

L_p - and $S_{p,q}^r B$ -discrepancy of (order 2) digital nets

by

LEV MARKHASIN (Stuttgart)

1. Introduction and results. Let N be a positive integer and let \mathcal{P} be a point set in the unit cube $[0, 1]^d$ with N points. Then the *discrepancy function* $D_{\mathcal{P}}$ is defined as

$$(1.1) \quad D_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{z \in \mathcal{P}} \chi_{[0,x]}(z) - x_1 \cdots x_d$$

for any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in [0, 1]^d$. By $\chi_{[0,x]}$ we mean the characteristic function of the interval $[0, x] = [0, x_1] \times \cdots \times [0, x_d]$, so the term $\sum_z \chi_{[0,x]}(z)$ is equal to the number of points of \mathcal{P} in $[0, x]$. This means that $D_{\mathcal{P}}$ measures the deviation of the number of points of \mathcal{P} in $[0, x]$ from the fair number of points $N|[0, x]| = Nx_1 \cdots x_d$, which would be achieved by a (practically impossible) perfectly uniform distribution of the points of \mathcal{P} .

Usually one is interested in calculating the norm of the discrepancy function in some normed space of functions on $[0, 1]^d$ to which the discrepancy function belongs. A well known result concerns $L_p([0, 1]^d)$ -spaces for $1 < p < \infty$. There exists a constant $c_{p,d} > 0$ such that for every positive integer N and all point sets \mathcal{P} in $[0, 1]^d$ with N points, we have

$$(1.2) \quad \|D_{\mathcal{P}} | L_p([0, 1]^d)\| \geq c_{p,d} \frac{(\log N)^{(d-1)/2}}{N}.$$

This was proved by Roth [R54] for $p = 2$ and by Schmidt [S77] for arbitrary $1 < p < \infty$. The currently best known value for $c_{2,d}$ can be found in [HM11]. Furthermore, there exists a constant $C_{p,d} > 0$ such that for every positive integer N , there exists a point set \mathcal{P} in $[0, 1]^d$ with N points such that

$$(1.3) \quad \|D_{\mathcal{P}} | L_p([0, 1]^d)\| \leq C_{p,d} \frac{(\log N)^{(d-1)/2}}{N}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11K06, 11K38, 42C10, 46E35, 65C05.

Key words and phrases: L_p -discrepancy, order 2 digital nets, dominating mixed smoothness, quasi-Monte Carlo, Haar system, Walsh system.

This was proved by Davenport [D56] for $p = 2$, $d = 2$, by Roth [R80] for $p = 2$ and arbitrary d , and finally by Chen [C80] in the general case. The currently best known value for $C_{2,d}$ can be found in [DP10] and [FPPS10].

There are results for the $L_1([0, 1)^d)$ - and the star $L_\infty([0, 1)^d)$ -discrepancy though there are still gaps between lower and upper bounds (see [H81], [S72], [BLV08]). As general references for studies of the discrepancy function we refer to the monographs [DP10], [NW10], [M99], [KN74] and surveys [B11], [Hi13], [M13c]. The problem of point distribution is closely related to numerical integration; we refer to [KN74, Chapter 2] and [DP10, Section 2.4] for more on this subject.

Roth's and Chen's original proofs of (1.3) were probabilistic. Explicit constructions of point sets with good L_p -discrepancy in arbitrary dimension have not been known for a long time. Chen and Skrikanov [CS02] (see also [CS08] and [DP10]) gave explicit constructions satisfying the optimal bound on the L_2 -discrepancy, and Skrikanov [S06] later gave explicit constructions satisfying the optimal bound on the L_p -discrepancy. The constructions of Chen and Skrikanov are digital nets over \mathbb{F}_b with large Hamming weight. Dick and Pillichshammer [DP14a] gave alternative constructions of order 3 digital nets over \mathbb{F}_2 . They also constructed digital sequences with optimal bounds on the L_2 -discrepancy. Dick [D14] gave further constructions which are order 2 digital nets over \mathbb{F}_2 . Here we generalize Dick's approach to order 2 digital nets over \mathbb{F}_b for every prime number b , as stated in the following result.

THEOREM 1.1. *There exists a constant $C_{d,b,v} > 0$ such that for every positive integer n and every order 2 digital (v, n, d) -net \mathcal{P}_n^b over \mathbb{F}_b we have*

$$\|D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b} | L_2([0, 1)^d)\| \leq C_{d,b,v} \frac{n^{(d-1)/2}}{b^n}.$$

Our proof uses an alternative technique to that of Chen and Skrikanov and Dick and Pillichshammer—it relies on Haar bases.

Furthermore, there are results for the discrepancy in other function spaces, like Hardy spaces, logarithmic and exponential Orlicz spaces, weighted L_p -spaces and BMO (see [B11] for results and further literature).

In this paper, we are interested in Besov ($S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1)^d)$), Triebel–Lizorkin ($S_{p,q}^r F([0, 1)^d)$) and Sobolev ($S_p^r H([0, 1)^d)$) spaces with dominating mixed smoothness. Triebel [T10] proved that for all $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ with $q < \infty$ if $p = \infty$ and all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $1/p - 1 < r < 1/p$, then there exists a constant $c_{p,q,r,d} > 0$ such that for every integer $N \geq 2$ and all point sets \mathcal{P} in $[0, 1)^d$ with N points, we have

$$(1.4) \quad \|D_{\mathcal{P}} | S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1)^d)\| \geq c_{p,q,r,d} N^{r-1} (\log N)^{(d-1)/q}.$$

With the additional condition that $q > 1$, if $p = \infty$ then there exists a

constant $C_{p,q,r,d} > 0$ such that for every positive integer N there exists a point set \mathcal{P} in $[0, 1)^d$ with N points such that

$$\|D_{\mathcal{P}} | S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1)^d)\| \leq C_{p,q,r,d} N^{r-1} (\log N)^{(d-1)(1/q+1-r)}.$$

Hinrichs [Hi10] proved for $d = 2$ that for all $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and all $0 \leq r < 1/p$ there exists a constant $C_{p,q,r} > 0$ such that for every integer $N \geq 2$ there exists a point set \mathcal{P} in $[0, 1)^2$ with N points such that

$$\|D_{\mathcal{P}} | S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1)^2)\| \leq C_{p,q,r} N^{r-1} (\log N)^{1/q}.$$

Markhasin [M13b] proved that for all $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and all $0 < r < 1/p$ there exists a constant $C_{p,q,r,d} > 0$ such that for every integer $N \geq 2$ there exists a point set \mathcal{P} in $[0, 1)^d$ with N points such that

$$(1.5) \quad \|D_{\mathcal{P}} | S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1)^d)\| \leq C_{p,q,r,d} N^{r-1} (\log N)^{(d-1)/q}.$$

The proof in [M13b] relied on explicit constructions. It was shown that the already mentioned constructions by Chen and Skriganov additionally have optimal bounds on the $S_{p,q}^r B$ -discrepancy. The notion of $S_{p,q}^r B$ -discrepancy will be defined in the next section. For $d = 2$ also (generalized) Hammersley point sets can be used (see [Hi10], [M13a]). Our goal is to prove that there are also other point sets with optimal bounds on the $S_{p,q}^r B$ -discrepancy. Furthermore we prove results for the spaces $S_{p,q}^r F([0, 1)^d)$ and $S_p^r H([0, 1)^d)$.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $0 < r < 1/p$. There exists a constant $C_{p,q,r,d,b,v} > 0$ such that for every integer n and every order 1 digital (v, n, d) -net \mathcal{P}_n^b over \mathbb{F}_b we have*

$$\|D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b} | S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1)^d)\| \leq C_{p,q,r,d,b,v} b^{n(r-1)} n^{(d-1)/q}.$$

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ ($q > 1$ if $p = \infty$) and $0 \leq r < 1/p$. There exists a constant $C_{p,q,r,d,b,v} > 0$ such that for every positive integer n and every order 2 digital (v, n, d) -net \mathcal{P}_n^b over \mathbb{F}_b we have*

$$\|D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b} | S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1)^d)\| \leq C_{p,q,r,d,b,v} b^{n(r-1)} n^{(d-1)/q}.$$

Applying embeddings between Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces that we will state later, we obtain the following results.

