

The structure of the tame kernels of quadratic number fields (II)

by

XIAOBIN YIN (Nanjing and Wuhu), HOURONG QIN (Nanjing)
and QUNSHENG ZHU (Nanjing)

1. Introduction. Let F be a quadratic number field and O_F the ring of its integers. Some methods of determining the structure of the 2-Sylow subgroup of the tame kernel K_2O_F have been established. The results of [13–15] give the 4-rank and the 8-rank of K_2O_F . We refer to [8] for the results on relative quadratic extensions. When the discriminant has at most three divisors, the 4-rank of K_2O_F has been given explicitly in [13] and [14].

Recently, the second author [16] introduced sign matrices via Legendre symbols to determine the 4-rank of K_2O_F . In the relative quadratic extension case, the sign matrices defined via local Hilbert symbols to compute the 4-rank of the tame kernel appeared earlier in [8]. In [16], the second author defined the type of a square-free integer d (see Section 4 below) and determined a lower bound for the 4-rank of K_2O_F (where $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$) for each type of quadratic number field F . To be more precise, he found all types of real quadratic fields for which always $r_4(K_2O_F) \geq 1$, and for any other type he showed that there is a set of d of positive density for which $r_4(K_2O_F) = 0$ and a set of positive density for which $r_4(K_2O_F) \geq 1$. For imaginary quadratic fields, he also established similar results.

In this paper, we use the method developed in [16] to determine all possible values of $r_4(K_2O_F)$ for each type of real quadratic number field F . In particular, for each type of real quadratic field we determine the maximum possible value of $r_4(K_2O_F)$ and we show that each integer between the lower and upper bounds occurs as a value of the 4-rank of K_2O_F for infinitely many F .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11R11, 11R70, 15A15, 19F15.

This research is supported by the National Distinguished Youth Science Foundation of China Grant and the 973 Grant. The first author is supported by National Natural Foundation of China (10371061) and Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Education Committee (2003kj166).

2. Preliminaries. We introduce the following notations:

- $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ with $d \in \mathbb{N}$ square-free.
- O_F is the ring of integers of F .
- K_2O_F is the tame kernel of F .
- $\nabla^2 = \{\alpha \in K_2O_F \mid \alpha = \beta^2 \text{ for some } \beta \in K_2O_F\}$.
- ${}_2K_2O_F = \{x \in K_2O_F \mid x^2 = 1\}$.
- $S(d) = \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}$.
- $r_4(K_2O_F)$ or r_4 for short denotes the 4-rank of K_2O_F .
- Given integers a and b with $b \neq 0$, $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$ denotes the Jacobi symbol. In particular, $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol if b is an odd prime.

It follows from J. Browkin and A. Schinzel [3] that ${}_2K_2O_F$ ($F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$) is generated by $\{-1, m\}$, $m \mid d$, together with $\{-1, u_i + \sqrt{d}\}$ if $\{-1, \pm 2\} \cap NF \neq \emptyset$, where $u_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $d = u_i^2 - c_i w_i^2$ for some $w_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c_i \in \{-1, \pm 2\} \cap NF$. Suppose that $m \mid d$ and $m > 0$, and assume that $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ if $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Then from [15] we know that there exists a prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ such that $\delta pmZ^2 = X^2 + dY^2$ is solvable for $\delta = 1$ or 2 . If $2 \in NF$, then $d = u^2 - 2w^2$, where $u, w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that $u > 0$ and $u + w \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$; if $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then from [15] we know that there is a prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ such that $pm(u + w)Z^2 = X^2 + dY^2$ is solvable. On the other hand, it is proved in [16] that: (i) $\{-1, m\} \in \nabla^2$ if and only if $\varepsilon pZ^2 = X^2 - dY^2$ is solvable for $\varepsilon \in S(d)$, where $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ is a prime such that $\eta pmZ^2 = X^2 + dY^2$ is solvable for $\eta = 1$ or 2 ; (ii) if $2 \in NF$, then $\{-1, m(u + \sqrt{d})\} \in \nabla^2$ if and only if $\varepsilon pZ^2 = X^2 - dY^2$ is solvable for $\varepsilon \in S(d)$, where $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ is a prime such that $pm(u + w)Z^2 = X^2 + dY^2$ is solvable. So, to determine the 4-rank of K_2O_F , we need only consider the solvability of the above indefinite equations $\varepsilon pZ^2 = X^2 - dY^2$.

Let $d = 2^\sigma l_1 \cdots l_n$ be the prime factorization, where $\sigma = 0$ or 1 . Consider the vector $v(p, \varepsilon) = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$, where $\delta_i = \left(\frac{\varepsilon p}{l_i}\right)$ with $\varepsilon \in S(d)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then by Legendre’s Theorem on the Diophantine equation $aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2 = 0$ (see [10]) we have

LEMMA 2.1 ([16]). *With the notation as above, we have $\{-1, m\} \in \nabla^2$ or $\{-1, m(u + \sqrt{d})\} \in \nabla^2$ if and only if $v(p, \varepsilon) = (1, \dots, 1)$ for some $\varepsilon \in S(d)$.*

Let $n_i \mid d$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Suppose $\eta_i p_i n_i Z^2 = X^2 + dY^2$ (or $\eta_i p_i n_i (u + w)Z^2 = X^2 + dY^2$) are solvable for primes $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\eta_i = 1$ or 2 ($1 \leq i \leq t$). We have

LEMMA 2.2 ([16]). *$\{-1, n_1 \cdots n_t\} \in \nabla^2$ if and only if*

$$v(p, \varepsilon) = \left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{l_1}\right) \prod_{i=1}^t \delta_{i1}, \dots, \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{l_n}\right) \prod_{i=1}^t \delta_{in} \right) = (1, \dots, 1)$$

for some $\varepsilon \in S(d)$.

Recall from [16] that a set $S = \{m_1, \dots, m_k\}$ is called a *system of ∇ -representatives* of F if $\{-1, m_1\}, \dots, \{-1, m_k\}$ generate ${}_2K_2O_F \cap (K_2F)^2$ and $m_1 \pmod{(F^{*2} \cup 2F^{*2})}, \dots, m_k \pmod{(F^{*2} \cup 2F^{*2})}$ are multiplicatively independent. For the exact value of k and a system of ∇ -representatives of real quadratic number fields F , we have the following

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$ square-free, be a real quadratic field and $d = 2^\sigma l_1 \cdots l_n$ the prime factorization, where $\sigma = 0$ or 1 . Then we can choose a system of ∇ -representatives as follows:*

- (i) $\{l_1, \dots, l_{n-1}, u + \sqrt{d}\}$ if either (a) $l_i \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and $u + w \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or (b) $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $2 \in NF$;
- (ii) $\{l_1, \dots, l_{n-1}\}$ if either (a) $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $2 \notin NF$ or (b) $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $2 \notin NF$ and $l_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) or (c) $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $2 \in NF$ and $u + w \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$;
- (iii) $\{l_1 l_2, l_1 l_3, \dots, l_1 l_m, l_{m+1}, \dots, l_{n-1}, u + \sqrt{d}\}$ if $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $2 \in NF$ with $u + w \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $l_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$), $l_j \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ($m + 1 \leq j \leq n$);
- (iv) $\{l_1 l_2, l_1 l_3, \dots, l_1 l_m, l_{m+1}, \dots, l_{n-1}, l_1(u + \sqrt{d})\}$ if $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $2 \in NF$ with $u + w \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $l_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$), $l_j \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ($m + 1 \leq j \leq n$);
- (v) $\{l_1 l_2, l_1 l_3, \dots, l_1 l_m, l_{m+1}, \dots, l_{n-1}\}$ if $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $2 \notin NF$ and $l_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$), $l_j \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ($m + 1 \leq j \leq n$).

Proof. The proof of this lemma can be found in [14] and [16]. ■

For the convenience of the reader, we recall some notations from [16].

Suppose that $S = \{m_1, \dots, m_k\}$ is a system of ∇ -representatives of $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, where $d \in \mathbb{N}$ is square-free and $d = 2^\sigma l_1 \cdots l_n$ ($\sigma \in \{0, 1\}$) is the prime factorization. Assume that the equations $\eta_i p_i m_i Z^2 = X^2 + dY^2$ (or $\eta_i p_i m_i (u + w) Z^2 = X^2 + dY^2$) are solvable for primes $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\eta_i \in \{1, 2\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$). Let $E = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k) \in S(d)^k$. Put $\delta_{i,j} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_i \eta_i p_i}{l_j}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. We call the matrix $M(d, S, E) = (\delta_{i,j})_{k \times n}$ the *sign matrix with respect to $S = \{m_1, \dots, m_k\}$ and $E = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k) \in S(d)^k$* . As a particular case, taking $E = (1, \dots, 1)$, we obtain the sign matrix

$$M(d, S) = \left[\left(\frac{\eta_i p_i}{l_j} \right) \right],$$

where

$$\left(\frac{\eta_i p_i}{l_j} \right) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{m_i}{l_j} \right) \left(\text{resp.}, \left(\frac{(u + w)m_i}{l_j} \right) \right) & \text{if } l_j \nmid m_i, \\ \left(\frac{d/m_i}{l_j} \right) \left(\text{resp.}, \left(\frac{(u + w)d/m_i}{l_j} \right) \right) & \text{if } l_j \mid m_i, \end{cases}$$

which we call the sign matrix with respect to the set S of ∇ -representatives.

Sometimes we simply write $M(d)$ for $M(d, S, E)$ or $M(d, S)$ if we do not need to emphasize S and E .

Now we list some properties of sign matrices. It follows from [14] and [16, Lemmas 2.3 and 2.9] that

LEMMA 2.4. *Let S be a system of ∇ -representatives of $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$ square-free, $m \in S$. Let P be the product of all entries in the row corresponding to m in each sign matrix with respect to S and $E = (1, \dots, 1)$. If $m \mid d$, then*

$$P = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if either } d \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } d \not\equiv 5 \pmod{8}; \\ & \text{or } d \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } m \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}; \\ & \text{or } d \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, m \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \text{ and } d/2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; \\ & \text{or } d \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, m \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \text{ and } d/2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}; \\ & \text{or } d \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}; \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $m = u + \sqrt{d}$ and $d \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then the product of all entries in the row corresponding to $u + \sqrt{d}$ is 1.

Let A and B be sign matrices. A is said to be *equivalent* to B (denoted by $A \cong B$) if some of the following operations, which are called *elementary operations*, applied to A yield B :

- (I) Multiplying row i of A by row j . (This corresponds to replacing m_i by $m_i m_j$ in the set of ∇ -representatives of F .)
- (II) Interchanging the i th and j th rows. (This corresponds to interchanging m_i and m_j .)
- (II') Interchanging the i th and j th columns. (This corresponds to interchanging l_i and l_j .)
- (III) Multiplying the i th row by a vector $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$, where $\varepsilon_i = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{l_i}\right)$ with $\varepsilon \in S(d)$ and l_1, \dots, l_n are all the odd prime divisors of d with l_i corresponding to the i th column. (This corresponds to changing the i th entry in the set $E = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k)$.)

