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#### Abstract

We present some examples of Killing tensors and give their geometric interpretation. We give new examples of non-compact complete and compact Riemannian manifolds whose Ricci tensor $\varrho$ satisfies the condition $\nabla_{X} \varrho(X, X)=\frac{2}{n+2} X \tau g(X, X)$.


0. Introduction. Killing tensors are symmetric $(0,2)$ tensors $\varrho$ on a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \varrho(X, X)=0 \tag{K}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ or equivalently $\mathfrak{C}_{X, Y, Z} \nabla_{X} \varrho(Y, Z)=0$ for all $X, Y, Z \in$ $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ where $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ denotes the space of all local vector fields on $M, \mathfrak{C}$ denotes the cyclic sum and $\nabla$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of $(M, g)$. The condition (K) is a generalization of the condition $\nabla \varrho=0$. Another generalization of this condition is $\nabla_{X} \varrho(Y, Z)=\nabla_{Y} \varrho(X, Z)$, which gives the class of Codazzi tensors. The Codazzi tensors are quite frequently used in Riemannian geometry. For example the second fundamental form of any hypersurface immersed in a Euclidean space is a Codazzi tensor. On the other hand it is difficult to find general examples of Killing tensors in the literature. It is only known that a Ricci tensor of any naturally reductive homogeneous space (and more generally of any D'Atri space) has this property.

The aim of the present paper is to show that Killing tensors appear quite naturally in Riemannian geometry. We prove that on every warped product $M_{0} \times_{f_{1}} M_{1} \times \ldots \times_{f_{k}} M_{k}$ there exists a Killing tensor $\Phi(X, Y)=g(S X, Y)$ such that the functions $\lambda_{0}=\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_{i}=\mu+C_{i} f_{i}^{2}$ for $i>1$ are eigenfunctions of $S$ for any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and any real constants $C_{i} \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}$. Conversely, let $\Phi(X, Y)=g(S X, Y)$ be a Killing tensor with an integrable almost product structure given by its eigendistributions and with eigenvalues $\mu, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}$ such that $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is constant and $\bigoplus_{j>0} D_{j} \subset \operatorname{ker} d \lambda_{i}$ (i.e. $\nabla \lambda_{i} \in$

[^0]$\left.\Gamma\left(D_{0}\right)\right)$. If $M$ is a simply connected, complete Riemannian manifold then $M=M_{0} \times_{f_{1}} M_{1} \times \ldots \times_{f_{k}} M_{k}$ where $T M_{i}=D_{i}=\operatorname{ker}\left(S-\lambda_{i} \mathrm{Id}\right)$ and $f_{i}^{2}=\left|\lambda_{i}-\mu\right|$.

A manifold $M$ is called an $\mathcal{A}$-manifold (see [G]) if its Ricci tensor satisfies condition (K). The scalar curvature $\tau$ of an $\mathcal{A}$-manifold $(M, g)$ is constant. All the known examples of $\mathcal{A}$-manifolds have Ricci tensors with constant eigenvalues. Besse [B] (p. 433) defines a class of manifolds whose Ricci tensor $\varrho$ satisfies the condition $\nabla_{X} \varrho(X, X)=\frac{2}{n+2} X \tau g(X, X)$ for every $X \in T M$ (in Besse's notation $D \varrho \in C^{\infty}(Q \oplus A)$ ). A. Gray [G] also considered these manifolds and denoted this class by $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ (see $[\mathrm{G}]$, p. 265). It is remarked in [B] that very little is known about such manifolds if $\operatorname{dim} M>2$. In the present paper we give a system of equations for the warping functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{k} \in C^{\infty}\left(M_{0}\right)$ and conditions on manifolds $\left(M_{0}, g_{0}\right),\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(M_{k}, g_{k}\right)$ under which the manifold $M=$ $M_{0} \times_{f_{1}} M_{1} \times \ldots{ }_{f_{k}} M_{k}$ is an $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifold whose Ricci tensor has eigenfunctions $\lambda_{0}=\mu+\frac{2}{n+2} \tau, \lambda_{i}=\mu+\frac{2}{n+2} \tau+C_{i} f_{i}^{2}$ where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $C_{i} \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}$. We present very simple explicit examples of complete $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp_{-}}$ manifolds $(M, g)$ (with $M=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for every $n>2$ ) and many examples of compact $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifolds whose Ricci tensor has more than two eigenfunctions.

1. Killing tensors-preliminaries. Assume that $M_{i}$ are smooth connected manifolds and $g_{i}$ are smooth Riemannian metrics on $M_{i}$. All the manifolds, tensors and distributions considered in this paper are assumed to be smooth (of class $C^{\infty}$ ). We also write $g(X, Y)=\langle X, Y\rangle$. Our present aim is to study in more detail the $(1,1)$ tensors $S$ such that $\Phi(X, Y)=\langle S X, Y\rangle$ is a Killing tensor (which means that $\nabla_{X} \Phi(X, X)=0$ for all $X \in T M$ ). We call such tensors $\mathcal{A}$-tensors or simply Killing tensors (and we write $S \in \mathcal{A}$ ). Hence $S$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle S X, Y\rangle=\langle S Y, X\rangle  \tag{a}\\
& \langle\nabla S(X, X), X\rangle=0
\end{align*}
$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Define as in [D] the integer valued function $E_{S}(x)=$ (the number of distinct eigenvalues of $S_{x}$ ) and set $M_{S}=\left\{x \in M: E_{S}\right.$ is constant in a neighborhood of $x\}$. Then $M_{S}$ is an open submanifold of $M$ and in every component $U$ of $M_{S}$ the eigenfunctions $\lambda_{i}$ of $S$ are smooth functions $\left.\lambda_{i}\right|_{U} \in C^{\infty}(U)$. Set $D_{i}=\operatorname{ker}\left(S-\lambda_{i} \mathrm{Id}\right)$. Then $D_{i} \perp D_{j}$ if $i \neq j$. We denote by $\Gamma\left(D_{i}\right)$ the set of all local sections of the vector bundle $D_{i}$. If $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ then $\nabla f \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ denotes the gradient of $f$, i.e. $\nabla f$ is the vector field on $M$ such that $g(\nabla f, X)=d f(X)$ for every $X \in T M$. We denote by $H^{f}$ the Hessian of $f$ which is defined by $H^{f}(X, Y)=X Y f-d f\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)$ for every $X, Y \in T M$. The Hessian $H^{f}$ is a symmetric $(0,2)$ tensor on $M$,
which means that $H^{f}(X, Y)=H^{f}(Y, X)$. In what follows we consider each component $U$ of $M_{S}$ separately so we can assume that $M_{S}=M$. Note that

$$
T M=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} D_{i}
$$

Denote by $p_{i}: T M \rightarrow D_{i}$ the orthogonal projection of $T M$ on $D_{i}$.
Definition. A distribution $D \subset T M$ is called umbilical if there exists a vector field $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that

$$
\nabla_{X} X=p\left(\nabla_{X} X\right)+g(X, X) \xi
$$

for every local section $X \in \Gamma(D)$ where $p$ denotes the orthogonal projection $p: T M \rightarrow D$. If $D$ is in addition integrable then we call $D$ totally umbilical. The field $\xi$ is called the mean curvature normal of the distribution $D$.

Proposition 1. Assume that $S$ is a Killing tensor. Then all the distributions $D_{i}=\operatorname{ker}\left(S-\lambda_{i} \mathrm{Id}\right)$ are umbilical.

