

Class number one problem for real quadratic fields of a certain type

by

KOSTADINKA LAPKOVA (Budapest)

1. Introduction. Let us consider the quadratic fields $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ with class group $Cl(d)$ of order $h(d)$. In this paper we solve the class number one problem for a subset of the fields $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ where $d = (an)^2 + 4a$ is square-free and a and n are positive odd integers. It is known that there are only a finite number of such fields by Siegel's theorem but as the latter is ineffective it is not applicable to finding specific fields. For this reason we apply the methods developed by Biró in [B1] and in his joint work with Granville [BG].

We remark that the class number one problem that we consider was suggested by Biró in [B3] as a possible generalization of his work. The discriminant under study is of Richaud–Dégert type ($d = (an)^2 + ka$ for $\pm k \in \{1, 2, 4\}$) with $k = 4$. The class number one problem for special cases of Richaud–Dégert type is solved in [B1], [B2], [BY1] and [L] where $a = 1$. However we cover a subset of Richaud–Dégert type that is of positive density and our problem depends on two parameters. What is more, we believe that in the future we can solve the class number one problem for all the remaining discriminants of Richaud–Dégert type in a similar way using complex characters and computer check as in [B1], [B2].

Under the assumption of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis there is a list of principal quadratic fields of Richaud–Dégert type (see [M]). Here, however, our main result is unconditional:

THEOREM 1.1. *If $d = (an)^2 + 4a$ is square-free with a and n odd positive integers such that $43 \cdot 181 \cdot 353 \mid n$, then $h(d) > 1$.*

In [BG] Biró and Granville give a finite formula for a partial zeta function at 0 in the case of a general real quadratic field and a general odd Dirichlet character. Basically we follow their method in a much simpler situation

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11R29; Secondary 11R11, 11R42.

Key words and phrases: class number problem, real quadratic field.

where the field has a specific form as in Theorem 1.1, the character is real and its conductor divides n . As could be expected, to deduce a formula in this special case is much simpler than in the general case.

The idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is roughly speaking the following. By computing a partial zeta function at 0 at the principal integral ideals for our specific discriminant, taking a real character modulo q and applying the condition $h(d) = 1$, we arrive at the identity

$$(1.1) \quad qh(-q)h(-qd) = n \left(a + \left(\frac{a}{q} \right) \right) \frac{1}{6} \prod_{p|q} (p^2 - 1)$$

whenever $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ is square-free, $(q, a) = 1$ and $q | n$. Using an analogue of Fact B of [B1] to determine the value of $\left(\frac{a}{q} \right)$ and considering the factorization of q , we can deduce the exact power of 2 which divides the right-hand side of (1.1). Now we explain the limitation $43 \cdot 181 \cdot 353 | n$. In the analysis of (1.1) we can get a contradiction if we choose q in such a way that the class number $h(-q)$ is divisible by a large power of 2. We choose $q = 43 \cdot 181 \cdot 353$ and use the fact that $h(-43 \cdot 181 \cdot 353) = 2^9 \cdot 3$ (e.g. in [BU] not only the order but also the group structure of $Cl(-43 \cdot 181 \cdot 353)$ is given). Then we show that different powers of 2 divide the two sides of (1.1), concluding the proof.

2. Notation and structure of the paper. Let χ be a Dirichlet character of conductor q . Consider a fractional ideal I and the zeta function corresponding to the ideal class of I ,

$$(2.1) \quad \zeta_I(s, \chi) := \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \frac{\chi(N\mathfrak{a})}{(N\mathfrak{a})^s},$$

where the summation is over all integral ideals \mathfrak{a} equivalent to I in the ideal class group $Cl(d)$.

Let $f(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ be a quadratic form $f(x, y) = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2$ with discriminant $D = B^2 - 4AC$.

Denote by $B_\ell(x)$ the Bernoulli polynomial defined by

$$\frac{Te^{Tx}}{e^T - 1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_n(x) \frac{T^n}{n!}$$

and introduce the generalized Gauss sum

$$(2.2) \quad g(\chi, f, B_\ell) := \sum_{0 \leq u, v \leq q-1} \chi(f(u, v)) B_\ell \left(\frac{v}{q} \right).$$

The symbol χ_q always denotes the real primitive Dirichlet character with conductor q , i.e. $\chi_q(m) = \left(\frac{m}{q} \right)$. Thus we are interested in square-free q . The notation $[x]$ signifies the least integer not smaller than x , and $(x)_q$ the

least nonnegative residue of $x \pmod q$. We denote by (a, b) the greatest common divisor of the integers a and b . For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(m, q) = 1$ we use the notation \bar{m} for the multiplicative inverse of m modulo q . The same overlining will denote the algebraic conjugate $\bar{\alpha}$ of $\alpha \in K$; the meaning should be clear from context. As usual $\varphi(x)$ and $\mu(x)$ are the Euler function and the Möbius function. Further, let $p^\alpha \parallel l$ denote the fact that $p^\alpha \mid l$ but $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid l$. We also recall that $B_\ell := B_\ell(0)$.

\mathcal{O}_K represents the ring of integers of the quadratic field K ; $P(K)$ the set of all nonzero principal ideals of \mathcal{O}_K ; and $P_F(K)$ the set of all nonzero principal fractional ideals of K . Let $I_F(K)$ be the set of nonzero fractional ideals of K . The norm of an integral ideal \mathfrak{a} in \mathcal{O}_K is the index $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathfrak{a}]$. The trace of $\alpha \in K$ is $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = \alpha + \bar{\alpha}$. For $\alpha, \beta \in K$ we write $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod q$ when $(\alpha - \beta)/q \in \mathcal{O}_K$. When $I_1, I_2 \in I_F(K)$ are represented as ratios of two integral ideals, $\mathfrak{a}_1 \mathfrak{b}_1^{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_2 \mathfrak{b}_2^{-1}$, we say that the ideals I_1 and I_2 are *relatively prime* and write $(I_1, I_2) = 1$ when $(\mathfrak{a}_1 \mathfrak{b}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2 \mathfrak{b}_2) = 1$. An element $\beta \in K$ is called *totally positive*, written $\beta \gg 0$, if $\beta > 0$ and $\bar{\beta} > 0$.

The structure of the paper is the following. In §3 we compute (2.2) for the real character χ_q . We need it because in §4 we formulate and prove Claim 4.2 for the value of $\zeta_{P(K)}(0, \chi)$ in terms of (2.2). The main result there is Corollary 4.4 giving the value of $\zeta_{P(K)}(0, \chi_q)$. In §5 we establish a lemma leading to Claim 5.1, the analogue of Fact B in [B1], and at the end of §6 we prove the main Theorem 1.1. In the Appendix, for completeness we recall the proof of Corollary 4.2 from [BG] which we use in §4.