Elementary operations (II') are often used to fix the places of columns of a sign matrix. When applying elementary operations (II'), one must remember the congruences of l_i and $l_j \pmod{8}$ since it is possible that $\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{l_i}\right) \neq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{l_j}\right)$ in an elementary operation (III) if $l_i \not\equiv l_j \pmod{8}$.

Note that, for a real quadratic field $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, if d has exactly n odd prime divisors l_1, \dots, l_n , then we have only finitely many different sign matrices with respect to a system of ∇ -representatives of F , any two of which are equivalent. Suppose that $M(d)$ is a sign matrix with respect to a system of ∇ -representatives of F . It is easy to see that there exists an element $\{-1, m\} \in {}_2K_2O_F$ such that $\{-1, m\} \in \nabla^2$ if and only if there

exists a totally 1 row (i.e. its entries are all 1) by applying some elementary row operations on $M(d)$ if necessary.

LEMMA 2.5 ([16]). *Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ be a real quadratic field, where d square-free has n odd prime divisors. Assume that a sign matrix is of size $k \times n$. We view any sign matrix as one over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Then $r_4(K_2O_F)$ coincides with the maximum of $k - r$, where r runs through the ranks of all sign matrices of F .*

LEMMA 2.6 ([16]). *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Assume that for $1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq k < n$ we are given $\varepsilon_{ij} \in \{\pm 1\}$ and odd integers t_k . Then there are infinitely many integers d such that d has exactly n odd prime divisors l_1, \dots, l_n with $(\frac{l_i}{l_j}) = \varepsilon_{ij}$ and $l_k \equiv t_k \pmod{8}$ where $1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq n$.*

3. Matrices over \mathbb{F}_2 . Let M and N be matrices over \mathbb{F}_2 . We write $M \sim N$ if N can be obtained from M by some elementary transformations.

For a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ over \mathbb{F}_2 , we use A^T for its transpose, $r(A)$ for the rank of A , and $1 + A$ for the matrix $(1 + a_{ij})$. In case A is of size $n \times n$, we call A skew symmetric if $a_{ij} + a_{ji} = 1$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $M = (\delta_{i,j})$ be an $n \times n$ skew symmetric matrix over \mathbb{F}_2 . If n is even, then $r(M) \geq n/2$. If n is odd, then $r(M) \geq (n - 1)/2$. Moreover, if n is odd and there exists a totally 1 row which can be expressed as a linear combination of some rows of M , then $r(M) \geq (n + 1)/2$.*

Proof. Let $P = M + M^T = (p_{ij})$. Then $p_{ij} = 0$ if $i = j$; and $p_{ij} = 1$ if $i \neq j$.

(1) n is even. We have $r(P) = n$, hence $r(M) \geq n/2$.

(2) n is odd. It is easy to see that $r(P) = n - 1$. Hence $r(M) \geq (n - 1)/2$. It is enough to prove that $r(M) \geq (n + 1)/2$ when a totally 1 row can be expressed as a linear combination of some rows of M . We may assume that

$$\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_t = (1, \dots, 1),$$

where $\delta_i = (\delta_{i,1}, \dots, \delta_{i,n}), i = 1, \dots, t$.

When t is odd, adding rows 2 to t to the first row and doing the same for columns, and then adding the first (new) row to rows 2 to t , we can partition the equivalent form of M into

$$M \sim \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & \\ 0 & & & A & & & & & & B \\ \hline 0 & & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & B^T & & & & & & C \\ 0 & & & & & & & & & \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{1,j} = 1, & j = 1, \dots, n; \\ \delta_{i,1} = 0, & i = 2, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

where the blocks A, B and C are of sizes $(t - 1) \times (t - 1), (t - 1) \times (n - t)$ and $(n - t) \times (n - t)$, respectively. It is easy to see that both A and C are skew symmetric. The above discussion implies that $r\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & C \end{pmatrix} \geq (n - 1)/2$ since $t - 1$ and $n - t$ are even. Thus $r(M) \geq (n + 1)/2$.

Suppose that t is even. First we add rows 2 to t to the first row, and then do the same with columns. Next we add the last column to columns $t + 1$ to $n - 1$, and then do the same row transformations. Now we have

$$M \sim \left(\begin{array}{cccccc|cccccc} 0 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & & * \\ & & & & & A & & & & & B & \\ 0 & & & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ \hline 0 & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & B^T & & & & & C & \vdots \\ 0 & & & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ 1 & * & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & * & * \end{array} \right)$$

$$\delta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 1 \text{ and } j = 2, \dots, t, n; \\ & \text{or } i = n \text{ and } j = 1; \\ 0, & i = 1 \text{ and } j = t + 2, \dots, n - 1; \\ & \text{or } i = 1, \dots, n - 1 \text{ and } j = n, \end{cases}$$

where the blocks A, B and C are skew symmetric of sizes $(t - 1) \times (t - 1), (t - 1) \times (n - t - 1)$ and $(n - t - 1) \times (n - t - 1)$, respectively. By using the same argument as in the case of t odd, we obtain

$$r \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & A & B \\ 0 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 0 & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & B^T & C \\ 0 & & & & & & & \end{array} \right) \geq (n - 1)/2,$$

hence $r(M) \geq (n + 1)/2$. ■

LEMMA 3.2. *Suppose that $M = (\delta_{i,j})$ is an $n \times n$ skew symmetric matrix over \mathbb{F}_2 . Let t be the number of rows of M with the sum of all entries 1. Assume that $t \geq 1$.*

- (i) *If n is odd, then $r(M) \geq (n + 1)/2$.*
- (ii) *If n is even and the totally 1 row can be expressed as a linear combination of some rows of M , then $r(M) \geq n/2 + 1$.*

Proof. Applying some elementary transformations if necessary, we may assume that the sum of all entries is 1 in each of the first t rows, and 0 in others.

(i) First suppose that t is odd. Add rows 1 to $n - 1$ to the last row, and next do the same with columns. Then the matrix M can be partitioned into the following equivalent form:

$$M \sim \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & M_1 & M_2 & \vdots \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & 0 \\ & 1 + M_2^T & M_3 & \vdots \\ & & & 0 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \cdots 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the submatrices M_1 and M_3 are skew symmetric of sizes $t \times t$ and $(n - t - 1) \times (n - t - 1)$ respectively. Note that

$$M \sim \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & 1 + M_1 & M_2 & \vdots \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & 0 \\ & 1 + M_2^T & M_3 & \vdots \\ & & & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 3.1 we have $r(M) \geq (n - 1)/2 + 1 = (n + 1)/2$.

Now assume that t is even. If $\delta_{11} = 0$, with the same procedure as above and applying some elementary transformations if necessary, we see that there exist integers $1 \leq k \leq t$ and $1 \leq l \leq n - t$ such that

$$M \sim \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & M_1 & & & & M_2 & & & & M_3 & & M_4 & \vdots \\ 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & 1 + M_2^T & & & & M_5 & & & & M_6 & & M_7 & \vdots \\ 0 & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline 0 & & & & & & & & & & & & & 0 \\ \vdots & & 1 + M_3^T & & 1 + M_6^T & & & & & & M_8 & & M_9 & \vdots \\ 0 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & 1 + M_4^T & & 1 + M_7^T & & & & 1 + M_9^T & & & & M_{10} & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

where the submatrices M_1, M_5, M_8 and M_{10} are skew symmetric of sizes

$(k - 1) \times (k - 1)$, $(t - k) \times (t - k)$, $l \times l$ and $(n - t - l - 1) \times (n - t - l - 1)$, respectively. Add the last column to the i th ($i = k + 1, \dots, k + l$), and do the same with rows. Then

$$M \sim \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & M_1 & & 1 + M_2 & & & 1 + M_3 & & M_4 & & \vdots & & \\ 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & M_2^T & & M_5 & & & 1 + M_6 & & M_7 & & \vdots & & \\ 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & \\ \hline 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & 0 \\ \vdots & & M_3^T & & M_6^T & & & M_8 & & M_9 & & \vdots & & \\ 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & 1 + M_4^T & & 1 + M_7^T & & & 1 + M_9^T & & M_{10} & & \vdots & & \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $r(M) \geq (n - 1)/2 + 1 = (n + 1)/2$. When $\delta_{11} = 1$, the proof is similar.

(ii) Attaching to M an additional totally 1 row and an additional totally 0 (i.e. its entries are all 0) column, we obtain an $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrix

$$\widehat{M} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 0 \\ & M & & \vdots \\ & & & \vdots \\ & & & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, we have $r(\widehat{M}) = r(M)$ and (i) implies that $r(M) \geq n/2 + 1$. ■

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $M = (\delta_{i,j})$ be a $(t + n) \times (t + n + 1)$ matrix over \mathbb{F}_2 with $\delta_{i,j} + \delta_{j,i} = 1$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq t + n$ and assume $t \geq 1$. Suppose that the sum of all entries in any of the first t rows is 1, and in any of the last n rows is 0.*

- (i) *If $t + n$ is even and the two rows $(\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{t+n}, 0) =: (1^{\cdots t+n}, 0)$ and $(1^{\cdots t}, 0^{\cdots n+1})$ can be expressed as linear combinations of some rows in M , then $r(M) \geq (t + n)/2 + 1$.*
- (ii) *If $t + n$ is odd and the two rows $(1^{\cdots t+n+1})$ and $(1^{\cdots t}, 0^{\cdots n+1})$ can be expressed as linear combinations of some rows in M , then $r(M) \geq (t + n + 1)/2 + 1$.*

Proof. We partition M into $\begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 & \alpha_1 \\ 1+M_2^T & M_3 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}$, where the submatrices M_1 and M_3 are skew symmetric of sizes $t \times t$ and $n \times n$ respectively, and $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is a column vector.

(i) If $(\alpha_1) \neq \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ or $(\alpha_2) \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then by Lemma 3.2(i) we have $r(M) \geq (t+n)/2 + 1$. Now we assume that $(\alpha_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $(\alpha_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Consider the following $(t+n+1) \times (t+n+1)$ matrix:

$$\widehat{M} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 & \alpha_1 \\ 1 + M_2^T & M_3 & \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1^T & 1 + \alpha_2^T & * \end{pmatrix},$$

where $*$ is the sum of all entries in the last column of M . It follows from the hypothesis that

$$\widehat{M} \sim \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 & \alpha_1 \\ 1 + M_2^T & M_3 & \alpha_2 \\ 1 + \alpha_1^T & 1 + \alpha_2^T & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 3.2(ii) we have $r(M) = r(\widehat{M}) \geq (t+n+1+1)/2 = (t+n)/2 + 1$.