Proof. Recall (see [J-1]) that if $S \in \mathcal{A}$ and $X \in \Gamma\left(D_{i}\right), Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{j}\right)$ where $i \neq j$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\nabla_{X} X, Y\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \frac{Y \lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}}\|X\|^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write $\nabla_{X} X=p_{i}\left(\nabla_{X} X\right)+h_{i}(X, X)$ where $h_{i}(X, X) \perp D_{i}$. From (1.1) it follows that for all $Y \in T M$ we have $\left\langle h_{i}(X, X), Y\right\rangle=\phi_{i}(Y)\langle X, X\rangle$, where $\phi_{i}$ is a one-form defined by

$$
\phi_{i}(Y)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}} d \lambda_{i} \circ p_{j} .
$$

Hence $h_{i}(X, X)=\langle X, X\rangle \xi_{i}$ where the mean curvature normal field $\xi_{i} \in$ $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ is defined as follows (note that $d \lambda_{j} \circ p_{j}=0$, see [J-1]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} p_{j}\left(\nabla \ln \left|\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right|\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Killing tensors with integrable eigendistributions. In this section we investigate Killing tensors whose eigendistributions form an integrable almost product structure $\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{k}\right)$. This means that all the distributions $D_{i_{1}} \oplus \ldots \oplus D_{i_{p}}$ are integrable for any natural numbers $1 \leq i_{1} \leq$ $\ldots \leq i_{p} \leq k$ and for any $p \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. We start with

Theorem 1. Let $S$ be a Killing tensor with constant eigenfunctions $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}$ and integrable almost product structure given by its eigendistributions $D_{i}=\operatorname{ker}\left(S-\lambda_{i} \mathrm{Id}\right)$. Then $\nabla S=0$.

Proof. Note that (see [J-1]) if $S$ is a Killing tensor then for $X \in \Gamma\left(D_{i}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla S(X, X)=-\frac{1}{2} \nabla \lambda_{i}\|X\|^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{j}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\nabla_{X} X, Y\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \frac{Y \lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}}\|X\|^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $D_{i}$ are integrable then for all $X, Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{i}\right)$ we obtain (see [J-1]) $\nabla S(X, Y)$ $=\nabla S(Y, X)$ and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla S(X, Y)=-\frac{1}{2} \nabla \lambda_{i}\langle X, Y\rangle \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.2) it follows that the distributions $D_{i}$ are autoparallel (i.e. $\nabla_{X} Y \in$ $\Gamma\left(D_{i}\right)$ if $\left.X, Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{i}\right)\right)$. Note also that $M_{S}=M$. Next we prove the following lemma:

Lemma A. Let $\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{k}\right)$ be an integrable almost product structure on $M$ such that $D_{i} \perp D_{j}$ if $i \neq j$ and

$$
T M=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} D_{i}
$$

Then $\nabla_{X} Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{i} \oplus D_{j}\right)$ if $i \neq j$ and $X \in \Gamma\left(D_{i}\right), Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{j}\right)$. Additionally if each $D_{i}$ is autoparallel then each $D_{i}$ is parallel.

Proof. Since the almost product structure $\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{k}\right)$ is integrable, for $i=1, \ldots, k$ and every point $x_{0} \in M$ we can find local coordinates $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ in a neighborhood $U$ of $x_{0}$ (see $\left.[\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N}]\right)$ such that

$$
\left.D_{i}\right|_{U}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k_{i}}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k_{i}+n_{i}}}\right\}
$$

where $\operatorname{dim} D_{i}=n_{i}+1$ and $k_{1}=1<k_{2}<\ldots<k_{k}<n$ are natural numbers. In what follows we write $\partial_{i}=\partial / \partial x_{i}$. Assume that $p, q, r$ are pairwise different numbers and $\partial_{i} \in \Gamma\left(D_{p}\right), \partial_{j} \in \Gamma\left(D_{q}\right), \partial_{l} \in \Gamma\left(D_{r}\right)$. Then from the Koszul formula it easily follows that $\left\langle\nabla_{\partial_{i}} \partial_{j}, \partial_{l}\right\rangle=0$. Hence $\nabla_{X} Y \in$ $\Gamma\left(D_{i} \oplus D_{j}\right)$ if $X \in \Gamma\left(D_{j}\right)$ and $Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{i}\right)$. We show that in fact $\nabla_{X} Y \in$ $\Gamma\left(D_{i}\right)$ if each $D_{i}$ is autoparallel. Assume that $X^{\prime} \in \Gamma\left(D_{j}\right)$ and $i \neq j$. Then $\left\langle X^{\prime}, Y\right\rangle=0$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\nabla_{X} X^{\prime}, Y\right\rangle+\left\langle X^{\prime}, \nabla_{X} Y\right\rangle=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The distribution $D_{j}$ is autoparallel, hence from (2.4) it follows that $\left\langle X^{\prime}, \nabla_{X} Y\right\rangle=0$ and consequently for any section $Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{i}\right)$ and any $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ we have $\nabla_{X} Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{i}\right)$.

Let now $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and let $Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{j}\right)$ be an arbitrary local section of $D_{j}$. Then $S Y=\lambda_{j} Y$ and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla S(X, Y)=-\left(S-\lambda_{j} \operatorname{Id}\right)\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.5) it is clear that $\nabla S=0$, which finishes the proof of the theorem.
Now we prove Theorem 2 which shows that there is a close relation between Riemannian warped products and certain Killing tensors. Recall (see $[\mathrm{H}],[\mathrm{N}]$ ) that if $\left(M_{i}, g_{i}\right)$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, k$ are Riemannian manifolds and $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$ are smooth positive functions on $M_{0}$ then the warped product $M=M_{0} \times f_{1} M_{1} \times \ldots \times_{f_{k}} M_{k}$ is the Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ where $M=M_{0} \times M_{1} \times \ldots \times M_{k}$ and

$$
g(X, Y)=g_{0}\left(p_{0}(X), p_{0}(Y)\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}^{2} g_{i}\left(p_{i}(X), p_{i}(Y)\right)
$$

where $p_{i}: T M \rightarrow T M_{i}$ is the natural projection.
Theorem 2. Assume that $(M, g)$ is a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold and $S$ is a Killing tensor on $M$ with $k+1$ distinct eigenfunctions $\lambda_{0}=\mu, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}$ and eigendistributions $D_{i}=\operatorname{ker}\left(S-\lambda_{i} \mathrm{Id}\right)$. If
(a) the almost product structure $\left(D_{0}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{k}\right)$ is integrable,
(b) $\lambda_{0}=\mu$ is constant,
(c) the $\lambda_{i}$ satisfy the condition $\bigoplus_{i \neq 0, j} D_{i} \subset \operatorname{ker} d \lambda_{j}$,
then

$$
M=M_{0} \times_{f_{1}} M_{1} \times_{f_{2}} M_{2} \times . . \times_{f_{k}} M_{k}
$$

