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1. Introduction. Since Kummer found the class number formula for the maximal real subfield of the $p$ th cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p}\right)$ the relations between the class groups and the quotient groups of the global units by the cyclotomic units have been a subject of study for a long time. The arguments of Euler systems (cf. [10], [12], [13]) by Kolyvagin and Rubin established a strong tie between these two objects.

The purpose of this short note is to study the relations of the cohomology groups of certain Galois groups with coefficients in the class groups and those of the quotient of the units modulo cyclotomic units. Even if the Galois module of a class group and the quotient of the units modulo cyclotomic units need not be isomorphic, the cohomology groups are shown to be isomorphic over the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ extension $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right)$.

The cohomology groups of the " $p$-primary parts" of ideal class groups and those of the quotients of global units modulo cyclotomic units (together with their own cohomology groups) over the basic $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ extension have been studied for many years. Specially Iwasawa gave many interesting results on the cohomology groups on these objects over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ extensions (cf. [5]-[7]).

Using a result of Iwasawa and the computations of the cohomology groups of circular units due to R. Gold and J. Kim (cf. [3], [8]) we will show the following theorem. Let $\mu_{n}$ be the set of $n$th roots of unity in a fixed algebraic closure $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {alg }}$ of the rational field $\mathbb{Q}$, and $\zeta_{n} \in \mu_{n}$ be a primitive $n$th root of unity. Let $\mathrm{Cl}_{n}$ be the class group of $K_{n}:=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{p^{n}}+\zeta_{p^{n}}^{-1}\right)$. Let $E_{n}, C_{n}$ be the group of units and cyclotomic units of $K_{n}$ respectively. Finally, let $\mathbf{H}^{i}(G, M)$ denote the Tate cohomology group of $G$ with coefficients in a $G$-module $M$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $P$ be a p-subgroup of $G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. Then

$$
\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(P, \mathrm{Cl}_{n}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{i}\left(P, E_{n} / C_{n}\right) \quad \text { for all } i \text { and } n
$$

For the cohomology groups of "prime-to-p parts" we start with a result of Schoof. In his recent paper [14], Schoof showed that the cohomology groups of these two objects are isomorphic for the maximal real subfield $K_{1}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}+\zeta_{p}^{-1}\right)$ of the $p$ th cyclotomic field. More precisely, let $p>2$ be a prime and $H$ be a subgroup of the Galois group $G\left(K_{1} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. Let $E_{1}, C_{1}$ be the units and cyclotomic units of $K_{1}$, and $\mathrm{Cl}_{1}$ the class group of $K_{1}$. Then Schoof's result ( $\S 5$ of [14]) states that for each choice of a generator of $H$ there are natural isomorphisms

$$
\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(H, \mathrm{Cl}_{1}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{i}\left(H, E_{1} / C_{1}\right) \quad \text { for each } i
$$

We extend his method to the maximal real subfield $K_{n}$ of the $p^{n}$ th cyclotomic field. We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ with order $\#(H)$ prime to $p$. Then

$$
\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(H, \mathrm{Cl}_{n}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{i}\left(H, E_{n} / C_{n}\right) \quad \text { for all } i \text { and } n
$$

As an immediate corollary of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we have
Corollary 1.3. Let $G$ be any subgroup of $G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. Then

$$
\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G, \mathrm{Cl}_{n}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G, E_{n} / C_{n}\right) \quad \text { for all } i
$$

We have recently been informed that the result above when $K_{n}$ has prime power conductor and $G$ is a Sylow subgroup of $G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ has already appeared in the paper by Greither and Cornacchia (cf. [2]). Their approach, which we think is more elegant, is different from ours which is elementary and computational.

Let $K_{\infty}$ be the basic $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ extension of $K_{1}$. Let $G_{n}=G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ and $G_{\infty}=G\left(K_{\infty} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. Let $E_{\infty}, C_{\infty}, \mathrm{Cl}_{\infty}$ denote the inverse limits of $E_{n}, C_{n}, \mathrm{Cl}_{n}$ respectively with respect to the norm maps. As an immediate corollary we obtain the following

Corollary 1.4. For all $i$, we have the $\underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim } \mathbb{Z}\left[G_{n}\right]$-module isomorphism

$$
\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G_{\infty}, \mathrm{Cl}_{\infty}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G_{\infty}, E_{\infty} / C_{\infty}\right)
$$

