ACTA ARITHMETICA
153.1 (2012)

Fields of definition of building blocks with quaternionic multiplication

by

XAVIER GUITART (Terrassa)

1. Introduction. An abelian variety $B/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is called an *abelian $\mathbb{Q}$-variety* if for each $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ there exists an isogeny $\mu_\sigma\colon {}^\sigma B \to B$ *compatible* with the endomorphisms of B, i.e. such that $\varphi \circ \mu_\sigma = \mu_\sigma \circ {}^\sigma\varphi$ for all $\varphi \in \mathrm{End}^0_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(B) = \mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(B) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. A *building block* is an abelian $\mathbb{Q}$-variety B whose endomorphism algebra $\mathrm{End}^0_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(B)$ is a central division algebra over a totally real number field F with Schur index $t = 1$ or $t = 2$ and $t[F : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim B$. In the case $t = 2$ the quaternion algebra is necessarily totally indefinite. The interest in the study of the building blocks comes from the fact that they are the absolutely simple factors up to isogeny of the non-CM abelian varieties of GL_2-type (see [Py]) and therefore, as a consequence of a generalization of Shimura–Taniyama, they are the non-CM absolutely simple factors of the modular jacobians $J_1(N)$.

In [Ri1] and in [Py], Ribet and Pyle investigated the possible fields of definition of a building block up to isogeny; in fact, and to be more precise, their results concern the field of definition of the variety together with its endomorphisms. The main result in this direction is that every building block $B/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is isogenous over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ to a variety B_0 defined over a polyquadratic number field (1) K, and with all the endomorphisms of B_0 also defined over K (this is [Py, Theorem 5.1]). Moreover, from the proof of this result one can deduce the structure of minimal polyquadratic number fields with this property. In particular, each of these minimal number fields must contain a certain field K_P that can be calculated from a cohomology class γ in $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^\times)$ canonically attached to B.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11G18; Secondary 11G35.
Key words and phrases: building blocks, modular abelian varieties, quaternionic multiplication.

(1) That is, a composition of quadratic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$.

DOI: 10.4064/aa153-1-1

If B is a building block whose endomorphism algebra $\mathrm{End}^0_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(B)$ is a number field F and if B is defined over a number field K, then all the endomorphisms of B are also defined over K; this follows easily from the compatibility of the isogenies and from the commutativity of $\mathrm{End}^0_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(B)$. Therefore, in this case it is not a restriction to require a field of definition of B to be also a field of definition of its endomorphisms. But if B has quaternionic multiplication, that is, if $\mathrm{End}^0_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(B)$ is a quaternion algebra, then a field of definition of B is not necessarily a field of definition of $\mathrm{End}^0_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(B)$. In this situation, it can occur that B is indeed isogenous to a variety B_0 defined over a field L smaller than the minimal ones given by Ribet and Pyle, but of course with $\mathrm{End}^0_L(B_0)$ strictly contained in $\mathrm{End}^0_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(B_0)$. The easiest case where this happens is in the abelian varieties of GL_2-type that are absolutely simple and have quaternionic multiplication over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. They are building blocks and any field of definition of their endomorphisms is bigger than $\mathbb{Q}$, but clearly $\mathbb{Q}$ can be taken to be a field of definition of these varieties up to isogeny. In Section 4 we will give more involved examples of this phenomenon, in the sense that it will not be obvious a priori if the building block can be defined up to isogeny over a smaller field than its endomorphisms.

The goal of this article is to characterize the fields of definition of quaternionic building blocks up to isogeny, and to determine under what conditions it is possible to define them over a field strictly contained in the minimal ones given by Ribet and Pyle for the variety and the endomorphisms. The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we characterize the fields of definition of B up to isogeny as those K such that the restriction of γ to G_K lies in the image of a certain map $\delta\colon \mathrm{Hom}(G_K, \mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times) \to H^2(G_K, F^\times)$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is the quaternion algebra $\mathrm{End}^0_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(B)$. In Section 3 we compute the image of δ for the kind of quaternion algebras $\mathcal{B}$ that appear as endomorphism algebras of building blocks. Finally, in Section 4 we apply these results and computations to determine the field of definition of several concrete examples of building blocks.

2. Building blocks and fields of definition. We begin this section by recalling the main tools used in the study of fields of definition of building blocks. The main references for this part are [Ri1] and [Py] (and see also [Qu, Section 1] for a similar account of this material).