where $T M_{i}=D_{i}$ and $f_{i}^{2}=\left|\lambda_{i}-\mu\right|$.
Proof. Note that $D_{0}$ is an autoparallel foliation, which follows from general properties of $\mathcal{A}$-tensors (see [J-1]). In fact in [J-1] it is proved that an eigendistribution $D=\operatorname{ker}(S-\lambda \mathrm{Id})$ of $S$ is autoparallel if and only if $D$ is integrable and $\lambda$ is constant. From Proposition 1 it follows that the $D_{i}$ are totally umbilical. Note that $\xi_{0}=0$ and since $D_{j} \subset \operatorname{ker} d \lambda_{j}$ for any $\mathcal{A}$-tensor $S$, taking account of (1.2) we get $\xi_{i}=-\frac{1}{2} p_{0} \nabla \ln \left|\lambda_{i}-\mu\right|=-\frac{1}{2} \nabla \ln \left|\lambda_{i}-\mu\right|$ where $h_{i}(X, Y)=\langle X, Y\rangle \xi_{i}$ is the second fundamental form of the foliation $D_{i}$. It is clear that $\xi_{i} \in \Gamma\left(D_{0}\right)$. Define (we follow $[\mathrm{H}]$ ) a new metric $\bar{g}$ on $M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}(X, Y)=g\left(p_{0}(X), p_{0}(Y)\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}^{-2} g\left(p_{i}(X), p_{i}(Y)\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i}=\sqrt{\left|\lambda_{i}-\mu\right|}$ and let $\bar{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection of $\bar{g}$. We now show that the distributions $D_{i}$ are autoparallel with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$. Let $X, Y \in$ $\Gamma\left(D_{i}\right)$ and $Z \in \Gamma\left(D_{j}\right)$ where $i \neq j$. Set $f_{0}=1$. We consider two cases:
(a) $j \neq 0$. From the Koszul formula, taking account of (2.6) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \bar{g}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} X, Z\right) & =-Z \bar{g}(X, X)-2 \bar{g}([X, Z], X) \\
& =f_{i}^{-2}(-Z g(X, Y)-2 g([X, Z], X))=2 f_{i}^{2}\left(g\left(\nabla_{X} X, Z\right)\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) $j=0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \bar{g}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} X, Z\right) & =-Z \bar{g}(X, X)-2 \bar{g}([X, Z], X) \\
& =2 Z f_{i} f_{i}^{-3} g(X, X)-f_{i}^{-2} Z g(X, X)-f_{i}^{-2} g([X, Z], X) \\
& =f_{i}^{-2}\left(2 Z \ln f_{i} g(X, X)-Z g(X, X)-2 g([X, Z], X)\right. \\
& =f_{i}^{-2}\left(2 Z \ln f_{i} g(X, X)+2 g\left(\nabla_{X} X, Z\right)\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\bar{\nabla}_{X} X \in \Gamma\left(D_{i}\right)$ if $X \in \Gamma\left(D_{i}\right)$ and each $D_{i}$ is autoparallel. From Lemma A it follows that each $D_{i}$ is parallel. The final result now follows from the de Rham theorem (see $[\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N}]$ ). Since $M$ is complete and simply connected we have

$$
(M, \bar{g})=\left(M_{0}, \bar{g}_{0}\right) \times\left(M_{1}, \bar{g}_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times\left(M_{k}, \bar{g}_{k}\right)
$$

where $\bar{g}_{i}=f_{i}^{-2} p_{i}^{*} g$. Hence $g=\bar{g}_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}^{2} \bar{g}_{i}$, which completes the proof.
Remark. Note that $\lambda_{i}=\mu+\varepsilon_{i} f_{i}^{2}$ where $\varepsilon_{i} \in\{-1,1\}$. Consequently, $\operatorname{tr} S=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \varepsilon_{i} n_{i} f_{i}^{2}+\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} n_{i}\right) \mu$ where $n_{i}=\operatorname{dim} M_{i}$. Thus the trace of $S$ is constant if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \varepsilon_{i} n_{i} f_{i}^{2}$ is constant. In particular if $k=1$ then $\operatorname{tr} S$ is constant only if $f_{1}$ is constant. Note also that if $\mu=0$ then we simply have

$$
M=M_{0} \times \sqrt{\left|\lambda_{1}\right|} M_{1} \times \ldots \times \sqrt{\left|\lambda_{k}\right|} M_{k}
$$

Corollary. Let $S \in \operatorname{End}(M)$ be a Killing tensor on a complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold $M$ which has exactly three eigenfunctions $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ (i.e. $E_{S}=3$ ). Assume that the almost product structure $\left(D_{0}, D_{1}, D_{2}\right)$ given by eigendistributions $D_{i}$ of $S$ is integrable, the trace $\operatorname{tr} S$ is constant and $\lambda_{0}=\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is constant. Then

$$
M=M_{0} \times_{f_{1}} M_{1} \times_{f_{2}} M_{2}
$$

where $T M_{i}=D_{i}=\operatorname{ker}\left(S-\lambda_{i} \mathrm{Id}\right)$ and $f_{i}^{2}=\left|\lambda_{i}-\mu\right|$.
Proof. It suffices to prove that condition (c) in the statement of Theorem 2 holds in our case. Note that if $n_{i}=\operatorname{dim} D_{i}$ then the function $g=n_{0} \mu+n_{1} \lambda_{1}+n_{2} \lambda_{2}$ is constant. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1} \nabla \lambda_{1}+n_{2} \nabla \lambda_{2}=0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $S \in \mathcal{A}$ it follows that $D_{i} \subset \operatorname{ker} d \lambda_{i}$. Thus $\nabla \lambda_{i} \perp D_{i}$. From (*) it follows that $\nabla \lambda_{1} \perp D_{2}$ and $\nabla \lambda_{2} \perp D_{1}$, which means that condition (c) is satisfied.

The following fact will be useful.

Lemma B. Assume that the distributions $D_{p}$ are as above and $i \neq j$ and $j \neq 0$. If $X, Y$ are local sections of $D_{i}, D_{j}$ respectively then $\nabla_{X} Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{j}\right)$.

Proof. Lemma A implies that $\nabla_{X} Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{i} \oplus D_{j}\right)$. Assume that $X \in$ $\Gamma\left(D_{i}\right), Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{j}\right), Z \in \Gamma\left(D_{i}\right)$. Hence $\langle Z, Y\rangle=0$ and consequently $\left\langle\nabla_{X} Z, Y\right\rangle$ $+\left\langle Z, \nabla_{X} Y\right\rangle=0$. Since $\nabla_{X} Z \in \Gamma\left(D_{0} \oplus D_{i}\right)$ if $i \neq 0$ and $\nabla_{X} Z \in \Gamma\left(D_{0}\right)$ if $i=0$ and consequently $\left\langle\nabla_{X} Z, Y\right\rangle=0$, it follows that $\left\langle Z, \nabla_{X} Y\right\rangle=0$, which completes the proof.

Remark. To prove Lemma B we have only used the facts that $T M=$ $\bigoplus D_{i},\left(D_{0}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{k}\right)$ is an integrable almost product structure, $D_{i} \perp D_{j}$ if $i \neq j$, the $D_{i}$ are totally umbilical, $D_{0}$ is autoparallel and the normal mean curvature $\xi_{i}$ of $D_{i}$ is a section of $D_{0}$, i.e. $\xi_{i} \in \Gamma\left(D_{0}\right)$.

Conversely, the following theorem holds:
Theorem 3. Assume that $\left(M_{i}, g_{i}\right)$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, k$ are Riemannian manifolds and $f_{i} \in C^{\infty}\left(M_{0}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right), i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ are positive, smooth functions on $M_{0}$. Let $(M, g)$ be the warped product manifold

$$
M=M_{0} \times_{f_{1}} M_{1} \times_{f_{2}} M_{2} \times \ldots \times_{f_{k}} M_{k}
$$

and define a $(1,1)$ tensor on $M$ by

$$
S X=\lambda_{i} X \quad \text { if } X \in D_{i}=T M_{i} \subset T M
$$

where $\lambda_{0}=\mu \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda_{i}=\mu+C_{i} f_{i}^{2}$ for a certain real number $\mu$ and real numbers $C_{i} \neq 0, i=1, \ldots, k$. Then $S$ is a Killing tensor on $(M, g)$.

