## A note on a question of Abe

by

Douglas Burke (Las Vegas, NV)

**Abstract.** Assuming large cardinals, we show that every  $\kappa$ -complete filter can be generically extended to a V-ultrafilter with well-founded ultrapower. We then apply this to answer a question of Abe.

**1. Weakly precipitous filters.** A set  $\mathcal{F}$  is a *filter* if it is closed under intersections,  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ , and whenever  $A \subseteq B \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{F}$  with  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ . In what follows  $\kappa$  is always a regular cardinal  $> \omega$ . A filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\kappa$ -complete iff it is closed under intersections of size  $< \kappa$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\kappa$ -complete filter. We say  $\mathcal{F}$  is weakly precipitous if there is a partial order  $\mathbb{P}$  and a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name  $\dot{G}$  such that it is forced that  $\dot{G}$  is a V- $\kappa$ -complete ultrafilter extending  $\mathcal{F}$  with well-founded ultrapower. We say  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\alpha$ -weakly precipitous if there is a partial order  $\mathbb{P}$  and a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name  $\dot{G}$  such that it is forced that  $\dot{G}$  is a V- $\kappa$ -complete ultrafilter extending  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $j_{\dot{G}}(\alpha)$  in the well-founded part of the ultrapower.

If  $\kappa$  is strongly compact then every  $\kappa$ -complete filter can be extended to a  $\kappa$ -complete ultrafilter. If we use a generic embedding instead of a strongly compact embedding, then (large cardinals imply that) for every  $\kappa$ , every  $\kappa$ -complete filter is weakly precipitous.

Recall that S is stationary if for every  $f: (\bigcup S)^{<\omega} \to \bigcup S$  there is an  $a \in S$  that is closed under f. We have  $\mathbb{P}_{<\delta} = \{S \in V_{\delta} \mid S \text{ is stationary}\}$ , ordered by  $S \leq T$  iff  $\bigcup S \supseteq \bigcup T$  and for all  $a \in S$ ,  $a \cap (\bigcup T) \in T$ . This generalization of stationary and the following theorem appear in [W1] and [W2].

THEOREM 1.2 (Woodin). Assume  $\delta$  is a Woodin cardinal,  $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{<\delta}$  is generic, and  $j_G : V \to M$  is the generic embedding. Then  $M^{<\delta} \subseteq M$  in V[G].

LEMMA 1.3. Assume  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\kappa$ -complete filter and there is a Woodin cardinal >  $|\bigcup \mathcal{F}|$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is weakly precipitous.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 03E40, 03E55.

<sup>[95]</sup> 

Proof. We may assume  $\bigcup \mathcal{F}$  is a cardinal  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda \geq \kappa$ . Let  $\delta > \lambda$  be a Woodin cardinal. The forcing  $\mathbb{P}$  that witnesses that  $\mathcal{F}$  is weakly precipitous is

$$\mathbb{P}_{<\delta} | \{ a \subseteq V_{\lambda+1} \mid |a| < \kappa \& a \cap \kappa \in \kappa \}.$$

Let  $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  be generic and  $j : V \to M$  the generic embedding (so M is well-founded). It is easy to see (using techniques from [W1]; also see [M2], Chapter 9) that  $\operatorname{cp}(j) = \kappa$ ,  $j'' \mathcal{F} \in M$ , and  $j(\kappa) > |j'' \mathcal{F}|$  (this last inequality holds since  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq V_{\lambda+1}$ ). Since  $j(\mathcal{F})$  is a  $j(\kappa)$ -complete filter and  $j'' \mathcal{F} \subseteq j(\mathcal{F})$ , there is a  $c \in \bigcap j'' \mathcal{F}$ .

Now in V[H] define a V-ultrafilter  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $\lambda$  by  $A \in \mathcal{G}$  iff  $c \in j(A)$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{G}$  is a V- $\kappa$ -complete ultrafilter extending  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\text{Ult}(V, \mathcal{G})$  can be embedded into M (by the map k([f]) = j(f)(c)),  $\text{Ult}(V, \mathcal{G})$  is well-founded. Finally, standard forcing facts give a name  $\dot{G}$  for  $\mathcal{G}$ .

We can get by with much smaller large cardinals if all we want is  $\alpha$ -weakly precipitous.

LEMMA 1.4. Assume  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\kappa$ -complete filter and there is a measurable cardinal  $\delta > |\bigcup \mathcal{F}|$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\delta$ -weakly precipitous.