3. On a generalized Gauss sum. The main statement in this section is

CLAIM 3.1. For $(2A, q) = (D, q) = 1$ and even $\ell \geq 2$ we have

$$g(\chi_q, f, B_\ell) = \chi_q(A)qB_\ell \prod_{p|q} (1 - p^{-\ell}).$$

REMARK 3.2. When ℓ is odd we have $B_\ell = 0$ for every $\ell \geq 3$. Since $B_n(1 - x) = (-1)^n B_n(x)$ one can easily see that $g(\chi, f, B_\ell)$ is divisible by B_ℓ , and thus equals zero unless $\ell = 1$ and $\chi = \chi_q$.

Proof of Claim 3.1. By (2.2),

$$g(\chi_q, f, B_\ell) = \sum_{v=0}^{q-1} B_\ell \left(\frac{v}{q}\right) \sum_{u=0}^{q-1} \chi_q(f(u, v)).$$

Introduce $r := 2Au + Bv$. Since $(2A, q) = 1$ the values of r cover a full residue system modulo q when u does. Also $r^2 = 4A(f(u, v) + Dv^2/4A)$ so we get $\chi_q(f(u, v)) = \bar{\chi}_q(4A)\chi_q(r^2 - Dv^2)$. As χ_q is of order 2, we have $\chi_q = \bar{\chi}_q$ and $\chi_q(4A) = \chi_q(A)$. Therefore $\chi_q(f(u, v)) = \chi_q(A)\chi_q(r^2 - Dv^2)$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad g(\chi_q, f, B_\ell) &= \chi_q(A) \sum_{v=0}^{q-1} B_\ell\left(\frac{v}{q}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} \chi_q(r^2 - Dv^2) \\
 &= \chi_q(A) \sum_{v=0}^{q-1} B_\ell\left(\frac{v}{q}\right) R,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $R := \sum_{0 \leq r \leq q-1} \chi_q(r^2 - Dv^2)$. We will show that for $g = (v, q)$,

$$(3.2) \quad R = \varphi(g)\mu(q/g).$$

Let $q = \prod_i p_i$. Here no square of a prime divides q because χ_q is a primitive character modulo q of second order and $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p^2}\right) = 1$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, for any polynomial $F(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ we have

$$\sum_{u=0}^{q-1} \chi_q(F(u, v)) = \prod_i \sum_{u_i=0}^{p_i-1} \chi_{p_i}(F(u_i, v)).$$

Therefore it is enough to consider the sum in the definition of R for every $p \mid q$. Let $R_p = \sum_{0 \leq r \leq p-1} \chi_p(r^2 - Dv^2)$. Then $R = \prod_{p \mid q} R_p$.

If $p \mid q/g$, i.e. $(p, v) = 1$, we have

$$\left(\frac{r^2 - Dv^2}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{Dv^2}{p}\right) \left(\frac{\overline{Dv^2} r^2 - 1}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{D}{p}\right) \left(\frac{\overline{Dv^2} r^2 - 1}{p}\right)$$

because $(D, p) = 1$, and so

$$(3.3) \quad R_p = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \chi_p(r^2 - Dv^2) = \left(\frac{D}{p}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \chi_p(\overline{D} r^2 - 1).$$

If $\left(\frac{\nu}{p}\right) = -1$, then $\{\nu r^2 - 1 : 0 \leq r \leq p-1\} \cup \{r^2 - 1 : 0 \leq r \leq p-1\}$ gives us two copies of the full residue system modulo p . Thus

$$\sum_{0 \leq r \leq p-1} \chi_p(\nu r^2 - 1) + \sum_{0 \leq r \leq p-1} \chi_p(r^2 - 1) = 2 \sum_{0 \leq r \leq p-1} \chi_p(r) = 0$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \chi_p(\nu r^2 - 1) = - \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \chi_p(r^2 - 1) = \left(\frac{\nu}{p}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \chi_p(r^2 - 1).$$

Clearly when $\left(\frac{\nu}{p}\right) = 1$ we have $\{\nu r^2 - 1 \pmod{p} : 0 \leq r \leq p-1\} \equiv \{r^2 - 1 \pmod{p} : 0 \leq r \leq p-1\}$. We conclude that

$$\sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \chi_p(\nu r^2 - 1) = \left(\frac{\nu}{p}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \chi_p(r^2 - 1)$$

and for the sum on the right-hand side of (3.3) we can assume $\overline{D} = 1$. So

$$\begin{aligned} R_p &= \left(\frac{D}{p}\right) \left(\frac{\overline{D}}{p}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \chi_p(r^2 - 1) = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \chi_p(r - 1)\chi_p(r + 1) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ r \neq 1}}^{p-1} \chi_p(\overline{r-1})\chi_p(r + 1) = \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ r \neq 1}}^{p-1} \chi_p\left(\frac{r + 1}{r - 1}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ r \neq 1}}^{p-1} \chi_p\left(1 + \frac{2}{r - 1}\right) = \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \chi_p(1 + 2r) = -1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $p \mid g$, i.e. $p \mid v$, we have $R_p = \sum_{0 \leq r \leq p-1} \chi_p(r^2) = p - 1 = \varphi(p)$ because χ_p is of second order. Combining $R_p = -1$ when $p \nmid q/g$ and $R_p = \varphi(p)$ when $p \mid g$ we get $R = R_q = \mu(q/g)\varphi(g)$, which is (3.2).

When we substitute the value of R in (3.1) we get

$$(3.4) \quad g(\chi_q, f, B_\ell) = \chi_q(A) \sum_{v=0}^{q-1} \mu(q/g)\varphi(g)B_\ell\left(\frac{v}{q}\right) =: \chi_q(A)\Sigma_1.$$

Further, if $V := v/g$ and $Q := q/g$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= \sum_{g \mid q} \mu(q/g)\varphi(g) \sum_{\substack{v=0 \\ g=(v,q)}}^{q-1} B_\ell\left(\frac{v}{q}\right) = \sum_{g \mid q} \mu(q/g)\varphi(g) \sum_{\substack{V=0 \\ (V,Q)=1}}^{Q-1} B_\ell\left(\frac{V}{Q}\right) \\ &=: \sum_{g \mid q} \mu(q/g)\varphi(g)\Sigma_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 &= \sum_{V=0}^{Q-1} B_\ell\left(\frac{V}{Q}\right) \sum_{d \mid (V,Q)} \mu(d) = \sum_{d \mid Q} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{V=0 \\ d \mid V}}^{Q-1} B_\ell\left(\frac{V}{Q}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d \mid Q} \mu(d) \sum_{V/d=0}^{Q/d-1} B_\ell\left(\frac{V/d}{Q/d}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We make use of the following property of the Bernoulli polynomials [W, §4.1]:

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{N=0}^{k-1} B_\ell\left(t + \frac{N}{k}\right) = k^{-(\ell-1)}B_\ell(kt).$$