Proof. Define $D_{i}=T M_{i} \subset \bigoplus T M_{j}$. Note that the almost product structure $\left(D_{0}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{k}\right)$ is integrable. Denote by $\nabla$ the Levi-Civita connection of $g$. Since $M$ is a warped product it follows that the distribution $D_{0}$ is autoparallel and each distribution $D_{i}, i>0$, is totally umbilical and spherical with mean curvature normal $\xi_{i}=-\nabla \ln f_{i}=-\frac{1}{2} \nabla \ln \left|\lambda_{i}-\mu\right| \in \Gamma\left(D_{0}\right)$. Note that $S$ is a well defined, smooth $(1,1)$ tensor on $M$. Consequently, if $X, Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{i}\right)$ and $i>0$ then from the equality $S X=\lambda_{i} X$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\nabla S(Y, X)+\left(S-\lambda_{i} \operatorname{Id}\right)\left(\nabla_{Y} X\right) \\
& =\nabla S(Y, X)+\left(S-\lambda_{i} \mathrm{Id}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle X, Y\rangle \frac{\nabla \lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}-\mu}\right) \\
& =\nabla S(Y, X)+\frac{1}{2}\langle Y, X\rangle \nabla \lambda_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus for every $X, Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{i}\right), i>0$, we obtain the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla S(X, Y)=-\frac{1}{2}\langle X, Y\rangle \nabla \lambda_{i} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $X \in \Gamma\left(D_{i}\right), Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{j}\right)$ and $i \neq j, j \neq 0$. From Lemma B we get $\nabla_{X} Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{j}\right)$. Since $\nabla S(X, Y)+\left(S-\lambda_{j} \operatorname{Id}\right)\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)=0$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla S(X, Y)=0 \quad \text { if } X \in D_{i}, Y \in D_{j}, i \neq j, i, j \neq 0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume now that $X \in \Gamma\left(D_{0}\right)$ and $Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{i}\right), i>0$. Then

$$
\nabla S(X, Y)+\left(S-\lambda_{i} \operatorname{Id}\right)\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)=\left(X \lambda_{i}\right) Y
$$

From Lemma B it follows that $\nabla_{X} Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{i}\right)$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla S(X, Y)=\left(X \lambda_{i}\right) Y \quad \text { if } X \in \Gamma\left(D_{0}\right), Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{i}\right), i>0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note also that $\nabla S(X, Y)=0$ if $X, Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{0}\right)$.
Our present aim is to show that the tensor $S$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-tensor or equivalently that the tensor $\Phi(X, Y)=\langle S X, Y\rangle$ is a Killing tensor, which means that
(A)

$$
\mathfrak{C}_{X, Y, Z} \nabla_{X} \Phi(Y, Z)=0
$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. We shall consider several cases.
(i) If $X \in D_{i}, Y \in D_{j}, Z \in D_{k}$ and $i, j, k$ are pairwise different and different from 0 , then from (2.8) it follows that $\nabla_{X} \Phi(Y, Z)=0$ and consequently condition (A) holds.
(ii) If $X \in D_{0}, Y \in D_{i}, Z \in D_{p}$ and $i \neq p$, then from (2.9) we obtain $\langle\nabla S(X, Y), Z\rangle=0$. Similarly using (2.7) we get $\langle\nabla S(Z, X), Y\rangle=$ $\langle X, \nabla S(Z, Y)\rangle=0$. Finally $\langle\nabla S(Y, Z), X\rangle=0$ since $\nabla S(Y, Z)=0$.
(iii) Now assume that $X=Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{i}\right)$ and $Z \in \Gamma\left(D_{j}\right)$. We shall consider three subcases.
(a) Assume that $i>0, j>0$. Then from (2.7), $\langle\nabla S(X, X), Z\rangle=0$ and $\langle\nabla S(Z, X), X\rangle=-\left\langle\left(S-\lambda_{i}\right)\left(\nabla_{Z} X\right), X\right\rangle=0$, thus $\mathfrak{C}_{X, Y, Z} \nabla_{X} \Phi(Y, Z)=$ $2\langle\nabla S(X, X), Z\rangle+\langle\nabla S(Z, X), X\rangle=0$. Note that we did not assume here that $i \neq j$.
(b) If $i>0$ and $j=0$ then $\langle\nabla S(X, X), Z\rangle=-\frac{1}{2} Z \lambda_{i}\|X\|^{2}$ and from (2.9) we have $\nabla S(Z, X)=\left(Z \lambda_{i}\right) X$. Thus

$$
\mathfrak{C}_{X, Y, Z} \nabla_{X} \Phi(Y, Z)=2\langle\nabla S(X, X), Z\rangle+\langle\nabla S(Z, X), X\rangle=0
$$

(c) If $i=0$ then $\nabla S(X, X)=0$ and

$$
\langle\nabla S(Z, X), X\rangle=-\left\langle(S-\mu \mathrm{Id})\left(\nabla_{Z} X\right), X\right\rangle=0
$$

From (i)-(iii) it follows that $\mathfrak{C}_{X, Y, Z} \nabla_{X} \Phi(Y, Z)=0$ for any $X, Y, Z \in$ $\mathfrak{X}(M)$, which completes the proof of Theorem 3 .

Remark. Note that we do not assume here that $\operatorname{ker}\left(S-\lambda_{i} \operatorname{Id}\right)=D_{i}$, i.e. it may happen that some of the eigenfunctions $\lambda_{i}$ coincide at some points $x_{0} \in M\left(E_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)<k+1\right.$ for some $\left.x_{0} \in M\right)$. However if $M_{0}$ is compact we can always choose $C_{i}$ in such a way that all $\lambda_{i}$ are different at every point $x \in M$
(i.e. $E_{S}(x)=k+1$ for every $x \in M$ ). Note that if $\lambda_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)=\lambda_{j}\left(x_{0}\right)$ then $f_{i}^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha f_{j}^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)$ for some $\alpha>0$. If $M_{0}$ is compact and $\alpha>\sup f_{i}^{2} / \inf f_{j}^{2}$ then $\alpha f_{j}^{2}(x)-f_{i}^{2}(x)>0$ for every $x \in M$. Thus if $M_{0}$ is compact then we can choose by induction $C_{i}$ in such a way that $E_{S}(x)=k+1$ for every $x \in M$. If $M$ is not compact we can still choose $C_{i}$ in such a way that $E_{S}=k+1$ on an open and dense subset $U=M_{S}$ of $M$.
3. The structure of $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifold on a warped product. In this section we shall find conditions under which the warped product

$$
M=M_{0} \times_{f_{1}} M_{1} \times_{f_{2}} M_{2} \times \ldots \times_{f_{k}} M_{k}
$$

is an $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifold. We shall prove that in this case every manifold $M_{i}$, $i>0$, has to be an Einstein space and obtain a system of nonlinear partial differential equations on the warping functions $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$ such that every solution $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$ of this system gives the warped product $M=M_{0} \times{ }_{f_{1}}$ $M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{2}} M_{2} \times \ldots \times{ }_{f_{k}} M_{k}$ which is an $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifold. In [G] it is proved that every $\mathcal{A}$-manifold has constant scalar curvature. Hence an $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifold is an $\mathcal{A}$-manifold if and only if it has constant scalar curvature.