COROLLARY 1.4. *Let $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ and $0 < r < 1/\max(p, q)$. There exists a constant $C_{p,q,r,d,b,v} > 0$ such that for every positive integer n and every order 1 digital (v, n, d) -net \mathcal{P}_n^b over \mathbb{F}_b we have*

$$\|D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b} | S_{p,q}^r F([0, 1)^d)\| \leq C_{p,q,r,d,b,v} b^{n(r-1)} n^{(d-1)/q}.$$

COROLLARY 1.5. *Let $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ and $0 \leq r < 1/\max(p, q)$. There exists a constant $C_{p,q,r,d,b,v} > 0$ such that for every positive integer n and every order 2 digital (v, n, d) -net \mathcal{P}_n^b over \mathbb{F}_b we have*

$$\|D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b} | S_{p,q}^r F([0, 1)^d)\| \leq C_{p,q,r,d,b,v} b^{n(r-1)} n^{(d-1)/q}.$$

The following results are just special cases of the last corollaries.

COROLLARY 1.6. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 < r < 1/\max(p, 2)$. There exists a constant $C_{p,r,d,b,v} > 0$ such that for every positive integer n and every order 1 digital (v, n, d) -net \mathcal{P}_n^b over \mathbb{F}_b we have*

$$\|D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b} | S_p^r H([0, 1]^d)\| \leq C_{p,r,d,b,v} b^{n(r-1)} n^{(d-1)/2}.$$

COROLLARY 1.7. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 \leq r < 1/\max(p, 2)$. There exists a constant $C_{p,r,d,b,v} > 0$ such that for every positive integer n and every order 2 digital (v, n, d) -net \mathcal{P}_n^b over \mathbb{F}_b we have*

$$\|D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b} | S_p^r H([0, 1]^d)\| \leq C_{p,r,d,b,v} b^{n(r-1)} n^{(d-1)/2}.$$

COROLLARY 1.8. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. There exists a constant $C_{p,d,b,v} > 0$ such that for every positive integer n and every order 2 digital (v, n, d) -net \mathcal{P}_n^b over \mathbb{F}_b we have*

$$\|D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b} | L_p([0, 1]^d)\| \leq C_{p,d,b,v} \frac{n^{(d-1)/2}}{b^n}.$$

The difference in the results of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 seems to be small. But the point is that an order 2 digital net is also an order 1 digital net, so assuming a stronger condition we enlarge the range of the parameter r , namely adding the case $r = 0$, which is essential to obtain results for L_p -spaces.

We state the results with implicit constants depending on v , though we get this dependence explicitly. The readers interested in the v -dependence can find it in the proofs of the theorems, namely in (5.3)–(5.5).

We point out that obviously Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of Corollary 1.8. Nevertheless, we will prove them independently, so that readers without a background in function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness (which is required for the proof of Corollary 1.8) will be able to understand the proof of the L_2 -bound.

Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are consistent with older results. The proofs in [M13b] only relied on order 1 digital (v, n, d) -net properties of the Chen–Skriyanov point sets and not the large Hamming weight, so the weaker result was obtained, while (generalized) Hammersley point sets used by Hinrichs and Markhasin are order 2 digital $(0, n, 2)$ -nets and yielded a stronger result.

The bounds on the discrepancy in Besov spaces are closely connected with the integration error. We refer to [T10], [M13c, Chapter 5] and [U14] for more information on this connection and for error bounds in Besov, Triebel–Lizorkin and Sobolev spaces with dominating mixed smoothness.

2. Function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness. We define the spaces $S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1]^d)$, $S_{p,q}^r F([0, 1]^d)$ and $S_p^r H([0, 1]^d)$ according to [T10].

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the Schwartz space and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of tempered distributions on \mathbb{R}^d . For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we denote by $\mathcal{F}\varphi$ the Fourier transform of φ and extend it to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in the usual way: for $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Fourier transform is given as $\mathcal{F}f(\varphi) = f(\mathcal{F}\varphi)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Analogously we proceed with the inverse Fourier transform \mathcal{F}^{-1} .

Let $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy $\varphi_0(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$ and $\varphi_0(x) = 0$ for $|x| > 3/2$. Let $\varphi_k(x) = \varphi_0(2^{-k}x) - \varphi_0(2^{-k+1}x)$ where $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi_{\bar{k}}(x) = \varphi_{k_1}(x_1) \cdots \varphi_{k_d}(x_d)$ where $\bar{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The functions $\varphi_{\bar{k}}$ are a dyadic resolution of unity since

$$\sum_{\bar{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \varphi_{\bar{k}}(x) = 1$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The functions $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_{\bar{k}}\mathcal{F}f)$ are entire analytic functions for every $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. The *Besov space with dominating mixed smoothness* $S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consists of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with finite quasi-norm

$$(2.1) \quad \|f | S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d)\| = \left(\sum_{\bar{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} 2^{r(k_1 + \dots + k_d)q} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_{\bar{k}}\mathcal{F}f) | L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)\|^q \right)^{1/q},$$

with the usual modification if $q = \infty$.

Let $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. The *Triebel–Lizorkin space with dominating mixed smoothness* $S_{p,q}^r F(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consists of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with finite quasi-norm

$$(2.2) \quad \|f | S_{p,q}^r F(\mathbb{R}^d)\| = \left\| \left(\sum_{\bar{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} 2^{r(k_1 + \dots + k_d)q} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_{\bar{k}}\mathcal{F}f)(\cdot)|^q \right)^{1/q} \Big| L_p(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\|,$$

with the usual modification if $q = \infty$.

Let $\mathcal{D}([0, 1]^d)$ consist of all complex-valued infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^d with compact support in the interior of $[0, 1]^d$, and let $\mathcal{D}'([0, 1]^d)$ be its dual space of all distributions in $[0, 1]^d$. The *Besov space with dominating mixed smoothness* $S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1]^d)$ consists of all $f \in \mathcal{D}'([0, 1]^d)$ with finite quasi-norm

$$(2.3) \quad \|f | S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1]^d)\| = \inf \{ \|g | S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d)\| : g \in S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d), g|_{[0,1]^d} = f \}.$$

The *Triebel–Lizorkin space with dominating mixed smoothness* $S_{p,q}^r F([0, 1]^d)$ consists of all $f \in \mathcal{D}'([0, 1]^d)$ with finite quasi-norm

$$(2.4) \quad \|f | S_{p,q}^r F([0, 1]^d)\| = \inf \{ \|g | S_{p,q}^r F(\mathbb{R}^d)\| : g \in S_{p,q}^r F(\mathbb{R}^d), g|_{[0,1]^d} = f \}.$$

The spaces $S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $S_{p,q}^r F(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1]^d)$ and $S_{p,q}^r F([0, 1]^d)$ are quasi-Banach spaces. We define the *Sobolev space with dominating mixed smoothness* as

$$(2.5) \quad S_p^r H([0, 1]^d) = S_{p,2}^r F([0, 1]^d).$$

If $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ then (2.5) is denoted by $S_p^r W([0, 1]^d)$ and is called the *classical Sobolev space with dominating mixed smoothness*. An equivalent norm for $S_p^r W([0, 1]^d)$ is

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq r} \|D^\alpha f | L_p([0, 1]^d)\|.$$

Of special interest is the case $r = 0$ since

$$S_p^0 H([0, 1]^d) = L_p([0, 1]^d).$$

The Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces can be embedded in each other (see [T10] or [M13c, Corollary 1.13]). We point out that the following embedding is a combination of well known results and might look odd at first glance.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $0 < p, q < \infty$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$S_{\max(p,q)}^r B([0, 1]^d) \hookrightarrow S_{p,q}^r F([0, 1]^d) \hookrightarrow S_{\min(p,q)}^r B([0, 1]^d).$$

The reader interested in function spaces is referred to [H10], [ST87] and [T10] and the references given there.

