

## On the number of integers represented by systems of Abelian norm forms

by

VALENTIN BLOMER (Toronto)  
and JAN-CHRISTOPH SCHLAGE-PUCHTA (Freiburg)

**1. Introduction and statement of results.** In [11], Odoni gave (among other things) an asymptotic formula for the number  $U_F(x)$  of positive integers not exceeding  $x$  that can be represented by a given norm form  $F$ . The error term, however, depends on the number field involved, and for applications often uniform results are required (see e.g. [1, 2]). In this paper we derive uniform estimates for  $U_F(x)$  in the case of Abelian number fields. In fact, we consider the following more general situation:

Let  $K_1, \dots, K_m$  be finite Abelian extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degrees  $d_1, \dots, d_m$  with pairwise coprime discriminants. For  $j = 1, \dots, m$  let  $\mathcal{O}_j \subseteq K_j$  be the ring of integers. Choose an integral basis  $\{\omega_{j,\nu} \mid 1 \leq \nu \leq d_j\}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_j$  and let

$$F_j(\mathbf{x}) = N\left(\sum_{\nu} \omega_{j,\nu} x_{\nu}\right), \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_{\nu}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d_j},$$

be the corresponding norm form. A change of base in  $\mathcal{O}_j$  yields a new form  $F'_j = F_j \circ M$  with some  $M \in \mathrm{GL}_{d_j}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Thus  $F_j$  and  $F'_j$  represent the same integers. Let  $U_{\mathbf{F}}(x)$  be the number of integers  $n \leq x$  such that the system of the  $m$  diophantine equations  $|F_j(\mathbf{x}_j)| = n$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ) is solvable. In other words,  $U_{\mathbf{F}}(x)$  is the number of integers  $n \leq x$  such that each field  $K_j$  contains an  $K_j$ -integer whose norm (in absolute value) is  $n$ .

The coprimality of the discriminants implies  $K_i \cap K_j = \mathbb{Q}$  for  $i \neq j$  (see e.g. [16, p. 322]). Let  $L = K_1 \cdots K_m$ . Then  $\mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \prod_{j=1}^m \mathrm{Gal}(K_j/\mathbb{Q})$  acts on  $\mathfrak{C} := \prod_{j=1}^m \mathfrak{C}_j$ , the direct product of the class groups of the fields  $K_j$ . We write  $h(k)$  for the class number of a number field  $k$  and define

$$\mathbf{h} := \prod_{j=1}^m h(K_j), \quad \Delta := |D_{L/\mathbb{Q}}|, \quad G := \mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}), \quad d_L := [L:\mathbb{Q}].$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11D57, 11N25.

*Key words and phrases*: norm form equations, uniform asymptotic results.

Several times we shall use the bound  $d_L \ll \log \Delta$ . Here and henceforth all implicit and explicit constants do not depend on the fields involved, and they are also independent of  $m$ . Odoni’s result implies (in the case  $m = 1$ )

$$(1.1) \quad U_{\mathbf{F}}(x) \sim c(\mathbf{F})x(\log x)^{1/d_L-1}$$

for fixed  $K_1, \dots, K_m$  and  $x \rightarrow \infty$  where the constant  $c(\mathbf{F})$  is neither very big nor very small. However, as we shall see below, in general this asymptotics becomes incorrect if  $\Delta$  can increase (even moderately) with  $x$ .

In order to state the main result, we write, for  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  and each subgroup  $H \leq G$ ,

$$E(\alpha, H) := -1 + \alpha(1 - \log(\alpha|H|)),$$

$$\text{Fix } H := \{\mathbf{C} \in \underline{\mathfrak{C}} \mid \mathbf{C}^\sigma = \mathbf{C} \text{ for all } \sigma \in H\}.$$

We shall prove:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $M > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Let  $x \geq x_0(M, \varepsilon)$ , and assume  $\Delta \leq (\log x)^M$ . Then*

$$(1.2) \quad U_{\mathbf{F}}(x) \gg_{M, \varepsilon} \max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \min_{H \leq G} \frac{x(\log x)^{E(\alpha, H) - \varepsilon}}{|\text{Fix } H|}.$$

If in addition  $d_L = o(\log \log x)$ , then

$$(1.3) \quad U_{\mathbf{F}}(x) \ll_{M, \varepsilon} \max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \min_{H \leq G} \frac{x(\log x)^{E(\alpha, H) + \varepsilon}}{|\text{Fix } H|}.$$

Theorem 1 follows directly from the following theorem. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{C} = (C_1, \dots, C_m) \in \underline{\mathfrak{C}}$  we write  $n \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{C})$  and say that  $n$  is a *norm* in  $\mathbf{C}$  if for each  $j = 1, \dots, m$  there is an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}_j$  in the class  $C_j$  with norm  $n$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $M > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $\mathbf{C}_0 \in \underline{\mathfrak{C}}$  be given. Let  $U_{\mathbf{C}_0}(x)$  be the number of integers  $n \leq x$  such that  $n$  is the norm of some ideal in  $\mathbf{C}_0$ . Then for  $x \geq x_0(M, \varepsilon)$  and  $\Delta \leq (\log x)^M$  we have*

$$U_{\mathbf{C}_0}(x) \gg_{M, \varepsilon} \max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \min_{H \leq G} \frac{x(\log x)^{E(\alpha, H) - \varepsilon}}{|\text{Fix } H|}.$$

If in addition  $d_L = o(\log \log x)$ , then

$$U_{\mathbf{C}_0}(x) \ll_{M, \varepsilon} \max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \min_{H \leq G} \frac{x(\log x)^{E(\alpha, H) + \varepsilon}}{|\text{Fix } H|}.$$

If we take  $H = \{e\}$  and  $H = G$ , this contains the two upper bounds

$$U_{\mathbf{C}_0}(x) \ll \frac{x(\log x)^\varepsilon}{\mathbf{h}}$$

which can be obtained by counting norms of ideals *with multiplicity* of their occurrence (see e.g. [14]), and

$$(1.4) \quad U_{\mathbf{C}_0}(x) \ll x(\log x)^{1/d_L-1+\varepsilon}.$$

The bound (1.4), uniformly in  $\Delta \leq (\log x)^M$ , can be obtained by applying a Landau-type argument to  $\zeta_L(s)^{1/d_L} H(s)$  where  $H(s) \ll \prod_{p|\Delta} (1 + p^{-s})$  in  $\Re s \geq 2/3$ . In general it might be hard to estimate  $\text{Fix } H$  for all subgroups  $H$  of  $G$ , but for example the following bound holds.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Assume that  $G_j := \text{Gal}(K_j/\mathbb{Q})$  is cyclic, and let  $H \leq G = \prod G_j$  be any subgroup. Let  $\text{pr}_j : G \rightarrow G_j$  be the canonical projection, define  $H_j := \text{pr}_j(H)$  and let  $K_j^{H_j} \subseteq K_j$  be the fixed field of  $H_j$ . Then*

$$|\text{Fix } H| \ll \Delta^\varepsilon \prod_{j=1}^m h(K_j^{H_j}).$$

A typical application of Theorem 2 is the following uniform version of (1.1):