Let K be a number field. We will say that a building block B is *defined over* K if the variety B (but not necessarily all of its endomorphisms) is defined over K. If B is isogenous to a building block defined over K, we will say that K is *a field of definition of* B *up to isogeny*, or that B is *defined over* K *up to isogeny*. Note that this is a modification of the terminology used in [Py], where a field of definition of a building block was defined to be a field of definition of the variety and of all its endomorphisms.

Our study of the fields of definition of a building block up to isogeny will be based on the following theorem of Ribet (cf. [Ri2, Theorem 8.1]) that characterizes such fields.

THEOREM 2.1 (Ribet). *Let L/K be a Galois extension of fields, and let B be an abelian variety defined over L. There exists an abelian variety B_0 defined over K such that B and B_0 are isogenous over L if and only if there exist isomorphisms in the category of abelian varieties up to isogeny $\{\phi_\sigma\colon {}^\sigma B \to B\}_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)}$ satisfying $\phi_\sigma \circ {}^\sigma\phi_\tau \circ \phi_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} = 1$.*

Given a building block B we fix for every $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ a compatible isogeny $\mu_\sigma\colon {}^\sigma B \to B$. Since B has a model defined over a number field, we can choose the collection $\{\mu_\sigma\}$ to be locally constant. For $\sigma, \tau \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the isogeny $c_B(\sigma,\tau) = \mu_\sigma \circ {}^\sigma\mu_\tau \circ \mu_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}$ lies in the center F of $\mathrm{End}^0_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(B)$, and the map $(\sigma,\tau) \mapsto c_B(\sigma,\tau)$ is a continuous 2-cocycle of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with values in $F^\times$ (equipped with the trivial $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-action). Its cohomology class $[c_B]$ is an element of $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^\times)$ that does not depend on the particular choice of the compatible isogenies μ_σ, and if $B \sim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} B'$ are isogenous building blocks then we can identify their associated cohomology classes $[c_B]$ and $[c_{B'}]$. An important property of $[c_B]$ is that it belongs to the 2-torsion subgroup $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^\times)[2]$; that is, there exists a continuous map $\sigma \mapsto d_\sigma\colon G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to F^\times$ such that $c(\sigma,\tau)^2 = d_\sigma d_\tau d_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}$. The cohomology class $[c_B]$ gives all the information about the field of definition of a building block together with its endomorphisms up to isogeny, thanks to the following consequence of Theorem 2.1, which is [Py, Proposition 5.2].

PROPOSITION 2.2 (Ribet–Pyle). *Let B be a building block and $\gamma = [c_B]$ its associated cohomology class. There exists a variety B_0 defined over a number field K and with all its endomorphisms defined over K that is $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-isogenous to B if and only if $\mathrm{Res}^K_{\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma) = 1$, where $\mathrm{Res}^K_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the restriction map $\mathrm{Res}^K_{\mathbb{Q}}\colon H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^\times) \to H^2(G_K, F^\times)$.*

This characterization of the fields of definition of B and its endomorphisms up to isogeny in terms of $[c_B]$ is useful because the group $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^\times)[2]$ has a particularly simple structure, that we now recall. A *sign map* for F is a group homomorphism $\mathrm{sign}\colon F^\times \to \{\pm 1\}$ such that $\mathrm{sign}(-1) = -1$. A sign map gives a group isomorphism $F^\times \simeq P \times \{\pm 1\}$, where $P = F^\times/\{\pm 1\}$. From now on we fix a sign map for F by fixing an embedding of F in $\mathbb{R}$, and then taking the usual sign. The corresponding isomorphism $F^\times \simeq P \times \{\pm 1\}$ then gives a decomposition of $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^\times)[2]$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let F be a totally real number field, and let P be the group $F^\times/\{\pm 1\}$. There exists a (non-canonical) isomorphism of groups*

$$H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}},F^\times)[2]\simeq H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}},\{\pm1\})\times \mathrm{Hom}(G_{\mathbb{Q}},P/P^2). \tag{2.1}$$

If $\gamma=[c]\in H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}},F^\times)[2]$*, we denote by* $\gamma_\pm\in H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}},\{\pm1\})$ *and* $\overline{\gamma}\in \mathrm{Hom}(G_{\mathbb{Q}},P/P^2)$ *its two components under the isomorphism* (2.1)*. They can be computed in the following way:*

(1) *The cohomology class* $\gamma_\pm$ *is represented by the cocycle* $(\sigma,\tau)\mapsto \mathrm{sign}(c(\sigma,\tau))$.
(2) *If* $c(\sigma,\tau)^2=d_\sigma d_\tau d_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}$ *is an expression of* c^2 *as a coboundary, the map* $\overline{\gamma}$ *is given by* $\sigma\mapsto d_\sigma \bmod \{\pm1\}F^{*2}$.

