## On sets of natural numbers without solution to a noninvariant linear equation

by

Tomasz Schoen (Kiel\*)

Let us consider a linear equation

$$(*) a_1x_1 + \ldots + a_kx_k = b,$$

where  $a_1, \ldots, a_k, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We call the equation (\*) *invariant* if both  $s = a_1 + \ldots + a_k = 0$  and b = 0, and *noninvariant* otherwise. We say that a set A is (\*)-free if it contains no nontrivial solution to (\*) and define r(n) as the size of the largest (\*)-free set contained in  $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

The behavior of r(n) has been extensively studied for many cases of invariant linear equations. The two best known examples are the equation x + y = 2z, when r(n) is the size of the largest set without arithmetic progression of length three contained in [n] (see [6]), and the equation  $x_1 + x_2 = y_1 + y_2$ , when r(n) becomes the size of the largest Sidon subset of [n](see [3], [7], [8]).

Much less is known about the behavior of r(n) for noninvariant linear equations, maybe apart from sum-free sets (see for example [1], [2], [5], [10]). The main contribution to this subject was made by Ruzsa [9] who studied properties of sets without solutions to a fixed noninvariant linear equation. Following his paper let us define

$$\overline{A}(*) = \sup\{\overline{d}(A) : A \subseteq \mathbb{N}, A \text{ is } (*)\text{-free}\},$$
  

$$\underline{A}(*) = \sup\{\underline{d}(A) : A \subseteq \mathbb{N}, A \text{ is } (*)\text{-free}\},$$
  

$$\overline{\lambda}(*) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} r(n)/n,$$
  

$$\underline{\lambda}(*) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} r(n)/n,$$

where  $\overline{d}(A), \underline{d}(A)$  denote the upper and lower density of the set A. Sometimes, we write just  $\overline{A}, \underline{A}, \overline{\lambda}, \underline{\lambda}$  instead of  $\overline{A}(*), \underline{A}(*), \overline{\lambda}(*), \underline{\lambda}(*)$ .

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B75, 11A99.

<sup>\*</sup>On leave from Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland.

<sup>[149]</sup> 

The aim of this paper is to answer the following questions posed in Ruzsa's paper [9].

1. Does there exist an absolute constant C such that for every noninvariant linear equation we have

$$C\overline{\Lambda} \geq \underline{\lambda}?$$

2. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be an arbitrary number. Is it possible to find a noninvariant equation with  $s \neq 0$  and  $\overline{\lambda} < \varepsilon$ ?

3. Is it true that for every noninvariant linear equation we have

$$\Lambda = \overline{\Lambda} = \underline{\Lambda}^{\underline{\alpha}}$$

4. For an integer m > 1, let  $\rho(m)$  denote the maximal cardinality of a (\*)-free set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$ . Put

$$\varrho = \sup \varrho(m)/m.$$

Is it true that always

$$\overline{\lambda} = \underline{\lambda} = \max\left(\varrho, \frac{s^+ - s^-}{s^+}\right),\,$$

where  $s^+ = \sum_{a_i>0} a_i$ ,  $s^- = \sum_{a_i<0} a_i$  (we may assume that  $s^+ > 0$  and  $s^+ \ge s^-$ )?

Notation. In this note  $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$  and  $[u, w] = \{u \le n \le w : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We also set  $Ak = \{ak : a \in A\}$  and  $hA = \{a_1 + \ldots + a_h : a_1, \ldots, a_h \in A\}$ . We use  $gcd\{A\}$  to denote the greatest common divisor of the elements of the set A, and set  $s \pm A = \{s \pm a : a \in A\}$ . Finally, A(n) denotes the counting function of A, i.e.  $A(n) = |A \cap [n]|$ .

In order to deal with the first question we use the following result of Łuczak and Schoen [5].

THEOREM A. If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and there is no solution to the equation  $y = x_1 + \ldots + x_k$ , then

$$\overline{\mathbf{d}}(A) \le 1/\rho(k-1),$$

where  $\rho(k) = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : m \text{ does not divide } k\}$ .

Now we can answer the first from Ruzsa's questions in the negative.