A goal of this paper is to analyze the discrepancy function in the spaces $S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1]^d)$, $S_{p,q}^r F([0, 1]^d)$ and $S_p^r H([0, 1]^d)$. We define $S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1]^d)$ -discrepancy as

$$\inf_{\mathcal{P}} \|D_{\mathcal{P}} | S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1]^d)\|$$

where the infimum is taken over all point sets with N points. Analogously we define $S_{p,q}^r F([0, 1]^d)$ -discrepancy and $S_p^r H([0, 1]^d)$ -discrepancy.

3. Haar and Walsh bases. We write $\mathbb{N}_{-1} = \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{-1\}$. Let $b \geq 2$ be an integer. We write $\mathbb{D}_j = \{0, 1, \dots, b^j - 1\}$ and $\mathbb{B}_j = \{1, \dots, b - 1\}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mathbb{D}_{-1} = \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{-1} = \{1\}$. For $j = (j_1, \dots, j_d) \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$ let $\mathbb{D}_j = \mathbb{D}_{j_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{D}_{j_d}$ and $\mathbb{B}_j = \mathbb{B}_{j_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{B}_{j_d}$. For a real number a we write $a_+ = \max(a, 0)$ and for $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$ we write $|j|_+ = j_{1+} + \dots + j_{d+}$.

For $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$ we call

$$I_{j,m} = [b^{-j}m, b^{-j}(m + 1))$$

the m th b -adic interval in $[0, 1)$ at level j . We set $I_{-1,0} = [0, 1)$ and call it the 0th b -adic interval in $[0, 1)$ at level -1 . For any $k = 0, 1, \dots, b - 1$ let $I_{j,m}^k = I_{j+1, bm+k}$. We set $I_{-1,0}^{-1} = I_{-1,0} = [0, 1)$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$ and $m =$

$(m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{D}_j$ we call

$$I_{j,m} = I_{j_1,m_1} \times \dots \times I_{j_d,m_d}$$

the m th b -adic interval in $[0, 1)^d$ at level j . We call the number $|j|_+$ the order of $I_{j,m}$. The volume of $I_{j,m}$ is $b^{-|j|_+}$.

Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$ and $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$. Let $h_{j,m,l}$ be the function on $[0, 1)$ with support in $I_{j,m}$ and the constant value $e^{(2\pi i/b)lk}$ on $I_{j,m}^k$ for any $k = 0, 1, \dots, b - 1$. We set $h_{-1,0,1} = \chi_{I_{-1,0}}$ on $[0, 1)$, the characteristic function of the interval $I_{-1,0}$.

Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$, $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$ and $l = (l_1, \dots, l_d) \in \mathbb{B}_j$. The function $h_{j,m,l}$ given as the tensor product

$$h_{j,m,l}(x) = h_{j_1,m_1,l_1}(x_1) \cdots h_{j_d,m_d,l_d}(x_d)$$

for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in [0, 1)^d$ is called a b -adic Haar function on $[0, 1)^d$. The set of functions $\{h_{j,m,l} : j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d, m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j\}$ is called the b -adic Haar basis on $[0, 1)^d$. We can use the Haar basis for calculating the norms of the discrepancy function.

The following result is a tool for calculating the L_2 -discrepancy.

THEOREM 3.1 ([M13c, Theorem 2.1]). *The system*

$$\{b^{|j|_+/2} h_{j,m,l} : j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d, m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j\}$$

is an orthonormal basis of $L_2([0, 1)^d)$, an unconditional basis of $L_p([0, 1)^d)$ for $1 < p < \infty$ and a conditional basis of $L_1([0, 1)^d)$. For any function $f \in L_2([0, 1)^d)$ we have

$$\|f\|_{L_2([0, 1)^d)}^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d} b^{|j|} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle f, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^2.$$

The next result is a tool for calculating the $S_{p,q}^r B$ -discrepancy.

THEOREM 3.2 ([M13c, Theorem 2.11]). *Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ ($q > 1$ if $p = \infty$) and $1/p - 1 < r < \min(1/p, 1)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}'([0, 1)^d)$. Then f is in $S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1)^d)$ if and only if it can be represented as*

$$(3.1) \quad f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d} b^{|j|_+} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} \mu_{j,m,l} h_{j,m,l}$$

for some sequence $(\mu_{j,m,l})$ satisfying

$$(3.2) \quad \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d} b^{|j|_+(r-1/p+1)q} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\mu_{j,m,l}|^p \right)^{q/p} \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

The convergence of (3.1) is unconditional in $\mathcal{D}'([0, 1)^d)$ and also in any $S_{p,q}^\rho B([0, 1)^d)$ with $\rho < r$. The representation (3.1) of f is unique with the b -adic Haar coefficients $\mu_{j,m,l} = \langle f, h_{j,m,l} \rangle$. The expression (3.2) is an equivalent quasi-norm in $S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1)^d)$.

A weight from [D07] will be useful. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ with b -adic expansion $\alpha = \beta_{a_1-1}b^{a_1-1} + \dots + \beta_{a_\nu-1}b^{a_\nu-1}$ with $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_\nu$ and digits $\beta_{a_1-1}, \dots, \beta_{a_\nu-1} \in \{1, \dots, b-1\}$, a weight of order $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ is given by

$$\varrho_\sigma(\alpha) = a_\nu + a_{\nu-1} + \dots + a_{\max(\nu-\sigma+1,1)}.$$

Furthermore, $\varrho_\sigma(0) = 0$. It is a generalization of ϱ_1 , first introduced in [N87].

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, the weight of order σ is given by

$$\varrho_\sigma(\alpha) = \varrho_\sigma(\alpha_1) + \dots + \varrho_\sigma(\alpha_d).$$

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. The α th b -adic Walsh function $\text{wal}_\alpha : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$\text{wal}_\alpha(x) = e^{(2\pi i/b)(\beta_{a_1-1}x_{a_1} + \dots + \beta_{a_\nu-1}x_{a_\nu})}$$

for $x \in [0, 1)$ with b -adic expansion $x = x_1b^{-1} + x_2b^{-2} + \dots$. Furthermore, $\text{wal}_0 = \chi_{[0,1)}$.

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$. Then wal_α on $[0, 1)^d$ is given as the tensor product

$$\text{wal}_\alpha(x) = \text{wal}_{\alpha_1}(x^1) \cdots \text{wal}_{\alpha_d}(x^d)$$

for $x = (x^1, \dots, x^d) \in [0, 1)^d$ where by x^i we mean the coordinates of x . The set of functions $\{\text{wal}_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d\}$ is called the b -adic Walsh basis on $[0, 1)^d$.

The function wal_α is constant on b -adic intervals $I_{(\varrho_1(\alpha_1), \dots, (\varrho_1(\alpha_d)), m}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{D}_{(\varrho_1(\alpha_1), \dots, (\varrho_1(\alpha_d))}$.