(ii) As above, we can obtain a $(t+n+1) \times (t+n+1)$ matrix

$$\widehat{M} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 & \alpha_1 \\ 1 + M_2^T & M_3 & \alpha_2 \\ 1 + \alpha_1^T & \alpha_2^T & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

By hypothesis, we have

$$\widehat{M} \sim \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 & \alpha_1 \\ 1 + M_2^T & M_3 & \alpha_2 \\ 1 + \alpha_1^T & 1 + \alpha_2^T & 1 + * \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 3.2(i), the result follows. ■

LEMMA 3.4. Let $M = (\delta_{i,j})$ be a $(t+n+s+m-1) \times (t+n+s+m)$ matrix over \mathbb{F}_2 with $\delta_{i,j} + \delta_{j,i} = 1$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq t+n$. Assume that t, n and s are positive integers. Suppose that M satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) $\delta_{i,j} = \delta_{j,i}$ when $t+n+1 \leq i \neq j \leq t+n+s+m-1$;
- (b) $t+n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$;
- (c) $s+m \geq 2$;
- (d) either $(1^{\dots t}, 0^{\dots n}, 1^{\dots s}, 0^{\dots m})$ or $(0^{\dots t}, 1^{\dots n+s}, 0^{\dots m})$ can be expressed as a linear combination of some rows in M .

Then $r(M) \geq (t+n+1)/2$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we may assume that each of the last $s+m-1$ rows of M can be expressed as a linear combination of some of the first $t+n$ rows. Thus it suffices to consider the submatrix A formed by the first $t+n+1$ rows and the first $t+n+1$ columns of M . As in the proof of Lemma 3.2(ii), we can show that there exist integers $1 \leq k \leq t$ and $1 \leq l \leq n-t$ such that A can be partitioned as:

$$A \sim \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} & & & & 1 \\ & A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & A_4 & \vdots \\ & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & 0 \\ & 1 + A_2^T & A_5 & A_6 & A_7 & \vdots \\ & & & & & 0 \\ \hline & 1 + A_3^T & 1 + A_6^T & A_8 & A_9 & \vdots \\ & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & 0 \\ & 1 + A_4^T & 1 + A_7^T & 1 + A_9^T & A_{10} & \vdots \\ & & & & & 0 \\ \hline 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \mid 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & * \end{array} \right),$$

where A_1, A_5, A_8 and A_{10} are skew symmetric of sizes $k \times k, (t-k) \times (t-k), l \times l$ and $(n-t-l) \times (n-t-l)$, respectively, and $* = \delta_{t+n+1, t+n+1}$.

Suppose that $(1 \cdots^t, 0 \cdots^n, 1 \cdots^s, 0 \cdots^m)$ is a linear combination of some rows of M . Then we can attach an extra row to the above equivalent form of A to get a $(t+n+2) \times (t+n+1)$ matrix:

$$\widehat{A} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} & & & & 1 \\ & A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & A_4 & \vdots \\ & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & 0 \\ & 1 + A_2^T & A_5 & A_6 & A_7 & \vdots \\ & & & & & 0 \\ \hline & 1 + A_3^T & 1 + A_6^T & A_8 & A_9 & \vdots \\ & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & 0 \\ & 1 + A_4^T & 1 + A_7^T & 1 + A_9^T & A_{10} & \vdots \\ & & & & & 0 \\ \hline 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \mid 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & * \\ 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \mid 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right).$$

Note that

$$\widehat{A} \sim \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} & & & & 0 \\ & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & 0 \\ & & & & 0 \\ & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & 0 \\ \hline & & & & 0 \\ & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & 0 \\ & & & & 0 \\ & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & 0 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{if } * = 1;$$

and

$$\widehat{A} \sim \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} & & & & 0 \\ & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & 0 \\ & & & & 0 \\ & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & 0 \\ \hline & & & & 0 \\ & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & 0 \\ & & & & 0 \\ & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & 0 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{if } * = 0.$$

By Lemma 3.1 we have $r(A) = r(\widehat{A}) \geq (t + n - 1)/2 + 1$ and the result follows.

When $(0 \cdots t, 1 \cdots n+s, 0 \cdots m)$ is a linear combination of some rows of M , the proof is the same as above. ■

LEMMA 3.5. Let $M = (\delta_{i,j})$ be a $(t + n + s + m - 1) \times (t + n + s + m)$ matrix over \mathbb{F}_2 with $\delta_{i,j} + \delta_{j,i} = 1$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq t + n$, where t, n and s are positive integers. Suppose that M satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) $\delta_{i,j} = \delta_{j,i}$ when $t + n + 1 \leq i \neq j \leq t + n + s + m - 1$;
- (b) the sum of all entries is 1 in any of the first $t + n$ rows, and is 0 in any of the last $s + m - 1$ rows;
- (c) $t \equiv n \equiv s \pmod{2}$;

(d) both $(1^{\dots t}, 0^{\dots n}, 1^{\dots s}, 0^{\dots m})$ and $(0^{\dots t}, 1^{\dots n+s}, 0^{\dots m})$ can be expressed as linear combinations of some rows in M .

Then $r(M) \geq (t + n)/2 + 2$.

Proof. We divide the proof into two cases.

CASE 1: $t \equiv n \equiv s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. We construct a $(t + n + s + m - 1) \times (t + n + 1)$ matrix $\widehat{M} = (M_1, \alpha)$, where the submatrix M_1 is formed by the first $t + n$ columns of M , and the column vector α is the sum of the last $s + m$ columns of M . As done in Lemma 3.4, we partition the submatrix A of \widehat{M} which is formed by the first $t + n$ rows of \widehat{M} into

$$A \sim \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & A_4 & \vdots & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & 0 & \\ 1 + A_2^T & A_5 & A_6 & A_7 & \vdots & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & \\ 1 + A_3^T & 1 + A_6^T & A_8 & A_9 & \vdots & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & 0 & \\ 1 + A_4^T & 1 + A_7^T & 1 + A_9^T & A_{10} & \vdots & \\ & & & & 0 & \end{array} \right),$$

where the submatrices A_1, A_5, A_8 and A_{10} are skew symmetric of sizes $k \times k, (t - k) \times (t - k), l \times l$ and $(n - t - l) \times (n - t - l)$, respectively, and $1 \leq k \leq t, 1 \leq l \leq n$. Consider the following $(t + n + 3) \times (t + n + 1)$ matrix \widehat{A} which is formed by attaching three additional rows to the above equivalent form of A :

$$\widehat{A} = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & A_4 & \vdots & 1 & & \\ & & & & 1 & & & \\ & & & & 0 & & & \\ 1 + A_2^T & A_5 & A_6 & A_7 & \vdots & & & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & & & \\ 1 + A_3^T & 1 + A_6^T & A_8 & A_9 & \vdots & 1 & & \\ & & & & 1 & & & \\ & & & & 0 & & & \\ 1 + A_4^T & 1 + A_7^T & 1 + A_9^T & A_{10} & \vdots & & & \\ & & & & 0 & & & \\ 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & * \\ 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right).$$

Note that the $(t + n + 1)$ th row is the sum of all row vectors of the above equivalent form of A . We have $r(M) \geq r(\hat{A})$ and

$$\widehat{A} \sim \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 0 \\ & A_1 & & & 1 + A_2 & & & & 1 + A_3 & & & & & & A_4 & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 0 \\ & A_2^T & & & A_5 & & & & 1 + A_6 & & & & & & A_7 & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 0 \\ & A_3^T & & & & & A_6^T & & & & 1 + A_8 & & & & A_9 & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & 1 + A_4^T & & & & & 1 + A_7^T & & & & 1 + A_9^T & & & & A_{10} & & & & & 0 \\ & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & & & & & 0 \\ & 1 & \dots & \dots & & & & & 0 & \dots & \dots & & & & \dots & & & & & 0 \\ & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 & & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & & & & & 1 \end{array} \right) \text{ if } * = 1;$$

and

$$\widehat{A} \sim \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 0 \\ & A_1 & & & A_2 & & & & 1 + A_3 & & & & & & A_4 & & & & & & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & 1 + A_2^T & & & 1 + A_5 & & & & 1 + A_6 & & & & & & A_7 & & & & & & 0 \\ & 0 \\ \hline & 0 \\ & A_3^T & & & & & A_6^T & & & & A_8 & & & & A_9 & & & & & & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 1 + A_4^T & & & 1 + A_7^T & & & & 1 + A_9^T & & & & & & A_{10} & & & & & & 0 \\ & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & & & & & & 0 \\ & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 & & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & & & & & & 0 \\ & 1 & \dots & \dots & & & & & 0 & \dots & \dots & & & & \dots & & & & & & 1 \end{array} \right) \text{ if } * = 0.$$

By Lemma 3.2(ii) we have $r(\hat{A}) \geq (t + n + 1 + 1)/2 + 1$ and the result follows.

CASE 2: $t \equiv n \equiv s \equiv 0 \pmod 2$. We construct a $(t + n + s + m - 1) \times (t + n + 2)$ matrix $\widehat{M} = (M_1, \alpha, \beta)$, where the submatrix M_1 is formed by the first $t + n$ columns of M , the column vector α is just the $(t + n + 1)$ th column of M and the column vector β is the sum of the last $s + m - 1$ columns of M . We partition the submatrix A of size $(t + n + 1) \times (t + n + 2)$ and formed by the first $t + n + 1$ rows of \widehat{M} into

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ 1 + A_2^T & A_3 & \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \\ \alpha_1^T & \alpha_2^T & * & *' \end{pmatrix},$$

where the blocks A_1 and A_3 are skew symmetric of sizes $t \times t$ and $n \times n$ respectively, $*$ = $\delta_{t+n+1,t+n+1}$ and $*'$ = $\sum_{j=t+n+2}^{t+n+s+m} \delta_{i,j}$. Attaching to A two additional rows, we obtain a $(t + n + 3) \times (t + n + 2)$ matrix

$$\widehat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ 1 + A_2^T & A_3 & \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \\ \alpha_1^T & \alpha_2^T & * & *' \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \cdots 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \cdots 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With the same procedure as in the first case, we have

$$\widehat{A} \sim \begin{pmatrix} A'_1 & A'_2 & 0 & \beta'_1 \\ 1 + (A'_2)^T & A'_3 & 0 & \beta'_2 \\ \alpha_1^T & \alpha_2^T & * & *' \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \cdots 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \cdots 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $\begin{pmatrix} \beta'_1 \\ \beta'_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is a totally 1 column, then by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2(i),

$$r \begin{pmatrix} A'_1 & 1 + (A'_2)^T \\ A'_2 & A'_3 \\ (\beta'_1)^T & (\beta'_2)^T \end{pmatrix} \geq (t + n)/2 + 1.$$

If $\begin{pmatrix} \beta'_1 \\ \beta'_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is not a totally 1 column, then by Lemma 3.3(ii),

$$r \begin{pmatrix} A'_1 & A'_2 & 0 \\ 1 + (A'_2)^T & A'_3 & 0 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \cdots 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \geq (t + n)/2 + 1.$$

So $r(M) \geq r(\widehat{A}) \geq (t + n)/2 + 2$ and the lemma is proved. ■

Similarly, we can prove

LEMMA 3.6. *Let $M = (\delta_{i,j})$ be a $(t + n + s + m - 1) \times (t + n + s + m)$ matrix over \mathbb{F}_2 with $\delta_{i,j} + \delta_{j,i} = 1$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq t + n$, where t, n and s are positive integers. Suppose that M satisfies the following conditions:*

- (a) $\delta_{i,j} = \delta_{j,i}$ when $t + n + 1 \leq i \neq j \leq t + n + s + m - 1$;
- (b) $\sum_{j=1}^{t+n+s+m} \delta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 1, \dots, t; t + n + 1, \dots, t + n + s; \\ 0, & i = t + 1, \dots, t + n; t + n + s + 1, \dots, \\ & t + n + s + m - 1; \end{cases}$
- (c) both $(1 \cdots t, 0 \cdots n, 1 \cdots s, 0 \cdots m)$ and $(0 \cdots t, 1 \cdots n+s, 0 \cdots m)$ can be expressed as linear combinations of some rows in M .