**COROLLARY 4.** *With the above notation we have*

$$(1.5) \quad U_{\mathbf{C}_0}(x) = x(\log x)^{1/d_L-1+o(1)}$$

*providing  $x \gg \exp(\Delta^\varepsilon) + \exp(\mathbf{h}^{\varepsilon+d_L/\log 2}) + \exp(\exp(d_L \log d_L))$ .*

In general, (1.5) becomes incorrect for smaller  $x$  as can already be seen by taking imaginary quadratic fields [2]. The proof of Theorem 2 is a variant of the method in [1, 2], but we need some additional ideas to obtain uniformity in all parameters. Loosely speaking, if  $\alpha_0 \in [0, 1]$  is the number at which the maximum in (1.2), (1.3) is taken, then  $\alpha_0 \log \log x$  is approximately the number of prime factors of a “generic” integer  $n$  counted by  $U_{\mathbf{F}}(x)$ . It is clear that we cannot drop the condition  $(D_{K_i/\mathbb{Q}}, D_{K_j/\mathbb{Q}}) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$  as one can already see for two quadratic extensions. The condition  $d_L = o(\log \log x)$ , however, is only for technical reasons and can perhaps be removed.

The first author would like to thank Dr. M. Spitzweck and Prof. U. Stuhler for helpful discussions.

**2. Some lemmata.** For a group  $G$  and subsets  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  define the product set

$$(2.1) \quad \prod_{j=1}^k A_j := \{a_1 \cdots a_k \mid a_1 \in A_1, \dots, a_k \in A_k\}.$$

Then we have:

**LEMMA 2.1.** *A prime  $p$  is a norm in some  $\mathbf{C} \in \underline{\mathfrak{C}}$  if and only if  $p$  is divisible by a prime ideal in  $L$  of degree 1. In this case  $p^{e_p}$  is a norm in all the classes in the product set  $\{\mathbf{C}^\sigma \mid \sigma \in G\}^{e_p}$  and no others.*

*Let  $n = \prod_p p^{e_p}$  be the canonical prime factorization of  $n$ , and assume that  $p^{e_p}$  is a norm exactly in the set of classes  $\emptyset \subseteq \mathcal{C}_p \subseteq \underline{\mathfrak{C}}$ . Then  $n$  is a norm exactly in all the classes in the product set  $\prod_p \mathcal{C}_p$  and no others.*

Let  $\mathfrak{C}(L)$  be the class group of  $L$ , and for any finite Abelian group  $G$  let  $\widehat{G} := \{\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*\}$  be the dual group.

LEMMA 2.2. *We have an injective homomorphism of groups*

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{C}} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{C}(L)}, \quad (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m) \mapsto \chi := \prod_{j=1}^m \chi_j \circ N_{L/K_j}.$$

*Proof.* It is clear that the map is a homomorphism from  $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}$  to  $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}(L)}$ . We have to show that the kernel is trivial. To this end let  $\chi_1$ , say, be nonprincipal, so that  $\chi_1(C) \neq 1$  for some  $C \in \mathfrak{C}_1$ . For any number field  $k/\mathbb{Q}$  let  $\widetilde{k}$  be the class field. Since  $(D_{K_i/\mathbb{Q}}, D_{K_j/\mathbb{Q}}) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$ , we have by properties of the Artin map (see [16, p. 400]) a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}(L) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Gal}(\widetilde{L}/L) \\ \text{norm} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{C} = \prod_{j=1}^m \mathfrak{C}_j & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \prod_{j=1}^m \text{Gal}(\widetilde{K}_j/K_j) \end{array}$$

where the isomorphisms are given by the Artin map; the map on the right-hand side is given by

$$\text{Gal}(\widetilde{L}/L) \xrightarrow{\text{restr.}} \text{Gal}\left(\prod \widetilde{K}_j/L\right) \cong \prod \text{Gal}(\widetilde{K}_j L/L) \cong \prod \text{Gal}(\widetilde{K}_j/K_j)$$

and therefore obviously surjective. Thus also the norm is surjective and we have a preimage  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathfrak{C}(L)$  of  $(C, 1, \dots, 1)$  with  $\chi(\mathcal{C}) \neq 1$ , i.e.  $\chi$  is nonprincipal.

For any Galois number field  $k/\mathbb{Q}$  with discriminant  $D$  we know from results of Siegel [12] (upper bound), and Siegel–Brauer–Stark [13] (lower bound)

$$(2.2) \quad |D|^{-\varepsilon} \ll_{\varepsilon} \text{res}_{s=1} \zeta_k(s) \ll \left(\frac{c_1 \log |D|}{d_L}\right)^{d_L} \ll |D|^{c_2}$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and some absolute constants  $c_1, c_2$ , so that by the class number formula

$$(2.3) \quad h(k) \ll |D|^{c_3}.$$

Let

$$(2.4) \quad Q = Q_{\varepsilon} := \exp(\Delta^{\varepsilon})$$

for some sufficiently small given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and define

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_Q &:= \{p > Q \mid p \text{ totally split in } L\}, \\ \mathcal{R}_Q(\mathbf{C}) &:= \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{C}) \cap \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p \mid n \Rightarrow p \in \mathbb{P}_Q\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\chi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{C}(L)}$  let  $L(s, \chi)$  be the Hecke  $L$ -function, and let

$$\tilde{L}(s, Q, \chi) := \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}_Q} \prod_{\mathfrak{P}(p)} \exp\left(\frac{\chi(\mathfrak{P})}{p^s}\right)$$

where  $\mathfrak{P}$  denotes a prime ideal in  $L$ .

LEMMA 2.3. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are absolute positive constants  $c_4, c_5(\varepsilon)$  such that for  $\chi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}$  the functions  $L(s, \chi), \tilde{L}(s, Q, \chi)$  are analytic and zero-free in the region

$$(2.6) \quad R := \left\{ s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C} \mid \sigma \geq 1 - \frac{c_4}{d_L \log(\Delta(1 + |t|))} \right\} \setminus (-\infty, 1 - c_5(\varepsilon)\Delta^{-\varepsilon}],$$

except for a simple pole at  $s = 1$  if  $\chi = \chi_0$ . For  $s \in R, |\sigma - 1| \leq \min((\log Q)^{-1}, \frac{1}{3} \log^{-1}(\Delta(1 + |t|)))$ , we have

$$(2.7) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \log \tilde{L}(s, Q, \chi) \\ \log L(s, \chi) \end{array} \right\} - \delta_\chi \log^+ \left( \frac{1}{|s - 1|} \right) \ll_\varepsilon d_L \log \log(\Delta(1 + |t|)) + \log \Delta^\varepsilon$$

where  $\log^+(x) = \log(\max(1, x))$  and  $\delta_\chi = 1$  if  $\chi = \chi_0$  and zero otherwise. All constants are absolute (but  $c_5$  and the constant implied in (2.7) are ineffective).