LEMMA 3.3 ([DP10, Theorem A.11]). *The system $\{\text{wal}_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L_2([0, 1)^d)$.*

4. Digital (v, n, d) -nets. Digital nets go back to Niederreiter [N87]. We also refer to [NP01] and [DP10]. Here we use the more general order σ digital nets first introduced in [D07] and [D08], see also [DP14a], [DP14b] and [D14]. In the case where $\sigma = 1$ Niederreiter's original definition is obtained.

We quote from [D08, Definitions 4.1, 4.3] to describe the digital construction method and properties of the resulting digital nets.

For a prime number b let \mathbb{F}_b denote the finite field of order b identified with the set $\{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$ equipped with arithmetic operations modulo b . For $s, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s \geq n$ let C_1, \dots, C_d be $s \times n$ matrices with entries from \mathbb{F}_b . For $\nu \in \{0, 1, \dots, b^n - 1\}$ with the b -adic expansion $\nu = \nu_0 + \nu_1b + \dots + \nu_{n-1}b^{n-1}$ with digits $\nu_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n-1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$, the b -adic digit vector $\bar{\nu}$ is given as $\bar{\nu} = (\nu_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n-1})^\top \in \mathbb{F}_b^n$. Then we compute $C_i\bar{\nu} = (x_{i,\nu,1}, \dots, x_{i,\nu,s})^\top \in \mathbb{F}_b^s$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Finally we define

$$x_{i,\nu} = x_{i,\nu,1}b^{-1} + \dots + x_{i,\nu,s}b^{-s} \in [0, 1)$$

and $x_\nu = (x_{1,\nu}, \dots, x_{d,\nu})$. We call the point set $\mathcal{P}_n^b = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{b^n-1}\}$ a *digital net* over \mathbb{F}_b .

Now let $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose $s \geq \sigma n$. Let $0 \leq v \leq \sigma n$ be an integer. For every $1 \leq i \leq d$ we write $C_i = (c_{i,1}, \dots, c_{i,s})^\top$ where $c_{i,1}, \dots, c_{i,s} \in \mathbb{F}_b^n$ are the row vectors of C_i . If for all $1 \leq \lambda_{i,1} < \dots < \lambda_{i,\eta_i} \leq s$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, with

$$\lambda_{1,1} + \dots + \lambda_{1,\min(\eta_1,\sigma)} + \dots + \lambda_{d,1} + \dots + \lambda_{d,\min(\eta_d,\sigma)} \leq \sigma n - v$$

the vectors $c_{1,\lambda_{1,1}}, \dots, c_{1,\lambda_{1,\eta_1}}, \dots, c_{d,\lambda_{d,1}}, \dots, c_{d,\lambda_{d,\eta_d}}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_b , then \mathcal{P}_n^b is called an *order σ digital (v, n, d) -net* over \mathbb{F}_b .

LEMMA 4.1 ([D07, Theorem 3.3]).

- (i) Let $v < \sigma n$. Then every order σ digital (v, n, d) -net over \mathbb{F}_b is an order σ digital $(v + 1, n, d)$ -net over \mathbb{F}_b . In particular every point set \mathcal{P}_n^b constructed with the digital method is a digital $(\sigma n, n, d)$ -net over \mathbb{F}_b of order at least σ .
- (ii) Let $1 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2$. Then every order σ_2 digital (v, n, d) -net over \mathbb{F}_b is an order σ_1 digital $(\lceil v\sigma_1/\sigma_2 \rceil, n, d)$ -net over \mathbb{F}_b .

Considering this we obtain the following geometric property going back to Niederreiter [N87].

LEMMA 4.2. Let \mathcal{P}_n^b be an order σ digital (v, n, d) -net over \mathbb{F}_b . Then every b -adic interval of order $n - v$ contains exactly b^v points of \mathcal{P}_n^b .

Let $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ have b -adic expansion $t = \tau_0 + \tau_1 b + \tau_2 b^2 + \dots$. We denote $\vec{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_b^n$. We set $\vec{t} = (\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{s-1})^\top \in \mathbb{F}_b^s$ and define

$$\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C}) = \{t = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d : C_1^\top \vec{t}_1 + \dots + C_d^\top \vec{t}_d = \vec{0} \in \mathbb{F}_b^n\}.$$

LEMMA 4.3 ([D07, Remark 1]). \mathcal{P}_n^b is an order σ digital (v, n, d) -net over \mathbb{F}_b if and only if $\varrho_\sigma(t) > \sigma n - v$ for all $t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C}) \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$.

LEMMA 4.4 ([DP05, Lemma 2]). Let \mathcal{P}_n^b be an order σ digital (v, n, d) -net over \mathbb{F}_b with generating matrices C_1, \dots, C_d . Then

$$\sum_{z \in \mathcal{P}_n^b} \text{wal}_t(z) = \begin{cases} b^n & \text{if } t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We consider the Walsh series expansion of the function $\chi_{[0,x]}$,

$$(4.1) \quad \chi_{[0,x]}(y) = \sum_{\eta=0}^{\infty} \hat{\chi}_{[0,x]}(\eta) \text{wal}_\eta(y)$$

where for $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the η th Walsh coefficient is given by

$$\hat{\chi}_{[0,x]}(\eta) = \int_0^1 \chi_{[0,x]}(y) \overline{\text{wal}_\eta(y)} dy = \int_0^x \overline{\text{wal}_\eta(y)} dy.$$

LEMMA 4.5. Let \mathcal{P}_n^b be an order σ digital (v, n, d) -net over \mathbb{F}_b with generating matrices C_1, \dots, C_d . Then

$$D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}(x) = \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{c}) \setminus \{\vec{0}\}} \hat{\chi}_{[0,x]}(t).$$

Proof. For $t = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in [0, 1)^d$, we have

$$\hat{\chi}_{[0,x]}(t) = \hat{\chi}_{[0,x_1]}(t_1) \cdots \hat{\chi}_{[0,x_d]}(t_d).$$

Applying Lemma 4.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\mathcal{P}}(x) &= \frac{1}{b^n} \sum_{z \in \mathcal{P}_n^b} \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_d=0}^{\infty} \hat{\chi}_{[0,x]}(t) \text{wal}_t(z) - \hat{\chi}_{[0,x]}((0, \dots, 0)) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{t_1, \dots, t_d=0 \\ (t_1, \dots, t_d) \neq (0, \dots, 0)}}^{\infty} \hat{\chi}_{[0,x]}(t) \frac{1}{b^n} \sum_{z \in \mathcal{P}} \text{wal}_t(z) = \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{c}) \setminus \{\vec{0}\}} \hat{\chi}_{[0,x]}(t). \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Order σ digital (v, n, d) -nets can be constructed from order 1 digital $(w, n, \sigma d)$ -nets using a method called digit interlacing (see [DP14b] and [D14] for details and examples). Constructions of order 1 digital nets are well known. A good quality parameter v that does not depend on n can be obtained.

5. Proofs of the results. For two sequences a_n and b_n we will write $a_n \preceq b_n$ if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $a_n \leq cb_n$ for all n . For $t > 0$ with b -adic expansion $t = \tau_0 + \tau_1 b + \dots + \tau_{\varrho_1(t)-1} b^{\varrho_1(t)-1}$, we set $t = t' + \tau_{\varrho_1(t)-1} b^{\varrho_1(t)-1}$.

We start with two easy facts. For the proof of the first one see e.g. [DP10, proof of Lemma 16.26].