In $\S 2$ we start with the basic arithmetic of cyclotomic units, which will be used in the subsequent section. The arithmetic of cyclotomic units is essential to compute the cohomology groups of certain Galois groups with coefficients in the cyclotomic units. In order to compute the cohomology of certain Galois groups with coefficients in class groups we need to recall the basic tools of class field theory. In the computation of the cohomology of the prime-to- $p$ parts, the key ingredient is Schoof's method which combines the arithmetic of cyclotomic units with classical class field theory which gives information on the class groups together with the class number formula. In $\S 3$ we will prove our theorems.
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2. Basic arithmetic of cyclotomic units. We begin with the notion of cyclotomic units (cf. [1], [16] and [17]), which will play a crucial rule in our arguments. Let $p$ denote a fixed odd prime. As defined in the introduction, let $K_{n}$ be the maximal real subfield of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{n}}\right)$. The group $C\left(p^{n}\right)$ of cyclotomic numbers of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{n}}\right)$ is defined to be the multiplicative group generated over $\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$
\left\{ \pm \zeta_{p^{n}}, 1-\zeta_{p^{n}}^{a} \mid 1 \leq a \leq p^{n}-1\right\}
$$

One can show that $C\left(p^{n}\right)$ is equal to the group generated as Galois module of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[G\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{n}}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]$ by $1-\zeta_{p^{n}}$. We define the group $C_{n}$ of cyclotomic or circular units of $K_{n}$ to be the intersection of the group $E_{n}$ of units of $K_{n}$ with $C\left(p^{n}\right)$,

$$
C_{n}=E_{n} \cap C\left(p^{n}\right)
$$

This group $C_{n}$ can be shown to be equal to the group generated by -1 and the units of the following forms:

$$
\zeta_{p^{n}}^{1-a} \frac{1-\zeta_{p^{n}}^{2 a}}{1-\zeta_{p^{n}}^{2}}, \quad 1<a<p^{n} / 2, \quad(a, p)=1
$$

Let $\sigma$ denote a fixed generator of the Galois group $G\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{n}}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. We can associate to $\sigma$ a primitive root $g$ modulo $p^{n}$ with $\sigma\left(\zeta_{p^{n}}\right)=\zeta_{p^{n}}^{g}$. The $\sigma$ induces a natural generator of the Galois group of $G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ which will be denoted by the same $\sigma$ if no ambiguity can arise. By a direct computation, one can show that $C_{n}$ is generated as Galois module of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]$ by

$$
\eta:=\left(\zeta_{p^{n}}-\zeta_{p^{n}}^{-1}\right)^{\sigma-1}=\frac{\sigma\left(\zeta_{p^{n}}-\zeta_{p^{n}}^{-1}\right)}{\zeta_{p^{n}}-\zeta_{p^{n}}^{-1}}
$$

We have the following lemma on the cohomology groups with coefficients in the group of cyclotomic units. We will use mainly the fact that the zeroth Tate cohomology of the circular units vanishes. For an abelian extension $L / K$ we denote by $N_{L / K}$ the norm map from $L$ to $K$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $G$ be any subgroup of $G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. Then $\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G, C_{n}\right)=0$ for all even $i$. If $G$ is either a p-subgroup or $\#(G)$ is a prime number then the order of $\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G, C_{n}\right)$ is equal to $\#(G)$ for all odd $i$.

Proof. It follows from the above argument that

$$
C_{n} /\{ \pm 1\}=\left(\zeta_{p^{n}}-\zeta_{p^{n}}^{-1}\right)^{(\sigma-1) \mathbb{Z}\left[G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]} \simeq I_{G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)}
$$

where $I_{G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)}$ denotes the augmentation ideal $(\sigma-1) \mathbb{Z}\left[G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]$ of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]$. By the well known fact that $\mathbf{H}^{0}\left(G, I_{G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)}\right)=0$
we have a surjection

$$
\mathbf{H}^{0}(G,\{ \pm 1\}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{0}\left(G, C_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

We will use the following convenient notation. Let $(s, p)=1$. We denote by $\sigma_{s}$ the element of $G\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{n}}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ such that $\sigma_{s}\left(\zeta_{p^{n}}\right)=\zeta_{p^{n}}^{s}$. We will use the same notation for a subfield if no confusion can arise. One sees easily that the norm $N_{K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}}$ of $\eta$ is equal to -1 ,

$$
N_{K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}}(\eta)=\left(\zeta_{p^{n}}-\zeta_{p^{n}}^{-1}\right)^{(\sigma-1) \sum_{i=0}^{(p-3) / 2} \sigma^{i}}=\left(\zeta_{p^{n}}-\zeta_{p^{n}}^{-1}\right)^{\left(\sigma_{-1}-1\right)}=-1
$$

by taking $\sigma^{(p-1) / 2}=\sigma_{-1}$ into account. This shows that the norm map $N_{G}$ of $G$ has the value -1 at $\eta^{1+\sigma+\cdots+\sigma^{a-1}}$ where $a=\#\left(G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right) / G\right)$. It follows that the above surjection is trivial and $\mathbf{H}^{0}\left(G, C_{n}\right)$ vanishes.

