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#### Abstract

We solve Matkowski's problem for strictly comparable quasi-arithmetic means.


1. Introduction. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval and let $\operatorname{CM}(I)$ denote the class of all continuous and strictly monotone real functions defined on $I$. A function $M: I^{2} \rightarrow I$ is called a quasi-arithmetic mean on $I$ if there exists $\psi \in \operatorname{CM}(I)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(x, y)=\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{\psi(x)+\psi(y)}{2}\right)=: A_{\psi}(x, y) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in I$. In this case, $\psi \in \operatorname{CM}(I)$ is called the generating function of the quasi-arithmetic mean $A_{\psi}: I^{2} \rightarrow I$.

We recall the following result ([1], [4], [5]):
If $\varphi, \chi \in \operatorname{CM}(I)$ then $A_{\varphi}(x, y)=A_{\chi}(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in I$ if, and only if, there exist real constants $a \neq 0$ and $b$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=a \chi(x)+b \quad \text { for all } x \in I . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If for the (generating) functions $\varphi, \chi \in \mathrm{CM}(I),(1.2)$ holds for some constants $a \neq 0$ and $b$ then we say that $\varphi$ is equivalent to $\chi$; and, in this case, we write $\varphi \sim \chi$ or $\varphi(x) \sim \chi(x)$ if $x \in I$.

Matkowski ([6], [7]) proposed the following problem: For which pairs of functions $\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{CM}(I)$ does the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\varphi}(x, y)+A_{\psi}(x, y)=x+y \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for all $x, y \in I$ ? The problem has not been solved yet in this general form. Obviously, it is enough to solve (1.3) disregarding the equivalence of the generating functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$.

A pair $(\varphi, \psi) \in \operatorname{CM}(I)^{2}$ is called equivalent to $(\Phi, \Psi) \in \operatorname{CM}(I)^{2}$ if $\varphi \sim \Phi$ and $\psi \sim \Psi$. We then write $(\varphi, \psi) \sim(\Phi, \Psi)$.

[^0]We introduce the following one-parameter family of functions belonging to $\mathrm{CM}(I)$ :

$$
\chi_{p}(x):=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
x & \text { if } p=0  \tag{1.4}\\
e^{p x} & \text { if } p \neq 0
\end{array} \quad(x \in I)\right.
$$

Using the notions and notations above, Matkowski's result can be formulated as follows ([6]).

ThEOREM 1. If a pair $(\varphi, \psi) \in \operatorname{CM}(I)^{2}$ is a solution of the functional equation (1.3) for all $x, y \in I$ and the functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are twice continuously differentiable on $I$ then there exists $p \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(\varphi, \psi) \sim\left(\chi_{p}, \chi_{-p}\right)$, where $\chi_{p}$ is the function defined in (1.4).

Daróczy and Páles ([3], see also [2]) improved Matkowski's result by proving the following theorem.

ThEOREM 2. If a pair $(\varphi, \psi) \in \operatorname{CM}(I)^{2}$ is a solution of the functional equation (1.3) for all $x, y \in I$ and either $\varphi$ or $\psi$ is continuously differentiable on $I$ then there exists $p \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(\varphi, \psi) \sim\left(\chi_{p}, \chi_{-p}\right)$.

These results suggest the following conjecture.
Conjecture. If a pair $(\varphi, \psi) \in \mathrm{CM}(I)^{2}$ is a solution of the functional equation (1.3) for all $x, y \in I$ then there exists $p \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(\varphi, \psi) \sim$ $\left(\chi_{p}, \chi_{-p}\right)$.

In this paper we try to give support to our conjecture from a different approach.