Let us recall that a submersion $p:(M, g) \rightarrow\left(N, g_{*}\right)$ is called a Riemannian submersion if it preserves the lengths of horizontal vectors (see [O'N]). We denote by $V$ the distribution of vertical vectors (i.e. those tangent to the fibers $\left.F_{x}=p^{-1}(x), x \in N\right)$ and by $H$ the horizontal distribution which is an orthogonal complement of $V$ in $T M$. Define the O'Neill tensors $T, A$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(E, F)=\mathcal{H}\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{V} E} \mathcal{V} F\right)+\mathcal{V}\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{V}_{E} \mathcal{H} F},\right. \\
& A(E, F)=\mathcal{V}\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{H} E} \mathcal{H} F\right)+\mathcal{H}\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{H} E} \mathcal{V} F\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{V}$ denote the orthogonal projections on $H, V$ respectively. Our present aim is to describe the Ricci tensor of the warped product $M$. We start with

Lemma C. Let $p:(M, g) \rightarrow\left(N, g_{*}\right)$ be a Riemannian submersion and $f \in C^{\infty}(N)$. Set $F=f \circ p \in C^{\infty}(M)$. Then the Hessian $H^{F}$ of $F$ has the following properties:
(a) $H^{F}\left(X^{*}, Y^{*}\right)=H^{f}(X, Y) \circ p$,
(b) $H^{F}\left(X^{*}, V\right)=-g\left(A\left(\nabla f, X^{*}\right), V\right)$,
(c) $H^{F}(U, V)=-g(\nabla f, T(U, V))$,
where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M), U, V \in \Gamma(V)$ and $X^{*}$ denotes the horizontal lift of $X$.
Proof. This follows from the following calculations:
(a) $H^{F}\left(X^{*}, Y^{*}\right)=g\left(D_{X^{*}} \nabla F, Y^{*}\right)=X^{*} g\left(\nabla F, Y^{*}\right)-g\left(\nabla F, D_{X^{*}} Y^{*}\right)$

$$
=X g_{*}(\nabla f, Y)-g_{*}\left(\nabla f, \nabla_{X} Y\right)=H^{f}(X, Y) \circ p,
$$

(b) $\quad H^{F}\left(X^{*}, V\right)=g\left(D_{X^{*}} \nabla F, V\right)=-g\left(\nabla F, D_{X^{*}} V\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-g\left(\nabla F, \mathcal{H}\left(D_{X^{*}} V\right)\right)=-g_{*}\left(\nabla F, A\left(X^{*}, V\right)\right) \\
& =g\left(A\left(X^{*}, \nabla F\right), V\right)=-g\left(A\left(\nabla F, X^{*}\right), V\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) $\quad H^{F}(U, V)=g\left(D_{U} \nabla F, V\right)=-g\left(\nabla F, D_{U} V\right)$

$$
=-g\left(\nabla F, \mathcal{H}\left(D_{U} V\right)\right)-g_{*}(\nabla F, T(U, V))
$$

where $D$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of $g$ and $\nabla$ the Levi-Civita connection of $g_{*}$.

Corollary. The Laplacian $\Delta F=\operatorname{tr}_{g} H^{F}$ of $F$ with respect to $g$ equals

$$
\Delta F=\Delta f-\left\langle\nabla f, \operatorname{tr}_{g} T\right\rangle
$$

If we take $M=M_{0} \times_{f_{1}} M_{1}$ and $N=M_{0}$ then $A=0$ and $T(U, V)=$ $-\nabla \ln f_{1}\langle U, V\rangle$. Consequently, for any $f \in C^{\infty}\left(M_{0}\right)$ Lemma $C$ shows that if $F=f \circ p$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{F}\left(X_{0}^{*}, Y_{0}^{*}\right) & =H^{f}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right), \\
H^{F}\left(X_{0}^{*}, X_{1}^{*}\right) & =0  \tag{3.1}\\
H^{F}\left(X_{1}^{*}, Y_{1}^{*}\right) & =\left\langle\nabla \ln f_{1}, \nabla f\right\rangle\left\langle X_{1}^{*}, Y_{1}^{*}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

where $X_{0}, Y_{0} \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{0}\right), X_{0}, Y_{1} \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1}\right), *$ denotes the lift of $X$ to $X^{*} \in$ $\mathfrak{X}\left(M_{0} \times M_{1}\right)$ and $\langle$,$\rangle denotes the warped product metric on M$. In what follows we shall not distinguish $X$ from $X^{*}$. Note also that in view of (3.1), $\Delta F=\Delta f+n_{1}\left\langle\nabla \ln f_{1}, \nabla f\right\rangle$. Let $M=M_{0} \times f_{1} M_{1} \times_{f_{2}} M_{2} \times \ldots \times{ }_{f_{k}} M_{k}$ and write

$$
\bar{M}_{i}=M_{0} \times_{f_{1}} M_{1} \times_{f_{2}} M_{2} \times \ldots \times_{f_{i}} M_{i}
$$

for $i>0$. Then $\bar{M}_{i+1}=\bar{M}_{i} \times f_{i+1} M_{i+1}$. Hence by an easy induction taking account of (3.1) we obtain for any $f \in C^{\infty}\left(M_{0}\right)$ the following formulas for $H^{F}$ where $F=f \circ p \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $X_{i}, Y_{i} \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{i}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{F}\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right) & =\left\langle\nabla f, \nabla \ln f_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle X_{i}, Y_{i}\right\rangle \quad \text { if } i \neq 0 \\
H^{F}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right) & =H^{f}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right) \\
H^{F}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) & =0 \quad \text { if } i \neq j
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that if $M=M_{0} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{1}$ then (see for example [B]) we have the following formulas for the Ricci tensor Ric of $M$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Ric}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right) & =\operatorname{Ric}^{0}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)-\frac{n}{f_{1}} H^{f_{1}}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right) \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right) & =0  \tag{3.3}\\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) & =\operatorname{Ric}^{1}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)-\left(\frac{\Delta f_{1}}{f_{1}}+(n-1) \frac{\left\|\nabla f_{1}\right\|^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}}\right)\left\langle X_{1}, Y_{1}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta f=\operatorname{tr}_{g} H^{f}$ and $n=\operatorname{dim} M_{1}$. Hence, taking account of (3.2) and (3.3), by an easy induction we obtain

Lemma D. Let $M=M_{0} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{2}} M_{2} \times \ldots \times_{f_{k}} M_{k}$ and assume that $X_{i}, Y_{i} \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{i}\right)$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, k$ are arbitrary vector fields. Then the Ricci tensor of $(M, g)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)= & \operatorname{Ric}^{0}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_{i}}{f_{i}} H^{f_{i}}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right) \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)= & \operatorname{Ric}^{i}\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right) \\
& -\left(\frac{\Delta f_{i}}{f_{i}}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} n_{j}\left\langle\nabla \ln f_{i}, \nabla \ln f_{j}\right\rangle-\left\|\nabla \ln f_{i}\right\|^{2}\right)\left\langle X_{i}, Y_{i}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i>0$ and $\operatorname{Ric}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=0$ if $i \neq j$ (here Ric, $\operatorname{Ric}^{i}$ denote the Ricci tensors of $(M, g)$ and $\left(M_{i}, g_{i}\right)$ respectively and $\left.n_{i}=\operatorname{dim} M_{i}\right)$. In particular if $k=2$ then
$\operatorname{Ric}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}^{0}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)-\frac{n_{1}}{f_{1}} H^{f_{1}}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)-\frac{n_{2}}{f_{2}} H^{f_{2}}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)$,
$\operatorname{Ric}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}^{1}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)$

$$
-\left(\frac{\Delta f_{1}}{f_{1}}+\left(n_{1}-1\right)\left\|\nabla \ln f_{1}\right\|^{2}+n_{2}\left\langle\nabla \ln f_{1}, \nabla \ln f_{2}\right\rangle\right)\left\langle X_{1}, Y_{1}\right\rangle
$$