Thus

$$\sum_{V/d=0}^{Q/d-1} B_\ell\left(\frac{V/d}{Q/d}\right) = (Q/d)^{-(\ell-1)} B_\ell(0) = Q^{-(\ell-1)} B_\ell d^{\ell-1}$$

and hence

$$\Sigma_2 = Q^{-(\ell-1)} B_\ell \sum_{d|Q} \mu(d) d^{\ell-1} = Q^{-(\ell-1)} B_\ell \prod_{p|Q} (1 - p^{\ell-1}).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= \sum_{g|q} \mu(q/g) \varphi(g) B_\ell Q^{-(\ell-1)} \prod_{p|Q} (1 - p^{\ell-1}) \\ &= B_\ell q^{-(\ell-1)} \sum_{g|q} \varphi(g) g^{\ell-1} \mu(q/g) \prod_{p|(q/g)} (1 - p^{\ell-1}) \\ &= B_\ell q^{-(\ell-1)} \prod_{p|q} (\varphi(p) p^{\ell-1} - (1 - p^{\ell-1})) = B_\ell q^{-(\ell-1)} \prod_{p|q} (p^\ell - 1) \\ &= B_\ell q \prod_{p|q} (1 - p^{-\ell}). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this in (3.4) proves the claim. ■

4. Computation of a partial zeta function. The main tool used in this section will be the following (Corollary 4.2 from [BG]):

LEMMA 4.1. *Let (e, f) be a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $I \in I_F(K)$ for any real quadratic field K , t be a positive integer, $e^* = e + tf$, and assume that $e, e^* \gg 0$. Furthermore, let $\omega = Ce + Df$ with some rational integers $0 \leq C, D < q$, and write $c = C/q, d = D/q, \delta = (D - tC)_q/q$. Let*

$$Z_{I,\omega,q}(s) = Z(s) := \sum_{\beta \in H} (\beta \bar{\beta})^{-s}$$

with $H = \{\beta \in I : \beta \equiv \omega \pmod{q}, \beta = Xe + Ye^* \text{ with } (X, Y) \in \mathbb{Q}^2, X > 0, Y \geq 0\}$. Then

$$Z(0) = A(1 - c) + \frac{t}{2} \left(c^2 - c - \frac{1}{6} \right) + \frac{d - \delta}{2} + \text{Tr} \left(\frac{-f}{4e^*} \right) B_2(\delta) + \text{Tr} \left(\frac{f}{4e} \right) B_2(d),$$

where $A = [tc - d]$.

For completeness we give the proof in the Appendix.

Since $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we have $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[1, (\sqrt{d} + 1)/2]$. Let $\alpha := (\sqrt{d} - an)/2$ be the positive root of

$$(4.1) \quad x^2 + (an)x - a = 0.$$

Then $\alpha + \bar{\alpha} = -an$ and $\alpha\bar{\alpha} = -a$.

We will also come across the quadratic forms

$$(4.2) \quad f_1(x, y) = x^2 + anxy - ay^2,$$

$$(4.3) \quad f_2(x, y) = ax^2 + anxy - y^2,$$

both with discriminant $d = (an)^2 + 4a$.

Recall that $P(K)$ is the set of all nonzero principal ideals in \mathcal{O}_K and define the zeta function

$$\zeta_{P(K)}(s, \chi) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in P(K)} \frac{\chi(N\mathfrak{a})}{(N\mathfrak{a})^s}.$$

CLAIM 4.2. *Let $d = (an)^2 + 4a$ be square-free with a, n odd positive integers with $a > 1$, and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. If q is a positive integer such that $q \mid n$ and $(q, 2a) = 1$, then for any odd Dirichlet character χ modulo q we have*

$$\zeta_{P(K)}(0, \chi) = ang(\chi, f_1, B_2) + ng(\chi, f_2, B_2).$$

Proof. We know that for $a > 1$ the fundamental unit of K is $\varepsilon_d = 1 - n\bar{\alpha} > 1$ (see [BK]). Thus $\bar{\varepsilon}_d = \varepsilon_+ = 1 - n\alpha$ satisfies $0 < \varepsilon_+ < 1$.

Choose $I \in I_F(K)$ with $(I, q) = 1$ and consider the zeta function

$$\zeta_I^+(s, \chi) = \zeta_{Cl(I)}^+(s, \chi) := \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \frac{\chi(N\mathfrak{a})}{(N\mathfrak{a})^s}$$

where the sum is over all integral ideals of K which are equivalent to I in the sense that $\mathfrak{a} = (\beta)I$ for some $\beta \gg 0$. We have $N\varepsilon_d = 1$ and so

$$\zeta_I(s, \chi) = \zeta_I^+(s, \chi) + \zeta_{(\bar{\alpha})I}^+(s, \chi).$$

It is also clear that $\zeta_{Cl(I)}^+(s, \chi) = \zeta_{Cl(I^{-1})}^+(s, \chi)$ and

$$\zeta_{I^{-1}}^+(s, \chi) = \sum_{b \in P_I} \frac{\chi(N(bI^{-1}))}{(N(bI^{-1}))^s} = (NI^{-1})^{-s} \sum_{b \in P_I} \chi\left(\frac{Nb}{NI}\right) (Nb)^{-s}$$

where $P_I = \{b \in P_F(K) : b = (\beta) \text{ for some } \beta \in I, \beta \gg 0\}$. We also introduce $V = \{\nu \pmod{q} : \nu \in I \text{ and } (\nu, q) = 1\}$ and $P_{I, \nu, q} = \{b \in P_F(K) : b = (\beta) \text{ for some } \beta \in I, \beta \equiv \nu \pmod{q} \text{ and } \beta \gg 0\}$. Since $q \mid n$ we get $\varepsilon_d = 1 - n\bar{\alpha} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ and $\varepsilon_+ = 1 - n\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. Thus every $b \in P_I$ given by $b = (\beta) = (\beta\varepsilon_+^j)$ belongs to exactly one residue class $\nu \in V$. Hence

$$\zeta_I^+(s, \chi) = (NI^{-1})^{-s} \sum_{\nu \in V} \sum_{b \in P_{I, \nu, q}} \chi\left(\frac{Nb}{NI}\right) (Nb)^{-s}.$$

If we take into account that $(I, q) = 1$ and therefore $(NI, q) = 1$, and also $Nb = \beta\bar{\beta}$, we get

$$\zeta_I^+(s, \chi) = (NI^{-1})^{-s} \sum_{\nu \in V} \chi\left(\frac{\nu\bar{\nu}}{NI}\right) \sum_{b \in P_{I, \nu, q}} (\beta\bar{\beta})^{-s}.$$

Now assume that the \mathbb{Z} -basis of the fractional ideal I is of the form (e, f) where $e > 0$ is a rational integer and $e^* = e\varepsilon_+ = e + tf \gg 0$. Then for every principal ideal $b \in P_{I,\nu,q}$ there is a unique β such that $b = (\beta) = (\beta\varepsilon_+^j)$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\varepsilon_+^2 < \beta/\bar{\beta} \leq 1$. As ε_+ is irrational, for every $\beta \in K$ there is a unique pair $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ such that $\beta = Xe + Y\varepsilon_+ = e(X + Y\varepsilon_+)$. Then from $\bar{\beta}\varepsilon_+^2 < \beta \leq \bar{\beta}$ we get

$$(X + Y\varepsilon_d)\varepsilon_+^2 < X + Y\varepsilon_+ \leq X + Y\varepsilon_d.$$

It follows easily that $X > 0$ and $Y \geq 0$. Thus any $b \in P_{I,\nu,q}$ can be uniquely represented as $b = (\beta)$ with $\beta = e(X + Y\varepsilon_+)$ where X, Y are nonnegative rationals with $X > 0$.