Proof. This is essentially the content of Propositions 5.3 and 5.6 in [Py]. ∎

Let B be a building block and $\gamma=[c_B]$ its associated cohomology class. A field K is a field of definition up to isogeny of B and of its endomorphisms if and only if K trivializes both components $\overline{\gamma}$ and $\gamma_\pm$ (that is, if and only if the restriction of both components to G_K is trivial). Let K_P be the fixed field of $\ker\overline{\gamma}$, which is a polyquadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Then K trivializes $\overline{\gamma}$ if and only if it contains K_P. Since $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}},\{\pm1\})$ is isomorphic to the 2-torsion of the Brauer group of $\mathbb{Q}$, we can identify $\gamma_\pm$ with a quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$, and K trivializes $\gamma_\pm$ if and only if it is a splitting field of the quaternion algebra represented by $\gamma_\pm$. If K_P already trivializes $\gamma_\pm$, then K_P is the minimum field of definition of B and of its endomorphisms up to isogeny. Otherwise, there is no such a minimum field: all the fields of definition of B and of its endomorphisms up to isogeny must contain K_P and are splitting fields of $\gamma_\pm$. For instance, for each maximal subfield $K_\pm$ of the quaternion algebra given by $\gamma_\pm$, the field $K_\pm K_P$ is a minimal polyquadratic number field with the property of being a field of definition of B and of its endomorphisms up to isogeny.

We can also use the cohomology class $[c_B]$ to study the fields of definition of B up to isogeny, in a similar way as for the fields of definition of B and of its endomorphisms. From now on we assume that $\mathcal{B}=\mathrm{End}^0_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(B)$ is a quaternion algebra. Before stating our cohomological version of Theorem 2.1 for building blocks, we recall that the exact sequence of trivial G_K-modules

$$1\to F^\times\to\mathcal{B}^\times\to\mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times\to 1$$

gives rise to the cohomology exact sequence of pointed sets (cf. [Se, p. 125])

$$\cdots\to H^1(G_K,F^\times)\to H^1(G_K,\mathcal{B}^\times)\to H^1(G_K,\mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times)\xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(G_K,F^\times).$$

Since we consider the trivial G_K-action, we can identify $H^1(G_K,\mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times)$ with $\mathrm{Hom}(G_K,\mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times)$ up to conjugation. The explicit description of the connecting map δ is given in terms of cocycles by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\colon\ &\mathrm{Hom}(G_K,\mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times)\to H^2(G_K,F^\times),\\ &[\sigma\mapsto\psi_\sigma F^\times]\mapsto[(\sigma,\tau)\mapsto\psi_\sigma\circ\psi_\tau\circ\psi_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}].\end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

Proposition 2.4. *Let B be a building block and $\gamma = [c_B] \in H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^{\times})$ its associated cohomology class. There exists a variety B_0 defined over a number field K that is $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-isogenous to B if and only if there exists a continuous morphism $\psi : G_K \to \mathcal{B}^{\times}/F^{\times}$ such that $\operatorname{Res}^K_{\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma) = \delta(\psi)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1 the existence of a variety B_0 defined over K and isogenous to B is equivalent to the existence of isomorphisms of abelian varieties up to isogeny $\phi_\sigma : {}^{\sigma}B \to B$ such that

$$\phi_\sigma \circ {}^{\sigma}\phi_\tau \circ \phi_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} = 1 \tag{2.3}$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in G_K$. If $\mu_\sigma : {}^{\sigma}B \to B$ is a compatible isogeny, then ϕ_σ is equal to $\psi_\sigma \circ \mu_\sigma$ for some ψ_σ belonging to $\mathcal{B}^{\times}$. Using the compatibility of the μ_σ's we observe that (2.3) is then equivalent to

$$\mu_\sigma \circ {}^{\sigma}\mu_\tau \circ \mu_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} \circ \psi_\sigma \circ \psi_\tau \circ \psi_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} = 1$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in G_K$. Since $c_B(\sigma, \tau) = \mu_\sigma \circ {}^{\sigma}\mu_\tau \circ \mu_{\sigma\tau}$ belongs to $F^{\times}$, we see that the map $\sigma \mapsto \psi_\sigma F^{\times}$ is a morphism $\psi\colon G_K \to \mathcal{B}^{\times}/F^{\times}$, and that $\operatorname{Res}^K_{\mathbb{Q}}([c_B]) \cdot \delta(\psi) = 1$. From this the result follows, because $[c_B]$ is a 2-torsion element. ∎