THEOREM 1. There is no an absolute constant C such that

$$C\overline{\Lambda} \ge \underline{\lambda}$$

for every linear equation. Moreover, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an equation such that  $\overline{\Lambda} < \varepsilon$  and  $\underline{\lambda} > 1 - \varepsilon$ .

Proof. It is enough to prove that there exists a sequence of equations  $(e_1), (e_2), \ldots$  such that

$$\underline{\lambda}(e_n) \to 1$$
 and  $\overline{\Lambda}(e_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

For a natural number n set  $k_n = n!+1$ . Then, for every n, we have  $\rho(k_n) > n$ . Furthermore, denote by  $(e_n)$  the equation

$$y = x_1 + \ldots + x_{k_n}.$$

Thus, it follows from Theorem A that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\overline{\Lambda}(e_n) \le 1/\rho(k_n) < 1/n,$$

and so  $\overline{\Lambda}(e_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

On the other hand, for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  the set  $\{\lceil m/k_n \rceil + 1, \ldots, m\}$  contains no solutions to the equation  $(e_n)$ , so

$$\underline{\lambda}(e_n) \ge (k_n - 1)/k_n.$$

Consequently,  $\underline{\lambda}(e_n) \to 1$  as  $n \to \infty$ , which completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\blacksquare$ 

In order to solve the second problem we make use of the following theorem of Lev [4].

THEOREM B. Assume that  $A \subseteq [n]$  and

$$|A| \ge \frac{n-1}{k} + 2.$$

Then there are integers  $d \leq k - 1$ ,  $h \leq 2k - 1$  and m such that

$$\{md, (m+1)d, \ldots, (m+n-1)d\} \subseteq hA.$$

Furthermore,  $d = \gcd\{A - \min A\}$  and h can be chosen to be the largest multiple of d less than or equal to 2k - 1.

Ruzsa [9] showed that  $\overline{\lambda}$  may not be bounded from below by a positive absolute constant. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  he gave an example of a noninvariant linear equation with s = 0 and  $\overline{\lambda} < \varepsilon$  and asked: Is it possible that  $s \neq 0$ ? We prove a more general result, which for a suitable choice of k and l provides an example of a noninvariant equation with  $s \neq 0$  and arbitrarily small  $\overline{\lambda}$ .

THEOREM 2. Suppose that  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$  and k > l. If  $A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$  contains no solution to the equation  $x_1 + \ldots + x_k = y_1 + \ldots + y_l$ , then

$$|A| \le \max\left(\frac{2(k-l)n}{l}, \left\lceil \frac{n}{\rho(k-l)} \right\rceil\right).$$

Proof. Suppose that the assertion does not hold, so in particular |A| > 2(k-l)n/l. Obviously, we can assume 2(k-l)/l < 1. Thus, it follows from Theorem B that there exists  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\{a, a+d, \dots, a+(n-1)d\} \subseteq \lfloor l/(k-l) \rfloor A,$$

where  $d = \gcd\{A - \min A\}$ . Furthermore, for some  $b \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\{b, b+d, \dots, b+(k-l)(n-1)d\} \subseteq lA.$$

Note that, since  $|A| > \lceil n/\rho(k-l) \rceil$ , we must have  $d < \rho(k-l)$ , and so  $k-l \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$  by the definition of the function  $\rho$ .

Let  $x \in A$  be an arbitrary number with x < n. Then

$$x(k-l) \le (k-l)(n-1)d.$$

Hence

$$b + x(k-l) \in \{b, b+d, \dots, b + (k-l)(n-1)d\} \subseteq lA.$$

Thus, there exist  $x_1, \ldots, x_l, y_1, \ldots, y_l \in A$  such that

$$b = x_1 + \ldots + x_l$$
 and  $b + x(k-l) = y_1 + \ldots + y_l$ .

Hence, we arrive at

$$x_1 + \ldots + x_l + x(k-l) = y_1 + \ldots + y_l,$$

which is a contradiction.  $\blacksquare$ 

For any fixed  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , set k = (2t+3)t! and l = (2t+2)t!, which implies  $\rho(k-l) > t$ . Thus, Theorem 2 gives  $\overline{\lambda} < 1/t$  for the equation  $x_1 + \ldots + x_k = y_1 + \ldots + y_l$ .

