

PAWEŁ KURASIŃSKI and PRZEMYSŁAW MATUŁA (Lublin)

## EXACT WEAK LAWS OF LARGE NUMBERS WITH APPLICATIONS TO RATIOS OF RANDOM VARIABLES

*Abstract.* We study convergence in probability of weighted sums of independent random variables which are not necessarily identically distributed. The results obtained are applied to ratios of independent random variables and ratios of smallest order statistics.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $(R_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of independent random variables with the same distribution as the random variable  $R$ . It is well known (see [1], [3] and [6] for details) that if  $\mathbb{E}R = 0$  or  $\mathbb{E}R = \infty$ , there are no sequences  $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\frac{1}{M_n} \sum_{k=1}^n R_k \rightarrow 1$  almost surely as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore it is a natural problem to find sequences  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of real numbers such that  $\frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k R_k \rightarrow 1$  almost surely as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Theorems of this kind are called *exact strong law of large numbers*, or *weak exact laws of large numbers* if we consider convergence in probability instead of almost sure convergence. We refer the reader to [1], [3] and [6] for details and further references on this topic.

Random variables with infinite mean arise in a natural way when we study the ratios of independent random variables or ratios of smallest order statistics. Exact strong laws of large numbers in such cases have recently been studied in [7] and [8].

Weak exact laws of large numbers for ratios of uniform random variables and order statistics from the uniform distribution were explored in [2]. The most recent results on weak exact laws may be found in [9] and [10]. Our goal is to prove a general weak exact law for independent random variables which are not necessarily identically distributed, and apply this result to ratios

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 60F05; Secondary 60F15, 60G50, 62G30.

*Key words and phrases*: law of large numbers, i.i.d. random variables, order statistics.

Received 30 September 2019; revised 3 February 2020.

Published online 27 March 2020.

of independent random variables with arbitrary distribution satisfying some mild conditions and to ratios of smallest order statistics.

**2. Main result.** Throughout,  $F_X(x) = \mathbb{P}(X \leq x)$  will denote the distribution function of a random variable  $X$  and  $\bar{F}_X(x) = 1 - F_X(x)$  its survival function. We shall also use the standard notation  $\lg(x) = \log(\max(e, x))$  where  $\log$  is the logarithm to the natural base.

We shall use the notion of slowly varying function; let us recall the definition (see [4] for details).

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . A positive measurable function  $L : [a, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be *slowly varying at infinity* if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(tx)}{L(x)} = 1 \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

The main examples and properties of slowly varying functions may be found in [4, p. 16].

Let us state the main result of this section.

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $(R_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of independent nonnegative random variables with distribution functions  $F_{R_n}(x)$  such that  $\bar{F}_{R_n}(x)/\bar{F}_R(x) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly on  $[x_0, \infty)$  for some  $x_0 \geq 0$ . Here  $F_R(x)$  is the distribution of some nonnegative random variable  $R$  such that  $x\bar{F}_R(x) \rightarrow M > 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Then for all  $\alpha > -1$  and any slowly varying function  $L$  we have

$$\frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k R_k \xrightarrow{P} \frac{M}{\alpha + 1} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $a_n = n^\alpha L(n)$  and  $b_n = n^{\alpha+1} L(n) \lg n$ .

*Proof.* The proof is based on [5, Theorem 3.3, p. 274] and the ideas of [2].

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . From the convergence  $x\bar{F}_{R_n}(x) \rightarrow M$  it follows that there exists  $x(\varepsilon)$  such that for  $x \geq x(\varepsilon)$ ,

$$\frac{M - \varepsilon}{x} \leq \bar{F}_R(x) \leq \frac{M + \varepsilon}{x}.$$

From the uniform convergence  $\bar{F}_{R_n}(x)/\bar{F}_R(x) \rightarrow 1$  there exists  $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon)$  such that for  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\bar{F}_{R_n}(x)}{\bar{F}_R(x)} - 1 \right| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in [x_0, \infty).$$

Finally, for  $n \geq n_0$  and  $x \geq x_1(\varepsilon) = \max(x_0, x(\varepsilon))$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)(M - \varepsilon)}{x} \leq \bar{F}_{R_n}(x) \leq \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)(M + \varepsilon)}{x}.$$

By [4, Theorem 1.5.3],

$$\frac{\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} a_k}{b_n} \leq \frac{n^\alpha \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} L(k)}{n^{\alpha+1} L(n) \lg n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore the  $n_0$  may be chosen in such a way that  $b_n/a_k \geq x_1(\varepsilon)$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $n \geq n_0$ .