Now suppose that K is a minimal polyquadratic field of definition of B and of all its endomorphisms. As we have seen, there might exist a variety B_0 defined over a subfield L of K that is isogenous to B, but in this case with $\operatorname{End}^0_L(B_0) \subsetneq \operatorname{End}^0_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(B_0)$. An interesting occurrence of this situation is when the endomorphisms of B_0 are defined over K, but then the field L cannot be much smaller than K, as we can see in the following

Proposition 2.5. *Let B be a building block such that B and its endomorphisms are defined over a minimal polyquadratic field K. Let $L \subsetneq K$ and let B_0 be an abelian variety over L. The abelian variety B_0 is K-isogenous to B and has all of its endomorphisms defined over K if and only if there exists a continuous homomorphism $\psi : G_L \to \mathcal{B}^{\times}/F^{\times}$ such that $\operatorname{Res}^L_{\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma) = \delta(\psi)$ and $G_K \subseteq \ker(\psi)$. In particular $\operatorname{Gal}(K/L) \simeq C_2$ or $\operatorname{Gal}(K/L) \simeq C_2 \times C_2$.*

Proof. Let $\kappa : B \to B_0$ be an isogeny defined over K, where B_0 is defined over L and $\operatorname{End}^0_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(B_0) = \operatorname{End}^0_K(B_0)$. For $\sigma \in G_L$ let $\nu_\sigma = \kappa^{-1} \circ {}^{\sigma}\kappa$, and let $\psi_\sigma = \nu_\sigma \circ \mu_\sigma^{-1}$ where μ_σ is a compatible isogeny for B. Since $\nu_\sigma \circ {}^{\sigma}\nu_\tau \circ \nu_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} = 1$ for all $\sigma, \tau \in G_L$, we see that $\operatorname{Res}^L_{\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma) = \delta(\psi)$. Moreover, for $\sigma \in G_K$ the isogeny μ_σ lies in $F^{\times}$ and $\nu_\sigma = 1$, so ψ_σ belongs to $F^{\times}$.

For the other implication, for $\sigma \in G_L$ let $\nu_\sigma = \psi_\sigma \circ \mu_\sigma$, with μ_σ a compatible isogeny. Under the conditions of the proposition, there exists a variety B_0 defined over L and an isogeny $\kappa : B \to B_0$ such that $\nu_\sigma = \kappa^{-1} \circ {}^{\sigma}\kappa$. Then any endomorphism of B_0 is of the form $\kappa \circ \varphi \circ \kappa^{-1}$ for some

$\varphi \in \mathrm{End}^0_{\mathbb{Q}}(B)$. Then for $\sigma \in G_K$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^\sigma(\kappa \circ \varphi \circ \kappa^{-1}) &= {}^\sigma\kappa \circ {}^\sigma\varphi \circ {}^\sigma\kappa^{-1} = \kappa \circ \psi_\sigma \circ \mu_\sigma \circ {}^\sigma\varphi \circ \mu_\sigma^{-1} \circ \psi_\sigma^{-1} \circ \kappa^{-1} \\
&= \kappa \circ \psi_\sigma \circ \varphi \circ \psi_\sigma^{-1} \circ \kappa^{-1} = \kappa \circ \varphi \circ \kappa^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the last statement follows because $\mathrm{Gal}(K/L)$ must be isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times$, and all abelian groups of exponent 2 contained in $\mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times$ are isomorphic to either C_2 or $C_2 \times C_2$ (see Proposition 3.1 below for a classification of all finite subgroups of $\mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times$). ■

3. The image of δ. This section is devoted to compute all the elements in $H^2(G_K, F^\times)[2]$ that are of the form $\delta(\psi)$ for some continuous morphism $\psi\colon G_K \to \mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times$, and to determine their components $\delta(\psi)_\pm$ and $\overline{\delta(\psi)}$ under the isomorphism $H^2(G_K, F^\times)[2] \simeq H^2(G_K, \{\pm 1\}) \times \mathrm{Hom}(G_K, P/P^2)$ (this isomorphism is just the restriction of (2.1) to G_K). The image of a continuous morphism $\psi\colon G_K \to \mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times$ is a finite subgroup of $\mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times$. In [CF, Section 2] these subgroups are studied and, in particular, we have the following result.