## 2. A preliminary result: The solution of a functional equation.

 We need the following result.Lemma. Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval. If the strictly decreasing functions $f, g: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}:=\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x>0\}$ satisfy the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} f\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right)(g(u)-g(v))=f(v) g(u)-f(u) g(v) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u, v \in J$ then there exist real constants $p>0, b$, and $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u)=\frac{1}{p u+b}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad g(u)=c f^{2}(u) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in J$.
Proof. (i) First we prove that $f$ and $g$ are continuous functions on $J$. Let $t \in J$. Then $2 t-J$ is an open interval and $t \in 2 t-J$. Thus $U:=$ $J \cap(2 t-J)$ is an open interval containing $t$. If $u \in U(\subset J)$ and $u \neq t$ then
let $v:=2 t-u \in U(\subset J)$ in (2.1). Then, since $g$ is strictly monotone, (2.1) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=2 \frac{f(2 t-u) g(u)-f(u) g(2 t-u)}{g(u)-g(2 t-u)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in U, u \neq t$. Because of the monotonicity of $f$ and $g$, there exists $u_{0} \neq t$ such that $f$ and $g$ are continuous at $2 t-u_{0}$. Therefore, by (2.3), with the substitution $u:=u_{0}$, we find that $f$ is continuous at $t$.

Now let $v \in J$ be fixed. Then by the continuity of $f$, there exists $\delta>0$ for which $\frac{1}{2} f((u+v) / 2)-f(v) \neq 0$ if $\left.u \in\right] v-\delta, v+\delta[\subset J$. Thus from (2.1) we deduce that for the values $u \in] v-\delta, v+\delta[\subset J$ we have

$$
g(u)=g(v) \frac{\frac{1}{2} f((u+v) / 2)-f(u)}{\frac{1}{2} f((u+v) / 2)-f(v)}
$$

which implies

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow v} g(u)=g(v) \lim _{u \rightarrow v} \frac{\frac{1}{2} f((u+v) / 2)-f(u)}{\frac{1}{2} f((u+v) / 2)-f(v)}=g(v)
$$

that is, $g$ is continuous at $v$.
(ii) Let $F:=f \circ g^{-1}: g(J) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, where, by the previous results, $g(J) \subset \mathbb{R}_{+}$is an open interval. $F$ is obviously continuous on $g(J)$ and

$$
F(g(u))=f(u) \quad \text { for all } u \in J
$$

Then from equation (2.1), for any $s, t \in g(J)$ with $s \neq t$, with the substitutions $u=g^{-1}(s), v=g^{-1}(t)$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2} f\left(\frac{g^{-1}(s)+g^{-1}(t)}{2}\right)=\frac{F(t) s-F(s) t}{s-t}=F(t)-t \frac{F(s)-F(t)}{s-t}
$$

By the continuity of $f$ and $g$, the limit of the left hand side exists as $s \rightarrow t$, thus the right hand side also has a limit. Therefore $F$ is differentiable and

$$
\frac{1}{2} F(t)=\frac{1}{2} f \circ g^{-1}(t)=\lim _{s \rightarrow t} \frac{1}{2} f\left(\frac{g^{-1}(s)+g^{-1}(t)}{2}\right)=F(t)-t F^{\prime}(t)
$$

for all $t \in g(J)$. Since $t>0$ and $F(t)>0$, this implies

$$
(\log F(t)-\log \sqrt{t})^{\prime}=0
$$

Therefore, there exists $d>0$ such that $F(t)=d \sqrt{t}$. This yields, by the definition of $F$, that $f \circ g^{-1}(t)=d \sqrt{t}$, i.e., $f(u)=d \sqrt{g(u)}$ for $u \in J$, which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(u)=c f^{2}(u) \quad \text { for } u \in J \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=1 / d^{2}>0$. Putting (2.4) back in (2.1), for $u \neq v$ we have

$$
f\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right)=\frac{2 f(u) f(v)}{f(u)+f(v)}
$$

which obviously also holds for $u=v$. This implies that the function $h$ defined by $h(u):=1 / f(u)(u \in J)$ satisfies Jensen's functional equation

$$
h\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right)=\frac{h(u)+h(v)}{2} \quad(u, v \in J)
$$

([1], [5]), thus, by the continuity and strict monotonicity of $f$, we have $h(u)=p u+b$, where $p>0$ and $b$ are constants. From this we have

$$
f(u)=\frac{1}{p u+b}>0 \quad \text { for } u \in J
$$

and so, by (2.4), the statement of the lemma is proved.
3. Comparable quasi-arithmetic means and the main result. The notion of comparability forms the basis of the different approach mentioned in the introduction $([4],[5])$. Let $(\varphi, \psi) \in \operatorname{CM}(I)^{2}$. We say that the quasiarithmetic means $A_{\varphi}$ and $A_{\psi}$ are strictly comparable in $I$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\varphi}(x, y) \triangleleft A_{\psi}(x, y) \quad \text { for all } x \neq y, x, y \in I \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\triangleleft$ is one of the relations $=,<,>$ on the real numbers. With this natural notion, our main result is the following:

ThEOREM 3. If a pair $(\varphi, \psi) \in \operatorname{CM}(I)^{2}$ is a solution of the functional equation (1.3), and the quasi-arithmetic means $A_{\varphi}$ and $A_{\psi}$ are strictly comparable in $I$, then there exists $p \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(\varphi, \psi) \sim\left(\chi_{p}, \chi_{-p}\right)$.

Proof. (i) If the relation $\triangleleft$ is $=$ then, by (1.3) and $A_{\varphi}=A_{\psi}$,

$$
A_{\varphi}(x, y)=\frac{x+y}{2}=A_{\psi}(x, y) \quad \text { if } x, y \in I, x \neq y
$$

This implies that $\varphi$ and $\psi$ satisfy Jensen's functional equation, thus, by the continuity and strict monotonicity, $\varphi(x)=a x+b$ and $\psi(x)=A x+B$ for all $x \in I$, where $a A \neq 0, b, B$ are constants ([1], [5]). Therefore $(\varphi, \psi) \sim$ $\left(\chi_{0}, \chi_{0}\right)$, that is, the conclusion holds with $p=0$.
(ii) If the relation $\triangleleft$ is $<$ or $>$ then, since $\varphi$ and $\psi$ can be interchanged, it is enough to investigate only one direction. Suppose that it is $>$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\varphi}(x, y)>A_{\psi}(x, y) \quad \text { for } x, y \in I, x \neq y \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (3.2), (1.3) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\varphi}(x, y)>\frac{x+y}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{x+y}{2}<A_{\psi}(x, y) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in I$ with $x \neq y$. Since we disregard the equivalence of the generating functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$, we can assume that $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are strictly increasing
functions on $I$. Then, by (3.3), $\varphi$ is strictly Jensen convex and $\psi$ is strictly Jensen concave. Since $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are continuous and strictly increasing, $\varphi$ is strictly convex and $\psi$ is strictly concave on $I$. Therefore $\varphi^{-1}$ is strictly concave on $\varphi(I), \psi^{-1}$ is strictly convex on $\psi(I)$, and $\gamma:=\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is strictly increasing and strictly concave on $\varphi(I)([5],[8])$. Thus the left and right derivatives of the functions $\varphi^{-1}$ and $\gamma$ exist on the open interval $J:=\varphi(I)$, as well as those of $\psi^{-1}$ on the open interval $\psi(I)$. If $u, v \in J=\varphi(I)$ and $x=\varphi^{-1}(u), y=\varphi^{-1}(v)$ in (1.3) then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{\gamma(u)+\gamma(v)}{2}\right)=\varphi^{-1}(u)+\varphi^{-1}(v)-\varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u, v \in J$.
By the previous results, the right derivative (denoted by $h_{+}^{\prime}$ for a function $h$ ) of each function in (3.4) exists at all the points of the domain. Since $\gamma$ is strictly increasing, both sides of (3.4) can be differentiated from the right with respect to $u \in J$ and $v \in J$; and by the well-known rules, we have the following equations for all $u, v \in J$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{+}^{-1^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\gamma(u)+\gamma(v)}{2}\right) \frac{1}{2}{\gamma^{\prime}}_{+}(u)=\varphi_{+}^{-1^{\prime}}(u)-\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{+}^{-1^{\prime}}\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right), \\
& \psi_{+}^{-1^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\gamma(u)+\gamma(v)}{2}\right) \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{+}^{\prime}(v)=\varphi_{+}^{-1^{\prime}}(v)-\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{+}^{-1^{\prime}}\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

