

Visibility of lattice points

by

YONG-GAO CHEN (Nanjing) and LIN-FENG CHENG (Xuzhou)

1. Introduction. Two integer points $P(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ and $Q(b_1, \dots, b_k)$ are said to be *visible to each other* if either $P = Q$ or there are no other integer points on the line segment joining P and Q . It is not difficult to verify that if $P \neq Q$, then P and Q are visible to each other if and only if $\gcd(a_1 - b_1, \dots, a_k - b_k) = 1$. We say that an integer point set A is *visible from an integer point set B* if each point of A is visible from some point of B .

For $k \geq 2$, let

$$\Delta_n^k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) : x_i \text{ integers and } 1 \leq x_i \leq n (1 \leq i \leq k)\}.$$

Define

$$f_k(n) = \min\{|S| : S \subset \mathbb{Z}^k, \Delta_n^k \text{ is visible from } S\},$$
$$F_k(n) = \min\{|S| : S \subseteq \Delta_n^k, \Delta_n^k \text{ is visible from } S\}.$$

It is clear that $f_k(n) \leq F_k(n)$. Erdős, Gruber and Hammer [4] asked for an explicit construction of S such that $S \subset \Delta_n^2$, $|S| = O(\log n)$ and Δ_n^2 is visible from S . A better construction of S was given by Adhikari and Balasubramanian [2]. We have

$$F_2(n) \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}, \quad n \geq n_0, \quad (\text{Abbott [1]})$$

$$F_2(n) = O\left(\frac{\log n \log \log \log n}{\log \log n}\right), \quad (\text{Adhikari, Balasubramanian [2]})$$

$$F_k(n) = O\left(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}\right), \quad k \geq 3, \quad (\text{Adhikari, Chen [3]})$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11H99, 11B75.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No. 10171046 and the Teaching and Research Award Program for Outstanding Young Teachers in Nanjing Normal University.

In fact, the method in Abbott [1] implies that

$$F_k(n) \geq f_k(n) \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}, \quad n \geq n_0, \quad \text{for all } k \geq 2.$$

Thus, for $k \geq 3$, the main orders of $f_k(n)$ and $F_k(n)$ are $\log n / \log \log n$. In this note we are interested in the constant factors. Let

$$\zeta(k) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^k} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^k}\right).$$

The following results are proved.

THEOREM 1. *For $k \geq 2$ we have*

$$f_k(n) \geq \zeta(k) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} (1 + o(1)).$$

THEOREM 2. *For $k \geq 3$ we have*

$$F_k(n) \leq \zeta(k-1) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} (1 + o(1)).$$

REMARK. The first author conjectures that

$$f_k(n) = \zeta(k) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} (1 + o(1)), \quad F_k(n) = \zeta(k) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} (1 + o(1)).$$

By an analogous argument to the proof of Theorem 2, we can prove that the conjecture for $k \geq 3$ follows from the conjecture that for every fixed s ,

$$\max_{s < m \leq n} \omega((m-1)(m-2)\dots(m-s)) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n},$$

and the conjecture for $k = 2$ follows from the conjecture that

$$\max_{s_n < m \leq n} \omega((m-1)(m-2)\dots(m-s_n)) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n},$$

where $s_n = \lfloor 2 \log n / \log \log n \rfloor$.

2. Proofs. Let p_1, p_2, \dots be all positive primes in increasing order, that is, $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, \dots$. As usual, we will use p to denote a prime. For two points P and Q in \mathbb{Z}^k and an integer m , we say that P and Q are *congruent mod m* if all coordinates are congruent mod m .

LEMMA 1. *Let B be a finite subset of \mathbb{Z}^k . Then there exist at least $|B|/p^k$ points in B which are congruent mod p .*

Proof. Lemma 1 follows from the fact that points in $\mathbb{Z}^k \bmod p$ has p^k different possible values.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1/8$. We take an integer t such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^t \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i^k}\right) \leq \zeta(k)^{-1}(1 + \varepsilon).$$

For $n \geq n_1(\varepsilon, k)$ there exists an integer r such that

$$\zeta(k) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} (1 - 6\varepsilon) \leq r \leq \zeta(k) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} (1 - 5\varepsilon), \quad p_1^k p_2^k \dots p_t^k \mid r.$$

Suppose that Q_1, \dots, Q_r are r distinct points in \mathbb{Z}^k . By Lemma 1 there exists $A_1 \subseteq \{Q_1, \dots, Q_r\}$ such that $|A_1| = r/p_1^k$ and the points in A_1 are congruent mod p_1 . Let $B_1 = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_r\} \setminus A_1$. Then $|B_1| = (1 - 1/p_1^k)r$ is divisible by p_2^k . By Lemma 1 there exists $A_2 \subseteq B_1$ such that $|A_2| = |B_1|/p_2^k$ and the points in A_2 are congruent mod p_2 . Let $B_2 = B_1 \setminus A_2$. Then $|B_2| = (1 - 1/p_2^k)(1 - 1/p_1^k)r$ is divisible by p_3^k . Similarly, we obtain A_3, \dots, A_t and B_3, \dots, B_t such that $|A_i| = |B_{i-1}|/p_i^k$, $B_i = B_{i-1} \setminus A_i$ and the points in A_i are congruent mod p_i for $3 \leq i \leq t$. Then

$$|B_t| = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_t^k}\right) |B_{t-1}| = \dots = r \prod_{i=1}^t \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i^k}\right) \leq \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} (1 - 4\varepsilon).$$