LEMMA 5.1. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\#\{(a_1, \dots, a_s) \in \mathbb{N}_0^s : a_1 + \dots + a_s = r\} \leq (r + 1)^{s-1}.$$

LEMMA 5.2. Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$, $A > 1$ and $q, s > 0$. Then

$$\sum_{r=0}^{K-1} A^r (K - r)^q r^s \preceq A^K K^s,$$

where the constant is independent of K .

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{K-1} A^r (K - r)^q r^s &\leq A^K K^s \sum_{r=0}^{K-1} A^{r-K} (K - r)^q \\ &= A^K K^s \sum_{r=1}^K A^{-r} r^q \preceq A^K K^s. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5.3 ([M13b, Lemma 5.1]). *Let $f(x) = x_1 \cdots x_d$ for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in [0, 1]^d$. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$, $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$, $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$. Then $|\langle f, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| \preceq b^{-2|j|+}$.*

LEMMA 5.4 ([M13b, Lemma 5.2]). *Let $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in [0, 1]^d$ and $g(x) = \chi_{[0,x]}(z)$ for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in [0, 1]^d$. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$, $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$, $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$. Then $\langle g, h_{j,m,l} \rangle = 0$ if z is not in the interior of the b -adic interval $I_{j,m}$. If z is in the interior of $I_{j,m}$ then $|\langle g, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| \preceq b^{-|j|+}$.*

LEMMA 5.5 ([M13b, Lemma 5.9]). *Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$, $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$, $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$. Then*

$$|\langle h_{j,m,l}, \text{wal}_\alpha \rangle| \preceq b^{-|j|+}.$$

If $\varrho_1(\alpha_i) \neq j_i + 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq d$ then

$$\langle h_{j,m,l}, \text{wal}_\alpha \rangle = 0.$$

LEMMA 5.6 ([M13b, Lemma 5.10]). *Let $t, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then*

$$|\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \text{wal}_\alpha \rangle| \preceq b^{-\max(\varrho_1(t), \varrho_1(\alpha))}.$$

If $\alpha \neq t'$ and $\alpha \neq t$ and $\alpha' \neq t$ then

$$\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \text{wal}_\alpha \rangle = 0.$$

The following result is a modified version of [DP14a, Lemma 6].

LEMMA 5.7. *Let $C_1, \dots, C_d \in \mathbb{F}_b^{s \times n}$ generate an order 1 digital (v, n, d) -net over \mathbb{F}_b . Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $\omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d}(\mathfrak{C})$ denote the number of $t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C})$ with $\varrho_1(t_i) = \gamma_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$ such that either $\gamma_i \leq \lambda_i$ or $\varrho_1(t'_i) = \lambda_i$. If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \leq s$ then*

$$\omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d}(\mathfrak{C}) \leq (b-1)^d b^{(\min(\lambda_1, \gamma_1-1) + \dots + \min(\lambda_d, \gamma_d-1) - n + v)_+}.$$

Proof. Let $t = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C})$ with $\varrho_1(t_i) = \gamma_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$ and either $\gamma_i \leq \lambda_i$ or $\varrho_1(t'_i) = \lambda_i$. Let t_i have b -adic expansion $t_i = \tau_{i,0} + \tau_{i,1}b + \tau_{i,2}b^2 + \dots$. Let $C_i = (c_{i,1}, \dots, c_{i,s})^\top$, set $\lambda_i^* = \min(\lambda_i, \gamma_i - 1)$ and $c_{i,\gamma_i} = (0, \dots, 0)$ if $\gamma_i > s$, $1 \leq i \leq d$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (5.1) \quad & c_{1,1}^\top \tau_{1,0} + \dots + c_{1,\lambda_1^*}^\top \tau_{1,\lambda_1^*-1} + c_{1,\gamma_1}^\top \tau_{1,\gamma_1-1} \\ & \vdots \\ & + c_{d,1}^\top \tau_{d,0} + \dots + c_{d,\lambda_d^*}^\top \tau_{d,\lambda_d^*-1} + c_{d,\gamma_d}^\top \tau_{d,\gamma_d-1} = (0, \dots, 0)^\top \in \mathbb{F}_b^n. \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (c_{1,1}^\top, \dots, c_{1,\lambda_1^*}^\top, \dots, c_{d,1}^\top, \dots, c_{d,\lambda_d^*}^\top) \in \mathbb{F}_b^{n \times (\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_d^*)}, \\ y &= (\tau_{1,0}, \dots, \tau_{1,\lambda_1^*-1}, \dots, \tau_{d,0}, \dots, \tau_{d,\lambda_d^*-1})^\top \in \mathbb{F}_b^{(\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_d^*) \times 1}, \\ w &= -c_{1,\gamma_1}^\top \tau_{1,\gamma_1-1} - \dots - c_{d,\gamma_d}^\top \tau_{d,\gamma_d-1} \in \mathbb{F}_b^{n \times 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (5.1) corresponds to $Ay = w$ and we have

$$\omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d}(\mathfrak{C}) = \#\{(y, w) \in \mathbb{F}_b^{\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_d^*} \times \mathbb{F}_b^n : Ay = w\}.$$

Since C_1, \dots, C_d generate an order 1 digital (v, n, d) -net, the rank of A is $\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_d^*$ if $\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_d^* \leq n - v$. In this case the solution space of the homogeneous system $Ay = (0, \dots, 0)$ has dimension 0. If $\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_d^* > n - v$ then $\text{rank}(A) \geq n - v$ and the dimension of the solution space of the homogeneous system is $\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_d^* - \text{rank}(A) \leq \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d - n + v$. This means that for a given w the system $Ay = w$ has at most one solution if $\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_d^* \leq n - v$ and at most $b^{\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_d^* - n + v}$ solutions otherwise. Finally, there are $(b - 1)^d$ possible choices for w since none of the numbers $\tau_{1, \gamma_1 - 1}, \dots, \tau_{d, \gamma_d - 1}$ can be 0. ■

We point out that the condition $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \leq s$ is not necessary. It just reduces the technicalities but the results would be the same without it. One would have to define $\lambda_i^{**} = \min(\lambda_i^*, s)$, and in the case where $\lambda_i^* > s$ we would get an additional factor $b^{\lambda_i^* - s}$ compensating the restriction.

LEMMA 5.8. *Let \mathcal{P}_n^b be an order 1 digital (v, n, d) -net over \mathbb{F}_b and let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$, $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$, $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$.*

- (i) *If $|j|_+ \geq n - v$ then $|\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| \preceq b^{-|j|_+ - n + v}$ and $|\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| \preceq b^{-2|j|_+}$ for all but at most b^n values of m .*
- (ii) *If $|j|_+ < n - v$ then $|\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| \preceq b^{-|j|_+ - n + v} (n - v - |j|_+)^{d-1}$.*

Proof. For (1), let $|j|_+ \geq n - v$. Since \mathcal{P}_n^b contains exactly b^n points, there are no more than b^n numbers m for which $I_{j,m}$ contains a point of \mathcal{P}_n^b , meaning that at least all but b^n intervals contain no points at all. Thus the second statement follows from Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4. The remaining intervals contain at most b^v points of \mathcal{P}_n^b (Lemma 4.2), so the first statement follows from Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4.