Note also that for $0 \leq C, D \leq q - 1$ the elements $\nu = Ce + Df \in I$ give a complete system of residues $\nu \pmod q$. Thus

$$\zeta_I^+(0, \chi) = \sum_{C,D=0}^{q-1} \chi\left(\frac{(Ce + Df)\overline{(Ce + Df)}}{NI}\right) Z_{I,\nu,q}(0)$$

where $Z_{I,\nu,q}(s)$ is defined in Lemma 4.1.

Observe that $\zeta_{P(K)}(s, \chi) = \zeta_{\mathcal{O}_K}(s, \chi)$ and take $I = \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[1, -\alpha]$. Clearly $(\mathcal{O}_K, q) = 1$. Apply Lemma 4.1 with $e^* = \varepsilon_+ = 1 + n(-\alpha)$ so $t = n$. Also $N\mathcal{O}_K = 1$ and $\nu\bar{\nu} = (C - D\alpha)(C - D\bar{\alpha}) = C^2 - (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})CD + \alpha\bar{\alpha}D = C^2 + anCD - aD^2 = f_1(C, D)$. Since $q \mid t$ we have $\delta = (D - tC)_q/q = D/q = d$ and $\lceil tc - d \rceil = tc/q = tc$. Here $\text{Tr}(\alpha/4\varepsilon_+) = \text{Tr}(-\alpha/4) = an/4$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathcal{O}_K,\nu,q}(0) &= nc(1 - c) + \frac{n}{2}\left(c^2 - c - \frac{1}{6}\right) + \frac{an}{2}B_2(d) \\ &= -\frac{n}{2}c^2 + \frac{n}{2}c - \frac{n}{2}\frac{1}{6} + \frac{an}{2}B_2(d) \\ &= -\frac{n}{2}\left(c^2 - c + \frac{1}{6}\right) + \frac{an}{2}B_2(d) = -\frac{n}{2}B_2(c) + \frac{an}{2}B_2(d) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_I^+(0, \chi) &= \sum_{C,D=0}^{q-1} \chi(C^2 - aD^2) \left(-\frac{n}{2}B_2(c) + \frac{an}{2}B_2(d)\right) \\ &= -\frac{n}{2} \sum_{C,D=0}^{q-1} \chi(C^2 - aD^2)B_2(c) + \frac{an}{2} \sum_{C,D=0}^{q-1} \chi(C^2 - aD^2)B_2(d). \end{aligned}$$

Now in the first sum make the change of notation $C \leftrightarrow D$ and take into

account that $\chi(-1) = -1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.4) \quad \zeta_I^+(0, \chi) &= \frac{n}{2} \sum_{C,D=0}^{q-1} \chi(-D^2 + aC^2) B_2(d) + \frac{an}{2} \sum_{C,D=0}^{q-1} \chi(C^2 - aD^2) B_2(d) \\
 &= \frac{n}{2} \sum_{C,D=0}^{q-1} \chi(f_2(C, D)) B_2\left(\frac{D}{q}\right) + \frac{an}{2} \sum_{C,D=0}^{q-1} \chi(f_1(C, D)) B_2\left(\frac{D}{q}\right) \\
 &= \frac{an}{2} g(\chi, f_1, B_2) + \frac{n}{2} g(\chi, f_2, B_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we find $\zeta_{(\alpha)I}^+(0, \chi)$ and we apply Lemma 4.1 once more for $(\alpha)I$. Here again $((\alpha)\mathcal{O}_K, q) = 1$, which follows from $\alpha\bar{\alpha} = a \in (\alpha)\mathcal{O}_K$ and $(a, q) = 1$. We can take $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[-\bar{\alpha}, -1]$. Then $(\alpha)\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[-\alpha\bar{\alpha}, -\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[a, -\alpha]$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nu\bar{\nu} &= (Ca + D(-\alpha))(Ca + D(-\bar{\alpha})) = \alpha\bar{\alpha}(C\bar{\alpha} + D)(C\alpha + D) \\
 &= -a(-aC^2 - anCD + D^2) = af_2(C, D).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $N((\alpha)\mathcal{O}_K) = |\alpha\bar{\alpha}| = a$ and $\chi(\nu\bar{\nu}/N((\alpha)I)) = \chi(f_2(C, D)) = \chi(aC^2 - D^2)$. Also $e^* = a\varepsilon_+ = a + an(-\alpha) = a(1 - n\alpha)$ so $t = an$. Note that again $q \mid t$. Here $\text{Tr}(\alpha/4a\varepsilon_+) = \text{Tr}(-\alpha/4a) = n/4$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z_{(\alpha)\mathcal{O}_K, \nu, q}(0) &= anc(1 - c) + \frac{an}{2} \left(c^2 - c - \frac{1}{6} \right) + \frac{n}{2} B_2(d) \\
 &= -\frac{an}{2} c^2 + \frac{an}{2} c - \frac{an}{2} \frac{1}{6} + \frac{n}{2} B_2(d) \\
 &= -\frac{an}{2} \left(c^2 - c + \frac{1}{6} \right) + \frac{n}{2} B_2(d) = -\frac{an}{2} B_2(c) + \frac{n}{2} B_2(d).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.5) \quad \zeta_{(\alpha)I}^+(0, \chi) &= -\frac{an}{2} \sum_{C,D=0}^{q-1} \chi(aC^2 - D^2) B_2(c) + \frac{n}{2} \sum_{C,D=0}^{q-1} \chi(aC^2 - D^2) B_2(d) \\
 &= \frac{n}{2} g(\chi, f_2, B_2) + \frac{an}{2} (-1) \sum_{C,D=0}^{q-1} \chi(aD^2 - C^2) B_2(d) \\
 &= \frac{n}{2} g(\chi, f_2, B_2) + \frac{an}{2} g(\chi, f_1, B_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that we got the equality $\zeta_I^+(0, \chi) = \zeta_{(\alpha)I}^+(0, \chi)$, an equation that holds true in general real quadratic fields with $N\epsilon = 1$ and χ an odd character. When we sum up the two zeta functions (4.4) and (4.5) we obtain the statement of the claim. ■

REMARK 4.3. Here the result on the zeta function at the class of a principal integral ideal is for any odd Dirichlet character modulo q . If $a = 1$

we have $N\varepsilon = -1$. In this case $\zeta_I(s, \chi) = \zeta_I^+(s, \chi)$ because for any principal ideal there is a totally positive generator.