First we have to check that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\left(R_k > \frac{b_n}{a_k}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\sum_{k=1}^{n_0-1} \mathbb{P}(R_k > b_n/a_k) \rightarrow 0$ . By the version of the Karamata theorem for sequences (see [2], [4]), we have

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n k^\alpha L(k)}{n^{\alpha+1} L(n)} = \frac{1}{\alpha + 1}.$$

Therefore, from (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=n_0}^n \mathbb{P}(R_k > b_n/a_k) &= \sum_{k=n_0}^n \bar{F}_{R_k}(b_n/a_k) \leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)(M+\varepsilon)}{b_n} \sum_{k=n_0}^n a_k \\ &\leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)(M+\varepsilon) \sum_{k=1}^n k^\alpha L(k)}{n^{\alpha+1} L(n) \lg n} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have to check the variance term in [5, Theorem 3.3, p. 274], i.e.

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Var}\left(\frac{a_k R_k}{b_n} \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{a_k R_k}{b_n} \leq 1\right)\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

First observe that for fixed  $k \leq n_0 - 1$  the random variables

$$\frac{a_k R_k}{b_n} \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{a_k R_k}{b_n} \leq 1\right)$$

are bounded by 1 and almost surely convergent to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{a_k R_k}{b_n}\right)^2 \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{a_k R_k}{b_n} \leq 1\right) \rightarrow 0$$

and in consequence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_0-1} \text{Var}\left(\frac{a_k R_k}{b_n} \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{a_k R_k}{b_n} \leq 1\right)\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, by (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sum_{k=n_0}^n a_k^2 \mathbb{E} R_k \mathbb{I}(R_k \leq b_n/a_k)}{b_n^2} \\
&= \frac{2}{b_n^2} \sum_{k=n_0}^n a_k^2 \left( \int_0^{x_1(\varepsilon)} t \bar{F}_{R_k}(t) dt + \int_{x_1(\varepsilon)}^{b_n/a_k} t \bar{F}_{R_k}(t) dt \right) \\
&\leq \frac{2}{b_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 [(x_1(\varepsilon))^2 + (b_n/a_k - x_1(\varepsilon))(1 + \varepsilon)(M + \varepsilon)] \\
&\leq C \left( \frac{1}{b_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 + \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right) \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and thus by (2.4) we get (2.3).

Now it remains to prove that

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbb{E} R_k \mathbb{I}(R_k \leq b_n/a_k) = \frac{M}{\alpha + 1}.$$

By the same argument as before,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_0-1} \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{a_k R_k}{b_n} \mathbb{I} \left( \frac{a_k R_k}{b_n} \leq 1 \right) \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Observe that for  $k \geq n_0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sum_{k=n_0}^n a_k \mathbb{E} R_k \mathbb{I}(R_k \leq b_n/a_k)}{b_n} \\
&= \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{k=n_0}^n a_k \left( \int_0^{x_1(\varepsilon)} \bar{F}_{R_k}(t) dt + \int_{x_1(\varepsilon)}^{b_n/a_k} \bar{F}_{R_k}(t) dt \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{k=n_0}^n a_k [x_1(\varepsilon) + (1 + \varepsilon)(M + \varepsilon)(\lg(b_n/a_k) - \lg x_1(\varepsilon))].
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{k=n_0}^n a_k \rightarrow 0$  and

$$\lg(b_n/a_k) = (\alpha + 1) \lg n + \lg L(n) + \lg \lg n - \alpha \lg k - \lg L(k).$$