Then:

- (i) $r(M) \geq (t + n)/2 + 2$ if $t \equiv n \equiv s \pmod{2}$;
- (ii) $r(M) \geq (t + n)/2 + 1$ if $t \equiv n \not\equiv s \pmod{2}$;
- (iii) $r(M) \geq (t + n + 1)/2 + 1$ if $t \not\equiv n \pmod{2}$.

4. All values of $r_4(K_2O_F)$. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, where $d \in \mathbb{N}$ is square-free and has at least three odd prime divisors. In this section, we recall the notion of *type* of a quadratic number field and for each type of real quadratic number field F we give all possible values of $r_4 := r_4(K_2O_F)$.

Notation. Let d have prime factorization

$$d = 2^\sigma l_1 \cdots l_m p_1 \cdots p_n q_1 \cdots q_s r_1 \cdots r_t,$$

where $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}$, $l_k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $p_h \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, $q_j \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ and $r_i \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ are different odd primes ($0 \leq k \leq m, 0 \leq h \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq s$ and $0 \leq i \leq t$). We say that d has type $2^\sigma(m, n, s, t)$. We also say that the quadratic field $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ has type $2^\sigma(m, n, s, t)$.

For any given type T , let $d(T)$ denote the set of all positive integers of type T , i.e., $d(T) = \{d \mid d \in \mathbb{N} \text{ of type } T\}$. We keep the above notations throughout this section. Clearly we have

LEMMA 4.1. *Let d have type $2^\sigma(m, n, s, t)$, where $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}$ and n, s, t are positive integers. In a sign matrix $M(d)$, arrange the first $t + n$ columns to correspond to $r_1, \dots, r_t, p_1, \dots, p_n$ and the last m columns to l_1, \dots, l_m . Then it is impossible to make any of the following rows of $M(d)$ to be a totally 1 row by applying elementary operations (III) only :*

- (a) $(-1 \cdots -1, 1 \cdots 1, \dots, 1 \cdots 1, -1 \cdots -1, 1 \cdots 1, \dots, 1 \cdots 1)$;
- (b) $(1 \cdots 1, -1 \cdots -1, 1 \cdots 1, \dots, 1 \cdots 1, -1 \cdots -1, 1 \cdots 1, \dots, 1 \cdots 1)$;
- (c) $(1 \cdots 1, -1 \cdots -1, 1 \cdots 1, \dots, 1 \cdots 1, -1 \cdots -1, 1 \cdots 1, \dots, 1 \cdots 1)$;
- (d) $(-1 \cdots -1, 1 \cdots 1, \dots, 1 \cdots 1, -1 \cdots -1, 1 \cdots 1, \dots, 1 \cdots 1)$;
- (e) $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{t+n+s}, -1 \cdots -1)$, $\varepsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$, $i = 1, \dots, t + n + s$.

We need the following

ASSUMPTION. *Notations as above. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we are given $\varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_{ij} \in \{\pm 1\}$. For any integer $0 \leq t \leq n$, there exist infinitely many $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with prime factorization $d = 2^\sigma p_1 \cdots p_n$, where $\sigma = 0$ or 1 , and primes $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ ($1 \leq i \leq t$) and $p_j \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$ ($t + 1 \leq j \leq n$) such that $(\frac{u+w}{p_k}) = \varepsilon_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $(\frac{p_i}{p_j}) = \varepsilon_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.*

We conjecture that the above Assumption always holds.

THEOREM 4.2. *Under the above Assumption for types $2^\sigma(m, 0, 0, 0)$ and $2^\sigma(m, 0, 0, t)$, where $\sigma = 0$ or 1 , for real quadratic fields F , we have the following tables of possible values of r_4 (with all congruences mod 2):*

Table I

Type		min r_4	max r_4
$(m, 0, s, 0)$	$s \equiv 0$	1	$s + m - 1$
	$s \equiv 1$	0	$s + m - 1$
$(m, n, 0, 0)$	$n \equiv 0$	0	$n/2 + m - 1$
	$n \equiv 1$	0	$(n - 1)/2 + m$
$(m, 0, 0, t)$	$t \equiv 0$	1	$t/2 + m$
	$t \equiv 1$	1	$(t + 1)/2 + m$
$(m, n, s, 0)$	$n \equiv 0$	$s \equiv 0$	$n/2 + s + m - 1$
		$s \equiv 1$	$n/2 + s + m$
	$n \equiv 1$	1	$(n - 1)/2 + s + m$
$(m, 0, s, t)$	$t \equiv 0$	$s \equiv 0$	$t/2 + s + m - 1$
		$s \equiv 1$	$t/2 + s + m$
	$t \equiv 1$	1	$(t - 1)/2 + s + m$
$(m, n, 0, t)$	$t + n \equiv 0$	1	$(t + n)/2 + m$
	$t + n \equiv 1$	1	$(t + n + 1)/2 + m$
(m, n, s, t)	$t + n \equiv 0$	$t \equiv s$	$(t + n)/2 + s + m - 1$
		$t \not\equiv s$	$(t + n)/2 + s + m$
	$t + n \equiv 1$	1	$(t + n - 1)/2 + s + m$
$(m, 0, 0, 0)$		0	m

Table II

Type		min r_4	max r_4
$2(m, 0, s, 0)$	$s \equiv 0$	0	$s + m - 2$
	$s \equiv 1$	0	$s + m - 1$
$2(m, n, 0, 0)$	$n \equiv 0$	1	$n/2 + m$
	$n \equiv 1$	0	$(n - 1)/2 + m$
$2(m, 0, 0, t)$	$t \equiv 0$	1	$t/2 + m$
	$t \equiv 1$	1	$(t + 1)/2 + m$
$2(m, n, s, 0)$	$n \equiv 0$	$s \equiv 0$	$n/2 + s + m - 1$
		$s \equiv 1$	$n/2 + s + m$
	$n \equiv 1$	1	$(n - 1)/2 + s + m$
$2(m, 0, s, t)$	$t \equiv 0$	$s \equiv 0$	$t/2 + s + m - 1$
		$s \equiv 1$	$t/2 + s + m$
	$t \equiv 1$	1	$(t - 1)/2 + s + m$
$2(m, n, 0, t)$	$t + n \equiv 0$	1	$(t + n)/2 + m$
	$t + n \equiv 1$	1	$(t + n + 1)/2 + m$
$2(m, n, s, t)$	$t + n \equiv 0$	$t \equiv s$	$(t + n)/2 + s + m - 1$
		$t \not\equiv s$	$(t + n)/2 + s + m$
	$t + n \equiv 1$	1	$(t + n - 1)/2 + s + m$
$2(m, 0, 0, 0)$		0	m

For each type T and each integer k between the minimum and maximum values of r_4 for this type, there exist infinitely many real quadratic number fields of type T with $r_4 = k$.

Proof. Since all the minimums of r_4 have been determined in [16], it suffices to consider the maximums and the value set of r_4 . For each type T ,

the maximum of r_4 is ensured by the results of Section 3. For every integer k between the minimum and maximum values of r_4 , we will construct a sign matrix $M_k(d)$ such that the maximal number of totally 1 rows of all equivalent forms of $M_k(d)$ is exactly k .

As remarked in [16], a totally 1 row (if any) of a sign matrix can be obtained by applying elementary operations (I) and (II), and by at most one elementary operation (III). So, for each type T we construct a sign matrix $M_k(d)$ with respect to a system of ∇ -representatives and $E = \{1, \dots, 1\}$. Every equivalent form of $M_k(d)$ will be obtained by the application of elementary operations (I) and (II), and by applying an elementary operation (III) at the last step.

We may assume that n , s and t are positive integers, and only m can be 0. In a sign matrix, the first t columns will correspond to the primes r_1, \dots, r_t , columns $t + 1$ to $t + n$ will correspond to the primes p_1, \dots, p_n , columns $t + n + 1$ to $t + n + s$ will correspond to the primes q_1, \dots, q_s , and columns $t + n + s + 1$ to $t + n + s + m$ will correspond to the primes l_1, \dots, l_m .

CASE (A): $T = (m, 0, s, 0)$ with $m + s \geq 3$:

(A₁): s is even. First assume that $m > 0$. Suppose that $S = \{q_1, \dots, q_s, l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}\}$ is a system of ∇ -representatives. It follows from Lemma 2.6 and the law of quadratic reciprocity that we may choose $d \in d(T)$ such that

$$M_k(d) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 \\ & \ddots & & & & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 \\ & & & -1 & \dots & \dots & -1 \\ & & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & & & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,i} = \delta_{i,s+m} = -1, & k + 1 \leq i \leq s + m - 1; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $1 \leq k \leq s + m - 1$. According to Lemma 2.4 and the definition of sign matrix, the above matrices are sign matrices with respect to S and $E = \{1, \dots, 1\}$. It is easy to see that the number of totally 1 rows in $M_k(d)$ is no less than k . This implies that $r_4 \geq k$ for the real quadratic number fields as above. If we view $M_k(d)$ as a matrix over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, then the rank of $M_k(d)$ is $s + m - 1 - k$. Thus if we apply elementary operations (I) and (II) to $M_k(d)$ only, then we see easily that the rank of every equivalent form of $M_k(d)$ is also $s + m - 1 - k$. Therefore we must apply an elementary operation (III) to $M_k(d)$ if we want to obtain an extra totally 1 row. Since $k > 0$, when applying elementary operations (I) and (II) only, one cannot

obtain the following row in any equivalent form of $M_k(d)$:

$$(-1 \cdots^s, 1 \cdots^m).$$

Now we see that the rank of $M_k(d)$ is unchanged under elementary operations (III). It follows from Lemma 2.5 that $r_4 = k$ for $M_k(d)$. Note that if $k = 0$ in the above sign matrix, multiplying the first row by rows from 2 to s and applying an elementary operation (III) with $\varepsilon = 2$, one can obtain an equivalent form of $M_0(d)$ whose first row has entries 1 everywhere. Therefore r_4 can be any integer k with $1 \leq k \leq s + m - 1$.

Now we suppose that $m = 0$ and $S = \{q_1, \dots, q_{s-1}\}$ is a system of ∇ -representatives. Choose d such that

$$M_k(d) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ & \ddots & & & & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & -1 \\ & & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & & & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,i} = \delta_{i,s} = -1, & k + 1 \leq i \leq s - 1; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $1 \leq k \leq s - 1$. If $k = 0$, applying the same elementary operations as in the case $m > 0$, we can see that $M_0(d)$ is equivalent to a matrix whose first row is totally 1. Hence $1 \leq r_4 \leq s - 1$ for this type.