From q odd square-free with $q \mid n$ and $(q, a) = 1$ it follows that $(q, d) = 1$. Combining Claims 3.1 and 4.2 with $B_2 = 1/6$ we arrive at

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let $d = (an)^2 + 4a$ be a square-free discriminant with a, n odd positive integers with $a > 1$, and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. If $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ is a square-free positive integer such that $q \mid n$ and $(q, 2a) = 1$, then*

$$\zeta_{P(K)}(0, \chi_q) = \frac{q}{6} n(a + \chi_q(a)) \prod_{p \mid q} (1 - p^{-2}).$$

5. Small primes are inert when $h(d) = 1$. In this section we will prove the following result generalizing Fact B in [B1]:

CLAIM 5.1. *If $h(d) = 1$ for the square-free discriminant $d = (an)^2 + 4a$, then a and $an^2 + 4$ are primes. What is more, for any prime $r \neq a$ such that $2 < r < an/2$ we then have*

$$\left(\frac{d}{r}\right) = -1.$$

We defined α as the positive root of (4.1). Let $\bar{\alpha} = -(an + \sqrt{d})/2$ be the algebraic conjugate of α . We note that $(1, \bar{\alpha})$ form a \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathcal{O}_K with

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{-an+1}{2} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{\sqrt{d}+1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the fundamental unit $\epsilon_d > 1$ the system $(1, \bar{\epsilon}_d)$ was used in [B1] but it forms a basis of the ring \mathcal{O}_K over \mathbb{Z} only when $n = 1$. That is why we need to use a different base system. Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_d \\ \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

with the determinant of the transformation equal to 1, we can take $(\epsilon_d, \bar{\alpha})$ as a basis of the ring \mathcal{O}_K over \mathbb{Z} .

We also have $\epsilon_d \bar{\epsilon}_d = 1$ and

$$(5.1) \quad \epsilon_d + \bar{\epsilon}_d = 1 - n\alpha + 1 - n\bar{\alpha} = 2 - n(\alpha + \bar{\alpha}) = 2 + an^2.$$

Now we will demonstrate the splitting behaviour of some of the primes in the field K .

LEMMA 5.2. *If β is an algebraic integer in K such that $|\beta\bar{\beta}| < an/2$, then $|\beta\bar{\beta}|$ is either divisible by a square of a rational integer greater than 1, or equals 1, or equals a .*

Proof. It is enough to prove the conclusion for

$$(5.2) \quad 1 < |\beta| < \epsilon_d.$$

Indeed, if $|\beta| = 1$ or $|\beta| = \epsilon_d$ we have $|\beta\bar{\beta}| = 1$ and the conclusion is true. If $0 < |\beta| < 1$ or $|\beta| > \epsilon_d$ there is an integer k such that $\epsilon_d^{k-1} \leq |\beta| < \epsilon_d^k$, with $k < 0$ in the first case and $k > 0$ in the second. Then $\gamma := \epsilon_d^{1-k}\beta$ is in the interval $[1, \epsilon_d)$ and still $|\gamma\bar{\gamma}| = |\beta\bar{\beta}|$.

So we further assume (5.2). Then we can write $\beta = e\epsilon_d + f\bar{\alpha}$. If $e = 0$ then $\beta = f\bar{\alpha}$, $|\beta\bar{\beta}| = f^2a$ and the conclusion is true.

Assume that $e > 0$, the negative case being analogous. If $f = 0$ then $\beta = e\epsilon_d$, $|\beta\bar{\beta}| = e^2$ and this satisfies the conclusion of the lemma. If we assume that $f < 0$, from $\bar{\alpha} < 0$ we get $\beta = e\epsilon_d + f\bar{\alpha} > e\epsilon_d \geq \epsilon_d$, contrary to assumption. Therefore $f > 0$.

Also notice that

$$\beta\bar{\beta} = (e\epsilon_d + f\bar{\alpha})(e\bar{\epsilon}_d + f\alpha) = e^2 + ef(\alpha\epsilon_d + \bar{\alpha}\bar{\epsilon}_d) - af^2.$$

We see that $\alpha\epsilon_d + \bar{\alpha}\bar{\epsilon}_d = \alpha(1 - n\bar{\alpha}) + \bar{\alpha}(1 - n\alpha) = \alpha + \bar{\alpha} - 2n\alpha\bar{\alpha} = -an + 2an = an$. Therefore

$$(5.3) \quad \beta\bar{\beta} = Q(e, f) := e^2 + (an)ef - af^2,$$

and $Q(e, f) = f_2(e, f)$ (see (4.2)).

We look at the quadratic form $Q(x, y)$. By (5.3) we have

$$(5.4) \quad Q'_x = 2x + any, \quad Q'_y = anx - 2ay,$$

and this shows that the local extremum of the form is at $x = -any/2$ and $-(an)^2y/2 = 2ay$. This happens only for $y = 0$, out of the range $x, y \geq 1$ we consider. Hence for any bounded region of interest in \mathbb{R}^2 the extrema would be at its borders. Also $Q'_x > 0$ and therefore for fixed y the function $Q(x, \underline{y})$ is increasing.

On the other hand $Q''_y = -2a < 0$. Thus for fixed x the function $Q(\underline{x}, y)$ has its maximum at $y = nx/2$. Here and hereafter, by writing $\underline{x}, \underline{y}$ we mean that the underlined variable is fixed. We will investigate the sign of the form $Q(x, y)$. We show that it depends on the size of f . For example if $f = en$, then $Q(e, f) = e^2 + anfe - af^2 = e^2 + af^2 - af^2 = e^2$ and the conclusion holds. Further we consider

CASE I: $f < ne$. Here $Q(e, f) = e^2 + anfe - af^2 = e^2 + af(ne - f) > e^2 > 0$. On the other hand from $\bar{\alpha} < 0$ it follows that $f\bar{\alpha} > ne\bar{\alpha}$ and

$$\beta = e\epsilon_d + f\bar{\alpha} > e\epsilon_d + ne\bar{\alpha} = e(1 - n\bar{\alpha}) + en\bar{\alpha} = e \geq 1,$$

and $\beta = |\beta| < \epsilon_d$ yields

$$1 \leq e < \beta < \epsilon_d < 2 + an^2.$$

The last estimate follows from (5.1) and $0 < \bar{\epsilon}_d < 1$. Thus in this case we are in the region

$$(5.5) \quad R_1 := \{(e, f) : 1 \leq e \leq 1 + an^2, 1 \leq f \leq ne - 1\}$$

First assume that $n \geq 3$. We explained earlier that the maximum of $Q(x, y)$ for fixed x is on the line $y = nx/2$. Thus $1 < n/2 < n - 1$ and $\min_{R_1} Q(x, y)$ could be on the lines $l_1 : y = 1$ or $l_2 : y = nx - 1$. We are interested in the behaviour of the quadratic form on these lines. Since $Q(x, y)$ is increasing for fixed positive y , we have $\min_{l_1} Q(x, y) = Q(1, 1)$. On the other hand, on l_2 we have

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(x, nx - 1) &= x^2 + anx(nx - 1) - a(nx - 1)^2 \\ &= x^2 + a(nx)^2 - anx - a(nx)^2 + 2anx - a = x^2 + anx - a. \end{aligned}$$

A local extremum of this function is achieved when $Q'_x(x, nx - 1) = 2x + an = 0$ and $Q''_x(x, nx - 1) = 2 > 0$ so it is a minimum at $x = -an/2$. This means that for positive x the function $Q(x, nx - 1)$ is increasing and thus by (5.6), $\min_{l_2} Q(x, y) = Q(1, n - 1) = 1 + an - a = Q(1, 1)$. Therefore $\min_{R_1} Q(x, y) = 1 + an - a$. By assumption, $an/2 > |\beta\bar{\beta}| = |Q(e, f)| = Q(e, f)$. This is true for the smallest value of the quadratic form in the region under study as well, i.e. $an/2 > 1 + an - a$. Then we need $a - 1 > an/2$. But for $n \geq 3$ this gives $a - 1 > an/2 > a$, a contradiction.