We now prove (2). Let $|j|_+ < n - v$ and $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$, $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$. The function $h_{j,m,l}$ can be written (Lemma 3.3) as

$$h_{j,m,l} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \langle h_{j,m,l}, \text{wal}_\alpha \rangle \text{wal}_\alpha.$$

We apply Lemmas 4.5, 5.5 and 5.6 to get

$$\begin{aligned} (5.2) \quad |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| &= \left| \left\langle \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C}) \setminus \{\vec{0}\}} \hat{\chi}_{[0, \cdot)}(t), \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \langle h_{j,m,l}, \text{wal}_\alpha \rangle \text{wal}_\alpha \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C}) \setminus \{\vec{0}\}} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} |\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0, \cdot)}(t), \text{wal}_\alpha \rangle| |\langle h_{j,m,l}, \text{wal}_\alpha \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C}) \setminus \{\vec{0}\}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \\ \varrho_1(\alpha_i) = j_i + 1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq d}} |\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0, \cdot)}(t), \text{wal}_\alpha \rangle| \\
 &\leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C}) \setminus \{\vec{0}\}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \\ \alpha_i = t'_i \vee \alpha_i = t_i \vee \alpha'_i = t_i \\ \varrho_1(\alpha_i) = j_i + 1, 1 \leq i \leq d}} b^{-\max(\varrho_1(\alpha_1), \varrho_1(t_1)) - \dots - \max(\varrho_1(\alpha_1), \varrho_1(t_d))} \\
 &= b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C}) \setminus \{\vec{0}\} \\ \varrho_1(t_i) \leq j_i + 1 \vee \varrho_1(t'_i) = j_i + 1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq d}} b^{-\max(j_1 + 1, \varrho_1(t_1)) - \dots - \max(j_d + 1, \varrho_1(t_d))} \\
 &= b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d = 0}^{\infty} b^{-\max(j_1 + 1, \gamma_1) - \dots - \max(j_d + 1, \gamma_d)} \omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{j_1 + 1, \dots, j_d + 1}(\mathfrak{C}) \\
 &= b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d = 0 \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_d > n - v}}^{\infty} b^{-\max(j_1 + 1, \gamma_1) - \dots - \max(j_d + 1, \gamma_d)} \omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{j_1 + 1, \dots, j_d + 1}(\mathfrak{C}) \\
 &\quad + b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d = 0 \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_d \leq n - v}}^{\infty} b^{-\max(j_1 + 1, \gamma_1) - \dots - \max(j_d + 1, \gamma_d)} \omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{j_1 + 1, \dots, j_d + 1}(\mathfrak{C}).
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.7 we get

$$\omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{j_1 + 1, \dots, j_d + 1}(\mathfrak{C}) \leq (b - 1)^d b^d$$

since $j_1 + 1, \dots, j_d + 1 \leq n - v \leq s$ and $j_1 + 1 + \dots + j_d + 1 \leq |j|_+ + d < n - v + d$. We apply this only to the first sum, incorporating this term into the constant. The second sum vanishes. To see this, we recall that $\varrho_1(t) > n - v$ for all $t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C}) \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$. This means that $\omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{j_1 + 1, \dots, j_d + 1}(\mathfrak{C}) = 0$ whenever $\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_d \leq n - v$ since $\varrho_1(t) = \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_d$ and the second sum vanishes.

For any $I \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$ let $I^c = \{1, \dots, d\} \setminus I$. So far we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j, m, l} \rangle| &\leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d = 0 \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_d > n - v}}^{\infty} b^{-\max(j_1 + 1, \gamma_1) - \dots - \max(j_d + 1, \gamma_d)} \\
 &= b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1} + 1)} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_{i_2} \geq j_{i_2} + 1 \\ i_2 \in I^c}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} \gamma_{\kappa_2}}. \\
 &\hspace{15em} \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_d \geq \max(n - v + 1, \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1))
 \end{aligned}$$

The case where $I = \{1, \dots, d\}$ is not possible (therefore excluded) because $\gamma_i \leq j_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$ contradicts $\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_d > n - v$ since $j_1 + \dots + j_d <$

$n - v$. We perform an index shift to get

$$|\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| \leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1} + 1) - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1)}$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_{i_2} \geq 0, i_2 \in I^c \\ \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} \gamma_{\kappa_2} \geq (n - v - \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1) + 1)_+}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} \gamma_{\kappa_2}}.$$

We apply Lemma 5.1 to bound the above by

$$\leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1} + 1) - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1)}$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} \sum_{r = (n - v - \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1) + 1)_+}^{\infty} b^{-r} (r + 1)^{d-1-\#I}$$

$$\leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1} + 1) - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1)} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} b^{-n+v + \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} + \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1)}$$

$$\times \left(n - v - \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1) + 1 \right)_+^{d-1-\#I}$$

$$\leq b^{-|j|_+ - n + v} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1} + 1)} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} b^{\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1}}$$

$$\times \left(n - v - \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1) + 1 \right)_+^{d-1}$$

$$\leq b^{-|j|_+ - n + v} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1} + 1)} b^{\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1} + 1)}$$

$$\times \left(n - v - \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1} + 1) - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1) + 1 \right)_+^{d-1}$$

$$\leq b^{-|j|_+ - n + v} (n - v - |j|_+)^{d-1}. \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 5.9. Let \mathcal{P}_n^b be an order 2 digital (v, n, d) -net over \mathbb{F}_b . Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$, $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$, $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$.

- (i) If $|j|_+ \geq n - \lceil v/2 \rceil$ then $|\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| \leq b^{-|j|_+ - n + v/2}$ and $|\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| \leq b^{-2|j|_+}$ for all but b^n values of m .
- (ii) If $|j|_+ < n - \lceil v/2 \rceil$ then $|\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| \leq b^{-2n+v} (2n - v - 2|j|_+)^{d-1}$.

Proof. According to Lemma 4.1, \mathcal{P}_n^b is an order 1 digital ($\lceil v/2 \rceil, n, d$)-net. Hence (i) follows from Lemma 5.8.

We now prove (ii). Let $|j|_+ < n - \lceil v/2 \rceil$ and $m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j$. We start at (5.2), so we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| \\ & \leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d=0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i + \min(\gamma_i, j_i+1) > 2n-v}}^{\infty} b^{-\max(j_1+1, \gamma_1) - \dots - \max(j_d+1, \gamma_d)} \omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{j_1+1, \dots, j_d+1}(\mathfrak{C}) \\ & + b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d=0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i + \min(\gamma_i, j_i+1) \leq 2n-v}}^{\infty} b^{-\max(j_1+1, \gamma_1) - \dots - \max(j_d+1, \gamma_d)} \omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{j_1+1, \dots, j_d+1}(\mathfrak{C}). \end{aligned}$$

We argue similarly to the proof of Lemma 5.8, incorporating the term $\omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{j_1+1, \dots, j_d+1}(\mathfrak{C})$ in the first sum into the constant and seeing that the second sum vanishes. To see the latter we recall that $\varrho_2(t) > 2n - v$ for all $t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C})$. This means that $\omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{j_1+1, \dots, j_d+1}(\mathfrak{C}) = 0$ whenever $\gamma_1 + \min(\gamma_1, j_1 + 1) + \dots + \gamma_d + \min(\gamma_d, j_d + 1) \leq 2n - v$ because $\varrho_2(t) \leq \gamma_1 + \min(\gamma_1, j_1 + 1) + \dots + \gamma_d + \min(\gamma_d, j_d + 1)$ since $\varrho_1(t_i) = \gamma_i$ and $\varrho_1(t'_i) = j_i + 1$ if $\gamma_i > j_i + 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. With the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 5.8 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| & \leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d=0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i + \min(\gamma_i, j_i+1) > 2n-v}}^{\infty} b^{-\max(j_1+1, \gamma_1) - \dots - \max(j_d+1, \gamma_d)} \\ & = b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1}+1)} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_{i_2} \geq j_{i_2}+1 \\ i_2 \in I^c}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} \gamma_{\kappa_2}} \\ & \quad \quad \quad 2 \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} + \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (\gamma_{\kappa_2} + j_{\kappa_2} + 1) \geq \max(2n-v+1, 2 \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1)) \\ & = b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1}+1) - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2}+1)} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_{i_2} \geq 0, i_2 \in I^c}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} \gamma_{\kappa_2}} \\ & \quad \quad \quad \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} \gamma_{\kappa_2} \geq (2n-v-2 \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} - 2 \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1) + 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1+1}) - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2+1})} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} \sum_{r=(2n-v-2 \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} - 2 \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2+1}) + 1)_+}^{\infty} b^{-r} (r+1)^{d-1-\#I} \end{aligned}$$

