

On some hereditary shape properties

by KAROL BORSUK (Warszawa)

Abstract. Let A be a compactum. A compactum X lying in a space $M \in \text{AR}(m)$ is said to be A -movable if for every neighborhood U of X in M there exists a neighborhood U_0 of X (in M) such that for every neighborhood V of X (in M) each map $\alpha: A \rightarrow U_0$ is homotopic in U to a map with all values in V . It is shown that the choice of the space $M \in \text{AR}(\mathfrak{M})$ containing X is immaterial and that the A -movability is a hereditary shape property of X . Some relations between the A -movability and the formerly known concepts of the movability and of the n -movability are established.

Among shape properties (concerning the notions belonging to the shape theory see, for instance [2]) a special role is played by the property of movability (see [1], p. 137), because several important theorems (as theorems corresponding in the shape theory to theorems of Hurewicz [4] and of Whitehead [5]) are true for movable compacta, but they fail if one omits the hypothesis of movability.

The aim of this note is to introduce a family of properties analogous to the movability, and to prove that they are hereditary shape properties.

Let A and X be two compacta. We may assume that X is a subset of a space $M \in \text{AR}(\mathfrak{M})$. Let us say that X is A -movable in M if for every neighborhood U of X in M there exists a neighborhood U_0 of X (in M) such that for every neighborhood \hat{U} of X (in M) and for every map $\alpha: A \rightarrow U_0$ there is a homotopy

$$\varphi: A \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow \hat{U}$$

such that

$$(1) \quad \varphi(p, 0) = \alpha(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(p, 1) \in \hat{U} \quad \text{for every point } p \in A.$$

EXAMPLES. (a) If A is a contractible in itself compactum, then every compactum $X \subset M \in \text{AR}(\mathfrak{M})$ is A -movable in M . In fact, for every neighborhood U of X in M there exists a neighborhood $U_0 \subset U$ of X in M such that for every point $x \in U_0$ there is a point $\lambda(x) \in X$ such that x and $\lambda(x)$ can be joined by an arc lying in U . Since A is contractible in itself, each map $\alpha: A \rightarrow U_0$ is homotopic in U to a map α' mapping A onto a single point $x \in U_0$. Then α' is homotopic in U to the map α'' mapping A onto the point $\lambda(x) \in X$, hence α is homotopic in U to the map α'' with values lying in each neighborhood \hat{U} of X .

(b) If X is a dyadic solenoid of Van Dantzig, lying in the euclidean 3-space $M = E^3$, and if A is the circle S^1 , then X is not A -movable in M . In fact, there exists in E^3 a sequence of solid tori T_1, T_2, \dots such that T_{k+1} lies in the interior of T_k and its oriented core is homologous in T_k to the twice described core of T_k and that $X = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k$. One sees easily that any homeomorphism α mapping A onto the core of T_k with $k > 1$ is not homotopic in T_1 to any map with values in T_{k+1} .

Now we prove the following

(2) THEOREM. *Let A, X, Y be compacta and M, N be $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{M})$ -spaces such that $X \subset M, Y \subset N$. If X is A -movable in M and if $\text{Sh}(X) \geq \text{Sh}(Y)$, then Y is A -movable in N .*

Proof. The hypothesis $\text{Sh}(X) \geq \text{Sh}(Y)$ means that there exist two fundamental sequences:

$$\underline{f} = \{f_k, X, Y\}_{M,N} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{g} = \{g_k, Y, X\}_{N,M}$$

such that

$$(3) \quad \underline{fg} \simeq \underline{i}_{Y,N}.$$

Consider a neighborhood V of Y in N . Then there exists a neighborhood U of X in M and an index k_1 such that

$$(4) \quad f_k/U \simeq f_{k_1}/U \quad \text{in } V \quad \text{for every } k \geq k_1.$$

Moreover, (3) implies that there exists a neighborhood $V_1 \subset V$ of Y in N and an index $k_2 \geq k_1$ such that

$$(5) \quad f_k g_k/V_1 \simeq i/V_1 \quad \text{in } V \quad \text{for every } k \geq k_2.$$

Since X is A -movable in M , there exists a neighborhood U_0 of X in M such that for every neighborhood \hat{U} of X in M and for every map $\alpha: A \rightarrow U_0$ there is a homotopy

$$\varphi: A \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow U$$

satisfying condition (1). Since g is a fundamental sequence, there exists a neighborhood $V_0 \subset V_1$ of Y in N and an index $k_3 \geq k_2$ such that

$$(6) \quad g_k(V_0) \subset U_0 \quad \text{for every } k \geq k_3.$$

Now let β be a map of A into V_0 and let V be an arbitrary neighborhood of Y in N . Since f is a fundamental sequence, there is a neighborhood \hat{U} of X in M and an index $k_4 \geq k_3$ such that