Proposition 3.1 (Chinburg–Friedman). *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a totally indefinite division quaternion algebra over a field F. The finite subgroups of $\mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times$ are cyclic or dihedral. There always exist subgroups of $\mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times$ isomorphic to C_2 and $C_2 \times C_2$. For $n > 2$, if ζ_n is a primitive nth root of unity in $\overline{F}$, then $\mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to the cyclic group C_n of order n if and only if $\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1}$ belongs to F and $F(\zeta_n)$ is isomorphic to a maximal subfield of $\mathcal{B}$. In this case, $\mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times$ always contains a subgroup isomorphic to the dihedral group D_{2n} of order $2n$.*

In order to compute the cohomology classes $\delta(\psi)$ we will consider four separate cases, depending on whether $\mathrm{im}\,\psi$ is isomorphic to C_2, $C_2 \times C_2$, C_n or D_{2n} for $n > 2$. The following notation may be useful: if G is a group, we denote by Δ_G the elements $\gamma \in H^2(G_K, F^\times)[2]$ that are of the form $\gamma = \delta(\psi)$ for some morphism ψ with $\mathrm{im}\,\psi \simeq G$.

As usual we will identify the elements in $H^2(G_K, \{\pm 1\})$ with quaternion algebras over K, and we will use the notation $(a, b)_K$ for the quaternion algebra generated over K by i, j with $i^2 = a$, $j^2 = b$ and $ij + ji = 0$. As for the elements in $\mathrm{Hom}(G_K, P/P^2)$ we will use the symbol $(t, d)_P$ with $t \in K$ and $d \in F^\times$ to denote (the inflation of) the morphism that sends the non-trivial automorphism of $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\sqrt{t})/K)$ to the class of d in P/P^2. Every element in $\mathrm{Hom}(G_K, P/P^2)$ is the product of morphisms of this kind, and therefore it can be expressed in the form $(t_1, d_1)_P \cdot \ldots \cdot (t_n, d_n)_P$ for some $t_i \in K$, $d_i \in F^\times$. We remark that, although they are convenient for their compactness, these expressions for the elements of $\mathrm{Hom}(G_K, P/P^2)$ are not unique.

Proposition 3.2. *An element* $\gamma \in H^2(G_K, F^\times)[2]$ *belongs to* Δ_{C_2} *if and only if*

- $\overline{\gamma} = (t, b)_P$ *for some* $t \in K \setminus K^2$ *and* $b \in F^\times$ *such that* $F(\sqrt{b})$ *is isomorphic to a maximal subfield of* $\mathcal{B}$,
- $\gamma_\pm = (t, \operatorname{sign}(b))_K$.

Proof. Let ψ be a morphism whose image is isomorphic to C_2. Then the fixed field of $\ker \psi$ is $K(\sqrt{t})$ for some $t \in K \setminus K^2$, and ψ is the inflation of a morphism (that we also call ψ) from $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\sqrt{t})/K)$, which is determined by the image of a generator σ of the Galois group. If $\psi(\sigma) = \overline{y}$ (here $\overline{y}$ means the class of y in $\mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times$), then $y^2 = b \in F^\times$ and $y \notin F^\times$. That is, $F(\sqrt{b})$ is isomorphic to a maximal subfield of $\mathcal{B}$. From the explicit description of δ given in (2.2), a straightforward computation shows that a cocycle c representing $\delta(\psi)$ is given by

$$c(1,1) = c(1,\sigma) = c(\sigma,1) = 1, \qquad c(\sigma,\sigma) = b.$$

By taking the sign of this cocycle we obtain a representative for $\delta(\psi)_\pm$, and it corresponds to the quaternion algebra $(t, \operatorname{sign}(b))_K$. The cocycle c^2 is the coboundary of the map $1 \mapsto 1$, $\sigma \mapsto b$, and by Proposition 2.3 the component $\overline{\delta(\psi)}$ is $(t, b)_P$.