$\operatorname{Ric}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}^{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)$

$$
-\left(\frac{\Delta f_{2}}{f_{2}}+\left(n_{2}-1\right)\left\|\nabla \ln f_{2}\right\|^{2}+n_{1}\left\langle\nabla \ln f_{1}, \nabla \ln f_{2}\right\rangle\right)\left\langle X_{2}, Y_{2}\right\rangle
$$

Assume that the Ricci endomorphism $S$ of $(M, g)$ is such that for a certain function $s \in C^{\infty}(M)$ the tensor $S-s$ Id satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3 (i.e. $\operatorname{Ric}\left(X_{i}, Y\right)=\left(\lambda_{i}+s\right)\left\langle X_{i}, Y\right\rangle$ where $\lambda_{0}=\mu$ and $\lambda_{i}=$ $\left.\mu+C_{i} f_{i}^{2}, i>0\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Ric}^{0}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)= & \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_{i}}{f_{i}} H^{f_{i}}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)+(\mu+s)\left\langle X_{0}, Y_{0}\right\rangle,  \tag{3.4i}\\
\operatorname{Ric}^{i}\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)= & \left(\mu+s+C_{i} f_{i}^{2}+\Delta \ln f_{i}\right.  \tag{3.4ii}\\
& \left.+\sum_{j=1}^{k} n_{j}\left\langle\nabla \ln f_{i}, \nabla \ln f_{j}\right\rangle\right)\left\langle X_{i}, Y_{i}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

for some $C_{i} \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}$.
Lemma E. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$. Then $M$ is an $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifold if and only if there exists a function $s \in C^{\infty}(M)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S-s \operatorname{Id} \in \mathcal{A} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(*)$ holds then $d s=\frac{2}{n+2} d \tau$ where $\tau$ is the scalar curvature of $(M, g)$.

Proof. From (*) we get

$$
\mathfrak{C}_{X, Y, Z} \nabla_{X} \varrho(Y, Z)=\mathfrak{C}_{X, Y, Z} X \operatorname{sg}(Y, Z)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \nabla_{X} \varrho(X, Y)+\nabla_{Y} \varrho(X, X)=2 X \operatorname{sg}(X, Y)+Y \operatorname{sg}(X, X) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\delta \varrho(Y)=\operatorname{tr}_{g} \nabla . \varrho(\cdot, Y)$. Then $\delta \varrho=\frac{1}{2} d \tau$.
On the other hand taking account of (3.5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \delta \varrho+\operatorname{tr} \nabla_{Y} \varrho(\cdot, \cdot)=2 g(\nabla s, Y)+n Y s \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{tr} \nabla_{Y} \varrho(\cdot, \cdot)=Y \tau$ we finally obtain $2 d \tau=(n+2) d s$.
Taking account of Theorem 3 and Lemma E we get
Corollary. If $\left(M_{i}, g_{i}\right)$ and $f_{i}$ satisfy equations (3.4i), (3.4ii) then $(M, g)$ is an $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifold and all the manifolds $\left(M_{i}, g_{i}\right)$ are Einstein for $i>0$, i.e. $\operatorname{Ric}^{i}=\tau_{i} g_{i}$ where $\tau_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Summarizing we get
Theorem 4. Assume that a simply connected complete $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifold $(M, g)$ has Ricci tensor $S$, with $k+1$ eigenfunctions $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}$, such that for $s=\frac{2}{n+2} \tau$ the tensor $S-s$ Id satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2 . Then

$$
M=M_{0} \times_{f_{1}} M_{1} \times_{f_{2}} M_{2} \times \ldots \times_{f_{k}} M_{k}
$$

where $\left(M_{i}, g_{i}\right)$ are Einstein manifolds $\left(\operatorname{Ric}^{i}=\tau_{i} g_{i}\right.$ for $\left.i>0\right)$ of dimensions $\operatorname{dim} M_{i}=n_{i}$ and the Ricci tensor $\operatorname{Ric}^{0}$ of $\left(M_{0}, g_{0}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}^{0}(X, Y)=(\mu+s) g_{0}(X, Y)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_{i}}{f_{i}} H^{f_{i}}(X, Y) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\lambda_{0}=\mu+s$ where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda_{i}=\mu+s+C_{i} f_{i}^{2}$ for $i>0$ where $C_{i} \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}$. The functions $f_{i}$ additionally satisfy the following $k$ equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \ln f_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} n_{j}\left\langle\nabla \ln f_{i}, \nabla \ln f_{j}\right\rangle+\mu+s+C_{i} f_{i}^{2}-\frac{\tau_{i}}{f_{i}^{2}}=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, assume that $\left(M_{i}, g_{i}\right)$ are Einstein with $\operatorname{Ric}^{i}=\tau_{i} g_{i}$ for $i>0$, $\operatorname{dim} M_{i}=n_{i}$ and functions $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$ satisfy equations (3.4i), (3.4ii) for some $C_{i} \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}$ and $s \in C^{\infty}(M)$. Then $M=M_{0} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{1} \times \ldots \times{ }_{f_{k}} M_{k} \in$ $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ and $d s=\frac{2}{n+2} d \tau$ where $\tau$ is the scalar curvature of $(M, g)$.

Proof. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\ln f}(X, Y) & =\left\langle D_{X} \nabla \ln f, Y\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle D_{X} \frac{\nabla f}{f}, Y\right\rangle=\left\langle\frac{1}{f} D_{X} \nabla f, Y\right\rangle+\left\langle-\frac{X f}{f^{2}} \nabla f, Y\right\rangle \\
& =-X \ln f Y \ln f+\frac{1}{f} H^{f}(X, Y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\Delta \ln f=-\|\nabla \ln f\|^{2}+\frac{1}{f} \Delta f$ and the assertion follows from Lemmas D and E .

Corollary. Let $(M, g)$ be a complete, simply connected $\mathcal{A}$-manifold whose Ricci tensor $S$ has exactly three eigenfunctions $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ (i.e. $E_{S}$ $=3$ ). Assume that all eigendistributions $D_{i}$ of $S$ form an integrable almost product structure and $\lambda_{0}=\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is constant. Then

$$
M=M_{0} \times_{f_{1}} M_{1} \times_{f_{2}} M_{2}
$$

where $T M_{i}=D_{i}=\operatorname{ker}\left(S-\lambda_{i} \mathrm{Id}\right)$ and $f_{i}^{2}=\left|\lambda_{i}-\mu\right|$ for $i>0$. The manifolds $M_{1}, M_{2}$ are Einstein spaces $\left(\operatorname{Ric}^{i}=\tau_{i} g_{i}, i=1,2\right)$ and the warping functions $f_{1}, f_{2}$ satisfy equations (3.7) and (3.8) with $k=2$.