We now examine the five terms of  $\frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{k=n_0}^n \lg(b_n/a_k)$ . By using (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(\alpha + 1) \lg n}{b_n} \sum_{k=n_0}^n a_k &= \frac{(\alpha + 1) \lg n}{n^{\alpha+1} L(n) \lg n} \sum_{k=n_0}^n k^\alpha L(k) \rightarrow 1, \\
\frac{\lg L(n)}{b_n} \sum_{k=n_0}^n a_k &= \frac{\lg L(n)}{n^{\alpha+1} L(n) \lg n} \sum_{k=n_0}^n k^\alpha L(k) \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\lg \lg n}{b_n} \sum_{k=n_0}^n a_k = \frac{\lg \lg n}{n^{\alpha+1} L(n) \lg n} \sum_{k=n_0}^n k^\alpha L(k) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\frac{\alpha}{b_n} \sum_{k=n_0}^n a_k \lg k = \frac{\alpha}{n^{\alpha+1} L(n) \lg n} \sum_{k=n_0}^n k^\alpha L(k) \lg k \rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1},$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sum_{k=n_0}^n a_k \lg L(k)}{b_n} &= \frac{\sum_{k=n_0}^n k^\alpha L(k) \lg L(k)}{n^{\alpha+1} L(n) \lg n} \\ &= \frac{\lg L(n)}{\lg n} \frac{\sum_{k=n_0}^n k^\alpha L(k) \lg L(k)}{n^{\alpha+1} L(n) \lg L(n)} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{k=n_0}^n a_k \mathbb{E} R_k \mathbb{I}(R_k \leq b_n/a_k) \leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)(M+\varepsilon)}{\alpha+1}.$$

Similarly we prove

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{k=n_0}^n a_k \mathbb{E} R_k \mathbb{I}(R_k \leq b_n/a_k) \geq \frac{(1-\varepsilon)(M-\varepsilon)}{\alpha+1}.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary, we get (2.5) and the proof is complete. ■

**3. Applications and examples.** In this section we present some applications of our weak exact law of large numbers.

Let us begin with ratios of independent random variables. Exact strong laws of large numbers, in this case, were studied in detail in [7], where further references may be found. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be independent random variables with the same distribution as a nonnegative, integrable random variable  $\xi$  with density  $f_\xi$ . We shall assume that  $f_\xi$  is bounded and continuous on  $[0, +\infty)$ , in particular  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f_\xi(x) = f_\xi(0)$ . Observe that the survival function of the random variable  $R = X/Y$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F}_R(r) &= \iint_{x/y \geq r} f_\xi(x) f_\xi(y) dx dy = \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^{x/r} f_\xi(y) dy \right) f_\xi(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^x f_\xi\left(\frac{s}{r}\right) ds \right) f_\xi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by our assumptions concerning  $\xi$ , we get

$$r \bar{F}_R(r) \rightarrow \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^x f_\xi(0) ds \right) f_\xi(x) dx = f_\xi(0) \mathbb{E} \xi,$$

and the following theorem follows from Theorem 2.1.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be sequences of i.i.d. random variables which are independent of each other and all the variables have the same distribution as a nonnegative, integrable random variable  $\xi$  with density  $f_\xi$ . Assume that  $f_\xi$  is bounded and continuous on  $[0, +\infty)$ . Then for all  $\alpha > -1$  and any slowly varying function  $L$  we have*

$$\frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}L(n) \lg n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^\alpha L(k) \frac{X_k}{Y_k} \xrightarrow{P} \frac{f_\xi(0)\mathbb{E}\xi}{\alpha+1} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By applying the above result to the case when  $\xi$  has the uniform distribution on  $[0, p]$  we get the following corollary, which is [2, Theorem 2.2].

**COROLLARY 3.1.** *Let  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be sequences of i.i.d. random variables which are independent of each other and all the variables have the same uniform distribution  $U(0, p)$ . Then*

$$\frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}L(n) \lg n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^\alpha L(k) \frac{X_k}{Y_k} \xrightarrow{P} \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Another example of an immediate application of Theorem 3.1 is the exponential case.

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Let  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be sequences of i.i.d. random variables which are independent of each other and all the variables have the same exponential distribution  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$  with density  $f_\xi(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \exp(-\frac{x}{\lambda})\mathbb{I}_{(0, \infty)}(x)$ . Then*

$$\frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}L(n) \lg n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^\alpha L(k) \frac{X_k}{Y_k} \xrightarrow{P} \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If  $\xi = |\mathcal{N}(0, 1)|$  is the absolute value of the standard normal law, then  $f_\xi(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-x^2/2)\mathbb{I}_{(0, \infty)}(x)$  and  $\mathbb{E}\xi = \sqrt{2/\pi}$ , so we get the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Let  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be sequences of i.i.d. random variables which are independent of each other and all the variables have the same standard normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . Then*

$$\frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}L(n) \lg n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^\alpha L(k) \left| \frac{X_k}{Y_k} \right| \xrightarrow{P} \frac{2}{\pi(\alpha+1)} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Another application of Theorem 2.1 is convergence of ratios of minimal order statistics (see [8] for details on exact strong laws in this case). Let us

consider an array of random variables:

$$\begin{array}{c} X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}, \dots, X_{1,k_1} \\ \vdots \\ X_{n,1}, X_{n,2}, \dots, X_{n,k_n} \\ \vdots \end{array}$$

which are independent and identically distributed with density  $f$  and distribution function  $F$ .