Suppose now that $\#(G)$ is a prime number. As $E_{n} / C_{n}$ is finite, the Herbrand quotient of $C_{n}$ is equal to that of $E_{n}$ which is equal to $1 / \#(G)$. Hence in this case $\#\left(\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G, C_{n}\right)\right)=\#(G)$ for all odd $i$. Finally, if $G$ is a $p$-group then the conclusion follows from Theorem 2 of [8]. This completes the proof.

Remark. Note that if $G$ is a $p$-group then the first cohomology groups $\mathbf{H}^{1}(G, C(n))$ of $G$ with coefficients in the circular units $C(n)$ of the $n$th cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{n}\right)$ (not of $\left.K_{n}\right)$ are more explicitly known from the concrete computations (cf. [3], [8]):

$$
\mathbf{H}^{1}(G, C(n)) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / g \mathbb{Z}
$$

where $\#(G)=g$. Notice also that in general $C(n)$ is not equal to $C_{n}$.
We briefly recall class field theory which will be used later. Let $L / K$ be a cyclic extension of either local or global fields. Let $\mathbb{A}_{L}$ denote the multiplicative group $L^{\times}$or the idele class group $\mathrm{Ic}_{L}$ according as the extension is local or global. Then class field theory tells us there is an isomorphism (Artin map)

$$
\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G(L / K), \mathbb{A}_{L}\right) \simeq \begin{cases}G(L / K) & \text { if } i \text { is even }  \tag{1}\\ 0 & \text { if } i \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

Let $L / K$ be an abelian extension of local fields. The inertia group $\mathrm{I}(L / K)$ of $G(L / K)$ has an isomorphism via class field theory,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}(L / K) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{0}\left(G(L / K), U_{L}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{L}$ denotes the group of local units of $L$. Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be the prime of $L$ over $\mathfrak{p} \in K$, and $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ the residue field of $\mathfrak{P}$. Let $U_{L}^{i}$ denote the group of all elements $u$ of $U_{L}$ which are 1 modulo $\mathfrak{P}^{i}$.

If $L / K$ is an unramified extension then it follows from the isomorphism $U_{L}^{i-1} / U_{L}^{i} \simeq \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ for $i>1$ and a filtration of $U_{L}^{1}$ by $U_{L}^{i}$ that (see [15]) $\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G(L / K), U_{L}^{1}\right)=0$ for all $i$ as $\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G(L / K), \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}\right)=\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}} / \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right), \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}\right)$
$=0$. Hence the isomorphism $U_{L} / U_{L}^{1} \simeq \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}$yields $\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G(L / K), U_{L}\right)=$ $\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G(L / K), \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}\right)=\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}} / \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right), \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}\right)=0$. Finally, class field theory tells us that $\mathbf{H}^{2}\left(G(L / K), U_{L}\right)=0$.

If $L / K$ is a totally ramified extension then the Galois group $G(L / K)$ acts trivially on the residue field $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}$. In this case one can easily see that if the order of $G(L / K)$ is prime to $p$ then the $\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G(L / K), \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}\right)$ are trivial. Applying the same reasoning one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G(L / K), U_{L}^{1}\right)=0 \quad \text { for all } i \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3) and the cohomology sequence induced from the short exact sequence of $0 \rightarrow U_{L}^{1} \rightarrow U_{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times} \rightarrow 0$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G(L / K), U_{L}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G(L / K), \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}\right) \quad \text { for all } i \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the isomorphism (4) is induced by the map $u \mapsto u \bmod \mathfrak{P}$. Now we suppose that $L / K$ is equal to $K_{n, \mathfrak{P}} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ where $K_{n, \mathfrak{P}}$ denotes the field of completion of $K_{n}$ at $\mathfrak{P}$, the prime over $p$, and $H$ is any subgroup of $G(L / K)$ whose order $\#(H)=h$ is prime to $p$. Then (4) becomes

$$
\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(H, U_{L}\right) \simeq \begin{cases}\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times} /\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}\right)^{h} & \text { if } i \text { is even }  \tag{5}\\ \mu_{h}\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}\right) & \text {if } i \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

where $\mu_{h}\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}\right)$denotes the set of $h$ th roots of unity in $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}$.
Let $\mathbb{U}_{n}$ denote the group of unit ideles, which is the subgroup of the idele group consisting of unit elements at all finite places of $K_{n}$. As $K_{n}$ is unramified at all primes except the prime $\mathfrak{P}$, the cohomology group $\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(H, \mathbb{U}_{n}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(H, U_{K_{n, \mathfrak{F}}}\right)$, where $U_{K_{n, \mathfrak{F}}}$ denotes the group of local units of $K_{n, \mathfrak{P}}$. It follows from (5) that for all $i, \mathbf{H}^{i}\left(H, \mathbb{U}_{n}\right)$ is isomorphic to a cyclic group of order $h$, more precisely,

$$
\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(H, \mathbb{U}_{n}\right) \simeq \begin{cases}\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times} /\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}\right)^{h} & \text { if } i \text { is even }  \tag{6}\\ \mu_{h}\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}\right) & \text {if } i \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