In the book [B] many examples of $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifolds are given, including compact twisted warped products. However the description of these examples is rather complicated. All of them have harmonic Weyl tensor so they are $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifolds in Gray's notation (see [G]). Now we give examples of complete $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifolds $(M, g)$ with $M=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for every $n>2$ which are of a very simple explicit form. These manifolds are conformally flat so in fact they are also $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifolds. Take $M_{0}=\mathbb{R}_{+}$and $k=1$. We shall write $f_{1}=f$ and $C_{1}=C, \tau_{1}=\tau$. Equations (3.7) and (3.8) are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{n f^{\prime \prime}}{f}=-(\mu+s)  \tag{3.9a}\\
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}+(n-1) \frac{\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f^{2}}-\frac{\tau}{f^{2}}+\mu+s+C f^{2}=0 \tag{3.9b}
\end{gather*}
$$

Consequently, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(n-1)\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}-\frac{\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f^{2}}\right)=\frac{\tau}{f^{2}}-C f^{2} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.10) we obtain $(n-1)(\ln f)^{\prime \prime}=C f^{2}-\tau f^{-2}$. Write $g=\ln f$ and let $C=\tau$. Hence we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime \prime}=\frac{2 \tau}{n-1} \sinh 2 g \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3.11) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\frac{\tau}{n-1} \cosh 2 g+D_{1}=\frac{\tau}{n-1}\left(\cosh 2 g+D_{0}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. Take $D_{0}=-1$. Then equation (3.12) reads

$$
\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\frac{4 \tau}{n-1}(\sinh g)^{2}
$$

Thus $\tau>0$ and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}=2 \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{n-1}} \sinh g \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon \in\{-1,1\}$. Take $\varepsilon=-1$. Integrating equation (3.13) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tanh \left(\frac{g}{2}\right)=E_{0} \exp \left(-2 \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{n-1}} t\right), \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{0} \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}$. From (3.14) we obtain, taking $E_{0}=-1$, and $M_{1}=S^{n}$ with the standard metric of constant sectional curvature,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\tanh \left(\sqrt{\frac{\tau}{n-1}} t\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our metric on $M=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times S^{n}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\lambda}=d t^{2}+\phi(t)^{2} \lambda \operatorname{can} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where can is the standard metric on $S^{n}$ with sectional curvature 1,

$$
\phi(t)=\tanh \left(\sqrt{\frac{\tau}{n-1}} t\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda=\frac{n-1}{\tau} .
$$

Hence $\phi^{\prime}(0)=1 / \sqrt{\lambda}$. Thus $\phi^{\prime}(0)=\lambda$ if $\lambda=1$. In view of Lemma 9.114, p. 269 of $[\mathrm{B}]$, the metric $g_{\lambda}$ for $\lambda=\lambda_{0}=1$ extends to a $C^{\infty}$ metric $\bar{g}_{\lambda_{0}}$ on $\bar{M}=\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ for $n>1$. Since $M=\mathbb{R}^{n+1}-\{0\}$ and $\left(M, g_{\lambda_{0}}\right)$ is an $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ manifold it follows that $\left(\bar{M}, \bar{g}_{\lambda_{0}}\right)$ is also an $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifold. We shall show that $\left(\bar{M}, \bar{g}_{\lambda_{0}}\right)$ is complete. To this end recall the following

Lemma F. Assume that $d_{1}, d_{2}$ are metrics on the space $M$. If $\left(M, d_{1}\right)$ is a complete metric space and there exist positive constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$ such that for every $x, y \in M$,

$$
C_{1} d_{1}(x, y) \leq d_{2}(x, y) \leq C_{2} d_{1}(x, y)
$$

then the metric space $\left(M, d_{2}\right)$ is also complete. Every Cauchy sequence in $\left(M, d_{1}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\left(M, d_{2}\right)$ and vice versa.

Observe that on $M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\lambda_{0}}=d t^{2}+(\tanh t)^{2} \operatorname{can} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the metric $g_{n}=d t^{2}+(\sinh t)^{2}$ can on $M=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times S^{n}$ can be extended to a complete metric on the hyperbolic space $\bar{M}=H^{n+1}$ (see [B], 9.111, p. 268). Let $p: \bar{M}=\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+} \cup\{0\}$ be defined by

$$
p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right)=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+\ldots+x_{n+1}^{2}}
$$

Then $p$ is an extension to $\bar{M}$ of the natural projection $p: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times S^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$. We shall denote by $d_{0}$ the metric induced on $\bar{M}$ by the Riemannian metric tensor $g_{\lambda_{0}}$, and by $|a-b|$ the natural metric on $\mathbb{R}$. Note that in view of (3.17), $|p(x)-p(y)| \leq d_{0}(p(x), p(y))$. Hence if $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\left(\bar{M}, d_{0}\right)$ then $\left(p\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathbb{R},| |)$. In particular $p\left(x_{n}\right)$ is bounded, i.e. there exists $K>0$ such that $p\left(x_{n}\right)<K$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $\cosh \left(p\left(x_{n}\right)\right)<L=\cosh K$. Note that on $D=\{x \in M: p(x)<K\}$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{L^{2}}\left(d u^{2}+(\sinh u)^{2} \operatorname{can}\right)<g_{\lambda_{0}}<\left(d u^{2}+(\sinh u)^{2} \operatorname{can}\right)
$$

Hence $L^{-1} d_{n}(x, y)<d_{0}(x, y)<d_{n}(x, y)$ for every $x, y \in D$ where $d_{n}$ denotes the complete hyperbolic metric on $H^{n+1}$ induced by $d u^{2}+(\sinh u)^{2}$ can. Taking account of Lemma F we see that the Cauchy sequence $x_{n}$ in $\left(\bar{M}, d_{0}\right)$ is convergent, which means that $\left(\bar{M}, d_{0}\right)$ is complete. Hence we have proved

Theorem 5. For every $n \geq 2$ the metric $g_{\lambda_{0}}=d t^{2}+(\tanh t)^{2}$ can on the space $M=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times S^{n}$ extends to a smooth complete metric $\bar{g}_{\lambda_{0}}$ on $\bar{M}=\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \bar{g}_{\lambda_{0}}\right)$ is an $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifold.

Finally we shall construct compact examples of $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifolds with more than two eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor. As in [B], we shall also consider twisted warped products (note that in [B] all the examples have only two eigenvalues and the construction is different from ours). Consider the equations (3.7) and (3.8) and take $k=2, M_{0}=\mathbb{R}$. The manifolds $M_{1}, M_{2}$ are assumed to be Einstein with $\operatorname{dim} M_{1}=n_{1}=n$, $\operatorname{dim} M_{2}=n_{2}=m$ where $m, n>1$. Equations (3.7) and (3.8) are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{n f^{\prime \prime}}{f}+\frac{m g^{\prime \prime}}{g}=-(\mu+s),  \tag{3.18a}\\
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}+(n-1) \frac{\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f^{2}}+m \frac{g^{\prime} f^{\prime}}{f g}-\frac{\tau_{1}}{f^{2}}+\mu+s+C_{1} f^{2}=0,  \tag{3.18b}\\
\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{g}+(m-1) \frac{\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{g^{2}}+n \frac{f^{\prime} g^{\prime}}{f g}-\frac{\tau_{2}}{g^{2}}+\mu+s+C_{2} g^{2}=0 . \tag{3.18c}
\end{gather*}
$$

Assume that $f=1 / g$. Then $\ln f=-\ln g$ and

$$
\frac{f^{\prime} g^{\prime}}{f g}=-\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)^{2}=-\left(\frac{g^{\prime}}{g}\right)^{2}
$$