Proof. We may assume  $\bigcup \mathcal{F} = \lambda$  a cardinal and  $\lambda \geq \kappa$ . Since  $\delta > \lambda$  is measurable,

$$S = \{ a \subseteq V_{\delta} \mid a \cap \kappa \in \kappa \& |a \cap V_{\lambda+1}| < \kappa \& |a| = \delta \}$$

is stationary ([W1]). Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be all stationary subsets of S ordered by inclusion, and  $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  generic. Then we have an embedding  $j : V \to (M, E)$  with  $\operatorname{cp}(j) = \kappa, \delta$  in the well-founded part of  $(M, E), j(\delta) = \delta, j'' \mathcal{F} \in M$ , and  $|j'' \mathcal{F}| < j(\kappa)$  (this is all standard—see [W1] or [M2]). Now we argue as above to get a V- $\kappa$ -complete ultrafilter  $\mathcal{G}$  extending  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $j_{\mathcal{G}} : V \to \operatorname{Ult}(V, \mathcal{G})$ and  $k : \operatorname{Ult}(V, G) \to (M, E)$  be the canonical maps. Then  $j_{\mathcal{G}}(\delta)$  is in the well-founded part of  $\operatorname{Ult}(V, G)$  since  $k(j_{\mathcal{G}}(\delta)) = j(\delta) = \delta$ .

2. A question of Abe. It is possible that one can use large cardinals (and weakly precipitous filters) instead of precipitous filters. For example, in [M1] Magidor proves that if there is a precipitous ideal on  $\omega_1$  and a measurable cardinal then all  $\Sigma_3^1$  sets are Lebesgue measurable. If we use Theorem 1.2 instead of a precipitous ideal on  $\omega_1$ , Magidor's proof gives that all  $\Sigma_3^1$  sets are Lebesgue measurable cardinal above a Woodin cardinal. Magidor goes on to show that all  $\Sigma_4^1$  sets are Lebesgue measurable from this proof and Theorem 1.2, one sees that a measurable cardinal above n Woodin cardinals implies that all  $\Sigma_{n+2}^1$  sets are Lebesgue measurable.

In this section we give another example of this in answering a question of Abe from [A]. The following definition and two theorems are due to Abe and appear in [A]. DEFINITION 2.1 (Abe). Assume  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\lambda$  (all filters on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\lambda$  are  $\kappa$ -complete and fine).  $\mathcal{F}$  is weakly normal iff  $\forall f$  if  $\{a \in \mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\lambda \mid f(a) \in a\} \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $\exists \beta < \lambda$  such that  $\{a \in \mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\lambda \mid f(a) < \beta\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Further,  $\mathcal{F}$  is semi-weakly normal iff  $\forall f$  if  $\{a \in \mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\lambda \mid f(a) \in a\} \in \mathcal{F}^+$  then  $\exists \beta < \lambda$  such that  $\{a \in \mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\lambda \mid f(a) \in a\} \in \mathcal{F}^+$  then  $\exists \beta < \lambda$  such that  $\{a \in \mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\lambda \mid f(a) < \beta\} \in \mathcal{F}^+$ .

THEOREM 2.2 (Abe). Assume  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\lambda$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is weakly normal iff  $\mathcal{F}$  is semi-weakly normal and there is no sequence of  $\operatorname{cof}(\lambda)$  many disjoint  $\mathcal{F}$ -positive sets.

THEOREM 2.3 (Abe). If  $\lambda$  is regular and there is a weakly normal filter on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\lambda$ , then  $\lambda^{<\kappa} = 2^{<\kappa} \cdot \lambda$ .

This last result generalizes the well-known result of Solovay [S].

Also in [A], Abe proved a similar result when  $\operatorname{cof}(\lambda) \leq \kappa$  and asked if one can compute  $\lambda^{<\kappa}$  when  $\kappa < \operatorname{cof}(\lambda) < \lambda$ . Abe could answer this question assuming that a certain filter was precipitous—we show that  $\lambda$ weak precipitousness suffices.

THEOREM 2.4. Assume  $\beta$  is regular and there is a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\beta$  that has no  $\beta$  sequence of disjoint sets from  $\mathcal{F}^+$  (and there is a measurable cardinal  $>\beta$ ). Then there is a weakly normal filter on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\beta$ .

REMARK. Matsubara has proved that if there is a  $\beta$  saturated precipitous ideal on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\beta$  then  $\beta^{<\kappa} = 2^{<\kappa}\cdot\beta$  ([M3], [M4]). Our result (combined with 2.3) eliminates the precipitous assumption (at the expense of a large cardinal). We also seem to have a weaker saturation hypothesis.

Proof (of Theorem 2.4). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\beta$  with no  $\beta$  sequence of disjoint sets from  $\mathcal{F}^+$ . Since there is a measurable cardinal  $> \beta$  there is a partial order  $\mathbb{P}$  and a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name  $\dot{G}$  such that  $\mathbb{P}$  forces  $\dot{G} \supseteq \mathcal{F}$  is a V- $\kappa$ -complete ultrafilter on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\beta$  with  $j_{\dot{G}}(\beta)$  in the well-founded part of the ultrapower. Now fix  $f: \mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\beta \to \text{Ord}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $p \Vdash "[f] = \sup(j''_{\dot{G}}\beta)$ ".