Let $\alpha_{1}: \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(H, C_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(H, E_{n}\right)$ and $\alpha_{2}: \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(H, E_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(H, \mathbb{U}_{n}\right)$ be induced by the natural maps $C_{n} \rightarrow E_{n}$ and $E_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_{n}$ respectively.

Lemma 2.2. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ with $\#(H)=h$ prime to $p$. Then the composite map $\alpha_{2} \circ \alpha_{1}$ is surjective.

Proof. Put $(p-1) / 2 h=h^{\prime}$. Let $\varepsilon=\eta^{2\left(\sigma^{h^{\prime}}-1\right) /(\sigma-1)}$. Then $\varepsilon$ defines a cocycle of $\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(H, C_{n}\right)$. The map $\alpha_{2} \circ \alpha_{1}$ can be identified with $c \mapsto c \bmod \mathfrak{P}$ for $c \in C_{n}$ via the isomorphism (6). It follows from $\varepsilon \equiv g^{2 h^{\prime}} \bmod \left(1-\zeta_{p^{n}}\right)$ that the image of $\varepsilon$ has order $h$ and hence $\alpha_{2} \circ \alpha_{1}$ maps $\varepsilon$ to a generator of $\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(H, \mathbb{U}_{n}\right)$.
3. Cohomology groups of class groups. Let $K(n)$ be the $p^{n}$ th cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{n}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Cl}(n)$ the ideal class group of $K(n)$. Let $E(n), C(n)$ be the groups of units and circular units of $K(n)$ respectively. Thus $E_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ are subgroups of $E(n)$ and $C(n)$ respectively which are fixed under complex conjugation. Write

$$
G(m, n):=G(K(m) / K(n)) \quad \text { and } \quad G_{(m, n)}:=G\left(K_{m} / K_{n}\right)
$$

We fix a generator $\sigma_{m, n}$ of $G(m, n) \simeq G_{(m, n)}$. Let $N_{m, n}$ be the norm map from $\mathrm{Cl}(m)$ to $\mathrm{Cl}(n)$ and $\tau_{n, m}$ the homomorphism from $\mathrm{Cl}(n)$ to $\mathrm{Cl}(m)$ induced by the natural injection from the fractional ideals of $K(n)$ to $K(m)$. Let $N_{m}$ be the composite map of $N_{m, n}$ and $\tau_{n, m}$,


Then $\mathbf{H}^{1}(G(m, n), \mathrm{Cl}(m))$ can be identified with the kernel of $N_{m}$ modulo $\mathrm{Cl}(m)^{\left(\sigma_{m, n}-1\right)}$. We need the following theorem of Iwasawa.

Theorem 3.1 (Iwasawa [5, Theorem 11]). For $m \geq n \geq 0$,

$$
\mathbf{H}^{1}(G(m, n), \mathrm{Cl}(m)) \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\left(\tau_{n, m}\right)
$$

We first compare the cohomology groups of certain Galois groups with coefficients in class groups and with coefficients in the quotient of the units by the circular units when $G$ is a $p$-group. Very useful is the following theorem due to Iwasawa.

Theorem 3.2 (Iwasawa [5, Theorem 13]). For $m \geq n \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{H}^{0}(G(m, n), \mathrm{Cl}(m)) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{0}(G(m, n), E(m)) \\
\mathbf{H}^{1}(G(m, n), \mathrm{Cl}(m)) \times \mathbb{Z} / p^{m-n} \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbf{H}^{1}(G(m, n), E(m))
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\Delta:=G(K(1) / \mathbb{Q})$. Let $\Xi$ be the set of $p$-adic-valued Dirichlet characters of $\Delta$. For each $\chi$ in $\Xi$ we let $\mathbb{Z}_{p}(\chi)$ denote the ring generated over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ by the values of $\chi$. As $p$ does not divide $\#(\Delta)$ the semisimple group ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\Delta]$ decomposes into a product of discrete valuation rings, $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\Delta] \simeq \prod_{\chi \in \Xi} \mathbb{Z}_{p}(\chi)$, and each $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\Delta]$-module $M$ has the corresponding decomposition

$$
M=\prod_{\chi \in \Xi}\left(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]} \mathbb{Z}_{p}(\chi)\right)
$$