Observe that, for $m = 0$ and $m > 0$, the sign matrices $M_k(d)$ we described are essentially the same. So, in the following cases, we only consider $m > 0$.

(A₂): s is odd. We choose $S = \{q_1, \dots, q_s, l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}\}$ as a system of ∇ -representatives. Put

$$M_k(d) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ & \ddots & & & & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & -1 \\ & & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & & & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,i} = \delta_{i,s+m} = -1, & k + 1 \leq i \leq s + m - 1; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq k \leq s + m - 1$. Thus r_4 can be any integer k for $0 \leq k \leq s + m - 1$.

CASE (B): $T = (m, n, 0, 0)$ with $m + n \geq 3$:

(B₁): n is odd. Suppose that $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_n, l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}\}$ is a system of ∇ -representatives. Choose $d \in d(T)$ such that

$$M_{k+m-1}(d) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & & & & & & \vdots \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & (-1)^{2k+1} \\ -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & -1 & \cdots & (-1)^{2k+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & & & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & \cdots & (-1)^n \\ 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,j} = -1, & 1 \leq j \leq 2(k-1) \text{ and } j+2 \leq i \leq n; \\ \delta_{i,j} = -1, & 2k < i \leq j \leq n; \\ \delta_{i,i} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k-1; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,n+m} = (-1)^i, & 2k \leq i \leq n; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2$. Applying elementary operations (I), we have the following equivalent form of $M_{k+m-1}(d)$:

$$M_{k+m-1}(d) \cong \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & -1 & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & -1 & & -1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 0 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 0 \leq i \leq 2k-1; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 0 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i} = \delta_{i,n+m} = -1, & 2k+1 \leq i \leq n; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2$. It is easy to see that the number of totally 1 rows in the above matrices is $k + m - 1$. If we let $\delta_{n,n} = \delta_{n,n+m} = 1$ in $M_{(n-1)/2}(d)$, then the number of totally 1 rows in the above matrix is $(n-1)/2 + m$. Choose $d \in d(T)$ such that

$$M_h(d) \cong \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & & & & -1 \\ & \ddots & & & & & \vdots \\ & & -1 & & & & -1 \\ & & & 1 & & & 1 \\ & & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,i} = \delta_{i,n+m} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ or } n+h+1 \leq i \leq n+m+1; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq h \leq m - 1$. Then $r_4 = h, 0 \leq h \leq m - 1$.

Finally, we prove that $r_4 \leq (n-1)/2 + m$ for any choice of $M(d)$: First suppose that $m = 0$. Note that the product of all entries in each row of any $M \cong M(d)$, where M is obtained from $M(d)$ by applying elementary operations (I) and (II), is 1. We see that there exist no totally -1 row since n is odd. By Lemma 3.1 one can check that $r_4 \leq (n-1)/2$. Now assume that $m > 0$. We view any sign matrix $M(d)$ as a matrix over \mathbb{F}_2 . If some row in the last $m - 1$ rows cannot be expressed as a linear combination of some of the first n rows, then $r(M(d)) > (n-1)/2$. Returning to the sign matrix, we have $r_4 \leq (n-1)/2 + m$. So we may assume that each of the last $m - 1$ rows can be expressed as a linear combination of some of the first n rows of $M(d)$. We need only consider the submatrix of $M(d)$ formed by the first n rows and first n columns. By Lemma 3.1, we have $r_4 < (n-1)/2 + m + 1$.

So, the value set of r_4 is $\{0, \dots, (n-1)/2 + m\}$ for this type.

(B₂): n is even. Then $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. By Lemma 2.3 we choose $S = \{p_1 p_2, \dots, p_1 p_n, l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}\}$ as a system of ∇ -representatives. Let $\left(\frac{p_j}{p_1}\right) = \left(\frac{l_i}{p_1}\right) = 1$ for all $2 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$. Write the sign matrix

$$M(d) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ N \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the submatrix N is of size $(n+m-2) \times (n+m-1)$, as in case (B₁). Dealing with the submatrix N as in case (B₁), we obtain $0 \leq r_4 \leq (n-2)/2 + m = n/2 + m - 1$.

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 0 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 0 \leq i \leq 2k - 1; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 0 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i} = \delta_{i,n+s+m} = -1, & 2k + 1 \leq i \leq n \\ & \text{or } n + h + 1 \leq i \leq n + s + m - 1; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $1 \leq k \leq (n - 1)/2$ and $0 \leq h \leq s + m - 1$. Then $r_4 = k + h$. One can easily check that r_4 is also 1 if $k = h = 0$. Hence $1 \leq r_4 \leq (n - 1)/2 + s + m - 1$. When $k = (n - 1)/2$ and $h = s + m - 1$, if we let $\delta_{n,n} = \delta_{n,n+s+m} = 1$ in the above matrix, then $r_4 = (n - 1)/2 + s + m$. So $1 \leq r_4 \leq (n - 1)/2 + s + m$ for this type.

(D₂): $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then $d \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. Suppose that $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n, l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}\}$ is a system of ∇ -representatives. Choose $d \in d(T)$ such that $M_{k+h}(d)$ is

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc|cccc} -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & & & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & (-1)^{2k+1} \\ & & & & & & & \ddots & & & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & (-1)^n \\ \hline -1 & & & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & & -1 & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & & \vdots & & & & & & & \\ -1 & & & \cdots & \cdots & & & -1 & & & & N_h(d) & & & \\ 1 & & & \cdots & \cdots & & & 1 & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & & \vdots & & & & & & & \\ 1 & & & \cdots & \cdots & & & 1 & & & & & & & \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,j} = -1, & 1 \leq j \leq 2(k - 1) \text{ and } j + 2 \leq i \leq n \\ & \text{or } 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } n + 1 \leq j \leq n + s \\ & \text{or } n + 1 \leq i \leq n + s \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n; \\ \delta_{i,j} = -1, & 2k < i \leq j \leq n; \\ \delta_{i,i} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k - 1; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,n+s+m} = (-1)^i, & 2k \leq i \leq n; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq k \leq n/2$ and the submatrices $N_h(d)$ ($0 \leq h \leq s + m - 1$) are the same as in case (A₂). Applying elementary operations (I), we obtain the following equivalent form:

$$M_{k+h}(d) \cong \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & -1 & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & \ddots & & & & & & & & \vdots & & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & 1 & & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & 1 & & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & & & -1 & & & & & 1 & & 1 & 1 & \cdots & -1 \\ & & & & & & \ddots & & & & \vdots & & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & -1 \\ -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & & & & -1 & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & & \vdots & & & & & & & & \\ -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & & & & -1 & & & & & & N_h(d) & & \\ 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & & & & 1 & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & & \vdots & & & & & & & & \\ 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & & & & 1 & & & & & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i} = \delta_{i,n+s+m} = -1, & 2k + 1 \leq i \leq n; \\ \delta_{1,j} = -1, & n + 1 \leq j \leq n + s; \\ \delta_{i,j} = -1, & n + 1 \leq i \leq n + s \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Multiplying the first two rows by all rows $2i$ ($i = 2, \dots, k$) and rows $2k + 1$ to n , we see that they will be $(-1^{\dots n+s}, 1^{\dots m})$ and $(-1^{\dots n}, 1^{\dots s+m})$, respectively. We can convert them to be totally 1 rows by applying an elementary operation (III) with $\varepsilon = 2$ and $\varepsilon = -2$, respectively. So we have $1 \leq r_4 \leq n/2 + s + m$. The fact that $r_4 < n/2 + s + m + 1$ follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2(ii).

(D₃): $n \equiv s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. So $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Choose $S = \{p_1 p_2, \dots, p_1 p_n, q_1, \dots, q_s, l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}\}$ as a system of ∇ -representatives. As in case (B₂), we write

$$M(d) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ N \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the submatrix N is of size $(n + m - 2) \times (n + m - 1)$, as in case (D₁). One can easily check that $1 \leq r_4 \leq n/2 + s + m - 1$.

As done in case (B₂), we consider the $(n + s + m - 1) \times (n + s + m)$ matrix

$$\widehat{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ M(d) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\alpha = \left(\left(\frac{d/p_1}{p_1} \right), \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2} \right), \dots, \left(\frac{p_1}{p_n} \right), \left(\frac{p_1}{q_1} \right), \dots, \left(\frac{p_1}{q_s} \right), \dots, \left(\frac{p_1}{l_1} \right), \dots, \left(\frac{p_1}{l_m} \right) \right).$$

Multiplying the i th rows ($i = 2, \dots, n$) of \widehat{M} by the first row, we obtain a new equivalent form of \widehat{M} and partition it into

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 & \alpha_1 \\ M_2^T & M_3 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the blocks M_1 and M_3 are of sizes $n \times n$ and $(s + m - 1) \times (s + m - 1)$ respectively, and $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is the last column. We view the above equivalent form of \widehat{M} as a matrix over \mathbb{F}_2 . Consider the $n \times (n + 1)$ matrix (M_1, β) , where the column vector β is the sum of all columns of M_2 and the column vector α_1 . It follows from Lemma 3.3 that $r_4 < n/2 + s + m$.

So, for this type, we have $1 \leq r_4 \leq n/2 + s + m - 1$.

CASE (E): $T = (m, 0, s, t)$ with $m + s + t \geq 3$: Everything here is the same as in Case (D). With similar constructions of sign matrices $M(d)$, we have

$$1 \leq r_4 \leq \begin{cases} t/2 + s + m - 1 & \text{if } t \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}; \\ t/2 + s + m & \text{if } t \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}; \\ (t - 1)/2 + s + m & \text{if } t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

CASE (F): $T = (m, n, 0, t)$ with $m + n + t \geq 3$:

(F₁): $t + n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Let $S = \{r_1, \dots, r_t, p_1, \dots, p_n, l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}\}$ be a system of ∇ -representatives. First we assume that t is even. As in case (B₁), we have $1 \leq r_4 \leq (t + n - 1)/2 + m$. Choose $d \in d(T)$ such that

$$M(d) \cong \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & \ddots & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & 1 & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & 1 & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & 1 & 1 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq t+n-1; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq t+n-1; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq t+n-1; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

Multiplying both rows $t-1$ and t by all rows $2i+1$ ($i = 0, \dots, t/2-2$), we obtain a new equivalent form of $M(d)$, in which both row $t-1$ and row t are

$$(-1 \dots^t, 1 \dots^{n+m}).$$

They will be totally 1 rows if one applies an elementary operation (III) with $\varepsilon = -2$. Then $r_4 = (t+n+1)/2 + m$. It follows from Lemmas 2.4 and 3.1 that $r_4 < (t+n+1)/2 + m + 1$. The proof for the case $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ is similar.

So, for this type, we have $1 \leq r_4 \leq (t+n+1)/2 + m$.