*Proof.* We first observe that  $\tilde{L}(s, Q, \chi) = L(s, \chi)G(s, Q, \chi)$  where the Euler product  $G$  is entire and zero-free in  $\Re s > 1/2$  and  $\log G(s, Q, \chi) \ll \log \log Q = \log \Delta^\varepsilon$  if  $\Re s \geq 1 - (\log Q)^{-1}$ . For complex  $\chi$  or  $|t| \geq 1$  the existence of a  $c_4 > 0$  for the zero-free region for  $L(s, \chi)$  is well known (see e.g. [9, Lemma 2.3]). For real  $\chi \neq \chi_0$  we note that  $L(s, \chi) = \zeta_{L'}(s)/\zeta_L(s)$  for some quadratic extension  $L' \supseteq L$  (see [5]) with  $D_{L'}/\mathbb{Q} \leq \Delta^2$ . Thus it follows from the theorems of Siegel–Brauer and Stark [13] that there is no zero

$$\beta \geq 1 - \max(c_6(\varepsilon)^{-d_L} \Delta^{-\varepsilon}, c_7 d_L^{-1} \Delta^{-2/d_L}),$$

which gives (2.6). To obtain (2.7), we choose  $\delta = \log^{-1}(\Delta(1 + |t|))$  in Lemma 4 of [4] getting

$$\frac{s - 1}{s - 2} \zeta_L(s), L(s, \chi) \ll \log^{d_L}(c_8 \Delta(1 + |t|))$$

uniformly in  $1 - \delta \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \delta$  where  $\chi$  denotes any nonprincipal character. By Carathéodory’s inequality (see e.g. [10, §§73, 80]) and (2.4) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \log L(s, \chi) - \delta_\chi \log^+ \frac{1}{|s-1|} &\ll d_L \log \log(\Delta(1+|t|)) + \left| \log L\left(1 + \frac{\delta}{3} + it, \chi\right) \right| \\ &\ll d_L \log \log(\Delta(1+|t|)) + \log \frac{1}{\delta} + \log(\text{res}_{s=1} \zeta_L(s)) \\ &\ll d_L \log \log(\Delta(1+|t|)) + \log \Delta^\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for  $s \in R$ ,  $1 - \delta/3 \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \delta$  and any  $\chi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}$ . After possibly reducing  $c_4, c_5$  in (2.6), we obtain (2.7). By the remark at the beginning of the proof it also holds for  $\widetilde{L}(s, Q, \chi)$ .

LEMMA 2.4. *Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be any finite Abelian group of order  $h$ ,  $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C})$  finite,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $\mathbf{C} = (C_1, \dots, C_k) \in \mathfrak{C}^k$  define*

$$S_k(\mathbf{C}) := \# \prod_{\nu=1}^k \{C_\nu^\sigma \mid \sigma \in G\}$$

in the sense of (2.1). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}^k} S_k(\mathbf{C}) &\geq \frac{h^k}{\sum_{H \leq G} 1} \min_{H \leq G} \left( \frac{h}{|\text{Fix } H|} \left( \frac{|G|}{|H|} \right)^k \right), \\ \max_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}^k} S_k(\mathbf{C}) &\leq \min_{H \leq G} \left( \frac{h}{|\text{Fix } H|} \left( \frac{|G|}{|H|} \right)^k \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* To obtain the upper bound, we fix a subgroup  $H \leq G$ . Let  $T$  be a transversal for  $H$  in  $G$ , so that, for any  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \in G$ ,  $C_1, \dots, C_k \in \mathfrak{C}$ ,

$$\prod_{\nu=1}^k C_\nu^{\sigma_\nu} = \prod_{\nu=1}^k C_\nu \prod_{\nu=1}^k C_\nu^{t_\nu} \prod_{\nu=1}^k C_\nu^{\tau_\nu^{-1}}$$

for suitable  $t_\nu \in T$ ,  $\tau_\nu \in H$ . (Note that  $\sigma - 1$  is an endomorphism of  $\mathfrak{C}$  for all  $\sigma \in G$  since  $\mathfrak{C}$  is Abelian.) Let  $V = \langle \tau - 1 \mid \tau \in H \rangle \leq \text{End}(\mathfrak{C})$ . Since  $\bigcap_{v \in V} \ker(v) = \bigcap_{\tau \in H} \ker(\tau - 1) = \text{Fix } H$ , we have

$$\# \left\{ \prod_{\nu=1}^k C_\nu^{\tau_\nu^{-1}} \mid \tau_\nu \in H \right\} \leq \frac{h}{|\text{Fix } H|}.$$

This shows

$$S_k(\mathbf{C}) \leq \frac{h|T|^k}{|\text{Fix } H|} = \frac{h}{|\text{Fix } H|} \left( \frac{|G|}{|H|} \right)^k$$

for any subgroup  $H \leq G$  and any  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}^k$ .

For the lower bound we define

$$N_{\mathbf{C}}(C) = N_{C_1, \dots, C_k}(C) := \#\left\{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in G^k \mid \prod_{\nu=1}^k C_{\nu}^{\sigma_{\nu}} = C\right\}$$

for  $C \in \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}^k$ . By Cauchy's inequality,

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}^k} S_k(\mathbf{C}) = \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}^k} \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathfrak{C} \\ N_{\mathbf{C}}(C) \geq 1}} 1 \geq \frac{(\sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}^k} \sum_{C \in \mathfrak{C}} N_{\mathbf{C}}(C))^2}{\sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}^k} \sum_{C \in \mathfrak{C}} N_{\mathbf{C}}(C)^2}.$$

Clearly,

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}^k} \sum_{C \in \mathfrak{C}} N_{\mathbf{C}}(C) = |\mathfrak{C}|^k |G|^k$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}^k} \sum_{C \in \mathfrak{C}} N_{\mathbf{C}}(C)^2 &= \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}^k} \sum_{\substack{(\sigma_1, \sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma_k, \sigma'_k) \in G^{2k} \\ C_1^{\sigma_1} \dots C_k^{\sigma_k} = C_1^{\sigma'_1} \dots C_k^{\sigma'_k}}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{(\sigma_1, \sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma_k, \sigma'_k) \in G^{2k}} \#\{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}^k \mid C_1^{\sigma_1} \dots C_k^{\sigma_k} = C_1^{\sigma'_1} \dots C_k^{\sigma'_k}\} \\ &= |G|^k \sum_{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in G^k} \#\{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}^k \mid C_1^{\sigma_1-1} \dots C_k^{\sigma_k-1} = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $H \leq G$  let

$$\Sigma_H := \sum_{\substack{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in G^k \\ \langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \rangle = H}} \#\{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}^k \mid C_1^{\sigma_1-1} \dots C_k^{\sigma_k-1} = 1\}.$$