We write $M(\chi):=M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]} \mathbb{Z}_{p}(\chi)$. A character $\chi$ is called even or odd according as $\chi$ takes the value 1 or -1 at the complex conjugation. We denote by $\Xi^{+}$the set of all even characters and by $\Xi^{-}$the set of all odd characters. Hence the product of $\mathrm{Cl}(n)(\chi)$ (resp. $E(n)(\chi), C(n)(\chi)$, etc.)
over $\chi$ in $\Xi^{+}$is just the ideal class group (resp. the units, the circular units, etc.) of $K_{n}$,

$$
\prod_{\chi \in \Xi^{+}} \mathrm{Cl}(n)(\chi)=\mathrm{Cl}_{n}, \quad \prod_{\chi \in \Xi^{+}} E(n)(\chi)=E_{n}, \quad \prod_{\chi \in \Xi^{+}} C(n)(\chi)=C_{n}
$$

The objects appearing in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 are $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[G(m, n) \times \Delta]$ modules. As $p \nmid \#(\Delta)$, for any $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[G(m, n) \times \Delta]$-module $M$ the functor $M \mapsto M(\chi)$ is exact and hence the short exact sequence of modules

$$
0 \rightarrow N_{G(m, n)} M \rightarrow M^{G(m, n)} \rightarrow M^{G(m, n)} / N_{G(m, n)} M \rightarrow 0
$$

shows that

$$
0 \rightarrow N_{G(m, n)} M(\chi) \rightarrow M^{G(m, n)}(\chi) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{0}(G(m, n), M)(\chi) \rightarrow 0
$$

This proves that the cohomology functor commutes with the $\chi$-functor,

$$
\mathbf{H}^{0}(G(m, n), M)(\chi) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{0}(G(m, n), M(\chi))
$$

In a similar way we have an isomorphism on the first cohomology,

$$
\mathbf{H}^{1}(G(m, n), M)(\chi) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{1}(G(m, n), M(\chi))
$$

The two isomorphisms above will be very useful in our subsequent arguments. As pointed out by Iwasawa (p. 552 of [5]), the proofs of the above Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 show that all these isomorphisms are Galois equivariant. Hence we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{H}^{1}(G(m, n), \mathrm{Cl}(m)(\chi)) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{1}(G(m, n), \mathrm{Cl}(m))(\chi) \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\left(\tau_{n, m}\right)(\chi), \\
\quad \mathbf{H}^{0}(G(m, n), \mathrm{Cl}(m)(\chi)) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{0}(G(m, n), E(m)(\chi)) \\
\mathbf{H}^{1}(G(m, n), \mathrm{Cl}(m)(\chi)) \times\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{m-n} \mathbb{Z}\right)(\chi) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{1}(G(m, n), E(m)(\chi)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

As already noted by Iwasawa (ibid.), $\Delta$ acts trivially on the cyclic factor $\mathbb{Z} / p^{m-n} \mathbb{Z}$ and hence $\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{m-n} \mathbb{Z}\right)(\chi)=0$ for $\chi \neq 1$. This fact will be reproved in terms of cohomology. If we sum all objects above over all even characters $\Xi^{+}$then Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 can be rephrased as follows. For $m \geq n \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, \mathrm{Cl}_{m}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\left(\tau_{n, m}^{+}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
\mathbf{H}^{0}\left(G_{(m, n)}, \mathrm{Cl}_{m}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{0}\left(G_{(m, n)}, E_{m}\right),  \tag{3.2}\\
\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, \mathrm{Cl}_{m}\right) \times \mathbb{Z} / p^{m-n} \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, E_{m}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover for the $p$-group $G(m, n)$, from the remark after Lemma 2.1, we have an isomorphism of the cohomology group,

$$
\mathbf{H}^{1}(G(m, n), C(m)) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{m-n} \mathbb{Z}
$$

which is the highest $p$-power order cyclic subgroup of $\mathbf{H}^{1}(G(m, n), E(m))$. By computing the generator of $\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, C_{m}\right)$, we will show that the first cohomology $\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, C_{m}\right)$ corresponds exactly to the cyclic subgroup $\mathbb{Z} / p^{m-n} \mathbb{Z}$ inside $\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, E_{m}\right)$ in (3.3).