Define a filter  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\beta$  by  $A \in \mathcal{E}$  iff  $p \Vdash A \in G$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\kappa$ -complete fine filter on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\beta$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ , and there is no  $\beta$  sequence of disjoint  $\mathcal{E}$  positive sets. Because  $p \Vdash "[f] = \sup(j''_{\dot{G}}\beta)$ ", we have

(1) 
$$\forall \gamma < \beta \ \{ a \in \mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\beta \mid f(a) \ge \gamma \} \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Note that  $T \in \mathcal{E}^+$  iff  $\exists q \leq p$  such that  $q \Vdash T \in \dot{G}$ . Using this, and again the fact that  $p \Vdash "[f] = \sup(j''_{\dot{G}}\beta)$ , we have

(2) 
$$\forall g \text{ if } \{a \in \mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\beta \mid g(a) < f(a)\} \in \mathcal{E}^+ \text{ then}$$
  
 $\exists \gamma < \beta \text{ with } \{a \in \mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\beta \mid g(a) < \gamma\} \in \mathcal{E}^+.$ 

Finally, define a filter  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\beta$  by

$$A \in \mathcal{D} \text{ iff } \{a \in \mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\beta \mid a \cap f(a) \in A\} \in \mathcal{E}$$

Clearly,  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\kappa$ -complete filter on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\beta$ . Using (1) and the fact that  $\mathcal{E}$ is fine, we find that  $\mathcal{D}$  is fine. Note that  $A \in \mathcal{D}^+$  iff  $\{a \in \mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\beta \mid a \cap f(a) \in A\} \in \mathcal{E}^+$ . So there is no  $\beta$  sequence of disjoint  $\mathcal{D}$  positive sets. Using (2) we see that  $\mathcal{D}$  is semi-weakly normal. Therefore  $\mathcal{D}$  is a weakly normal filter on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\beta$ .

COROLLARY 2.5. Assume  $\operatorname{cof}(\lambda) \geq \kappa$  and there is a filter on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\lambda$  with no  $\lambda$  sequence of disjoint  $\mathcal{F}$ -positive sets (and there is a measurable cardinal  $> \lambda$ ). Then  $\lambda^{<\kappa} = 2^{<\kappa} \cdot \lambda$ .

Proof. If  $\lambda$  is regular then we use 2.4 and 2.3.

So assume  $\kappa \leq \operatorname{cof}(\lambda) < \lambda$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\lambda$  with no  $\lambda$  sequence of disjoint, positive sets. It is easy to see that there is a  $\gamma < \lambda$  and  $S \in \mathcal{F}^+$  such that S cannot be split into  $\gamma$  many disjoint positive sets. Replace  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $\mathcal{F} \upharpoonright S$  (so there is no  $\gamma$  sequence of disjoint positive sets) and take  $\gamma \geq \operatorname{cof}(\lambda)$ .

Now given any regular  $\beta$  with  $\gamma \leq \beta < \lambda$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_{\beta}$  be the projection of  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\beta$  ( $\mathcal{F}_{\beta} = \{\{a \cap \beta \mid a \in S\} \mid S \in \mathcal{F}\}$ ). So  $\mathcal{F}_{\beta}$  is a  $\kappa$ -complete fine filter on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\beta$  with no  $\beta$  sequence of disjoint positive sets (no  $\gamma$  sequence in fact). So by 2.4 and 2.3,  $\beta^{<\kappa} = 2^{<\kappa} \cdot \beta$ .

Finally, since  $\operatorname{cof}(\lambda) \ge \kappa$ , we have  $\lambda^{<\kappa} = \bigcup_{\beta < \lambda} \beta^{<\kappa}$ , and therefore  $\lambda^{<\kappa} = 2^{<\kappa} \cdot \lambda$ .

## References

- [A] Y. Abe, Weakly normal ideals on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\lambda$  and the singular cardinal hypothesis, Fund. Math. 143 (1993), 97–106.
- [J] T. J. Jech, Set Theory, Academic Press, 1978.
- [M1] M. Magidor, Precipitous ideals and  $\Sigma_4^1$  sets, Israel J. Math. 35 (1980), 109–134.
- [M2] D. A. Martin, Borel and Projective Games, book to be published.
- [M3] Y. Matsubara, private communication.
- [M4] —, Saturated ideals and the singular cardinal hypothesis, J. Symbolic Logic 57 (1992), 970–974.
- [S] R. M. Solovay, Strongly compact cardinals and the GCH, in: Proc. Tarski Symposium, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1974, 365–372.
- [W1] W. H. Woodin, Supercompact cardinals and  $\Sigma_1^2$  sets, handwritten notes, May 1985.
- [W2] —, Supercompact cardinals, sets of reals, and weakly homogeneous trees, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 85 (1988), 6587–6591.

Department of Mathematics University of Nevada Las Vegas, NV 89154, U.S.A. E-mail: dburke@nevada.edu

Received 1 September 1999