Since the  $\sigma_{\nu} - 1$  are endomorphisms of  $\mathfrak{C}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}^k \mid C_1^{\sigma_1-1} \dots C_k^{\sigma_k-1} = 1\} \\ = \#\left\{(C_1, \dots, C_k) \in \prod_{\nu=1}^k \text{im}(\sigma_{\nu} - 1) \mid \prod_{\nu=1}^k C_{\nu} = 1\right\} \prod_{\nu=1}^k |\ker(\sigma_{\nu} - 1)| \end{aligned}$$

for any  $k$ -tuple  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in G^k$ . Since  $\mathfrak{C}$  is Abelian, the first factor equals

$$\frac{1}{|\langle \text{im}(\sigma_1 - 1), \dots, \text{im}(\sigma_k - 1) \rangle|} \prod_{\nu=1}^k |\text{im}(\sigma_{\nu} - 1)|.$$

If we substitute the last two displays in the definition of  $\Sigma_H$ , we obtain

$$\Sigma_H = \sum_{\substack{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in G^k \\ \langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \rangle = H}} \frac{|\mathfrak{C}|^k}{|\langle \text{im}(\sigma_1 - 1), \dots, \text{im}(\sigma_k - 1) \rangle|} \leq |\mathfrak{C}|^k \frac{|H|^k |\text{Fix } H|}{|\mathfrak{C}|}.$$

Finally, we sum over all  $H \leq G$  and use (2.8)–(2.10) to get the lower bound.

Next we restate Lemma 4.1 in [1].

LEMMA 2.5. *Let  $z_\nu$ ,  $\nu = 1, \dots, k$ , be  $k$  complex numbers with  $\Im(z_\nu) < 0 < \Re(z_\nu)$  and let  $z = \prod_{\nu=1}^k z_\nu$ . Then  $-\Im(z)$  is positive and increasing in all  $\Re(z_\nu)$  as long as  $k\Im(z_\nu)/\Re(z_\nu) > -\pi$  for all  $\nu$ .*

LEMMA 2.6. *Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\beta \in [1/2, 1]$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $r := \alpha \log \log x$ ,  $J = [1 - (\log x)^{-\beta}, 1]$ . If  $\beta > \alpha$ , then*

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(r+1)} \int_J \left( \gamma \log \frac{1}{1-s} \right)^r ds \ll (\log x)^{-\beta + \alpha(1 + \log(\gamma\beta/\alpha)) + \varepsilon}$$

uniformly in  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ .

*Proof.* By a change of variables  $\tilde{s} := (\log \log x)^2 / \log(\frac{1}{1-s})$  the left hand side equals

$$\frac{\gamma^r (\log \log x)^2}{\Gamma(r+1)} \int_0^{(\log \log x)/\beta} \left( \frac{(\log \log x)^2}{\tilde{s}} \right)^r \exp\left(-\frac{(\log \log x)^2}{\tilde{s}}\right) \frac{d\tilde{s}}{\tilde{s}^2}.$$

The integrand is increasing for  $\tilde{s} \leq (\log \log x)^2 / (r+2)$ , and so is

$$\ll (\beta \log \log x)^r (\log x)^{-\beta}$$

since  $\beta > \alpha$ . The lemma follows now easily using Stirling’s formula.

Finally, we need a general Siegel–Walfisz theorem for Galois number fields. For  $\mathbf{C} \in \underline{\mathfrak{C}}$  let

$$(2.11) \quad \epsilon(\mathbf{C}) := \frac{1}{|G|} \#\{\sigma \in G \mid \mathbf{C}^\sigma = \mathbf{C}\}$$

be the normalized stabilizer of  $\mathbf{C}$ .

LEMMA 2.7. *For any  $\mathbf{C} \in \underline{\mathfrak{C}}$  we have*

$$(2.12) \quad \epsilon(\mathbf{C}) \sum_{\substack{p \leq \xi \\ p \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{C}) \\ p \text{ totally split in } L}} 1 = \frac{1}{d_L \mathbf{h}} \int_2^\xi \frac{dt}{\log t} + O(\xi \exp(-c_B (\log \xi)^{1/3}))$$

uniformly in  $\Delta \leq (\log \xi)^B$  for any constant  $B > 0$ . In particular,

$$(2.13) \quad U_{\mathbf{F}}(x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log x)^{1+\varepsilon} \mathbf{h}} \gg \frac{x}{(\log x)^{B_{c_3}+1+\varepsilon}}$$

uniformly in  $\Delta \leq (\log x)^B$  (cf. (2.3)).

*Proof.* This is standard by applying Perron’s formula to

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.14) \quad \Psi_{\mathbf{C}}(s) &:= -\frac{1}{d_L \mathbf{h}} \sum_{(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m \bar{\chi}_j(C_j) \right) \frac{L'(s, \chi)}{L(s, \chi)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{d_L} \sum_p \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{f_p \log p}{p^{f_p n s}} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{P} | (p) \\ N_{L/K_j} \mathfrak{P}^n \in \mathfrak{C}_j}} 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a prime ideal in  $L$ ,  $f_p$  is the ramification index of  $p$  in  $L$ , and  $\chi$  is as in Lemma 2.2. We can absorb the contribution of the  $p^n$ ,  $n > 1$ , and the contribution of the nonsplit primes in the error term. We integrate over a suitable rectangle so that the main term comes from the residue of  $\Psi_{\mathbf{C}}(s)$  at  $s = 1$ , which is  $(d_L \mathbf{h})^{-1}$  by Lemma 2.2. Note that we have  $d_L^{-1} \#\{\mathfrak{P} \mid (p) : N_{L/K_j} \mathfrak{P}^n \in \mathfrak{C}_j\} = \epsilon(\mathbf{C})$  for a totally split prime  $p$ . For further details see [6], where the integration is carried out in detail, and note that we can use Stark’s result [13] to obtain a larger zero-free region as in [6] if  $d_L$  is large ( $d_L \geq \sqrt{\log \log x}$ , say).