First we will claim that $\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, C_{m}\right)$ injects into $\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, E_{m}\right)$. We have the injectivity (cf. [8], [9]) of the cohomology map induced from $C(m) \rightarrow E(m)$,

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{1}(G(m, n), C(m)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{1}(G(m, n), E(m))
$$

As $\#(\Delta)$ is prime to $p$ we can take the $\chi$ parts, which preserves the injectivity:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{1}(G(m, n), C(m))(\chi) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{1}(G(m, n), E(m))(\chi)
$$

Again applying the same reasoning we have

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, C_{m}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, E_{m}\right)
$$

In order to show that $\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, C_{m}\right)$ corresponds to the cyclic factor $\mathbb{Z} / p^{m-n} \mathbb{Z}$ inside $\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, E_{m}\right)$ via (3.3) we need to explain the isomorphisms of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 (cf. [4], [5]).

Let $V^{\prime}$ denote the principal ideals of $K_{m}$ which are fixed under the Galois action of $G(m, n)$,

$$
V^{\prime}:=\left\{(\alpha)=\alpha O_{K(m)} \mid \alpha \in K(m), \tau((\alpha))=(\alpha), \forall \tau \in G(m, n)\right\}
$$

where $O_{K(m)}$ denotes the ring of integers of $K(m)$. Each $(\alpha) \in V^{\prime}$ defines a unit $\varepsilon_{\sigma_{m, n}}$ such that $\varepsilon_{\sigma_{m, n}}=\alpha^{\sigma_{m, n}-1}$. This defines a homomorphism from $V^{\prime}$ to the first cohomology $\mathbf{H}^{1}(G(m, n), E(m)) \approx \mathbf{H}^{-1}(G(m, n), E(m))$. And conversely, each cocycle $\varepsilon$ defines, via Hilbert's theorem 90, an element $\alpha$ in $K(m)$ such that $\varepsilon=\alpha^{\sigma_{m, n}-1}$. Then the principal ideal $(\alpha)$ belongs to $V^{\prime}$. The kernel, denoted by $P\left(K_{n}\right) O_{K(m)}$, of this map can easily be found to be the principal ideals of $K(m)$ coming from $K(n)$,

$$
P\left(K_{n}\right) O_{K(m)}=\left\{\beta O_{K(m)} \mid \beta \in K(n)\right\}
$$

We have now completely described an isomorphism

$$
\mathbf{H}^{-1}(G(m, n), E(m)) \simeq V^{\prime} / P\left(K_{n}\right) O_{K(m)}
$$

We need to describe the right hand side in detail. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{m}$ denote the unique prime ideal of $K(m)$ lying over $p$. As the extension $K(m) / K(n)$ is unramified outside the prime $\mathfrak{p}_{m}$, any ideal of $K(m)$ which is prime to $\mathfrak{p}_{m}$ and invariant under the Galois action of $G(m, n)$ comes from ideals of $K(n)$ and hence

$$
V^{\prime}=\left\langle\mathfrak{p}_{m}\right\rangle \oplus\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
a O_{K(m)} & \begin{array}{l}
a \in K(m),\left(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{p}_{n}\right)=1 \\
a O_{K(m)}=\mathfrak{a} O_{K(m)} \text { for some ideal } \mathfrak{a} \text { of } K(n)
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

It follows that

$$
\mathbf{H}^{-1}(G(m, n), E(m)) \simeq\left\langle\mathfrak{p}_{m} \bmod P\left(K_{n}\right) O_{K(m)}\right\rangle \oplus \operatorname{Ker}\left(\tau_{n, m}\right)
$$

We recall that the complex conjugation is denoted by $\sigma_{-1}$. By taking the plus parts into account we obtain

$$
\mathbf{H}^{-1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, E_{m}\right) \simeq\left\langle\mathfrak{p}_{m}^{+} \bmod P\left(K_{n}\right) O_{K_{m}}\right\rangle \oplus \operatorname{Ker}\left(\tau_{n, m}^{+}\right)
$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_{m}^{+}$denotes the prime ideal $\left(\left(1-\zeta_{p^{m}}\right)^{\left(\sigma_{-1}+1\right)}\right)$ of $K_{m}$.

We are ready to show that the first factor $\left\langle\mathfrak{p}_{m}^{+} \bmod P\left(K_{n}\right) O_{K_{m}}\right\rangle$ $\left(\simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{m-n} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ on the right hand side above corresponds to $\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, C_{m}\right)$. The principal prime

$$
\mathfrak{p}_{m}=\left(1-\zeta_{p^{m}}\right)^{\left(1+\sigma_{-1}\right)}
$$

defines a cocycle $\left(1-\zeta_{p^{m}}\right)^{\left(1+\sigma_{-1}\right)\left(\sigma_{m, n}-1\right)}$ of $\mathbf{H}^{-1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, C(m)\right)$, which is a circular unit. We claim that this cocycle generates $\mathbf{H}^{-1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, C_{m}\right)$. Suppose that the order $p^{j}$ of $\left(1-\zeta_{p^{m}}\right)^{\left(1+\sigma_{-1}\right)\left(\sigma_{m, n}-1\right)}$ is less than $p^{m-n}, j<m-n$. Then there is a circular unit $c_{j}$ in $C_{m}$ such that

$$
\left(\left(1-\zeta_{p^{m}}\right)^{p^{j}}\right)^{\left(1+\sigma_{-1}\right)\left(\sigma_{m, n}-1\right)}=c_{j}^{\sigma_{m, n}-1}
$$