Finally, we show that for the equation  $x_1 + x_2 = ky$ , where  $k \ge 10$ , neither  $\Lambda = \overline{\Lambda} = \underline{\Lambda}$ , nor  $\underline{\lambda} = \max\left(\varrho, \frac{s^+ - s^-}{s^+}\right)$ , which answers the third and the fourth question of Ruzsa. As a matter of fact, we prove that one can have  $\overline{\Lambda} < \underline{\Lambda} < \underline{\lambda}$ .

Let us make first the following elementary observation.

FACT. Let A be a set of positive integers with no solution to the equation  $x_1 + x_2 = ky$ , where k is fixed positive integer. Then  $\underline{\Lambda} \leq 1/2$ .

Proof. Every set  $A \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\underline{d}(A) > 1/2$  contains in its sum-set A + A each natural number from some point on. Thus, the sets A + A and Ak may not be disjoint.

EXAMPLE 1. For a given k > 2 define

$$S = \left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{k^{2n}}{2^n} + 1, \frac{k^{2n+1}}{2^{n+1}}\right]\right) \cap \mathbb{N}.$$

It is clear that there is no solution to the equation  $x_1 + x_2 = ky$  in the set S and  $\overline{d}(S) = k(k-2)/(k^2-2)$ , so  $\overline{A} \ge k(k-2)/(k^2-2)$ . The next theorem shows that, in fact,  $\overline{A} = k(k-2)/(k^2-2)$ .

THEOREM 3. If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  contains no solutions to the equation  $x_1+x_2 = ky$ , where  $k \geq 10$ , then

$$\overline{\mathbf{d}}(A) \le \frac{k(k-2)}{k^2 - 2}.$$

Proof. Assume  $\overline{\mathbf{d}} = \overline{\mathbf{d}}(A) \geq k(k-2)/(k^2-2)$ . For a given  $\varepsilon$  with  $1/k^3 > \varepsilon > 0$  choose  $n_{\varepsilon}$  so that  $A(i) < (\overline{\mathbf{d}} + \varepsilon)i$  for every  $i > n_{\varepsilon}$ . Let n be such that  $n > kn_{\varepsilon}$  and  $(\overline{\mathbf{d}} - \varepsilon)n < A(n)$ . Furthermore set  $m = \min A$ .

First, assume

$$A \cap \left[\frac{4n}{k^2 - 2}, \frac{2(k^2 - 2k - 2)n}{k(k^2 - 2)}\right] \neq \emptyset.$$

For each  $y_0 \in A \cap [4n/(k^2-2), n/k]$  and  $x < ky_0$ , either  $x \notin A$  or  $ky_0 - x \notin A$ , so

$$A(n) \le \frac{ky_0}{2} + (n - ky_0) \le \frac{k^2 - 2k - 2}{k^2 - 2}n < (\overline{\mathbf{d}} - \varepsilon)n,$$

which contradicts the choice of n. The case

$$A \cap \left[\frac{n}{k}, \frac{2(k^2 - 2k - 2)n}{k(k^2 - 2)}\right] \neq \emptyset$$

can be dealt with in a similar way.

Now suppose

$$A \cap \left[\frac{4n}{k^2 - 2}, \frac{2(k^2 - 2k - 2)n}{k(k^2 - 2)}\right] = \emptyset.$$

Set

$$A_{1} = A \cap \left[\frac{2n}{k^{2}} + \frac{m}{k}, \frac{4n}{k(k^{2} - 2)}\right),$$
$$A_{2} = A \cap \left(\frac{2(k^{2} - 2k - 2)n}{k(k^{2} - 2)}, \frac{2n}{k}\right],$$

and assume that neither of these sets is empty, otherwise the proof follows the same lines. Observe  $(A_1k - m) \cap A = \emptyset$  and  $(A_1k - m) \subseteq [2n/k, n]$ . Since A has no solutions to the equation  $x_1 + x_2 = ky$  we get

$$|A \cap [ks - n, n]| \le n - ks/2,$$

where  $s = \min A_2$ . Moreover, since  $k \ge 10$ , we have  $k \max A_1 \le ks - n$ . These yield