**3. Suitable Dirichlet series.** The proof of the main theorem uses ideas from [1, 2], so we refer to these papers for some more details. We use a Dirichlet series to count numbers which are norms in a given class. We begin with a Dirichlet series that counts primes that are norms in a given class  $\mathbf{C} = (C_1, \dots, C_m)$ . By orthogonality we have (cf. (2.14))

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad \frac{1}{d_L \mathbf{h}} \sum_{(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m \bar{\chi}_j(C_j) \right) \log \tilde{L}(s, Q, \chi) &= \epsilon(\mathbf{C}) \sum_{p \in \mathcal{R}_Q(\mathbf{C})} \frac{1}{p^s} \\
 &=: P_{\mathbf{C}, Q}(s) =: \frac{1}{d_L \mathbf{h}} \log \zeta(s) + T(s, \mathbf{C}, Q)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\chi$  is given by Lemma 2.2 and  $\mathcal{R}_Q(\mathbf{C})$  by (2.5). From the definition we see that  $T(s, \mathbf{C}, Q)$  is a Dirichlet series with real coefficients, hence  $T(s, \mathbf{C}, Q) = \overline{T(\bar{s}, \mathbf{C}, Q)}$  on  $(1, \infty]$ . This identity holds wherever  $T$  is holomorphic; in particular  $T$  is real on  $[2/3, 1] \cap R$  by Lemma 2.3. For  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$M_k(\mathbf{C}) := \left\{ (\mathbf{C}_1, \dots, \mathbf{C}_k) \in \mathfrak{C}^k \mid \mathbf{C} \in \prod_{\nu=1}^k \{\mathbf{C}_\nu^\sigma \mid \sigma \in G\} \right\},$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad A_{\mathbf{C}, k}(s) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{(\mathbf{C}_1, \dots, \mathbf{C}_k) \in M_k(\mathbf{C})} \prod_{\nu=1}^k P_{\mathbf{C}_\nu, Q}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\mathbf{C}, k}(n)}{n^s} \quad (\text{say}).$$

By Lemma 2.1 the coefficients  $a_{\mathbf{C}, k}$  satisfy

- $0 \leq a_{\mathbf{C},k}(n) \leq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- $a_{\mathbf{C},k}(n) > 0$  only if  $n \in \mathcal{R}_Q(\mathbf{C})$  and  $\Omega(n) = k$ ,
- $a_{\mathbf{C},k}(n) = 1$  if  $n \in \mathcal{R}_Q(\mathbf{C})$ ,  $\Omega(n) = k$  and  $\mu^2(n) = 1$ .

In fact, it is clear that  $A_{\mathbf{C},k}(s)$  counts only  $n \in \mathcal{R}_Q(\mathbf{C})$  with  $\Omega(n) = k$ . Furthermore, choose a fixed set of representatives of the quotient  $G \backslash \underline{\mathfrak{C}}$ , and for each  $\mathbf{C} \in \underline{\mathfrak{C}}$  let  $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$  be this representative. For  $k$  not necessarily distinct objects  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  let  $\varrho(X_1, \dots, X_k)$  be the number of rearrangements of the  $k$ -tuple  $(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ . Then we observe that an  $n = \prod_{\nu=1}^k p_\nu$  with not necessarily distinct  $p_\nu \in \mathcal{R}_Q(\mathbf{D}_\nu)$ , say, occurs as a denominator of a Dirichlet series  $\prod_{\nu=1}^k P_{\mathbf{C}_\nu, Q}(s)$  for exactly  $\varrho(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k) \prod_{\nu=1}^k \epsilon(\mathbf{D}_\nu)^{-1}$   $k$ -tuples from  $M_k(\mathbf{C})$ . Therefore,  $a_{\mathbf{C},k}(n) \leq 1$  with equality if  $n \in \mathcal{R}_Q(\mathbf{C})$  is squarefree.

The preceding discussion gives

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} a_{\mathbf{C}_0, k}(n) \leq U_{\mathbf{C}_0}(x)$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{C}_0 \in \underline{\mathfrak{C}}$ . To obtain an upper bound, we have to include some more numbers in our Dirichlet series. To this end, let

$$Z_{\mathbf{C}, Q}(s) = \epsilon(\mathbf{C}) \sum_{\substack{p \leq Q \\ p \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{C})}} \frac{1}{p^s}.$$

For  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$  let

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mathbf{C}, k, l}(s) &:= \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{\substack{(\mathbf{C}_1, \dots, \mathbf{C}_k) \in \underline{\mathfrak{C}}^k \\ (\mathbf{D}_1, \dots, \mathbf{D}_l) \in \underline{\mathfrak{C}}^l \\ (\mathbf{C}_1, \dots, \mathbf{D}_l) \in M_{k+l}(\mathbf{C})}} \prod_{\nu=1}^k P_{\mathbf{C}_\nu, Q}(s) \prod_{\mu=1}^l Z_{\mathbf{D}_\mu, Q}(s) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\mathbf{C}, k, l}(n)}{n^s} \quad (\text{say}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we see as before that  $a_{\mathbf{C}, k, l}(n) = 1$  if  $n \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{C})$ ,  $\mu^2(n) = 1$ , and  $n$  has exactly  $l$  prime factors  $\leq Q$  and  $k$  greater than  $Q$ .

Now we observe that by Lemma 2.1, if  $n = n_1 n_2 \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{C})$  and  $(n_1, n_2) = 1$ , then  $n_1 \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{C}_1)$  and  $n_2 \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{C}_2)$  for some  $\mathbf{C}_1 \mathbf{C}_2 = \mathbf{C}$ . This also holds if  $(n_1, n_2)$  consists only of totally split primes. Finally, let

$$B_{\mathbf{C}}(s) = \delta_{\mathbf{C}} + \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{C}) \\ n \text{ powerful}}} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

where  $\delta_{\mathbf{C}} = 1$  if  $\mathbf{C} = 1 \in \underline{\mathfrak{C}}$  and else it vanishes. Then by the above discussion the coefficients of

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \underline{\mathfrak{C}}} \sum_{r \leq R} \sum_{k+l=r} A_{\mathbf{C},k,l}(s) B_{\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_0}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\mathbf{C}_0}^{(R)}(n)}{n^s} \quad (\text{say})$$

satisfy

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} a_{\mathbf{C}_0}^{(R)}(n) \geq U_{\mathbf{C}_0}^{(R)}(x)$$

where  $U_{\mathbf{C}_0}^{(R)}(x)$  denotes those numbers  $n \leq x$ ,  $n \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{C}_0)$  with  $\Omega(n) \leq R$ . For  $k = 0$  we count numbers with multiplicity at most  $\mathbf{h}$  that consist only of primes  $p \leq Q$ , and by Corollary 1.3 of [8] there are, for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  in (2.4), at most  $x \exp(-(\log x)^{3/4})$  numbers of this kind up to  $x$ . Thus we may assume  $k > 0$ .