where we applied Lemma 5.1 and several index shifts. The case $I = \{1, \dots, d\}$ contradicts the condition $\varrho_2(t) > 2n - v$ since $\varrho_2(t) < 2j_1 + \dots + 2j_d < 2n - 2v \leq 2n - v$. We continue the calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| \\ &\leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1+1}) - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2+1})} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} b^{-2n+v+2 \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} + 2 \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2+1})} \\ &\quad \times \left(2n - v - 2 \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} - 2 \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2+1}) + 1 \right)^{d-1-\#I} \\ &\leq b^{-|j|_+ - 2n+v} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1+1}) + \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2+1})} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} b^{2 \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1}} \\ &\quad \times \left(2n - v - 2 \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} - 2 \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2+1}) + 1 \right)^{d-1} \\ &\leq b^{-|j|_+ - 2n+v} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1+1}) + \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2+1})} \\ &\quad \times \left(2n - v - 2 \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1+1}) - 2 \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2+1}) + 1 \right)^{d-1} \\ &\leq b^{-2n+v} (2n - v - 2|j|_+)^{d-1}. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to prove the theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let \mathcal{P}_n^b be an order 2 digital (v, n, d) -net over \mathbb{F}_b . We apply Theorem 3.1 and aim to prove

$$(5.3) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d} b^{|j|_+} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^2 \leq b^{-2n+v} n^{d-1} v \leq b^{-2n} n^{d-1}.$$

We recall that $\#\mathbb{D}_j = b^{|j|_+}$ and $\#\mathbb{B}_j = b - 1$. We split the sum over j into three parts and apply Lemmas 5.9(ii) and 5.2 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ < n - \lceil v/2 \rceil}} b^{|j|_+} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^2 \\
 & \leq \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ < n - \lceil v/2 \rceil}} b^{|j|_+} b^{|j|_+} b^{-4n+2v} (2n - v - 2|j|_+)^{2(d-1)} \\
 & \leq b^{-4n+2v} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{n-v/2-1} b^{2\kappa} (2n - v - 2\kappa)^{2(d-1)} (\kappa + 1)^{d-1} \\
 & \leq b^{-4n+2v} b^{2n-v} (2n - v - 2n + v + 2)^{2(d-1)} (n - v/2)^{d-1} \\
 & \leq b^{-2n+v} n^{d-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

for big intervals. We also consider middle-sized and small intervals. In the case of small intervals ($|j|_+ \geq n$) there are at most b^n intervals containing a point of \mathcal{P}_n^b , while in the case where $n > |j|_+ \geq n$ there are even fewer, namely at most $b^{|j|_+}$ intervals. We apply Lemma 5.9(i) to calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ n > |j|_+ \geq n - \lceil v/2 \rceil}} b^{|j|_+} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^2 \\
 & \leq \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ n > |j|_+ \geq n - \lceil v/2 \rceil}} b^{|j|_+} b^{|j|_+} b^{-2|j|_+ - 2n + v} \leq b^{-2n+v} \sum_{\kappa=n - \lceil v/2 \rceil}^{n-1} (\kappa + 1)^{d-1} \\
 & \leq b^{-2n+v} n^{d-1} v
 \end{aligned}$$

for medium-sized intervals and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ \geq n}} b^{|j|_+} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^2 \\
 & \leq \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ \geq n}} b^{|j|_+} b^n b^{-2|j|_+ - 2n + v} + \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ \geq n}} b^{|j|_+} (b^{|j|_+} - b^n) b^{-4|j|_+} \\
 & \leq b^{-n+v} \sum_{\kappa=n}^{\infty} b^{-\kappa} (\kappa + 1)^{d-1} + \sum_{\kappa=n}^{\infty} b^{-2\kappa} (\kappa + 1)^{d-1} \leq b^{-2n+v} n^{d-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

for small intervals. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}$ be an order 1 digital (v, n, d) -net over \mathbb{F}_b . We apply Theorem 3.2 and are going to prove

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d} b^{|j|+(r-1/p+1)q} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^p \right)^{q/p} \\ \preceq b^{n(r-1)q} n^{d-1} b^{vq} \preceq b^{n(r-1)q} n^{d-1}.$$

We recall that $\#\mathbb{D}_j = b^{|j|+}$, $\#\mathbb{B}_j = b - 1$. We split the sum over j into three parts and apply Minkowski's inequality and Lemmas 5.8(ii) and 5.2 to get

$$\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ < n-v}} b^{|j|+(r-1/p+1)q} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^p \right)^{q/p} \\ \preceq \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ < n-v}} b^{|j|+(r-1/p+1)q} b^{|j|+q/p} b^{(-|j|_+-n+v)q} (n-v-|j|_+)^{(d-1)q} \\ \leq b^{(-n+v)q} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{n-v-1} b^{\kappa r q} (n-v-\kappa)^{(d-1)q} (\kappa+1)^{d-1} \\ \leq b^{(-n+v)q} b^{(n-v)r q} (n-v+1)^{d-1} \preceq b^{n(r-1)q} n^{d-1} b^{v(1-r)q}$$

for big intervals. Again we differentiate between small intervals and middle-sized intervals. We apply Lemma 5.8(1) to compute

$$\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ n > |j|_+ \geq n-v}} b^{|j|+(r-1/p+1)q} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^p \right)^{q/p} \\ \preceq \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ n > |j|_+ \geq n-v}} b^{|j|+(r-1/p+1)q} b^{|j|+q/p} b^{(-|j|_+-n+v)q} \\ \leq b^{(-n+v)q} \sum_{\kappa=n-v}^{n-1} b^{\kappa r q} (\kappa+1)^{d-1} \preceq b^{(-n+v)q} b^{nrq} n^{d-1} \leq b^{n(r-1)q} n^{d-1} b^{vq}$$

for medium-sized intervals and, considering the range of r ,

$$\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ \geq n}} b^{|j|+(r-1/p+1)q} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^p \right)^{q/p} \\ \preceq \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ \geq n}} b^{|j|+(r-1/p+1)q} b^{nq/p} b^{(-|j|_+-n+v)q} \\ + \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ \geq n}} b^{|j|+(r-1/p+1)q} (b^{|j|_+} - b^n)^{q/p} b^{-2|j|_+q}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq b^{nq/p} b^{(-n+v)q} \sum_{\kappa=n}^{\infty} b^{\kappa(r-1/p)q} (\kappa + 1)^{d-1} + \sum_{\kappa=n}^{\infty} b^{\kappa(r-1)q} (\kappa + 1)^{d-1} \\ &\leq b^{nq/p} b^{(-n+v)q} b^{n(r-1/p)q} n^{d-1} + b^{n(r-1)q} n^{d-1} \leq b^{n(r-1)q} n^{d-1} b^{vq} \end{aligned}$$

for small intervals. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}$ be an order 2 digital (v, n, d) -net over \mathbb{F}_b . The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.2. We apply Lemma 5.9 instead of 5.8 to get