Now, for $t \in K \setminus K^2$ and $b \in F^\times$ such that $F(\sqrt{b})$ is isomorphic to a maximal subfield of $\mathcal{B}$, take $y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $y^2 = b$. Then the morphism $\psi\colon \mathrm{Gal}(K(\sqrt{t})/K) \to \mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times$ that sends a generator σ to $\overline{y}$ has image isomorphic to C_2, and by the previous argument the components of $\delta(\psi)$ are $\delta(\psi)_\pm = (t, \operatorname{sign}(b))_K$ and $\overline{\delta(\psi)} = (t, b)_P$. ∎

Proposition 3.3. *An element* $\gamma \in H^2(G_K, F^\times)[2]$ *lies in* $\Delta_{C_2 \times C_2}$ *if and only if*

- $\overline{\gamma} = (s, a)_P \cdot (t, b)_P$ *for some* $s, t \in K \setminus K^2$ *and* $a, b \in F$ *such that* a *is positive and* $\mathcal{B} \simeq (a, b)_F$,
- $\gamma_\pm = (\operatorname{sign}(b)s, t)_K$.

Proof. If ψ is a morphism with image isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2$, it factorizes through a finite Galois extension M/K with $\mathrm{Gal}(M/K) \simeq C_2 \times C_2$. We write M as $M = K(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{t})$, and let σ, τ be the generators of the Galois group such that $M^{\langle\sigma\rangle} = K(\sqrt{t})$ and $M^{\langle\tau\rangle} = K(\sqrt{s})$. If $\overline{x} = \psi(\sigma)$ and $\overline{y} = \psi(\tau)$, we know that $x^2 = a \in F^\times$, $y^2 = b \in F^\times$ and $xy = \varepsilon yx$ for some $\varepsilon \in F^\times$. In fact, multiplying this expression on the left by x we see that necessarily $\varepsilon = -1$, and hence $\mathcal{B} \simeq (a, b)_F$.

Let $\gamma_{s,a}$ be the cocycle in $Z^2(\mathrm{Gal}(M/K), F^\times)$ defined as the inflation of the cocycle

$$\gamma_{s,a}(1,1) = \gamma_{s,a}(\sigma,1) = \gamma_{s,a}(1,\sigma) = 1, \qquad \gamma_{s,a}(\sigma,\sigma) = a;$$

in a similar way we define the cocycle $\gamma_{t,b}$ by means of

$$\gamma_{t,b}(1,1)=\gamma_{t,b}(\tau,1)=\gamma_{t,b}(1,\tau)=1,\qquad \gamma_{t,b}(\tau,\tau)=b.$$

Let χ_s and χ_t be the elements in $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(M/K),\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ given by ${}^{\rho}\sqrt{s}/\sqrt{s} = (-1)^{\chi_s(\rho)}$ and ${}^{\rho}\sqrt{t}/\sqrt{t}=(-1)^{\chi_t(\rho)}$, and let $\gamma_{s,t}$ be the 2-cocycle defined by $\gamma_{s,t}(\rho,\mu)=(-1)^{\chi_s(\mu)\chi_t(\rho)}$. Then a direct computation shows that a cocycle representing $\delta(\psi)$ is the product of these three 2-cocycles: $c=\gamma_{s,t}\cdot\gamma_{s,a}\cdot\gamma_{t,b}$. The cocycle $\gamma_{s,t}$ represents the quaternion algebra $(s,t)_K$, and then we have $\delta(\psi)_{\pm}=(s,t)_K\cdot(s,\mathrm{sign}(a))_K\cdot(t,\mathrm{sign}(b))_K$. Since $\mathcal{B}$ is totally indefinite, we can suppose that a is positive, and then $\delta(\psi)_{\pm}=(\mathrm{sign}(b)s,t)_K$. Arguing as in the proof of 3.2, the component $\overline{\delta(\psi)}$ is easily seen to be $(s,a)_P\cdot(t,b)_P$.