(F₂): $t \equiv n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then $d \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. Suppose that $S = \{r_1, \dots, r_t, p_1, \dots, p_n, l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}\}$ is a system of ∇ -representatives. By a discussion similar to case (C₁) (see below), one can easily see that r_4 can be any k for $1 \leq k \leq (t+n)/2 + m - 1$. That r_4 be $(t+n)/2 + m$ follows by putting

$$M(d) \cong \left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc} -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & \ddots & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & -1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & \ddots & & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq t-1 \\ & \text{or } t+1 \leq i \leq t+n-1; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq t-1; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 2 \leq i \leq t; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^{i+1}, & t+1 \leq i \leq t+n-1; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^i, & t+1 \leq i \leq t+n; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

On the other hand, Lemma 3.2(ii) implies that $r_4 < (t + n)/2 + m + 1$. So we have $1 \leq r_4 \leq (t + n)/2 + m$.

(F₃): $t \equiv n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Then $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Choose $S = \{r_1r_2, r_1r_3, \dots, r_1r_t, r_1p_1, \dots, r_1p_n, l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}\}$ as a system of ∇ -representatives. As in case (B₂), by Lemma 3.2(i) one can easily show that $r_4 \leq (t + n)/2 + m$. As in cases (B₂) and (F₁), r_4 can be any integer k with $1 \leq k \leq (t + n)/2 + m$.

CASE (G): $T = (m, n, s, t)$ with $m + n + s + t \geq 3$:

(G₁): $t \equiv n \not\equiv s \pmod{2}$. Then $d \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. Let $S = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t, p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_s, l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}\}$ be a system of ∇ -representatives. With a similar choice of the entries of $M(d)$ as in case (C₁), we see that r_4 can be any integer k with $1 \leq k \leq (t + n)/2 + s + m - 1$. When s is even, we choose $d \in d(T)$ such that

$$M(d) \cong \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc} -1 & -1 & & & 1 & & \dots & & 1 & & & & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & & & 1 & & \dots & & 1 & & \dots & & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots & & & & \vdots & & & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & -1 & 1 & & & \vdots & & & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & -1 & 1 & & & \vdots & & & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & & & -1 & & \dots & 1 & & & & -1 & \dots & -1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \hline -1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & -1 & & & -1 & -1 & & & -1 & \dots & -1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 & & & -1 & -1 & & & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & & & & \vdots & & & \ddots & & & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & & \vdots & & & & -1 & -1 & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & & \vdots & & & & & -1 & -1 & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 & & & & & & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \hline -1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & -1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & -1 & & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & & & & \vdots & & & & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & -1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & -1 & & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq t + n - 1; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq t - 1; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq t - 1; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & t + 1 \leq i \leq t + n - 1; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^i, & t + 1 \leq i \leq t + n - 1; \\ \delta_{i,j} = -1, & t + n + 1 \leq i \leq t + n + s \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq t + n; \\ \delta_{t,j} = -1, & t + n + 1 \leq j \leq t + n + s; \\ \delta_{t+1,j} = -1, & 1 \leq j \leq t \text{ or } t + n + 1 \leq j \leq t + n + s; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

It is easy to check that $r_4 = (t + n)/2 + s + m$ for the above choice. When s is odd, choose $d \in d(T)$ such that

$$M(d) \cong \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc} -1 & -1 & & & & -1 & \dots & -1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & & & & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ & & \ddots & & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & -1 & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & -1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \hline & & & -1 & -1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ & & & -1 & -1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ & & & & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & & & -1 & -1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ & & & & & -1 & -1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \hline -1 & \dots & -1 & -1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & -1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -1 & \dots & -1 & -1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & -1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq t + n; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq t + n; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq t + n; \\ \delta_{1,j} = -1, & t + n + 1 \leq j \leq t + n + s; \\ \delta_{i,j} = -1, & t + n + 1 \leq i \leq t + n + s \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq t + n; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Multiplying both rows $t + n - 1$ and $t + n$ by all rows $2i + 1$ ($i = 0, \dots, (t + n)/2 - 2$), we obtain a new equivalent form of $M(d)$, in which both row $t + n - 1$ and row $t + n$ are

$$(-1^{\dots t+n}, 1^{\dots s+m}).$$

Applying an elementary operation (III) with $\varepsilon = -1$, we see that all -1 entries in this row are transformed into 1. Next, multiplying the first row by all rows $2i$ ($i = 2, \dots, t/2$), we see that it will become

$$(-1^{\dots t}, 1^{\dots n}, -1^{\dots s}, 1^{\dots m}).$$

Applying an elementary operation (III) with $\varepsilon = -2$, we see that it becomes a totally 1 row. Thus $r_4 = (t + n)/2 + s + m$ for the above choice.

By Lemmas 3.2(ii) and 4.1, one can easily prove that $r_4 < (t + n)/2 + s + m + 1$. So, for this type, $1 \leq r_4 \leq (t + n)/2 + s + m$.

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,s+m} = -1, & 0 \leq i \leq k; \\ \delta_{i,i} = -1, & k + 1 \leq i \leq s; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $1 \leq k \leq s$. Then $r_4 = k - 1 + m - 1$, $1 \leq k \leq s$. Choose $d \in d(T)$ such that

$$M_h(d) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & & -1 \\ & -1 & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & \ddots & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & 1 & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & -1 & & -1 \\ & & & & & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 2 \leq i \leq s - 1; \\ \delta_{i,i} = \delta_{i,s+m} = -1, & s + h + 1 \leq i \leq s + m - 1; \\ \delta_{1,s+m} = -1; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq h \leq m - 1$. Thus r_4 can be any integer h for $0 \leq h \leq m - 1$. Since the product of all entries in any of the first s rows is -1 and s is even, $r_4 \leq s + m - 2$. Hence $0 \leq r_4 \leq s + m - 2$.

(A₂): $s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. With the above constructions, we have $0 \leq r_4 \leq s + m - 2$. It is sufficient to show that $r_4 = s + m - 1$ for some $M(d)$. In fact, if we choose $d \in d(T)$ such that

$$M(d) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \cdots & -1 & & & 1 \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -1 & \cdots & -1 & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 & & 1 \\ & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,j} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq s \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq s; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and apply an elementary operation (III) with $\varepsilon = 2$, then $M(d)$ is equivalent to a matrix with all entries 1. So $r_4 = s + m - 1$.

CASE (B): $T = 2(m, n, 0, 0)$ with $m + n \geq 3$: We have $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $2 \notin NF$. Suppose that $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_n, l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}\}$ is a system of ∇ -representatives.

(\mathbb{B}_1): $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Then $d/2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Choose $d \in d(T)$ such that

$$M_{k+e}(d) \cong \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & \ddots & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & 1 \\ & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & -1 & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & -1 & & -1 \\ & & & & & & & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & -1 & -1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 0 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 0 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 0 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i} = \delta_{i,n+m} = -1, & 2k+1 \leq i \leq n \\ & \text{or } n+e+1 \leq i \leq n+m-1; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{array} \right.$$

where $0 \leq k \leq n/2$ and $0 \leq e \leq m-1$. When $k \leq n/2 - 1$, multiplying the n th row by all rows $2j$ ($j = 1, \dots, k$) and rows $2k+1$ to $n-1$, we see that it will be

$$(-1^{\dots n}, 1^{\dots m}).$$

When $k = n/2$, multiplying both rows $n-1$ and n by all rows $2j$ ($j = 1, \dots, k-1$), one can see that they will be

$$(-1^{\dots n}, 1^{\dots m}).$$

Applying an elementary operation (III) with $\varepsilon = 2$, we see that the above rows will become totally 1. It follows that $r_4 = k + e + 1$, i.e. $1 \leq r_4 \leq n/2 + m$.

Finally, by Lemma 3.1 one can easily prove that $r_4 < n/2 + m + 1$ for any choice of $M(d)$.

(\mathbb{B}_2): $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then $d/2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Choose $d \in d(T)$ such that $M_{k+e}(d)$ is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \delta_{i,i} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,j} = -1, & 1 \leq i < j \leq t; \\ \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 2k + 1 \leq i \leq t; \\ \delta_{i,t+m} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,t+m} = (-1)^i, & 2k + 1 \leq i \leq t; \\ \delta_{i,i} = \delta_{i,t+m} = -1, & t + h + 1 \leq i \leq t + m - 1; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{array} \right.$$

where $0 \leq k \leq t/2$ and $0 \leq h \leq m - 1$. By elementary operations (I) and (II), we have the following equivalent form:

$$N_{k+h}(d) \cong \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & \ddots & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & 1 \\ & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & -1 & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & -1 & & -1 \\ & & & & & & & & -1 & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & & & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i} = \delta_{i,t+m} = -1, & 2k + 1 \leq i \leq t - 1 \\ & \text{or } t + h + 1 \leq i \leq t + m - 1; \\ \delta_{t,t} = -1; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{array} \right.$$

where $1 \leq k \leq t/2$ and $0 \leq h \leq m - 1$. One can easily check that $r_4 = k + h$ for the above choice. So, by assumption, we may choose the last row of $M(d)$ such that $1 \leq r_4 \leq t/2 + m$.

(C₂): $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then $d/2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. This is the same as the case $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ of case (C).

CASE (D): $T = 2(m, n, s, 0)$ with $m + n + s \geq 3$: Then $2 \notin NF$. Suppose that $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_s, l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}\}$ is a system of ∇ -representatives. Deal with $M(d)$ as in case (B).

(\mathbb{D}_1): $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Then $d/2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. With suitable choices of $M(d)$ as in cases (\mathbb{A}) and (\mathbb{B}_1), we can easily check that r_4 can be any integer k with

$$1 \leq k \leq \begin{cases} n/2 + s + m & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}; \\ n/2 + s + m - 1 & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $r_4 < n/2 + s + m + 1$ if $s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. On the other hand, when $s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, the product of all entries in any of the first n rows of $M(d)$ is 1 and the product of all entries in row i ($i = n + 1, \dots, n + s$) is -1 . By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, one can easily prove that $r_4 < n/2 + s + m$.

(\mathbb{D}_2): $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then $d/2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. By a similar discussion to that in case (\mathbb{B}_2), the number of totally 1 rows in the first n rows of $M(d)$ can range from 1 to $(n - 1)/2 + 1$. If $s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, by a similar construction of $M(d)$ to that in case (\mathbb{A}_2), the number of totally 1 rows in the first $n + s$ rows is $(n - 1)/2 + s + 1$. So $1 \leq r_4 \leq (n - 1)/2 + s + m$. Now, we assume $s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. We want to verify that r_4 can be $(n - 1)/2 + s + m$. In fact, choose $d \in d(T)$ such that

$$M(d) \cong \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & & & & & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & & & & & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & \ddots & & & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & & & -1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & & & & & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & & & & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq n; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq n - 1; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n - 1; \\ \delta_{n,j} = -1, & n + 1 \leq j \leq n + s; \\ \delta_{i,j} = -1, & n + 1 \leq i \leq n + s \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence $r_4 = (n - 1)/2 + s + m$. So, for this type, r_4 can be any integer k with $1 \leq k \leq (n - 1)/2 + s + m$. Note that $r_4 \leq (n - 1)/2 + s + m$ is ensured by Lemma 3.1.

CASE (E): $T = 2(m, 0, s, t)$ with $m + s + t \geq 3$: Suppose that $S = \{r_1, \dots, r_t, q_1, \dots, q_s, l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}\}$ is a system of ∇ -representatives. Deal with $M(d)$ as in case (D).