Hence, for $n \geq n_2(\varepsilon, k)$,

$$(1) \quad t + |B_t| \leq \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} (1 - 3\varepsilon).$$

Let $s = t + |B_t|$. Let $B_t = A_{t+1} \cup \dots \cup A_s$ with $|A_i| = 1$ and $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $t + 1 \leq i, j \leq s$ and $i \neq j$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, there exists a point Q in $\Delta_{(r+1)p_1 \dots p_s}^k$ which is different from Q_1, \dots, Q_r and congruent to the points of $A_i \pmod{p_i}$ for each i . For $n \geq n_3(\varepsilon, k)$ by (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log((r + 1)p_1 \dots p_s) &\leq \log(r + 1) + s \log p_s \\ &\leq \varepsilon \log n + (1 - 2\varepsilon) \log n < \log n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $Q \in \Delta_n^k$ and Q is invisible from any point of Q_1, \dots, Q_r . Therefore

$$f_k(n) > r \geq \zeta(k) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} (1 - 6\varepsilon).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$. Let t be an integer with

$$2^{k-1} \sum_{p > p_t} \frac{1}{p^{k-1}} < \varepsilon \zeta(k-1)^{-1}.$$

For $n \geq n_4(\varepsilon, k)$ there exists an integer r with

$$\zeta(k-1) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} \frac{1 + 2\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \leq r^{k-1} \leq \zeta(k-1) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} \frac{1 + 3\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon}, \quad p_1 p_2 \dots p_t \mid r.$$

Let

$$G_n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, 1) : a_i \text{ integers, } 1 \leq a_i \leq r \text{ (} 1 \leq i \leq k-1)\} \cup \{(2, 2, \dots, 2)\}.$$

Given any point $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \Delta_n^k$. If $x_k = 1$, then (x_1, \dots, x_k) is visible from $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$. Now we assume that $x_k > 1$. We will show that there exists at least one point $(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, 1) \in G_n$ such that

$$(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_{k-1} - a_{k-1}, x_k - 1) = 1.$$

In order to prove this, we use a simple sieving argument. Let q_1, \dots, q_m be the prime divisors of $x_k - 1$. We know that

$$m = \omega(x_k - 1) \leq (1 + o(1)) \log n / \log \log n.$$

We want to find $(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, 1) \in G_n$ so that no q_i divides each $x_j - a_j, 1 \leq j \leq k-1$.

For the primes q_j that are among p_1, \dots, p_t we use the combinatorial sieve. We find that the number of remaining vectors is

$$r^{k-1} \prod (1 - p_i^{-(k-1)}) > \zeta(k-1)^{-1} r^{k-1}.$$

Each prime q_j with $p_t < q_j \leq r$ excludes at most $(1 + [r/q_j])^{k-1} < (2r/q_j)^{k-1}$ vectors. The total number of these is

$$< (2r)^{k-1} \sum_{q_j > p_t} q_j^{-(k-1)} < \varepsilon \zeta(k-1)^{-1} r^{k-1}.$$

Finally, a $q_j > r$ excludes at most one, altogether $(1 + o(1)) \log n / \log \log n$ at most. Since

$$\zeta(k-1)^{-1} r^{k-1} > (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} + \varepsilon \zeta(k-1)^{-1} r^{k-1},$$

we are done.

Therefore

$$F_k(n) \leq |G_n| + 1 \leq r^{k-1} + 1 \leq \zeta(k-1) \frac{1 + 3\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} + 1.$$

This completes the proof.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to the referee for his/her suggestions to shorten the proof of Theorem 2 and to add more remarks pertaining to Theorem 2.

References

[1] H. L. Abbott, *Some results in Combinatorial Geometry*, Discrete Math. 9 (1974), 199–204.

- [2] S. D. Adhikari and R. Balasubramanian, *On a question regarding visibility of lattice points*, *Mathematika* 43 (1996), 155–158.
- [3] S. D. Adhikari and Y.-G. Chen, *On a question regarding visibility of lattice points, II*, *Acta Arith.* 89 (1999), 279–282.
- [4] P. Erdős, P. M. Gruber and J. Hammer, *Lattice Points*, Pitman Monographs Surveys Pure Appl. Math. 39, Wiley, New York, 1989.

Department of Mathematics
Nanjing Normal University
Nanjing 210097, China
E-mail: ygchen@pine.njnu.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics
China University of Mining and Technology
Xuzhou 221008, China
E-mail: cumtclf@sina.com.cn

Received on 14.11.2001
and in revised form on 26.8.2002

(4145)