Finally, suppose that $\mathcal{B}\simeq(a,b)_F$ where the element a is positive. Let s,t be in $K\setminus K^2$, and let $x,y\in\mathcal{B}$ be such that $x^2=a$, $y^2=b$ and $xy=-yx$. With the same notation as before for $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\sqrt{s},\sqrt{t})/K)$, the map ψ that sends σ to $\overline{x}$ and τ to $\overline{y}$ satisfies $\delta(\psi)_{\pm}=(\mathrm{sign}(b)\,s,t)_K$ and $\overline{\delta(\psi)}=(s,a)_P\cdot(t,b)_P$. ∎

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Suppose that $\mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to C_n for some $n>2$, and let ζ_n be a primitive nth root of unity in $\overline{F}$ and $\alpha=2+\zeta_n+\zeta_n^{-1}$. An element $\gamma\in H^2(G_K,F^\times)$ lies in Δ_{C_n} if and only if there exists a cyclic extension M/K, with* $\mathrm{Gal}(M/K)=\langle\sigma\rangle$ *such that*

- $\overline{\gamma}=(t,\alpha)$, *where* $M(\sqrt{t})=M^{\langle\sigma^2\rangle}$,
- $\gamma_{\pm}$ *is represented by the cocycle*

$$c_{\pm}(\sigma^i,\sigma^j)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } i+j<n,\\ -1 & \text{if } i+j\ge n.\end{cases}\tag{3.1}$$

We note that if n is odd then $\Delta_{C_n}=\{1\}$.

Proof. Let ψ be a morphism with image isomorphic to C_n. Then the fixed field for $\ker\psi$ is a cyclic extension M/K with $\mathrm{Gal}(M/K)=\langle\sigma\rangle$. The element $x\in\mathcal{B}^\times$ such that $\psi(\sigma)=\overline{x}$ has the property that $a=x^n$ lies in $F^\times$. Since $\psi(\sigma^i)=\overline{x^i}$, a straightforward computation shows that $\delta(\psi)$ is given by

$$c(\sigma^i,\sigma^j)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } i+j<n,\\ a & \text{if } i+j\ge n.\end{cases}\tag{3.2}$$

By [CF, Lemma 2.1] we can suppose that $x=1+\zeta$ with $\zeta\in\mathcal{B}^\times$ an element of order n. We identify ζ with ζ_n and then by Proposition 3.1 we see that $\zeta+\zeta^{-1}\in F^\times$. From $(1+\zeta)^2\zeta^{-1}=2+\zeta+\zeta^{-1}$ we see that $(1+\zeta)^{2n}=(2+\zeta+\zeta^{-1})^n$, and if we define $\alpha=(2+\zeta+\zeta^{-1})\in F^\times$, we have $a^2=x^{2n}=(1+\zeta)^{2n}=\alpha^n$. Therefore, the cocycle c^2 is the coboundary of the map $\sigma^i\mapsto\alpha^i$, $0\le i<n$, and by Proposition 2.3 the component $\overline{\delta(\psi)}$ is the map that sends σ to the class of α in P/P^2. Clearly σ^2 is in the kernel of this map, and since $\langle\sigma\rangle=\langle\sigma^2\rangle$, it follows that if n is odd, then $\overline{\delta(\psi)}$ is

trivial in this case, while if n is even and $K(\sqrt{t})$ is the fixed field of M under $\langle\sigma^2\rangle$, then $\overline{\delta(\psi)} = (t,\alpha)_P$.

A cocycle representing $\delta(\psi)_\pm$ is the sign of (3.2). If n is odd, the cohomology class of this cocycle is always trivial (it is the coboundary of the map $\sigma^i \mapsto (\operatorname{sign} a)^i$ for $0 \le i < n$). If n is even then a is negative because

$$a = x^n = (1+\zeta)^n = (2+\zeta+\zeta^{-1})^{n/2}\zeta^{n/2} = -(2+\zeta+\zeta^{-1})^{n/2},$$

and $2+\zeta+\zeta^{-1}$ is positive due to the identification of ζ with ζ_n. This shows that $\delta(\psi)_\pm$ is given by (3.1).