$$|A \cap [2n/k, n]| \le n - 2n/k - |A_1| - n + ks/2,$$

so that

$$A(n) \le (\overline{\mathbf{d}} + \varepsilon) 2n/k^2 + |A_1| + |A_2| + ks/2 - 2n/k - |A_1| + O(1)$$
  
$$\le (\overline{\mathbf{d}} + \varepsilon) 2n/k^2 + n - 2n/k + O(1).$$

Thus,

$$(\overline{\mathbf{d}} - \varepsilon)n \le A(n) \le (\overline{\mathbf{d}} + \varepsilon)2n/k^2 + n - 2n/k + O(1),$$

which gives

$$\overline{\mathbf{d}} \leq \frac{k(k-2)}{k^2 - 2}. \quad \bullet$$

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and set

$$T = \left( \left[ \frac{8n}{k(k^4 - 2k^2 - 4)} + 1, \frac{4n}{k^4 - 2k^2 - 4} \right] \\ \cup \left[ \frac{4(k^2 - 2)n}{k(k^4 - 2k^2 - 4)} + 1, \frac{2(k^2 - 2)n}{k^4 - 2k^2 - 4} \right] \cup \left[ \frac{2n}{k} + 1, n \right] \right) \cap \mathbb{N}.$$

It is not difficult to see that  $x_1 + x_2 = ky$  with  $x_1, x_2, y \in T$  is not possible. Moreover

$$|T| = \left(\frac{k(k-2)}{k^2 - 2} + \frac{8(k-2)}{k(k^2 - 2)(k^4 - 2k^2 - 4)}\right)n + O(1),$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\underline{\lambda} \ge \frac{k(k-2)}{k^2 - 2} + \frac{8(k-2)}{k(k^2 - 2)(k^4 - 2k^2 - 4)}.$$

(In fact, it is shown in [11] that the lower bound above is the actual value of  $\underline{\lambda}$  for the equation  $x_1 + x_2 = ky$ .)

Since  $s^+ = k$  and  $s^- = 2$  we have  $(s^+ - s^-)/s^+ = 1 - 2/k$ . On the other hand, using the same argument as in the proof of the Fact one can show that for every set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$  with no solutions to the equation  $x_1 + x_2 = ky$ , we have  $|A| \leq m/2$ , thus  $\varrho \leq 1/2$ . Finally, we obtain

$$\underline{\lambda} \ge \frac{k(k-2)}{k^2 - 2} + \frac{8(k-2)}{k(k^2 - 2)(k^4 - 2k^2 - 4)} > 1 - \frac{2}{k} = \max\left(\varrho, \frac{s^+ - s^-}{s^+}\right).$$

## References

- N. Alon, Independent sets in regular graphs and sum-free sets of finite groups, Israel J. Math. 73 (1991), 247–256.
- [2] J.-M. Deshoulliers, G. Freimen, V. Sós and M. Temkin, On the structure of sum-free sets, 2, Astérisque 258 (1999), 149–161.
- P. Erdős, V. Sós and A. Sárközy, On sum sets of Sidon sets, J. Number Theory 47 (1993), 329–347.
- [4] V. Lev, Optimal representation by sumsets and subset sums, ibid. 62 (1997), 127–143.
- [5] T. Łuczak and T. Schoen, On the infinite sum-free sets of natural numbers, ibid. 66 (1997), 211–224.
- [6] K. F. Roth, On certain sets of integers, J. London Math. Soc. 28 (1953), 104–109.
- [7] I. Z. Ruzsa, On infinite Sidon sequences, J. Number Theory 68 (1998), 63-71.
- [8] —, Solving a linear equation in a set of integers I, Acta Arith. 65 (1993), 259–282.
- [9] —, Solving a linear equation in a set of integers II, ibid. 72 (1995), 385–397.

- T. Schoen, On the density of universal sum-free sets, Combin. Probab. Comput. 8 (1999), 277-280.
- [11] —, Subsets of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  with no solutions to the equation x+y = kz, in preparation.

Mathematisches Seminar Universität zu Kiel Ludewig-Meyn-Str. 4 24098 Kiel, Germany E-mail: tos@numerik.uni-kiel.de

> Received on 18.6.1999 and in revised form on 6.12.1999

(3630)