In preparation for Perron’s formula let  $S = \exp((\log x)^{1/2})$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{1,1} &:= [1 - (\log x)^{-1+\varepsilon} + iS, 1 + (\log x)^{-1} + iS], \\ \Gamma_{2,1} &:= [1 - (\log x)^{-1+\varepsilon}, 1 - (\log x)^{-1+\varepsilon} + iS], \\ \Gamma_{3,1} &:= [1 - \exp(-(\log \log x)^4), 1 - (\log x)^{-1+\varepsilon}], \\ \Gamma_4 &:= \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid |s - 1| = \exp(-(\log \log x)^4)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Gamma_{\nu,2}$  ( $1 \leq \nu \leq 3$ ) be the image of  $\Gamma_{\nu,1}$  under reflection on the real axis, oriented such that

$$\Gamma := \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,2}\Gamma_{3,2}\Gamma_4\Gamma_{3,1}\Gamma_{2,1}\Gamma_{1,1}$$

is homotopic to  $[1 + (\log x)^{-1} - iS, 1 + (\log x)^{-1} + iS]$ . By (2.4), (2.6), (2.7) the functions  $P_{\mathbf{C},Q}$  extend for sufficiently large  $x$  holomorphically to a neighbourhood of  $\Gamma$ , and we have  $P_{\mathbf{C},Q}(s) \ll (\log \log x)^2$  on  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,2} \cup \Gamma_{2,1}\Gamma_{1,1}$  and  $P_{\mathbf{C},Q}(s) \ll (\log \log x)^4$  on  $\Gamma_4$ , so that

$$(3.6) \quad A_{\mathbf{C},k}(s) \ll (\mathbf{h}(\log \log x)^4)^k \ll \exp((\log \log x)^3)$$

on  $\tilde{\Gamma} := \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,2} \cup \Gamma_4 \cup \Gamma_{2,1}\Gamma_{1,1}$  for  $k \ll \log \log x$  and  $x > x_0(A)$ . Likewise, since

$$Z_{\mathbf{C},Q}(s) \ll \sum_{p \leq Q} \frac{1}{p^{1-(\log x)^{-1+\varepsilon}}} \ll \log \log Q \ll \log \log x$$

on  $\Gamma$ , we see that

$$(3.7) \quad A_{\mathbf{C},k,l}(s) \ll \exp((\log \log x)^3)$$

on  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  for  $k + l \ll \log \log x$ . For future reference we define

$$(3.8) \quad J = -\Gamma_{3,1} = [1 - (\log x)^{-1+\varepsilon}, 1 - \exp(-(\log \log x)^4)].$$

LEMMA 3.1. For  $\mathbf{C} \in \underline{\mathfrak{C}}$ ,  $|\sigma - 1| \leq (\log x)^{-2/3}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$|T(\sigma, \mathbf{C}, Q)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon \log \Delta + O(1)}{d_L \mathbf{h}}$$

where  $T$  was defined in (3.1).

*Proof* (see Lemma 4.3 in [2] for details). For fixed  $\mu \geq 0$  we have, by (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^\mu}{ds^\mu} T(s, \mathbf{C}, Q)|_{s=1} &= \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \left( \epsilon(\mathbf{C}) \sum_{p \in \mathcal{R}_Q(\mathbf{C}), p \leq \xi} \frac{(-\log p)^\mu}{p} - \frac{1}{d_L \mathbf{h}} \sum_{p \leq \xi} \frac{(-\log p)^\mu}{p} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For  $\xi \geq Q$  this can be evaluated by partial summation and (2.12), and we obtain

$$|T(1, \mathbf{C}, Q)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon \log \Delta + O_\varepsilon(1)}{d_L \mathbf{h}} \quad \text{and} \quad |T^{(\mu)}(1, \mathbf{C}, Q)| \leq \frac{\Delta^\varepsilon + O_\varepsilon(1)}{d_L \mathbf{h}}$$

for  $\mu \geq 1$ . The lemma follows now from Taylor’s formula up to degree  $\mu_0 := \lceil 2c_3 M + 1 \rceil$ , say, where we use the trivial estimation

$$T^{(\mu_0)}(s, \mathbf{C}, Q) \ll \max_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \frac{d^{\mu_0}}{ds^{\mu_0}} \log \tilde{L}(s, Q, \chi) \right| \ll (\log x)^\varepsilon$$

together with (2.6) for  $|s - 1| \leq (\log x)^{-2/3}$ .

**4. The lower bound.** We start with the lower bound. By Perron’s formula, (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain

$$U_{\mathbf{C}_0}(x) \geq \max_{k \leq (1-2\varepsilon) \log \log x} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} A_{\mathbf{C}_0, k}(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x \log x}{S}\right),$$

so that by (3.6),

$$U_{\mathbf{C}_0}(x) \geq \max_{k \leq (1-2\varepsilon) \log \log x} \left( -\frac{1}{\pi} \Im \int_J A_{\mathbf{C}_0, k}(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds \right) + O\left(\frac{x}{\exp((\log \log x)^3)}\right)$$

with  $J$  as in (3.8). Note that the integrand in  $\Gamma_{3,1}$  is the complex conjugate of the integrand in  $\Gamma_{3,2}$ . We use Lemma 2.5 with  $z_\nu = P_{\mathbf{C}_\nu, Q}(s)$ . Note that by (3.1) and Lemma 3.1 the assumptions are satisfied for  $x > x_0(M, \varepsilon)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\mathbf{C}_0}(x) &\geq \max_{k \leq (1-2\varepsilon) \log \log x} \left( -\frac{1}{\pi} \Im \int_{1-2/\log x}^{1-1/\log x} \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{\log \frac{1}{1-s} - \varepsilon \log \Delta - c_9 - i\pi}{d_L \mathbf{h}} \right)^k \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \#M_k(\mathbf{C}_0) \frac{x^s}{s} ds \right) + O\left(\frac{x}{\exp((\log \log x)^3)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant  $c_9$ . To estimate  $\#M_k(\mathbf{C}_0)$ , we divide the sum over  $\mathfrak{C}^k$  into two sums over  $\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}^{k-1}$ , obtaining

$$\#M_k(\mathbf{C}_0) \geq \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}} \#M_{k-1}(\mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{C}^{-1}) = \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}} \#M_{k-1}(\mathbf{C}) = \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}^{k-1}} S_{k-1}(\mathbf{C}),$$

so that by Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_{\mathbf{C}_0}(x) &\gg_{M,\varepsilon} \frac{x}{\log x} \max_{k \leq (1-2\varepsilon)\log \log x} \frac{1}{k!} ((1-\varepsilon)\log \log x)^k \sin\left(\frac{\pi k(1+o(1))}{\log \log x}\right) \\
 &\quad \times \frac{1}{d_L \sum_{H \leq G} 1} \min_{H \leq G} \left( \frac{1}{|H|^k |\text{Fix } H|} \right) \\
 &\gg \frac{x}{(\log x)^{1+\varepsilon}} \max_{k \leq (1-2\varepsilon)\log \log x} \frac{1}{k!} (\log \log x)^k \min_{H \leq G} \left( \frac{1}{|H|^k |\text{Fix } H|} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

up to an error of  $O(x/\exp((\log \log x)^3))$ . In order to obtain a (crude) bound for  $\sum_{H \leq G} 1$ , we can observe that there are  $\ll |G|$  nonisomorphic Abelian groups  $H$  of order  $\leq G$ , and each  $H$  has at most  $\Omega(|H|)$  generators and so can occur in at most  $\Omega(|H|) \ll \log |G|$  ways in  $G$ . Thus  $\sum_{H \leq G} 1 \ll |G|^{O(\log |G|)} \ll (\log x)^\varepsilon$ .