(E₁): $t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Then $d/2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. With similar choices of entries of $M(d)$ to those in cases (A₁) and (B₂), we find that r_4 can be any integer k with $1 \leq k \leq t/2 + s + m - 2$. Taking $n = 0$ in Lemma 3.3(i), we can prove that $r_4 \leq t/2 + s + m - 1$. Now we are going to show that r_4 can be $t/2 + s + m - 1$. Consider the matrix

$$M(d) \cong \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & \ddots & & & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & 1 & & & & & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & & \ddots & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & & & & 1 & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq t; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq t; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq t; \\ \delta_{i,t+s+m} = -1, & t \leq i \leq t+s; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Multiplying row t by row $t + 1$, we obtain an equivalent form

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & \ddots & & & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & 1 & & & & & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & & \ddots & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & & & & 1 & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq t; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq t; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq t; \\ \delta_{i,t+s+m} = -1, & t+1 \leq i \leq t+s; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence $r_4 = t/2 + s + m - 1$. So, for this type, we have $1 \leq r_4 \leq t/2 + s + m - 1$.

(\mathbb{E}_2): $t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then $d/2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $r_4 \leq t/2 + s + m$. Choose $d \in d(T)$ such that

$$M_{k+h}(d) \cong \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & & & & -1 & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & & & & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & \ddots & & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & -1 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & -1 & -1 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & & -1 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & \cdots & -1 \\ & & & & & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & -1 \\ -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 \\ \vdots & & & & & & & \vdots & & & & & \vdots \\ -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & & & & & -1 \\ 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & & & & & 1 \\ \vdots & & & & & & & \vdots & & & & & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad N_h(d)$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i} = \delta_{i,t+s+m} = -1, & 2k + 1 \leq i \leq t; \\ \delta_{1,j} = -1, & t + 1 \leq j \leq t + s; \\ \delta_{i,j} = -1, & t + 1 \leq i \leq t + s \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq t; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq k \leq t/2$ and the submatrices N_h ($0 \leq h \leq s + m - 1$) are the same as in case (\mathbb{A}_2). Then $r_4 = k + h + 1$, i.e., $1 \leq r_4 \leq t/2 + s + m$.

(\mathbb{E}_3): $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then $d/2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Choose $d \in d(T)$ such that

$$M_{k+h}(d) \cong \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ & & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & -1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & -1 & -1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\ & & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & & & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\ 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & & & & & \vdots & & & & & & & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad N_h$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i} = \delta_{i,t+s+m} = -1, & 2k + 1 \leq i \leq t; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq k \leq (t - 1)/2$ and the submatrices N_h ($0 \leq h \leq s + m - 2$ if s is even, or $0 \leq h \leq s + m - 1$ if s is odd) are the same as in case (A). When s is odd, we have $1 \leq r_4 \leq (t - 1)/2 + s + m - 1$. Furthermore, taking $k = (t - 1)/2$ and putting $\delta_{t,t} = \delta_{t,t+s+m} = 1$ in the above sign matrix, we have $r_4 = (t - 1)/2 + s + m$. Now we assume that s is even. It follows from the above choices of $M(d)$ that $1 \leq r_4 \leq (t - 1)/2 + s + m - 2$, and r_4 is $(t - 1)/2 + s + m - 1$ if we take $k = (t - 1)/2$ and put $\delta_{t,t} = \delta_{t,t+s+m} = 1$ in the above sign matrix. Choose $d \in d(T)$ such that

$$M(d) \cong \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & \cdots & 1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & & & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & & & & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & & & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq t - 1; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq t - 1; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq t - 1; \\ \delta_{1,j} = -1, & t + 1 \leq j \leq t + s; \\ \delta_{i,j} = -1, & t + 1 \leq i \leq t + s \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq t; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have $r_4 = (t - 1)/2 + s + m$.

So, for this type, Lemma 3.1 implies that $1 \leq r_4 \leq (t - 1)/2 + s + m$.

CASE (F): $T = 2(m, n, 0, t)$ with $t + n + m \geq 3$: Let $S = \{r_1, \dots, r_t, p_1, \dots, p_n, l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}\}$ be a system of ∇ -representatives.

(F₁): $t + n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then $d/2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Applying elementary operations (I) and (II) to $M(d)$, we see from Lemma 3.1 that the number of totally 1 (or totally -1) rows in any equivalent form of $M(d)$ is no more than $(t + n + 1)/2 + m$. Suppose that $M'(d)$ is one of equivalent forms of

$M(d)$ whose number of totally 1 (or totally -1) rows is $(t+n+1)/2+m$. If $(1 \cdots^t, -1 \cdots^n, 1 \cdots^m)$ or $(-1 \cdots^t, 1 \cdots^{n+m})$ appears in $M'(d)$, then by an elementary operation (III) we can obtain an extra totally 1 row. So $r_4 \leq (t+n+1)/2+m$. Now we show that every value of r_4 between 1 and $(t+n+1)/2+m$ occurs. First assume that $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. With a similar argument to that in case (B), we choose $d \in d(T)$ such that $M_{k+e+h}(d)$ is equivalent to

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc}
-1 & -1 & & & \cdots & & & & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & & & \cdots & & & & 1 \\
 & & \ddots & & \cdots & & & & \vdots \\
 & & & -1 & -1 & & & & 1 \\
 & & & -1 & -1 & & & & 1 \\
 & & & & & -1 & & & -1 \\
 & & & & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\
 & & & & & & & -1 & -1 \\
\hline
-1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & 1 \\
 & & & & & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\
 \vdots & & & & & \vdots & -1 & -1 & \cdots & 1 \\
 \vdots & & & & & \vdots & -1 & -1 & \cdots & 1 \\
 \vdots & & & & & \vdots & & & -1 & -1 \\
 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & & & \cdots & -1 \\
\hline
 & & & & & & & & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
 & & & & & & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\
 & & & & & & & & & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
 & & & & & & & & & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\
 & & & & & & & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\
 & & & & & & & & & & -1 & -1
 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{i,i} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq t+n \\
& \text{or } t+n+h+1 \leq i \leq t+n+m-1; \\
\delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\
\delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\
\delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & t+1 \leq i \leq t+2e; \\
\delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^i, & t+1 \leq i \leq t+2e; \\
\delta_{t+1,j} = -1, & 1 \leq j \leq t; \\
\delta_{i,t+n+m} = -1, & 2k+1 \leq i \leq t \\
& \text{or } t+2e+1 \leq i \leq t+n \\
& \text{or } t+n+h+1 \leq i \leq t+n+m-1; \\
\delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,}
\end{array} \right.$$

where $0 \leq k \leq (t-1)/2$, $0 \leq e \leq n/2$ and $0 \leq h \leq m-1$. When $e = n/2$, multiplying both rows $t+n-1$ and $t+n$ by all rows $t+2i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n/2-1$), we obtain a new equivalent form of $M_{k+e+h}(d)$ whose $(t+n-1)$ th and

$(t + n)$ th rows both are

$$(1 \cdots^t, -1 \cdots^n, 1 \cdots^m).$$

By an elementary operation (III) with $\varepsilon = 2$, they will be totally 1. Therefore one can easily check that $r_4 = k + e + h + 1$ for the above choices. So $1 \leq r_4 \leq (t+n+1)/2+m-1$. If we take $k = (t-1)/2$, $e = n/2$, $h = m-1$ and $\delta_{t,t} = \delta_{t,t+n+m} = 1$ in the above sign matrix, we obtain $r_4 = (t+n+1)/2+m$.

Similarly, we can prove that $1 \leq r_4 \leq (t + n + 1)/2 + m$ when $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

(\mathbb{F}_2): $t + n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Then $d/2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. A similar argument to those in cases (\mathbb{B}) and (\mathbb{E}) implies that the number of totally 1 rows in the equivalent forms of $M(d)$ can range from 1 to $(t + n)/2 + m$. So, for this type, by Lemma 3.3 we have $1 \leq r_4 \leq (t + n)/2 + m$.

CASE (\mathbb{G}): $T = 2(m, n, s, t)$ with $m + n + s + t \geq 3$: Suppose that $S = \{r_1, \dots, r_t, p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_s, l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}\}$ is a system of ∇ -representatives. Since the upper bound can be determined by Lemmas 3.6 and 4.1, it suffices to show that every value of r_4 between the lower and upper bounds can occur.

(\mathbb{G}_1): $s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. We consider the following subcases:

(\mathbb{G}_{11}): $t + n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then $d/2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. First assume that $t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. We choose $d \in d(T)$ such that $M_{k+e+f+h}(d)$ is equivalent to

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc}
-1 & -1 & \cdots & & & & \cdots & & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & & & & & \cdots & & 1 \\
& & \ddots & & & & & & \vdots \\
& & & -1 & -1 & & \cdots & & 1 \\
& & & -1 & -1 & & \cdots & & 1 \\
& & & & & -1 & \cdots & & -1 \\
& & & & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\
& & & & & & & & -1 \\
\hline
-1 & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & -1 & -1 & & & 1 \\
\vdots & & & \vdots & & \ddots & & & \vdots \\
& & & & & & -1 & -1 & \vdots \\
& & & & & & -1 & -1 & 1 \cdots 1 \\
& & & & & & & -1 & 1 \cdots -1 \\
& & & & & & & & \vdots \\
& & & & & & & & 1 \cdots 1 \\
& & & & & & & & 1 \cdots -1 \\
& & & & & & & & 1 \cdots 1 \\
\hline
& & & & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & \\
& & & & \vdots & & & & \\
& & & & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & \\
& & & & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & \\
& & & & \vdots & & & & \\
& & & & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & \\
& & & & \vdots & & & & \\
& & & & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 &
\end{array} \right) N_{f+h}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq t+n; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k \\ & \text{or } t+1 \leq i \leq t+2e; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k \\ & \text{or } t+1 \leq i \leq t+2e; \\ \delta_{t+1,j} = -1, & 1 \leq j \leq t \\ & \text{or } t+n+1 \leq j \leq t+n+s; \\ \delta_{i,t+n+s+m} = -1, & 2k+1 \leq i \leq t \\ & \text{or } t+2e+1 \leq i \leq t+n-1; \\ \delta_{i,j} = -1, & t+n+1 \leq i \leq t+n+s \text{ and } t+1 \leq j \leq t+n; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{array} \right.$$

where $0 \leq k \leq t/2$ and $0 \leq e \leq (n-1)/2$, and the submatrix

$$N_{f+h} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} -1 & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ -1 & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & -1 & & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & & 1 \\ \hline & & & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & & & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\ & & & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & -1 & -1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \delta_{i,j} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq f \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq s; \\ \delta_{i,i} = \delta_{i,t+n+s+m} = -1, & f+1 \leq i \leq s \\ & \text{or } s+h+1 \leq i \leq s+m-1; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{array} \right.$$

where $0 \leq f \leq s$ and $0 \leq h \leq m-1$. Note that, multiplying row $t+n$ by all rows $2i$ ($i = 1, \dots, k, t+1, \dots, t+e$) and rows $k+1$ to t , and then multiplying it by rows $e+1$ to $t+n-1$, we easily see that row $t+n$ will be

$$(-1 \dots^{t+n}, 1 \dots^{s+m}).$$

Applying an elementary operation (III) with $\varepsilon = -1$, one can see that it will become totally 1. By Lemma 4.1, we have $r_4 = k + e + f + h + 1$, i.e., $1 \leq r_4 \leq (t+n-1)/2 + m + s$.