$$\begin{aligned} (5.5) \quad &\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ < n - \lceil v/2 \rceil}} b^{|j|_+ + (r-1/p+1)q} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^p \right)^{q/p} \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ < n - \lceil v/2 \rceil}} b^{|j|_+ + (r-1/p+1)q} b^{|j|_+ q/p} b^{(-2n+v)q} (2n - v - 2|j|_+)^{(d-1)q} \\ &\leq b^{(-2n+v)q} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{n-v/2-1} b^{\kappa(r+1)q} (2n - v - 2\kappa)^{(d-1)q} (\kappa + 1)^{d-1} \\ &\leq b^{(-2n+v)q} b^{(n-v/2)(r+1)q} (n - v/2 + 1)^{d-1} \\ &\leq b^{n(r-1)q} n^{d-1} b^{v/2(1-r)q} \leq b^{n(r-1)q} n^{d-1} \end{aligned}$$

and analogous results for the other subsums. ■

Proof of Corollaries 1.4 and 1.5. The results for the Triebel–Lizorkin spaces follow from those for the Besov spaces. We apply Lemma 2.1: there is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\|D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b} | S_{p,q}^r F\| \leq c \|D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b} | S_{\max(p,q),q}^r B\|,$$

and Corollary 1.4 follows from Theorem 1.2, while Corollary 1.5 from Theorem 1.3. ■

Proof of Corollaries 1.6 and 1.7. We recall that $S_p^r H = S_{p,2}^r F$. Therefore Corollary 1.6 follows from Corollary 1.4, and Corollary 1.7 from Corollary 1.5, both in the case $q = 2$. ■

Proof of Corollary 1.8. We recall that $L_p = S_p^0 H$. Therefore the result follows from Corollary 1.7 in the case $r = 0$. ■

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her helpful comments.

References

[B11] D. Bilyk, *On Roth’s orthogonal function method in discrepancy theory*, Unif. Distrib. Theory 6 (2011), 143–184.

- [BLV08] D. Bilyk, M. T. Lacey and A. Vagharshakyan, *On the small ball inequality in all dimensions*, J. Funct. Anal. 254 (2008), 2470–2502.
- [C80] W. W. L. Chen, *On irregularities of distribution*, Mathematika 27 (1980), 153–170.
- [CS02] W. W. L. Chen and M. M. Skrikanov, *Explicit constructions in the classical mean squares problem in irregularities of point distribution*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 545 (2002), 67–95.
- [CS08] W. W. L. Chen and M. M. Skrikanov, *Orthogonality and digit shifts in the classical mean squares problem in irregularities of point distribution*, in: Diophantine Approximation, Dev. Math. 16, Springer, Wien, 2008, 141–159.
- [D56] H. Davenport, *Note on irregularities of distribution*, Mathematika 3 (1956), 131–135.
- [D07] J. Dick, *Explicit constructions of quasi-Monte Carlo rules for the numerical integration of high-dimensional periodic functions*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 45 (2007), 2141–2176.
- [D08] J. Dick, *Walsh spaces containing smooth functions and quasi-Monte Carlo rules of arbitrary high order*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 46 (2008), 1519–1553.
- [D14] J. Dick, *Discrepancy bounds for infinite-dimensional order two digital sequences over \mathbb{F}_2* , J. Number Theory 136 (2014), 204–232.
- [DP05] J. Dick and F. Pillichshammer, *Multivariate integration in weighted Hilbert spaces based on Walsh functions and weighted Sobolev spaces*, J. Complexity 21 (2005), 149–195.
- [DP10] J. Dick and F. Pillichshammer, *Digital Nets and Sequences. Discrepancy Theory and Quasi-Monte Carlo Integration*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [DP14a] J. Dick and F. Pillichshammer, *Optimal L_2 discrepancy bounds for higher order digital sequences over the finite field \mathbb{F}_2* , Acta Arith. 162 (2014), 65–99.
- [DP14b] J. Dick and F. Pillichshammer, *Explicit constructions of point sets and sequences with low discrepancy*, in: P. Kritzer et al. (eds.), Uniform Distribution and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods. Discrepancy, Integration and Applications, Radon Ser. Comput. Appl. Math. 15, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2014, 63–86.
- [FPPS10] H. Faure, F. Pillichshammer, G. Pirsic and W. Ch. Schmid, *L_2 discrepancy of generalized two-dimensional Hammersley point sets scrambled with arbitrary permutations*, Acta Arith. 141 (2010), 395–418.
- [H81] G. Halász, *On Roth’s method in the theory of irregularities of point distributions*, in: Recent Progress in Analytic Number Theory, Vol. 2, Academic Press, London, 1981, 79–94.
- [H10] M. Hansen, *Nonlinear approximation and function spaces of dominating mixed smoothness*, Dissertation, Jena, 2010.
- [Hi10] A. Hinrichs, *Discrepancy of Hammersley points in Besov spaces of dominating mixed smoothness*, Math. Nachr. 283 (2010), 478–488.
- [Hi13] A. Hinrichs, *Discrepancy, Integration and Tractability*, in: J. Dick et al. (eds.), Monte Carlo and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods 2012, Springer Proc. Math. Statist. 65, Springer, Berlin, 2013, 129–172.
- [HM11] A. Hinrichs and L. Markhasin, *On lower bounds for the L_2 -discrepancy*, J. Complexity 27 (2011), 127–132.
- [KN74] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, *Uniform Distribution of Sequences*, Wiley, New York, 1974.
- [M13a] L. Markhasin, *Discrepancy of generalized Hammersley type point sets in Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness*, Unif. Distrib. Theory 8 (2013), 135–164.

- [M13b] L. Markhasin, *Quasi-Monte Carlo methods for integration of functions with dominating mixed smoothness in arbitrary dimension*, J. Complexity 29 (2013), 370–388.
- [M13c] L. Markhasin, *Discrepancy and integration in function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness*, Dissertationes Math. 494 (2013), 81 pp.
- [M99] J. Matoušek, *Geometric Discrepancy. An Illustrated Guide*, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [N87] H. Niederreiter, *Point sets and sequences with small discrepancy*, Monatsh. Math. 104 (1987), 273–337.
- [NP01] H. Niederreiter and G. Piršic, *Duality for digital nets and its applications*, Acta Arith. 97 (2001), 173–182.
- [NW10] E. Novak and H. Woźniakowski, *Tractability of Multivariate Problems*, Vol. II: *Standard Information for Functionals*, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2010.
- [R54] K. F. Roth, *On irregularities of distribution*, Mathematika 1 (1954), 73–79.
- [R80] K. F. Roth, *On irregularities of distribution. IV*, Acta Arith. 37 (1980), 67–75.
- [ST87] H.-J. Schmeißer and H. Triebel, *Topics in Fourier Analysis and Function Spaces*, Wiley, Chichester, 1987.
- [S72] W. M. Schmidt, *Irregularities of distribution. VII*, Acta Arith. 21 (1972), 45–50.
- [S77] W. M. Schmidt, *Irregularities of distribution. X*, in: *Number Theory and Algebra*, Academic Press, New York, 1977, 311–329.
- [S06] M. M. Skriganov, *Harmonic analysis on totally disconnected groups and irregularities of point distributions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 600 (2006), 25–49.
- [T10] H. Triebel, *Bases in Function Spaces, Sampling, Discrepancy, Numerical Integration*, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2010.
- [U14] T. Ullrich, *Optimal cubature in Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness on the unit square*, J. Complexity 30 (2014), 72–94.

Lev Markhasin
Institut für Stochastik und Anwendungen
Universität Stuttgart
Pfaffenwaldring 57
70569 Stuttgart, Germany
E-mail: lev.markhasin@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de

Received on 28.4.2014
and in revised form on 23.1.2015

(7784)