At the cost of an additional factor  $(\log x)^{-\varepsilon}$  we may extend the maximum over all real  $k \in [0, \log \log x]$ . Writing  $k = \alpha \log \log x$ , we obtain after a short calculation using Stirling’s formula

$$U_{\mathbf{C}_0}(x) \gg \max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \min_{H \leq G} \frac{x(\log x)^{E(\alpha,H)-\varepsilon}}{|\text{Fix } H|}.$$

This gives the lower bound.

**5. The upper bound.** Let us first note that by our assumption  $d_L = o(\log \log x)$  we have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \underline{\mathfrak{C}}} B_{\mathbf{C}}(s) \ll \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \underline{\mathfrak{C}}} B_{\mathbf{C}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{(\log x)^{1-\varepsilon}} \right) \leq c_{10}^{d_L} \ll (\log x)^\varepsilon$$

for  $s \in \Gamma$ . This is the only place where the additional assumption is needed. By Perron’s formula, (3.4), (3.5) and (3.7), we therefore have as above

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.1) \quad U_{\mathbf{C}_0}^{(R)}(x) &\leq \sum_{r \leq R} \sum_{\substack{k+l=r \\ k \neq 0}} \frac{-1}{\pi} \Im \left( \int \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \underline{\mathfrak{C}}} A_{\mathbf{C},k,l}(s) B_{\mathbf{C}-1\mathbf{C}_0}(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds \right) \\
 &\quad + O\left(\frac{x}{\exp((\log \log x)^3)}\right) \\
 &\ll x(\log x)^\varepsilon \sum_{r \leq R} \sum_{\substack{k+l=r \\ k \neq 0}} \int \max_{\mathbf{C} \in \underline{\mathfrak{C}}} |A_{\mathbf{C},k,l}(s)| ds + \frac{x}{\exp((\log \log x)^3)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Writing  $\underline{\mathfrak{C}}^k = \underline{\mathfrak{C}} \times \underline{\mathfrak{C}}^{k-1}$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |A_{\mathbf{C},k,l}(s)| &\leq \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sum_{\mathbf{C}_1 \in \mathfrak{C}} |P_{\mathbf{C}_1, Q}(s)| \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{(\mathbf{C}_2, \dots, \mathbf{C}_k) \in \mathfrak{C}^{k-1} \\ (\mathbf{D}_1, \dots, \mathbf{D}_l) \in \mathfrak{C}^l \\ (\mathbf{C}_2, \dots, \mathbf{D}_l) \in M_{k-1+l}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}_1^\sigma)}} \prod_{\nu=2}^k |P_{\mathbf{C}_\nu, Q}(s)| \prod_{\mu=1}^l |Z_{\mathbf{D}_\mu, Q}(s)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

We relabel the summation variable  $\mathbf{C}_1 \leftarrow \mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}_1^\sigma$ . By Lemma 3.1 we have

$$|P_{\mathbf{C}, Q}(s)| \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{d_L \mathbf{h}} \log \frac{1}{1 - s} \quad \text{on } J.$$

Changing the order of summation, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.2) \quad |A_{\mathbf{C},k,l}(s)| &\ll \frac{(\log \log x)^4}{\mathbf{h}k!l!} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}} |P_{\mathbf{C}, Q}(s)| \right)^{k-1} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{D} \in \mathfrak{C}} Z_{\mathbf{D}, Q}(s) \right)^l \\
 &\quad \times \max_{(\mathbf{C}_2, \dots, \mathbf{D}_l) \in \mathfrak{C}^{k-1+l}} S_{k-1+l}((\mathbf{C}_2, \dots, \mathbf{D}_l))
 \end{aligned}$$

on  $J$  (note that  $Z_{\mathbf{D}, Q}(s) > 0$  there), so that by Lemma 2.4, (5.1) and (5.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.3) \quad U_{\mathbf{C}_0}^{(R)}(x) &\ll x(\log x)^\varepsilon \max_{r \leq R} \min_{H \leq G} \left( \frac{d_L^{r-1}}{|H|^{r-1} |\text{Fix } H|} \right) \frac{1}{r!} \\
 &\quad \times \int \left( \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}} |P_{\mathbf{C}, Q}(s)| + Z_{\mathbf{C}, Q}(s) \right)^r ds + \frac{x}{\exp((\log \log x)^3)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (3.1) we have  $\sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}} (|P_{\mathbf{C}, Q}(s)| - P_{\mathbf{C}, Q}(s)) = \pi/d_L$ . Using orthogonality, the same calculation as in (3.1) shows

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{d_L} \log \zeta_L(s) &= \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{h}} \sum_{(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m \bar{\chi}_j(C_j) \right) \log L(s, \chi) \\
 &= \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{C})} \frac{1}{p^s} + O\left(1 + \sum_{p|\Delta} \frac{1}{p^s}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

on  $J$ . From (2.7) we thus infer

$$(5.4) \quad \left| \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}} (|P_{\mathbf{C}, Q}(s)| + Z_{\mathbf{C}, Q}(s)) \right| \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{d_L} \log \frac{1}{1 - s} + \log \log \Delta$$

on  $J$  ( $x \geq x_0(\varepsilon)$ ). Let us first assume  $d_L \leq \sqrt{\log \log x}$ . Then

$$\left| \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{C}} (|P_{\mathbf{C}, Q}(s)| + Z_{\mathbf{C}, Q}(s)) \right| \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{d_L} \log \frac{1}{1 - s},$$

so that by (5.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.5) \quad U_{\mathbf{C}_0}^{(R)}(x) &\ll x(\log x)^\varepsilon \max_{r \leq \log \log x} \min_{H \leq G} \left( \frac{1}{|H|^r |\text{Fix } H|} \right) \frac{1}{r!} \int_J \left( \log \frac{1}{1-s} \right)^r ds \\
 &\ll x \max_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \min_{H \leq G} \frac{(\log x)^{E(\alpha, H) + \varepsilon}}{|\text{Fix } H|}
 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.6.