It follows that $\left(1-\zeta_{p^{m}}\right)^{\left(1+\sigma_{-1}\right) p^{j}}=c_{j} \alpha_{n}$ for some $\alpha_{n}$ in $K_{n}$, which is impossible by a simple ramification argument. Hence we have proved the isomorphisms $\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, C_{m}\right) \simeq\left\langle\mathfrak{p}_{m} \bmod P\left(K_{n}\right) O_{K_{m}}\right\rangle$ and

$$
\mathbf{H}^{-1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, E_{m}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, C_{m}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Ker}\left(\tau_{n, m}^{+}\right)
$$

By (3.1), the kernel of $\tau_{n, m}^{+}$being isomorphic to $\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, \mathrm{Cl}_{m}\right)$, the above equation becomes

$$
\mathbf{H}^{-1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, E_{m}\right) / \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, C_{m}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, \mathrm{Cl}_{m}\right)
$$

Remark. Notice that the Galois group $\Delta$ acts trivially on $\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, C_{m}\right)$. In fact for any $\delta$ in $\Delta, \delta\left(\left(1-\zeta_{p^{m}}\right)^{\left(1+\sigma_{-1}\right)\left(\sigma_{m, n}-1\right)}\right)$ is cohomologous to $\left(1-\zeta_{p^{m}}\right)^{\left(1+\sigma_{-1}\right)\left(\sigma_{m, n}-1\right)}$. Hence for any nontrivial even character $\chi$ we have

$$
\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, E_{m}(\chi)\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, \mathrm{Cl}_{m}(\chi)\right)
$$

It now follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, C_{m}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{1} & \left(G_{(m, n)}, E_{m}\right) \\
& \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, E_{m} / C_{m}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{2}\left(G_{(m, n)}, C_{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\mathbf{H}^{2}\left(G_{(m, n)}, C_{n}\right)=0$ (see Lemma 2.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, E_{m} / C_{m}\right) & \simeq \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, E_{m}\right) / \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, C_{m}\right) \\
& \simeq \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(G_{(m, n)}, \mathrm{Cl}_{m}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and from $\mathbf{H}^{0}\left(G_{(m, n)}, C_{m}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{0}\left(G_{(m, n)}, E_{m}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{0}\left(G_{(m, n)}, E_{m} / C_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $\mathbf{H}^{0}\left(G_{(m, n)}, C_{n}\right)=0$ (Lemma 2.1) and (3.2) that

$$
\mathbf{H}^{2}\left(G_{(m, n)}, E_{m} / C_{m}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{0}\left(G_{(m, n)}, E_{m}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{0}\left(G_{(m, n)}, \mathrm{Cl}_{m}\right)
$$

We have proved the following theorem which is Theorem 1.1 of the introduction.

Theorem 3.3. Let $P$ be any p-subgroup of $G\left(K_{m} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. Then $\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(P, \mathrm{Cl}_{m}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{i}\left(P, E_{m} / C_{m}\right) \quad$ for all $i$ and $m$.

For the prime-to- $p$ parts we need more computations. Let $L$ be an abelian number field. As defined in the previous section, let $E_{L}, C_{L}$ denote the groups of units and circular units of $L$ respectively. Let $\mathbb{I}_{L}$ denote the idele group of $L$. Let $\mathbb{U}_{L}$ and $\mathbb{J}_{L}$ denote the subgroup of unit ideles inside $\mathbb{I}_{L}$ and the idele class group of $L$ respectively. There exist fundamental exact sequences

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow C_{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_{L} / C_{L} \rightarrow 0, \\
& 0 \rightarrow E_{L} / C_{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_{L} / C_{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_{L} / E_{L} \rightarrow 0,  \tag{7}\\
& 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_{L} / E_{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{J}_{L} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_{L} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