From the definition of the discriminant d we know that n is odd, so $n \neq 2$. Now assume that $n = 1$. We cannot have $e = 1$, as otherwise $1 \leq f < en = 1$. Thus $e \geq 2$ and we take up the region R_1 with this additional condition. Then $1 \leq nx/2 \leq nx - 1$ since $1 \leq x/2 \leq x - 1$ for $x \geq 2$. Hence again the minimum is at the leftmost points of l_1 and l_2 , i.e. $\min_{R_1} Q(x, y) = Q(2, 1)$. This by (5.6) equals $4 + 2a - a = 4 + a$. Clearly $a > a/2 > 4 + a$ again gives a contradiction. We conclude that Case I is impossible.

CASE II: $f > ne$, in other words $ne - f \leq -1$. Suppose that $Q(e, f) > 0$. Then $0 < Q(e, f) = e^2 + anef - af^2 = e^2 + af(ne - f) \leq e^2 - af$. Consequently, $e^2 > af > ane$ and $e > an$. On the other hand, using $\alpha > 0$, we get $\bar{\beta} = e\bar{\epsilon}_d + f\alpha > e(1 - n\alpha) + en\alpha = e \geq 1$. So by (5.2),

$$(5.7) \quad an > an/2 > |\beta\bar{\beta}| = |\beta| \cdot |\bar{\beta}| \geq |\bar{\beta}| = \bar{\beta} > e.$$

We got $an > e > an$, a contradiction. Therefore always when $f > ne$ the form $Q(x, y)$ is negative and $e < an/2 \leq an - 1$. The last inequality does not hold only when $an = 1$. But in this case $an/2 = 1/2 > |Q(e, f)| = |\beta\bar{\beta}|$ implies that $\beta = 0$ because β is an algebraic integer and its norm is an integer. Therefore $an > 2$ and we can consider the region

$$(5.8) \quad R_2 := \{(e, f) : 1 \leq e \leq an - 1, ne + 1 \leq f\}.$$

Clearly $|Q(x, y)| = -Q(x, y) = -x^2 - anxy + ay^2 > 0$ and by (5.4) it has extrema off R_2 . Notice that for fixed x we have $-Q'_y(x, y) = -anx + 2ay$

and $-Q''_y(x, y) = 2a > 0$, so at $y = nx/2 < nx + 1$ we have a minimum of $-Q(x, y)$. Therefore $-Q(x, y)$ is increasing on the lines $x = \text{const}$ and we search for the minimum of $-Q(x, y)$ on the line $l_3 : y = xn + 1$.

On l_3 we have

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} -Q(x, nx + 1) &= -x^2 - anx(nx + 1) + a(nx + 1)^2 \\ &= -x^2 - a(nx)^2 - anx + a(nx)^2 + 2anx + a = -x^2 + anx + a \end{aligned}$$

and at $x = an/2$ we have a maximum. So

$$\min_{R_2} |Q(x, y)| = \min(-Q(1, n + 1), -Q(an - 1, n(an - 1) + 1)).$$

From (5.9) we see that $-Q(1, n + 1) = -1 + an + a$ and $-Q(an - 1, n(an - 1) + 1) = -(an - 1)^2 + an(an - 1) + a = an - 1 + a$, so $\min_{R_2} |Q(x, y)| = -1 + a + an$. Hence by assumption $an > -1 + a + an$, so $a < 1$, which is impossible. ■

REMARK 5.3. If β is an algebraic integer in K such that $|\beta\bar{\beta}| < n\sqrt{a}$ then $|\beta\bar{\beta}|$ is either divisible by a square of a rational integer, or equals 1, or equals a .

This follows easily if we notice that the finer estimate $an/2 > |\beta\bar{\beta}|$ needed for R_1 with $n \geq 3$ can be replaced by

$$n\sqrt{a} > |\beta\bar{\beta}| > 1 + an - a.$$

Indeed, $n\sqrt{a} > 1 + an - a \Leftrightarrow a - 1 > n\sqrt{a}(\sqrt{a} - 1) \Leftrightarrow (\sqrt{a} - 1)(\sqrt{a} + 1) > n\sqrt{a}(\sqrt{a} - 1)$. If $a = 1$ then $1 \cdot n > 1 + 1 \cdot n - 1$ is not true. So $a > 1$ and by dividing by $\sqrt{a} - 1 > 0$ we get $\sqrt{a} + 1 > n\sqrt{a}$. This yields $2 > 1 + 1/\sqrt{a} > n \geq 3$.

For the other cases we showed that the stronger $an > \min Q(e, f)$ is impossible, so if we assume the statement of the remark with $n\sqrt{a} > Q(e, f)$ it would yield $an > \min Q(e, f)$, again a contradiction.

Proof of Claim 5.1. By Gauss genus theory (e.g. [H]) it follows that $h(d) = 1$ only if the discriminant d is prime or a product of two primes. This yields the first statement of the claim.

Now let r be prime such that $2 < r < an/2$ and $r \neq a$. Assume $\left(\frac{d}{r}\right) = 0$. This means that the prime r ramifies in K and there is a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ for which $r\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}^2$. But as the class number is 1, \mathcal{O}_K is a PID and there is $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = (\beta)$. Then $|\beta\bar{\beta}| = N(\mathfrak{p}) = r < an/2$. By Lemma 3 there is a square of an integer dividing the prime r unless $|\beta\bar{\beta}| = 1$, but then β is a unit and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathcal{O}_K$, a contradiction.

Assume that $\left(\frac{d}{r}\right) = 1$. Then there are two prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1 \neq \mathfrak{p}_2$ such that $(r) = \mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}_2$ and $N(\mathfrak{p}_1) = N(\mathfrak{p}_2) = r$. But $h(d) = 1$ and $\mathfrak{p}_1 = (\beta)$ for some nonzero $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Therefore $N(\mathfrak{p}_1) = |\beta\bar{\beta}| = r < an/2$ and by Lemma 5.2 and $r \neq a$, $r > 2$, we infer that $|\beta\bar{\beta}|$ is divisible by the square of an integer $z > 1$. This contradicts r being prime. ■

REMARK 5.4. When $a = 1$ we have $d = n^2 + 4$, and $h(d) = 1$ implies that d is prime and for any prime $2 < r < n$,

$$\left(\frac{n^2 + 4}{r}\right) = -1.$$

What is more, n is also prime.