Denote by  $X_{n,(1)} = \min_{i=1,\dots,k_n} X_{n,i}$  the first order statistics (minimum) in the  $n$ th row and by  $X_{n,(2)}$  the second order statistics in this row. Consider the ratios  $R_n = X_{n,(2)}/X_{n,(1)}$ . The survival function of  $R_n$  takes the form (see [8])

$$(3.1) \quad \bar{F}_{R_n}(r) = 1 - F_{R_n}(r) = \frac{k_n}{r} \int_0^\infty (1 - F(t))^{k_n-1} f\left(\frac{t}{r}\right) dt.$$

It is easy to calculate that if  $F$  is the standard exponential distribution  $\text{Exp}(1)$ , then

$$\bar{F}_{R_n}(r) = \frac{k_n}{r(k_n - 1) + 1}, \quad r \geq 1.$$

And for  $\bar{F}_R(r) = 1/r$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{\bar{F}_{R_n}(r)}{\bar{F}_R(r)} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{r - 1}{r(k_n - 1) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{1}{k_n - 1}.$$

Therefore if  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\bar{F}_{R_n}(r)/\bar{F}_R(r) \rightarrow 1$  uniformly for  $r \geq 1$ . Thus we have proved the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *Let  $(X_{n,k})_{n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k \leq k_n}$  be an array of independent random variables with the same standard exponential distribution. If  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ , then for any  $\alpha > -1$  and any slowly varying function  $L$  we have*

$$\frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1} L(n) \lg n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^\alpha L(k) \frac{X_{n,(2)}}{X_{n,(1)}} \xrightarrow{P} \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

When  $k_n = K \geq 2$  is fixed and the random variables have the same uniform distribution on  $[0, p]$  denoted by  $U(0, p)$ , then  $\bar{F}_{R_n}(r) = 1/r$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , and a direct application of Theorem 2.1 yields [2, Theorem 3.2].

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Let  $(X_{n,k})_{n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k \leq K}$  be an array of independent random variables with the same uniform distribution  $U(0, p)$ . Then for any  $\alpha > -1$  and any slowly varying function  $L$  we have*

$$\frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1} L(n) \lg n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^\alpha L(k) \frac{X_{k,(2)}}{X_{k,(1)}} \xrightarrow{P} \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

## References

- [1] A. Adler, *Exact strong laws*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 28 (2000), 141–166.
- [2] A. Adler, *Laws of large numbers for ratios of uniform random variables*, Open Math. 13 (2015), 571–576.
- [3] A. Adler and P. Matuła, *On exact strong laws of large numbers under general dependence conditions*, Probab. Math. Statist. 38 (2018), 103–121.
- [4] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie and J. L. Teugels, *Regular Variation*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [5] A. Gut, *Probability: A Graduate Course*, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 2013.
- [6] P. Kurasiński, P. Matuła and A. Adler, *Exact strong laws of large numbers for independent random fields*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 66 (2018), 179–188.
- [7] P. Matuła, A. Adler and P. Kurasiński, *On exact strong laws of large numbers for ratios of random variables and their applications*, Comm. Statist. (online, 2019).
- [8] P. Matuła, P. Kurasiński and A. Adler, *Exact strong laws of large numbers for ratios of the smallest order statistics*, Statist. Probab. Lett. 152 (2019), 69–73.
- [9] T. Nakata, *Weak laws of large numbers for weighted independent random variables with infinite mean*, Statist. Probab. Lett. 109 (2016), 124–129.
- [10] H. Xu, X. Li, W. Yang and F. Xu, *Laws of large numbers with infinite mean*, J. Math. Inequal. 13 (2019), 335–349.

Paweł Kurasiński  
Institute of Mathematics  
Marie Curie-Skłodowska University  
pl. M. Curie-Skłodowskiej 1  
20-031 Lublin, Poland  
E-mail: pawelkurasinski1@gmail.com

Przemysław Matuła  
Institute of Mathematics  
Marie Curie-Skłodowska University  
pl. M. Curie-Skłodowskiej 1  
20-031 Lublin, Poland  
ORCID: 0000-0003-2609-7085  
E-mail: matula@hektor.umcs.lublin.pl