$ , we have  $\psi = \gamma \circ \varphi$ , and therefore (3.8) and (3.9) imply

(3.10) 
$$\psi(x) = \frac{c}{-p(p\varphi(x)+b)} + D$$
$$= \frac{c}{-pe^{p(x-d)}} + D \sim e^{-px} \quad \text{for } x \in I,$$

where p > 0 (*D* is a constant of integration). Relations (3.9) and (3.10) prove the statement of the theorem, namely,  $(\varphi, \psi) \sim (\chi_p, \chi_{-p})$  for some p > 0. If the reverse inequality holds in (3.2) then  $(\varphi, \psi) \sim (\chi_p, \chi_{-p})$  for some p < 0.

4. Concluding remarks. Theorem 3 suggests proving the Conjecture concerning Matkowski's problem stated in the introduction in the following way. From the functional equation (1.3) we should conclude that  $A_{\varphi}$  and  $A_{\psi}$  are strictly comparable in *I*. But this leads to the following, still open, problem, which, as shown below, is equivalent to the Conjecture.

OPEN PROBLEM. Is the following statement true or false? If  $\varphi, \psi \in CM(I)$  and

$$A_{\varphi}(x,y) + A_{\psi}(x,y) = x + y$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ , and there exist  $a, b \in I$  such that  $a \neq b$  and  $A_{\varphi}(a, b) = A_{\psi}(a, b)$ , then  $A_{\varphi}(x, y) = A_{\psi}(x, y) = (x + y)/2$  for all  $x, y \in I$ .

*Proof of the equivalence of the Problem and the Conjecture.* Consider the continuous function

$$D(x,y) := A_{\varphi}(x,y) - A_{\psi}(x,y) \quad (x,y \in I)$$

If the answer to the Problem is "yes" then either D(x, y) = 0 for all  $x, y \in I$ or  $D(x, y) \neq 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$  with  $x \neq y$ . This implies, by the symmetry and continuity of D, that D(x, y) > 0 (or D(x, y) < 0) for all  $x, y \in I$  with  $x \neq y$ . Thus the quasi-arithmetic means  $A_{\varphi}$  and  $A_{\psi}$  are strictly comparable in I. Therefore, applying Theorem 3, we conclude that the Conjecture is true.

If the answer to the Problem is "no" then, by Theorem 2,  $\psi$  (and of course  $\varphi$ ) cannot be continuously differentiable.

## REFERENCES

- J. Aczél, Lectures on Functional Equations and Their Applications, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- Z. Daróczy, On a class of means of two variables, Publ. Math. Debrecen 55 (1999), 177–197.
- [3] Z. Daróczy and Zs. Páles, On means that are both quasi-arithmetic and conjugate arithmetic, Acta Math. Hungar., submitted.
- [4] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press., Cambridge, 1934.
- [5] M. Kuczma, An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities, Cauchy's Equation and Jensen's Inequality, Uniw. Śląski and PWN, Katowice-Kraków-Warszawa, 1985.
- J. Matkowski, Invariant and complementary quasi-arithmetic means, Aequationes Math. 57 (1999), 87–107.
- [7] —, Complementary quasi-arithmetic means, in: Leaflets in Mathematics, Proc. Numbers, Functions, Equations '98 Internat. Conf. Noszvaj (Hungary), Pécs, 1998, 123–124.
- [8] A. W. Roberts and D. E. Varberg, *Convex Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics Kossuth Lajos University H-4010 Debrecen Pf. 12, Hungary E-mail: daroczy@math.klte.hu maksa@math.klte.hu

> Received 13 April 1999; revised 17 May 1999