The first assertion can be seen by applying the same argument as in the proof of Claim 5.1 but with Remark 5.3 instead of Lemma 5.2. Actually in this fashion we get Fact B from [B1]. We see from Corollary 3.16 in [BK] that n is prime if the class number is 1.

6. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ with $d = (an)^2 + 4a$ where a, n are odd positive integers, $43 \cdot 181 \cdot 353$ divides n and $h(d) = 1$. Then all integral ideals are principal and for the Dedekind zeta function

$$\zeta_K(s, \chi) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{\chi(N\mathfrak{a})}{(N\mathfrak{a})^s}$$

we have $\zeta_K(s, \chi) = \zeta_{P(K)}(s, \chi)$. We know from §4.3 of [W] that

$$\zeta_K(s, \chi) = L(s, \chi)L(s, \chi\chi_d).$$

By the class number formula for imaginary quadratic fields (Theorem 152 in [H]), again §4.3 of [W], and as $\chi_q(-1) = -1$ because $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we get

$$(6.1) \quad -L(0, \chi_q) = \sum_{1 \leq x \leq q-1} \frac{x}{q} \left(\frac{x}{q}\right) = h(-q).$$

For $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ we have $\left(\frac{-1}{d}\right) = (-1)^{(d-1)/2} = 1$ and thus χ_d is an even character. Hence $\chi_q\chi_d$ is an odd character and $L(0, \chi_q\chi_d) = -h(-qd)$. Therefore

$$(6.2) \quad \zeta_{P(K)}(0, \chi_q) = L(0, \chi_q)L(0, \chi_q\chi_d) = h(-q)h(-qd).$$

First think of a general parameter $q \neq a$ that is a prime number, $q \mid n$ and $2 < q < an/2$. Then by Claim 5.1 we have $\left(\frac{d}{q}\right) = -1$. When $q \mid n$ we get

$$\left(\frac{an^2 + 4}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{4}{q}\right) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{d}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{q}\right)\left(\frac{an^2 + 4}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{q}\right) = -1.$$

Thus the case $a = 1$ is impossible: clearly $\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{d}{q}\right) = 1$. So we have $a > 1$.

Now, assume that $43 \cdot 181 \cdot 353 \mid n$ and $353 < an/2$. Notice that above, the prime $a = q$ was not considered because of Claim 5.1. However $\left(\frac{43}{181}\right) = 1$, thus $a = 43$ is impossible; as $\left(\frac{181}{43}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{353}{43}\right) = 1$, the values $a = 181$ and $a = 353$ are also excluded. Hence, if $353 < an/2$ and $43, 181, 353 \mid n$, the class number $h(d)$ is 1 only if $\left(\frac{a}{43}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{181}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{353}\right) = -1$.

Now we take $q = 43 \cdot 181 \cdot 353$. Again consider the real primitive character $\chi_q(m) = \left(\frac{m}{q}\right)$ modulo q . As $43 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $181 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $353 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ we have $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $\chi_q(-1) = -1$. Also $a > 1$ and we can apply (6.2) and Corollary 4.4 and multiply both sides of its equation by q . This way we arrive at the promised equation (1.1):

$$qh(-q)h(-qd) = n \left(a + \left(\frac{a}{q} \right) \right) \frac{1}{6} \prod_{p|q} (p^2 - 1).$$

In this case

$$B := \frac{1}{6} \prod_{p|q} (p^2 - 1) = \frac{1}{6} 42 \cdot 44 \cdot 180 \cdot 182 \cdot 352 \cdot 354 = 2^{11} 3^3 \dots$$

and $2^{11} \parallel B$.

As $a > 1$ we see that $d = a(an^2 + 4)$ is the product of two different primes. Notice as well that $a \equiv an^2 + 4 \pmod{4}$. By genus theory (e.g. Corollary in [NW]) we know that if $a \equiv an^2 + 4 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ for the real quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a(an^2 + 4)})$, then the 2-rank of the class group is the same as the 2-rank of the narrow class group, i.e. $2 - 1 = 1$. This contradicts $h(d) = 1$. Therefore $a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. But in this case $a + \left(\frac{a}{q}\right) = a - 1$ and $a - 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ so $2 \parallel \left(a + \left(\frac{a}{q}\right)\right)$. Here Claim 5.1 is of importance, and also q being a product of three primes, for then $\left(\frac{a}{q}\right) = -1$. The parameter n is odd by definition. It follows that for the right-hand side of (1.1) we have

$$(6.3) \quad 2^{12} \parallel n \left(a + \left(\frac{a}{q} \right) \right) B.$$

We now consider the left-hand side of (1.1). As pointed out in §1 we have $h(-43 \cdot 181 \cdot 353) = 2^9 \cdot 3$. Again by genus theory (Theorem 132 of [H]) the 2-class group of $Cl(-qd)$ has rank $5 - 1 = 4$ since qd has five distinct prime divisors. Indeed, we showed that $a \notin \{43, 181, 353\}$, also $an^2 + 4 > an/2 > 353$ and clearly $a \neq an^2 + 4$. Therefore $2^{9+4} = 2^{13} \mid qh(-q)h(-qd)$. This contradicts (6.3).

We conclude that $h(d) > 1$ for $an/2 > 353$. But then for discriminants $d = (an)^2 + 4a$ for a and n positive odd and $43 \cdot 181 \cdot 353 \mid n$ we cannot have class number 1. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REMARK 6.1. The main idea used in this paper, comparison of 2-parts in (1.1), can be utilized toward other results of this type. For example, if $d = a(an^2 + 4)$ with a and n odd positive integers where $5 \cdot 359 \cdot 541 \mid n$, then $h(d) > 1$. The exact divisors of n are chosen according to Table 12 in [BU]: $h(-5 \cdot 359 \cdot 541) = 2^9$ and again we have a greater power of 2 on the left-hand side of (1.1). Also $5 \cdot 359 \cdot 541 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ so when we take a real character we have formula (6.1). Also $a \in \{5, 359, 541\}$ are not covered by

Claim 5.1 for each prime in the set, but these a 's are excluded by a simple check of Legendre symbols.

In a forthcoming paper we generalize the result of [BY2] to discriminants with three prime divisors, thus extending our Theorem 1.1 for an infinite family of n such that $pqr \mid n$.

7. Appendix. The proof here repeats word for word the proof of Corollary 4.2 in [BG]. We give it in order to keep the paper self-contained.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. As first noted in [B1], the value of the function $Z_{I,\omega,q}(0)$ in Yokoi's case $a = 1$ can be computed using a result of Shintani. This is also true the general case of real quadratic fields K in Lemma 4.1.