These two equations imply, as $\left(\varphi_{+}^{1^{\prime}}(u)-\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{+}^{-1^{\prime}}((u+v) / 2)\right) \gamma_{+}^{\prime}(v)=: u \circ v=$ $v \circ u$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{+}^{-1^{\prime}}\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right)\left(\gamma_{+}^{\prime}(u)-\gamma_{+}^{\prime}(v)\right)=\varphi_{+}^{-1^{\prime}}(v) \gamma_{+}^{\prime}(u)-\varphi_{+}^{-1^{\prime}}(u) \gamma_{+}^{\prime}(v) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u, v \in J$.
We recall that the right derivatives of strictly concave functions are positive and strictly decreasing ([5], [8]). Therefore the functions $f, g: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u):=\varphi_{+}^{-1^{\prime}}(u) \quad \text { and } \quad g(u):=\gamma_{+}^{\prime}(u) \quad(u \in J) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

are strictly decreasing on $I$ and satisfy (2.1) for all $u, v \in J$. Thus the Lemma implies that there exist real constants $p>0, b$, and $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u)=\frac{1}{p u+b}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad g(u)=c f^{2}(u) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in J$.
Therefore, by (3.6), the functions $\varphi_{+}^{-1^{\prime}}$ and $\gamma_{+}^{\prime}$ are continuous on $J$. Thus, since $\varphi^{-1}$ and $\gamma$ are concave, $\varphi^{-1}$ and $\gamma$ are differentiable on $J$ ([5]).

Therefore (3.7) and (3.6) show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{-1^{\prime}}(u)=\frac{1}{p u+b} \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma^{\prime}(u)=\frac{c}{(p u+b)^{2}} \quad(u \in J), \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p>0, b, c>0$ are constants. From (3.8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=\frac{1}{p}\left(e^{p(x-d)}-b\right) \sim e^{p x} \quad \text { for } x \in I, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p>0$ ( $d$ is a constant of integration). On the other hand, as $\gamma=$ $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$, we have $\psi=\gamma \circ \varphi$, and therefore (3.8) and (3.9) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(x) & =\frac{c}{-p(p \varphi(x)+b)}+D  \tag{3.10}\\
& =\frac{c}{-p e^{p(x-d)}}+D \sim e^{-p x} \quad \text { for } x \in I,
\end{align*}
$$

where $p>0$ ( $D$ is a constant of integration). Relations (3.9) and (3.10) prove the statement of the theorem, namely, $(\varphi, \psi) \sim\left(\chi_{p}, \chi_{-p}\right)$ for some $p>0$. If the reverse inequality holds in (3.2) then $(\varphi, \psi) \sim\left(\chi_{p}, \chi_{-p}\right)$ for some $p<0$.
4. Concluding remarks. Theorem 3 suggests proving the Conjecture concerning Matkowski's problem stated in the introduction in the following way. From the functional equation (1.3) we should conclude that $A_{\varphi}$ and $A_{\psi}$ are strictly comparable in $I$. But this leads to the following, still open, problem, which, as shown below, is equivalent to the Conjecture.

Open Problem. Is the following statement true or false? If $\varphi, \psi \in$ $\mathrm{CM}(I)$ and

$$
A_{\varphi}(x, y)+A_{\psi}(x, y)=x+y
$$

for all $x, y \in I$, and there exist $a, b \in I$ such that $a \neq b$ and $A_{\varphi}(a, b)=$ $A_{\psi}(a, b)$, then $A_{\varphi}(x, y)=A_{\psi}(x, y)=(x+y) / 2$ for all $x, y \in I$.

Proof of the equivalence of the Problem and the Conjecture. Consider the continuous function

$$
D(x, y):=A_{\varphi}(x, y)-A_{\psi}(x, y) \quad(x, y \in I) .
$$

If the answer to the Problem is "yes" then either $D(x, y)=0$ for all $x, y \in I$ or $D(x, y) \neq 0$ for all $x, y \in I$ with $x \neq y$. This implies, by the symmetry and continuity of $D$, that $D(x, y)>0$ (or $D(x, y)<0$ ) for all $x, y \in I$ with $x \neq y$. Thus the quasi-arithmetic means $A_{\varphi}$ and $A_{\psi}$ are strictly comparable in $I$. Therefore, applying Theorem 3, we conclude that the Conjecture is true.

If the answer to the Problem is "no" then, by Theorem 2, $\psi$ (and of course $\varphi$ ) cannot be continuously differentiable.
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