They give a sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}^{0}\left(H, \mathbb{U}_{L}\right) \xrightarrow{\beta_{1}} \mathbf{H}^{0}\left(H, \mathbb{U}_{L} / C_{L}\right) \xrightarrow{\beta_{2}} \mathbf{H}^{0}\left(H, \mathbb{U}_{L} / E_{L}\right) \xrightarrow{\beta_{3}} \mathbf{H}^{0}\left(H, \mathbb{J}_{L}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By class field theory $\mathbf{H}^{0}\left(H, \mathbb{U}_{L}\right)$ can be identified with the inertia group of $\mathfrak{P}$, and $\mathbf{H}^{0}\left(H, \mathbb{J}_{L}\right)$ with the group $H$ by (1). With these identifications one immediately sees that $\beta_{3} \circ \beta_{2} \circ \beta_{1}$ is the inclusion map from the decomposition group to the Galois group $G(L / K)$. Now the proof of the following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 3.4. Let $L / \mathbb{Q}$ be a totally ramified abelian extension. Then the inclusion map $\beta_{3} \circ \beta_{2} \circ \beta_{1}$ of (8) is an isomorphism.

We now prove the theorem on prime-to- $p$ parts, which is Theorem 1.2 of introduction.

Theorem 3.5. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ with $\#(H)$ prime to $p$. Then

$$
\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(H, \mathrm{Cl}_{n}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{i}\left(H, E_{n} / C_{n}\right) \quad \text { for all } i \text { and } n .
$$

Proof. As in $\S 2$ we let $\alpha_{1}: \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(H, C_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(H, E_{n}\right)$ and $\alpha_{2}: \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(H, E_{n}\right)$ $\left.\rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(H, \mathbb{U}_{n}\right)\right)$ be induced from the natural maps. By Lemma 2.2 and (6) it follows that $\alpha_{2} \circ \alpha_{1}$ is an isomorphism, so $\alpha_{1}$ is injective and $\alpha_{2}$ surjective. Applying the isomorphism $\alpha_{2} \circ \alpha_{1}$ to the cohomology sequence induced from the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_{n} / C_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and using $\mathbf{H}^{2}\left(H, C_{n}\right)=0$ (Lemma 2.1) one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(H, \mathbb{U}_{n} / C_{n}\right)=0, \quad \mathbf{H}^{0}\left(H, \mathbb{U}_{n}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{0}\left(H, \mathbb{U}_{n} / C_{n}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $\beta_{1}$ in (8) with $L=K_{n}$ is an isomorphism and by Lemma 3.4 the composite map $\beta_{3} \circ \beta_{2}$ is also an isomorphism. Thus $\beta_{2}$ is injective and $\beta_{3}$ is surjective. Using these properties and (8) and (9), we have isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}^{0}\left(H, \mathrm{Cl}_{n}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(H, \mathbb{U}_{n} / E_{n}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{2}\left(H, E_{n} / C_{n}\right), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and exact sequences

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{0}\left(H, \mathbb{U}_{n} / C_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\beta_{2}} \mathbf{H}^{0}\left(H, \mathbb{U}_{n} / E_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(H, E_{n} / C_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0,  \tag{11}\\
& 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{-1}\left(H, \mathrm{Cl}_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{0}\left(H, \mathbb{U}_{n} / E_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\beta_{3}} \mathbf{H}^{0}\left(H, \mathbb{J}_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

From (10)-(12) one obtains isomorphisms

$$
\mathbf{H}^{0}\left(H, \mathrm{Cl}_{n}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{2}\left(H, E_{n} / C_{n}\right), \quad \mathbf{H}^{-1}\left(H, \mathrm{Cl}_{n}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{1}\left(H, E_{n} / C_{n}\right)
$$

As $H$ is cyclic this completes the proof. -
As the isomorphisms appearing in our theorems are Galois equivariant, Theorems 3.3 and 3.5 give us the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. Let $G$ be any subgroup of $G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. Then

$$
\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G, \mathrm{Cl}_{n}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G, E_{n} / C_{n}\right) \quad \text { for all } n \text { and } i
$$

As defined in the introduction, let $K_{\infty}$ be the basic $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ extension of $K=$ $K_{1}, K_{\infty}=\bigcup_{n} K_{n}, G_{n}=G\left(K_{n} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ and $G_{\infty}:=G\left(K_{\infty} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. Let $E_{\infty}, C_{\infty}, \mathrm{Cl}_{\infty}$ denote the inverse limits of $E_{n}, C_{n}, \mathrm{Cl}_{n}$ respectively with respect to the norm maps. By taking inverse limits in Corollary 3.6 we have the following

Corollary 3.7. For all $i$, we have the $\lim \mathbb{Z}\left[G_{n}\right]$-module isomorphism

$$
\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G_{\infty}, \mathrm{Cl}_{\infty}\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}^{i}\left(G_{\infty}, E_{\infty} / C_{\infty}\right)
$$
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