For a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ with positive elements and $x > 0, y \geq 0$ we define the zeta function

$$\zeta \left(s, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, (x, y) \right) := \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} (a(n_1 + x) + b(n_2 + y))^{-s} (c(n_1 + x) + d(n_2 + y))^{-s}.$$

CLAIM 7.1 (Shintani). *For any $a, b, c, d, x > 0$ and $y \geq 0$ the function $\zeta(s, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, (x, y))$ is absolutely convergent for $\Re s > 1$, extends meromorphically to the whole complex plane and*

$$\zeta \left(s, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, (x, y) \right) = B_1(x)B_1(y) + \frac{1}{4} \left(B_2(x) \left(\frac{c}{d} + \frac{a}{b} \right) + B_2(y) \left(\frac{d}{c} + \frac{b}{a} \right) \right).$$

Note that $A = \lceil (tC - D)/q \rceil = (tC - D + q\delta)/q = tc - d + \delta$ and therefore $0 \leq A \leq t$. Let $\beta = Xe + Ye^*$ for some rationals $X > 0, Y \geq 0$. Write $X = qx + qn_1$ and $Y = qy + qn_2$ for some nonnegative integers n_1 and n_2 and rational numbers $0 < x \leq 1, 0 \leq y < 1$, which can be done in a unique way. Then on the one hand,

$$\beta\bar{\beta} = q^2(e(n_1 + x) + e^*(n_2 + y))(\bar{e}(n_1 + x) + \bar{e}^*(n_2 + y));$$

on the other hand we know that $\beta \in I$ and $\beta \equiv \omega \pmod{q}$ hold if and only if $xe + ye^* - (ce + df) \in I$. Therefore

$$Z(s) = \frac{1}{q^{2s}} \sum_{(x,y) \in R(C,D)} \zeta \left(s, \begin{pmatrix} e & e^* \\ \bar{e} & \bar{e}^* \end{pmatrix}, (x, y) \right) \quad \text{where}$$

$$R(C, D) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 : 0 < x \leq 1, 0 \leq y < 1, xe + ye^* - (ce + df) \in I\}.$$

Therefore by Claim 7.1 we get

$$Z(0) = \sum_{(x,y) \in R(C,D)} \left(B_1(x)B_1(y) + \text{Tr}\left(\frac{e}{4e^*}\right)B_2(x) + \text{Tr}\left(\frac{e^*}{4e}\right)B_2(y) \right).$$

We observe that for any m, n we have

$$\frac{mf + ne}{q} = \frac{(n - \frac{m}{t})e + \frac{m}{t}e^*}{q}$$

and so it is easy to see that the possibilities for (m, n) having

$$(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{q} \left(n - \frac{m}{t} \right), \frac{1}{q} \frac{m}{t} \right) \in R(C, D)$$

are

$$m_j = D + jq, \quad n_j = C + q \left[1 + \frac{j}{t} - \frac{(tC - D)/q}{t} \right]$$

with an integer $0 \leq j \leq t - 1$. This is so because the possible values of m are obviously these t values, and once m is fixed, n is unique. Now

$$0 < 1 + \frac{j}{t} - \frac{(tC - D)/q}{t} < 2, \quad \text{so} \quad n_j = \begin{cases} C & \text{if } 0 \leq j < A, \\ C + q & \text{if } A \leq j < t, \end{cases}$$

and therefore

$$Z(0) = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \left(B_1(x_j)B_1(y_j) + \text{Tr}\left(\frac{e}{4e^*}\right)B_2(x_j) + \text{Tr}\left(\frac{e^*}{4e}\right)B_2(y_j) \right)$$

where

$$y_j = \frac{d+j}{t} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j < t \quad \text{and} \quad x_j = \begin{cases} c - y_j & \text{if } 0 \leq j < A, \\ c + 1 - y_j & \text{if } A \leq j < t. \end{cases}$$

Now, by (3.5) we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} B_2(y_j) = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} B_2\left(\frac{d+j}{t}\right) = \frac{1}{t} B_2(d)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} B_2(x_j) &= \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} B_2\left(\frac{A-j-\delta}{t}\right) + \sum_{j=A}^{t-1} B_2\left(\frac{t+A-j-\delta}{t}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^t B_2\left(\frac{k-\delta}{t}\right) = \sum_{l=0}^{t-1} B_2\left(\frac{\delta+l}{t}\right) = \frac{1}{t} B_2(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Since $B_2(x) + B_2(y) + 2B_1(x)B_1(y) = (x + y - 1)^2 - 1/6$ we easily deduce

that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (B_2(x_j) + B_2(y_j) + 2B_1(x_j)B_1(y_j)) = A(c-1)^2 + (t-A)c^2 - \frac{t}{6}.$$

The result then follows from the last four displayed equations, along with

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{e}{4te^*}\right) - \frac{1}{2t} = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{-f}{4e^*}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{e^*}{4te}\right) - \frac{1}{2t} = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{f}{4e}\right). \blacksquare$$

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank my supervisor András Biró for introducing me to the class number one problem, for his valuable ideas, proofreading and patient support during the writing of this paper.

References

- [B1] A. Biró, *Yokoi's conjecture*, Acta Arith. 106 (2003), 85–104.
- [B2] —, *Chowla's conjecture*, ibid. 107 (2003), 179–194.
- [B3] —, *Yokoi–Chowla conjecture and related problems*, in: Proc. 2003 Nagoya Conference, S. Katayama et al. (eds.), Faculty of Science and Engineering, Saga Univ., Saga, 2004.
- [BG] A. Biró and A. Granville, *Zeta function for ideal classes in real quadratic fields, at $s = 0$* , preprint.
- [BU] D. A. Buell, *Class groups of quadratic fields*, Math. Comp. 135 (1976), 610–623.
- [BK] D. Byeon and H. Kim, *Class number 1 criteria for real quadratic fields of Richaud–Degert type*, J. Number Theory 57 (1996), 328–339.
- [BY1] D. Byeon, M. Kim and J. Lee, *Mollin's conjecture*, Acta Arith. 126 (2007), 99–114.
- [BY2] D. Byeon and Sh. Lee, *Divisibility of class numbers of imaginary quadratic fields whose discriminant has only two prime factors*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A 84 (2008), 8–10.
- [H] E. Hecke, *Lectures on the Theory of Algebraic Numbers*, Springer, 1981.
- [L] J. Lee, *The complete determination of wide Richaud–Degert types which are not 5 modulo 8 with class number one*, Acta Arith. 140 (2009), 1–29.
- [M] R. A. Mollin and H. C. Williams, *Solution of the class number one problem for real quadratic fields of extended Richaud–Degert type (with one possible exception)*, in: Number Theory (Banff, AB, 1988), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1990, 417–425.
- [NW] F. Nemenzo and H. Wada, *An elementary proof of Gauss' genus theorem*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A 68 (1992), 94–95.
- [W] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Springer, 1996.

Kostadinka Lapkova
 Department of Mathematics and its Applications
 Central European University
 Nador u. 9, 1051 Budapest, Hungary
 E-mail: lapkova_kostadinka@ceu-budapest.edu

*Received on 13.3.2011
 and in revised form on 16.9.2011*

(6647)