Now assume  $d_L \geq \sqrt{\log \log x}$  and let  $c_{11} = Mc_3 + 2$ ,

$$\varrho = \frac{2c_{11}}{\log \log \log x}.$$

Firstly we show that the contribution of those  $r$  in (5.3) with  $\varrho \log \log x \leq r \leq R$  is negligible. In fact, if we consider in (5.3) only the case  $H = G$ , then by (5.4) and Lemma 2.6 their contribution is at most

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_1^{(R)}(x) &\ll x(\log x)^\varepsilon \max_{r \geq \varrho \log \log x} \frac{1}{r!} \int_J \left( \frac{1+\varepsilon}{d_L} \log \frac{1}{1-s} + \log \log \Delta \right)^r ds \\
 &\ll x(\log x)^\varepsilon \max_{r \geq \varrho \log \log x} \frac{1}{r!} \int_J \left( \frac{c_{12}}{\sqrt{\log \log x}} \log \frac{1}{1-s} \right)^r ds \\
 &\ll x(\log x)^{-c_{11} + \varepsilon}
 \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large  $x$  which is admissible by (2.13). On the other hand, those  $r$  with  $r \leq \varrho \log \log x$  contribute at most

$$x(\log x)^\varepsilon \max_{r \leq \varrho \log \log x} \min_{H \leq G} \left( \frac{1}{|H|^r |\text{Fix } H|} \right) \int_J \frac{1}{r!} \left( c_{13}(\log \log \Delta) \log \frac{1}{1-s} \right)^r ds.$$

Since  $\varrho \log(c_{13} \log \log \Delta) = o(1)$ , we find by Lemma 2.6 that

$$(5.6) \quad U_{\mathbf{C}_0}^{(R)}(x) \ll x \max_{\alpha \leq \varrho} \min_{H \leq G} \frac{(\log x)^{E(\alpha, H) + \varepsilon}}{|\text{Fix } H|}.$$

Now we choose  $R := c_{14} \log \log x$  with  $c_{14} = (\log 2)^{-1}(Mc_3 + 4)$  and bound trivially the number of integers  $n \leq x$  with  $\Omega(n) \geq c_{12} \log \log x$ . By [3, Corollary 1], there are at most  $O(x(\log x)^{-Mc_3-2})$  numbers of this kind. By (2.13) this yields an admissible error. By (5.5) and (5.6) the proof is complete.

**6. Proof of Proposition 3 and Corollary 4.** Since each group  $G_j = \text{Gal}(K_j/\mathbb{Q})$  is cyclic, every  $\mathbf{C} \in \text{Fix } H$  contains an  $m$ -tuple of ideals  $(\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_m)$  that remains fixed under the action of  $H$ . Indeed, let  $\sigma_j$  be a generator of  $H_j$ . If  $(\mathfrak{b}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{b}_m)$  is any  $m$ -tuple of ideals in a class  $\mathbf{C} = (C_1, \dots, C_m) \in \text{Fix } H$ , then  $C_j$  is fixed by  $H_j$ , and so  $(\mathfrak{b}_1^{\sigma_1}, \dots, \mathfrak{b}_m^{\sigma_m}) =$

$((\lambda_1)\mathfrak{b}_1, \dots, (\lambda_m)\mathfrak{b}_m)$  for some principal ideals  $(\lambda_j)$ . By Hilbert’s Theorem 90 we can write  $\lambda_j = \mu_j^{1-\sigma_j}$  (e.g. [7, §13]), so that  $\mathfrak{a}_j := (\mu_j)\mathfrak{b}_j$  gives the desired ideal tuple. But up to a product of powers of ramified prime ideals, the  $\mathfrak{a}_j$  are lifted ideals from the fixed field  $K_j^{H_j}$ , and so (cf. e.g. [15, Theorem 1.6])

$$|\text{Fix } H| \leq \prod_{j=1}^m \left( h(K_j^{H_j}) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \subseteq K_j^{H_j}} e(\mathfrak{p}) \right)$$

where as usual  $e(\mathfrak{p})$  denotes the ramification index of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K_j$ . By Dedekind’s discriminant theorem we know

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \subseteq K_j^{H_j}} e(\mathfrak{p}) \leq \prod_{p^e \parallel D_{K/\mathbb{Q}}} (e + 1) \ll (D_{K/\mathbb{Q}})^\varepsilon.$$

This gives the proposition.

The corollary follows immediately from Theorem 2: For each subgroup  $H \neq G$  we estimate  $E(\alpha, H) \geq -1 + \alpha(1 - \log(\alpha d_L/2))$  and  $\text{Fix } H \leq \mathfrak{h}$  getting

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\mathbb{C}_0}(x) &\gg \max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \min \left( x(\log x)^{-1+\alpha(1-\log(\alpha d_L))-\varepsilon}, \frac{x(\log x)^{-1+\alpha(1-\log(\alpha d_L/2))-\varepsilon}}{\mathfrak{h}} \right) \\ &\geq x(\log x)^{1/d_L-1-\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

if  $\mathfrak{h} \leq (\log x)^{(\log 2)/d_L}$  as can be seen by taking  $\alpha = 1/d_L$ . The upper bound in (1.5) follows from (1.4) for  $x \gg \exp(\Delta^\varepsilon)$ .

### References

- [1] V. Blomer, *Binary quadratic forms with large discriminants and sums of two squarefull numbers*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 569 (2004), 213–234.
- [2] —, *Binary quadratic forms with large discriminants and sums of two squarefull numbers II*, J. London Math. Soc. 71 (2005), 69–84.
- [3] P. Erdős and A. Sárközy, *On the number of prime factors of integers*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 42 (1980), 237–246.
- [4] E. Fogels, *On the zeros of Hecke’s L-functions I*, Acta Arith. 7 (1962), 87–106.
- [5] —, *Über die Ausnahmestelle der Heckeschen L-Funktionen*, ibid. 8 (1963), 307–309.
- [6] L. J. Goldstein, *A generalization of the Siegel–Walfisz theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 149 (1970), 417–429.
- [7] H. Hasse, *Vorlesungen über Klassenkörpertheorie*, Würzburg, 1967.
- [8] A. Hildebrand and G. Tenenbaum, *Integers without large prime factors*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 5 (1993), 411–484.

- [9] J. C. Lagarias, H. L. Montgomery and A. M. Odlyzko, *A bound for the least prime ideal in the Chebotarev density theorem*, Invent. Math. 54 (1979), 271–296.
- [10] E. Landau, *Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen*, 2. Bd., Leipzig, 1909.
- [11] R. W. K. Odoni, *On the norms of algebraic integers*, Mathematika 22 (1975), 71–80.
- [12] C. L. Siegel, *Abschätzungen von Einheiten*, Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math.-Phys. Kl. II 1969, 71–86.
- [13] H. M. Stark, *Some effective cases of the Brauer–Siegel theorem*, Invent. Math. 23 (1974), 135–152.
- [14] A. I. Vinogradov, *On the extension to the left halfplane of the scalar product of Hecke  $L$ -series with magnitude characters*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 29 (1965), 485–492 (in Russian); English transl.: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 82 (1969), 1–9.
- [15] C. Walter, *The ambiguous class group and the genus class group of certain non-normal extensions*, Mathematika 26 (1979), 113–124.
- [16] L. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Springer, 1997.

Erindale College  
University of Toronto  
3359 Missisauga Road N  
Missisauga, Ontario  
Canada L5L 1C6  
E-mail: vblomer@math.toronto.edu

Fachbereich Mathematik  
Mathematisches Institut  
Albert-Ludwigs-Universität  
Eckerstr. 1  
D-79104 Freiburg, Germany  
E-mail: jcp@math.uni-freiburg.de

*Received on 20.5.2005  
and in revised form on 1.12.2005*

(4992)