$$(7) \quad f_k(\hat{U}) \subset \hat{V} \quad \text{for every } k \geq k_4.$$

Setting

$$(8) \quad \alpha(p) = g_{k_4} \beta(p) \quad \text{for every point } p \in A,$$

one gets (in view of (5) and the inequality $k_4 \geq k_3$) a map $\alpha: A \rightarrow U_0$. Consequently there is a homotopy $\varphi: A \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow U$ satisfying condition (1). It follows by (1), (3) and (4) that the formula

$$(9) \quad \psi'(p, t) = f_{k_4} \varphi(p, t) \quad \text{for every } (p, t) \in A \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle,$$

defines a homotopy

$$\psi': A \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow V.$$

Using (1), (9), (8) and (7), we infer that

$$(10) \quad \psi'(p, 0) = f_{k_4} g_{k_4} \beta(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi'(p, 1) \in \hat{V} \quad \text{for every point } p \in A.$$

Since $\beta(A) \subset V_0 \subset V_1$, we infer by (5) and (6) that $f_{k_4} g_{k_4} \beta \simeq \beta$ in V , i.e. there exists a homotopy

$$\psi'': A \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow V$$

such that

$$(11) \quad \psi''(p, 0) = \beta(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi''(p, 1) = f_{k_4} g_{k_4} \beta(p)$$

for every point $p \in A$.

Setting

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p, t) &= \psi''(p, 2t) && \text{for } (p, t) \in A \times \langle 0, \tfrac{1}{2} \rangle, \\ \psi(p, t) &= \psi'(p, 2t-1) && \text{for } (p, t) \in A \times \langle \tfrac{1}{2}, 1 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we get a homotopy

$$\psi: A \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow V.$$

Using (10) and (11) we infer that

$$\psi(p, 0) = \beta(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(p, 1) = \psi'(p, 1) \in \hat{V} \quad \text{for every point } p \in A.$$

Thus Y is A -movable in N and the proof of theorem (2) is finished.

(12) COROLLARY. *The A -movability of X in M does not depend on the choice of the space $M \in \text{AR}(\mathfrak{M})$ containing X .*

It follows that the words “in M ” are for the property of A -movability superfluous. Thus, instead to say “ X is A -movable in M ” we can say shortly that X is A -movable.

(13) COROLLARY. *A -movability is a hereditary shape-property, that is if X is A -movable and $\text{Sh}(X) \geq \text{Sh}(Y)$, then Y is A -movable.*

In [3], p. 859 a property called the n -movability has been introduced for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let us recall its definition:

A compactum X is said to be n -movable if it is homeomorphic to a subset X' of the Hilbert cube Q such that for every neighborhood U of X' in Q there exists a neighborhood U_0 of X' in Q such that each compactum

$B \subset U_0$ with $\dim B \leq n$ is homotopic in U to a subset of an arbitrarily given neighborhood \hat{U} of X' in Q . One knows that:

(14) *n -movability is a hereditary shape-property.*

(15) *Every movable compactum is n -movable for $n = 1, 2, \dots$*

(16) *Solenoids of Van Dantzig are not 1-movable.*

Now we prove the following

(17) **THEOREM.** *If X is an n -movable compactum, then X is A -movable for every compactum A with $\dim A \leq n$.*

Proof. Using theorem (2), we may assume that $X \subset Q$. Let U be a neighborhood of X in Q . Since X is n -movable, there exists an open neighborhood U_0 of X (in Q) such that every at most n -dimensional compactum lying in U_0 is homotopic in U to a subset of an arbitrarily given neighborhood \hat{U} of X (in Q).

Consider a map $\alpha: A \rightarrow U_0$. It is well known that there exists a homeomorphism $\alpha': A \rightarrow U_0$ homotopic to α in U . Then $\dim \alpha'(A) \leq n$ and consequently there is a homotopy carrying the set $\alpha'(A)$ in U onto a subset of \hat{U} . Hence α is homotopic in U to map with all values in \hat{U} and the proof of theorem (17) is finished.

(18) **COROLLARY.** *If X is movable, then X is movable for every compactum A .*

(19) **PROBLEM.** *Let S^k denote the k -dimensional sphere. Does there exist a compactum X which is S^k -movable for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, but is not n -movable?*

References

- [1] K. Borsuk, *On movable compacta*, Fund. Math. 66 (1969), p. 137-146.
- [2] — *On the concept of shape for metrizable spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 18 (1970), p. 127-132.
- [3] — *On the n -movability*, ibidem 20 (1972), p. 859-865.
- [4] K. Kuperberg, *An isomorphism theorem of Hurewicz type in the Borsuk's theory of shape*, Fund. Math. 77 (1973), p. 21-32.
- [5] M. Moszyńska, *The Whitehead theorem in the theory of shape*, ibidem (to appear).

Reçu par la Rédaction le 15. 3. 1973