Hence we obtain as before (see the solution of (3.9))

$$
\begin{align*}
& -(n-1)(\ln f)^{\prime \prime}-m(\ln g)^{\prime \prime}-2 m\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)^{2}=\frac{\tau_{1}}{f^{2}}-C_{1} f^{2}  \tag{3.19a}\\
& -n(\ln f)^{\prime \prime}-(m-1)(\ln g)^{\prime \prime}-2 n\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)^{2}=\frac{\tau_{2}}{g^{2}}-C_{2} g^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& (m-n+1) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}-(3 m-n+1)\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)^{2}=\frac{\tau_{1}}{f^{2}}-C_{1} f^{2}  \tag{3.20a}\\
& (m-n-1) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}-(m+n+1)\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)^{2}=\tau_{2} f^{2}-\frac{C_{2}}{f^{2}} \tag{3.20b}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus equations (3.19) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}-\left(\frac{3 m-n+1}{m-n+1}\right)\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{m-n+1}\left(\frac{\tau_{1}}{f^{2}}-C_{1} f^{2}\right)  \tag{3.21a}\\
& \frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}-\left(\frac{m+n+1}{m-n-1}\right)\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{m-n-1}\left(\tau_{2} f^{2}-\frac{C_{2}}{f^{2}}\right) \tag{3.21b}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $k=m-n$. Hence $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3 m-n+1}{m-n+1}=\frac{m+n-1}{m-n-1} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{2}+k-2 m=0 \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, (3.22) holds if and only if $m=\frac{1}{2} k(k+1), n=\frac{1}{2} k(k-1)$ where (we have assumed that $m, n>1) k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|k|>2$. We can assume that $k>2$ since the case $k<-2$ is obtained from the first case on replacing $f$ by $g$. We shall assume that the above conditions on $m$ and $n$ are satisfied. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3 m-n+1}{m-n+1}=\frac{m+n-1}{m-n-1}=k+1 \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $a=k+1$. Assume also that $C_{1}, C_{2}$ satisfy

$$
\frac{\tau_{1}}{k+1}=-\frac{C_{2}}{k-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\tau_{2}}{k-1}=-\frac{C_{1}}{k+1}
$$

Hence the equations (3.21) reduce to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}-a\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)^{2}=\frac{c}{f^{2}}+d f^{2} \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=k+1, c=\frac{\tau_{1}}{k+1}, d=\frac{\tau_{2}}{k-1}$. Write $\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}=P\left(f^{2}\right)$ where $P \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

Then $P$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime}(x)-\frac{a}{x} P(x)=\frac{c}{x}+d x . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
P(x)=C_{0} x^{a}+\frac{d}{2-a} x^{2}-\frac{c}{a}
$$

where $C_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}=C_{0} f^{2 a}+\frac{d}{2-a} f^{4}-\frac{c}{a} . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.26) and (A) it follows that $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}=\frac{2 d}{2-a} f^{3}+a C_{0} f^{2 a-1} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (3.26) is a first integral of (3.27). Every solution of equations (3.26) and (3.27) satisfies (A). Note that (3.26) can be written as $\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}=Q(f)$ where $Q(x)=C_{0} x^{2 a}+D x^{4}+E$ and

$$
D=\frac{d}{2-a}=-\frac{\tau_{2}}{(k-1)^{2}}, \quad E=-\frac{c}{a}=-\frac{\tau_{1}}{(k+1)^{2}} .
$$

Lemma G. Consider the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{1}{2} Q^{\prime}(f),  \tag{F1}\\
\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2} & =Q(f), \tag{F2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q(x)=C_{0} x^{2 a}+D x^{4}+E$ and $a=k+1 \geq 4$. Then the equations (F1), (F2) admit a periodic nonconstant positive solution $f$ if and only if $C_{0}<0, E<0, D>0$ and

$$
Q\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{0}^{4} D\left(\frac{k-1}{k+1}\right)+E>0
$$

where $x_{0}$ is a positive root of the equation $Q^{\prime}(x)=0$, thus

$$
x_{0}=\left(-\frac{2 D}{C_{0} a}\right)^{1 /(2 k-2)}
$$

Proof. We shall use Lemma 16.37, p. 445 of [B]. The equation $Q^{\prime}(x)=$ 0 has a positive solution if and only if $C_{0} D<0$. From Lemma 16.37 of [B] it follows that $C_{0}<0$. Hence $D>0$. Note that in our case the range of a solution $f$ is $\operatorname{im} f=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ where $x_{1}<x_{2}$ are positive roots of $Q$. The polynomial $Q$ has two positive roots if $Q(0)=E<0$ and $Q\left(x_{0}\right)>0$.

From Lemma G it follows that equation (A) has a periodic, nonconstant and positive solution if $\tau_{1}>0, \tau_{2}<0$ and $\tau_{1} \in(0, \alpha)$ where

$$
\alpha=-x_{0}^{4} \tau_{2} \frac{k+1}{k-1}=\left|\tau_{2}\right|^{(k+1) /(k-1)}\left(\frac{2}{(k-1)^{2}(k+1)\left|C_{0}\right|}\right)^{2 /(k-1)} \frac{k+1}{k-1}
$$

Take compact Einstein manifolds $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right),\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ whose Ricci tensors $\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}$ satisfy $\varrho_{i}=\tau_{i} g_{i}$ and $\tau_{i}$ are as above. We also assume that $\operatorname{dim} M_{1}=$ $\frac{1}{2} k(k-1)$ and $\operatorname{dim} M_{2}=\frac{1}{2} k(k+1)$. Let $f$ be a positive periodic solution of (A). Then the $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifold $M=\mathbb{R} \times_{f} M_{1} \times_{1 / f} M_{2}$ has compact quotients $\bar{M}=M / \mathbb{Z}$ with a twisted warped product metric (for the details see [B], 16.26, p. 441). Such quotients are compact $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifolds whose Ricci tensor has three different eigenfunctions (at least on an open and dense subset $U$ of $\bar{M})$.

Theorem 6. Let $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right),\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ be compact Einstein manifolds, $\operatorname{dim} M_{1}=\frac{1}{2} k(k-1), \operatorname{dim} M_{2}=\frac{1}{2} k(k+1)$ where $k \in \mathbb{N}, k>2$. Assume that the Ricci tensors $\varrho_{i}$ of $\left(M_{i}, g_{i}\right)$ satisfy $\varrho_{i}=\tau_{i} g_{i}$ where $\tau_{1}>0$ and $\tau_{2}<0$. Then there exists a positive, periodic nonconstant function $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $M=\mathbb{R} \times_{f} M_{1} \times_{1 / f} M_{2}$ is an $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifold. If $A_{1} \in \operatorname{Iso}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $A_{2} \in \operatorname{Iso}\left(M_{2}\right)$ are isometries of $\left(M_{i}, g_{i}\right), i=1,2$, respectively, then the mapping $A: M \rightarrow M$ defined by $A\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(t+T, A_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), A_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)$, where $T$ is the period of $f$, is an isometry of $(M, g)$. The quotient $\bar{M}=M / \mathbb{Z} A$ with the twisted warped product metric $\bar{g}$ is a compact $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$-manifold whose Rici tensor has three eigenfunctions.

Proof. Choose $C_{0}<0$ such that

$$
\tau_{1}<\left|\tau_{2}\right|^{(k+1) /(k-1)}\left(\frac{2}{(k-1)^{2}(k+1)\left|C_{0}\right|}\right)^{2 /(k-1)} \frac{k+1}{k-1}
$$

Then our theorem follows from Lemma G and from [B], 16.26 (p. 441).
Remark. It is easy to show that the above examples do not have harmonic Weyl tensor, i.e. that the tensor $\varrho(X, Y)-\frac{\tau}{2 n-2} g(X, Y)$ where $\tau=\operatorname{tr} \varrho$ is not a Codazzi tensor. Thus $(\bar{M}, \bar{g}) \in \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ and $(\bar{M}, \bar{g}) \notin \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$. Since the scalar curvature of $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ is not constant we also have $(\bar{M}, \bar{g}) \notin \mathcal{A}$. As far as the author knows these are the first known examples of this kind (see [B], p. 433). Note that from the author's paper [J-2] it follows that the examples of compact Einstein-Weyl 4-manifolds given in [M-P-P-S] are also of this kind.
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