When t is odd, with a similar choice of entries of $M(d)$ as above, we can show that $1 \leq r_4 \leq (t+n-1)/2 + m + s$.

(\mathbb{G}_{12}): $t \equiv n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. As in cases (\mathbb{E}_1) and (\mathbb{G}_{11}), we can check that the number of totally 1 rows in the equivalent forms of $M(d)$ can range from 1 to $(t+n)/2 + s + m - 1$. So $1 \leq r_4 \leq (t+n)/2 + s + m - 1$.

(\mathbb{G}_{13}): $t \equiv n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. With a similar argument to those in cases (\mathbb{E}_2) and (\mathbb{G}_{11}), one can easily verify that the number of totally 1 rows in the equivalent forms of $M(d)$ can range from 1 to $(t+n)/2 + s + m$. The assertion that r_4 can be $(t+n)/2 + s + m$ follows from putting

$$M(d) \cong \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc|ccc} -1 & -1 & & 1 & -1 & \cdots & & -1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & & 1 & 1 & \cdots & & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & -1 & 1 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & -1 & 1 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & 1 & 1 & \cdots & & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \hline & & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & 1 & & & & & \vdots \\ \hline -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & & & -1 & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & & & & & & \vdots & & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & & & -1 & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & \cdots & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq t-1 \\ & \text{or } t+1 \leq i \leq t+n-1 \\ & \text{or } t+n+1 \leq i \leq t+n+s; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq t-1; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq t-1; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & t+1 \leq i \leq t+n-1; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^i, & t+1 \leq i \leq t+n-1; \\ \delta_{i,j} = -1, & t+n+1 \leq i \leq t+n+s \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq t \\ & \text{or } t+n+1 \leq i \leq t+n+s \\ & \text{and } t+n+1 \leq j \leq t+n+s; \\ \delta_{1,j} = -1 & t+1 \leq j \leq t+n+s; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

(G₂): $s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. We consider the following subcases:

(G₂₁): $t+n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then $d/2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. First assume that $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. We choose $d \in d(T)$ such that $M_{k+e+f+h}(d)$ is equivalent to

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc} \begin{array}{cccc} -1 & -1 & & \\ -1 & -1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & -1 & -1 \\ & & & -1 & -1 \\ & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & -1 \end{array} & & & & & & \dots & \dots & 1 \\ \hline \begin{array}{cccc} -1 & \dots & \dots & -1 \\ 1 & \dots & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & \dots & 1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{cccc} -1 & -1 & & \\ -1 & -1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & -1 & -1 \\ & & & -1 & -1 \\ & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & -1 \end{array} & & & \dots & \dots & 1 \\ \hline & & & & & & -1 & \dots & \dots & -1 \\ & & & & & & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & -1 & \dots & \dots & -1 \\ & & & & & & & -1 & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & -1 & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & -1 & -1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq t+n+s \\ & \text{or } t+n+s+h+1 \leq i \leq t+n+s+m-1; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq 2k; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & t+1 \leq i \leq t+2e; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^i, & t+1 \leq i \leq t+2e; \\ \delta_{t+1,j} = -1, & 1 \leq j \leq t; \\ \delta_{i,j} = -1, & t+n+1 \leq i \leq t+n+f \text{ and } t+n+1 \leq j \leq t+n+s; \\ \delta_{i,t+n+s+m} = -1, & 2k+1 \leq i \leq t \\ & \text{or } t+2e+1 \leq i \leq t+n \\ & \text{or } t+n+s+h \leq i \leq t+n+s+m-1; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{array} \right.$$

where $0 \leq k \leq (t - 1)/2$, $0 \leq e \leq n/2$, $0 \leq f \leq s$ and $0 \leq h \leq m - 1$. Multiplying row $t + 1$ by all rows $t + 2i$ ($i = 2, \dots, e$) and rows $t + 2e + 1$ to $t + n$, we see that row $t + 1$ will be

$$(-1^{\dots t}, -1^{\dots n}, 1^{\dots s}, 1^{\dots m}).$$

Applying an elementary operation (III) with $\varepsilon = -1$, we see that it will become totally 1. Note that, when $e \geq 1$, multiplying row $t + 2$ by all rows $t + 2i$ ($i = 2, \dots, e$) and all rows j ($j = t + 2e + 1, \dots, t + n + 1$ if $f \geq 1$, or $j = t + 2e + 1, \dots, t + n + s$ if $f = 0$), we see that row $t + 2$ will be

$$(1^{\dots t}, -1^{\dots n+s}, 1^{\dots m}).$$

By an elementary operation (III) with $\varepsilon = 2$, it will be totally 1. So, by Lemma 4.1, we can easily check that $r_4 = k + e + f + h + 1$ and $1 \leq r_4 \leq (t + n - 1)/2 + s + m$ for this type.

Now assume that $t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Choose $d \in d(T)$ such that $M_{k+e+h}(d)$ is equivalent to

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc}
-1 & -1 & & & \dots & \dots & \dots & & & & & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\
& & \ddots & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\
& & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & & 1 \\
& & & -1 & -1 & & & & & & & 1 \\
& & & & & -1 & & & & & & -1 \\
& & & & & & \ddots & & & & & \vdots \\
& & & & & & & -1 & & & & -1 \\
\hline
-1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & -1 & -1 & -1 & \dots & \dots & -1 & 1 \\
1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 & -1 & -1 & & & & 1 \\
\vdots & & & & & \vdots & & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\
& & & & & & & & -1 & -1 & & \vdots \\
& & & & & & & & -1 & -1 & & \dots \\
& & & & & & & & & -1 & & \dots \\
& & & & & & & & & & -1 & \vdots \\
& & & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\
1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 & & & & & -1 & -1 \\
\hline
& & & & & & -1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & -1 \\
& & & & & & \vdots & & & & & \vdots \\
& & & & & & -1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & -1 \\
& & & & & & 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 \\
& & & & & & \vdots & & & & & \vdots \\
& & & & & & 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1
\end{array} \right)$$

N_h

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \delta_{i,i} = -1, & 1 \leq i \leq t+n; \\ \delta_{i,i+1} = (-1)^i, & 1 \leq i \leq t+n; \\ \delta_{i,i-1} = (-1)^{i-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq t+n; \\ \delta_{1,j} = -1, & t+n+1 \leq j \leq t+n+s; \\ \delta_{i,j} = -1, & t+n+1 \leq i \leq t+n+s, \quad 1 \leq j \leq t \\ & \text{or } t+n+1 \leq i \leq t+n+s, \quad t+n+1 \leq j \leq t+n+s; \\ \delta_{i,j} = 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{array} \right.$$

then $r_4 = (t+n)/2 + s + m$.

When $t \equiv n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, the procedure is analogous.

CASE (III): $T = 2(m, 0, 0, 0)$ with $m \geq 3$. This situation is the same as in case (H).

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank the Abdus Salam International Center for Theoretic Physics, Trieste, Italy. We wish particularly to thank the referee for many valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] B. Brauckmann, *The 2-Sylow subgroups of the tame kernel of number fields*, Canad. J. Math. 43 (1991), 255–264.
- [2] J. Browkin and H. Gangl, *Table of tame and wild kernels of quadratic imaginary number fields*, Math. Comp. 68 (1999), 292–305.
- [3] J. Browkin and A. Schinzel, *On 2-Sylow subgroups of K_2O_F for quadratic fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 331 (1982), 104–113.
- [4] A. Candiotti and K. Kramer, *On the 2-Sylow subgroup of the Hilbert kernel of K_2 of number fields*, Acta Arith. 52 (1989), 49–65.
- [5] P. E. Conner and J. Hurrelbrink, *The 4-rank of $K_2(O)$* , Canad. J. Math. 41 (1989), 932–960.
- [6] —, —, *On elementary abelian 2-Sylow K_2 of rings of integers of certain quadratic number fields*, Acta Arith. 73 (1995), 59–65.
- [7] —, —, *On the 4-rank of the tame kernel $K_2(O)$ in positive definite terms*, J. Number Theory 88 (2001), 263–282.
- [8] J. Hurrelbrink and M. Kolster, *Tame kernels under relative quadratic extensions and Hilbert symbol*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 499 (1998), 145–188.
- [9] M. Kolster, *The structure of the 2-Sylow subgroup of $K_2(O)$ I*, Comment. Math. Helv. 61 (1986), 376–388.
- [10] L. J. Mordell, *Diophantine Equations*, Academic Press, London, 1969.
- [11] O. T. O'Meara, *Introduction to Quadratic Forms*, Springer, Berlin, 1963.
- [12] H. R. Qin, *2-Sylow subgroups of K_2O_F for real quadratic fields F* , Sci. China 37 (1994), 1302–1313.
- [13] —, *The 2-Sylow subgroups of the tame kernel of imaginary quadratic fields*, Acta Arith. 69 (1995), 153–169.
- [14] —, *The 4-rank of K_2O_F for real quadratic fields*, *ibid.* 72 (1995), 323–333.

- [15] H. R. Qin, *Tame kernels and Tate kernels of quadratic number fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 530 (2001), 105–144.
- [16] —, *The structure of the tame kernels of quadratic number fields (I)*, Acta Arith. 113 (2004), 203–240.
- [17] J. Rognes and C. Weibel (with an appendix by M. Kolster), *Two-primary algebraic K-theory of rings of integers in number fields*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (2000), 1–54.
- [18] J. Tate, *Relations between K_2 and Galois cohomology*, Invent. Math. 36 (1976), 257–274.
- [19] J. Urbanowicz, *On the 2-primary part of a conjecture of Birch and Tate*, Acta Arith. 43 (1983), 69–81.
- [20] A. Vazzana, *On the 2-primary part of K_2 of rings of integers in certain quadratic number fields*, *ibid.* 80 (1997), 225–235.
- [21] —, *Elementary abelian 2-primary parts of K_2O and related graphs in certain quadratic number fields*, *ibid.* 81 (1997), 253–264.

Xiaobin Yin
 Department of Mathematics
 Nanjing University
 Nanjing, 210093
 P.R. China
 and
 Department of Mathematics
 Anhui Normal University
 Wuhu, Anhui 241000
 P.R. China

Hourong Qin
 Department of Mathematics
 Nanjing University
 Nanjing, 210093
 P.R. China
 E-mail: hrqin@netra.nju.edu.cn

Qunsheng Zhu
 Department of Mathematics
 Nanjing University
 Nanjing, 210093
 P.R. China
 and
 Department of Mathematics
 Nanjing Normal University
 Nanjing, Jiangsu 210097
 P.R. China

*Received on 29.5.2002
 and in revised form on 22.9.2004*

(4300)