Finally, if t, M, σ and α are as in the statement of the proposition, the map ψ sending σ to $\overline{(1+\zeta)}$ with $\zeta \in B^\times$ an element of order n gives a $\delta(\psi)$ with the predicted components. ∎

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Suppose that $\mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to D_{2n} for some $n > 2$. Let ζ_n be a primitive nth root of unity in $\overline{F}$, $\alpha = 2+\zeta_n+\zeta_n^{-1}$ and $d = (\zeta_n+\zeta_n^{-1})^2-4$. A cohomology class $\gamma \in H^2(G_K, F^\times)$ lies in $\Delta_{D_{2n}}$ if and only if there exists a dihedral extension M/K with* $\operatorname{Gal}(M/K) = \langle\sigma,\tau \mid \sigma^n = 1,\ \tau^2 = 1,\ \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma^{-1}\rangle$ *such that*

- $\overline{\gamma} = (s,\alpha)_P \cdot (t,b)_P$, *where* $L(\sqrt{s}) = M^{\langle\sigma^2,\tau\rangle}$, $L(\sqrt{t}) = M^{\langle\sigma\rangle}$ *and* $b \in F^\times$ *satisfies that* $\mathcal{B} \simeq (d,b)_F$,
- $\gamma_\pm$ *is given by the cocycle*

$$c_\pm(\sigma^i\tau,\sigma^{i'}\tau^{j'}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i-i' \ge 0,\\ -1 & \text{if } i-i' < 0,\end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

$$c_\pm(\sigma^i,\sigma^{i'}\tau^{j'}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i+i' < n,\\ -1 & \text{if } i+i' \ge n.\end{cases}$$

We note that if n is odd, then $\overline{\gamma} = (t,b)_P$ and $\gamma_\pm = 1$.

Proof. Let ψ be a morphism with image isomorphic to D_{2n}. It factorizes through a dihedral extension M with $\operatorname{Gal}(M/K) = \langle\sigma,\tau\rangle$ and the relations between the generators as in the proposition. If we call $\overline{x} = \psi(\sigma)$, $\overline{y} = \psi(\tau)$, we know that $x^n = a \in F^\times$, $y^2 = b \in F^\times$ and there exists some $\varepsilon \in F^\times$ such that $xy = \varepsilon y x^{-1}$. Multiplying on the left by x^{n-1} we find that $x^n y = \varepsilon^n y x^{-n}$ and hence $\varepsilon^n = a^2$. Now we show that, in fact, ε can be identified with α. Indeed, $x = 1+\zeta$ with $\zeta \in \mathcal{B}^\times$ of order n that we identify with ζ_n, and so $x^{-1} = (1+\zeta^{-1})(2+\zeta+\zeta^{-1})^{-1}$. Since $F(\zeta)$ is a maximal subfield of $\mathcal{B}$ different from $F(y)$, the conjugation by y is a non-trivial automorphism of $F(\zeta)/F$. The only such automorphism is complex conjugation, which sends ζ to ζ^{-1}, and therefore $y^{-1}\zeta y = \zeta^{-1}$. This implies that $(1+\zeta)y = y(1+\zeta^{-1})$, and this is $xy = (2+\zeta+\zeta^{-1})yx^{-1}$, which proves that $\varepsilon = (2+\zeta+\zeta^{-1})$, which is identified with α.

To give a compact expression for $\delta(\psi)$ we first define a cocycle γ_b:

$$\gamma_b(\sigma^i\tau^j,\sigma^{i'}\tau^{j'})=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } j+j'<2,\\ b & \text{if } j+j'=2,\end{cases}$$

and a cocycle e:

$$e(\sigma^i\tau,\sigma^{i'}\tau^{j'})=\begin{cases}\alpha^{i'} & \text{if } i-i'\geq 0,\\ \alpha^{i'}a^{-1} & \text{if } i-i'<0,\end{cases}\qquad e(\sigma^i,\sigma^{i'}\tau^{j'})=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } i+i'<n,\\ a & \text{if } i+i'\geq n.\end{cases}$$

To compute a cocycle that represents $\delta(\psi)$, we take the lift $\tilde{\psi}$ from $\mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times$ to $\mathcal{B}$ given by $\tilde{\psi}(\sigma^i\tau^j)=x^iy^j$ for $0\leq i<n$, $0\leq j<2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\delta(\psi))(\sigma^i\tau,\sigma^{i'}\tau^{j'}) &= \tilde{\psi}(\sigma^i\tau)\tilde{\psi}(\sigma^{i'}\tau^{j'})\tilde{\psi}(\sigma^i\tau\sigma^{i'}\tau^{j'})^{-1}\\
&= \tilde{\psi}(\sigma^i\tau)\tilde{\psi}(\sigma^{i'}\tau^{j'})\tilde{\psi}(\sigma^{i-i'}\tau^{1+j'})^{-1}\\
&= \begin{cases} x^iyx^{i'}y^{j'}(x^{i-i'}y^{(1+j')\bmod 2})^{-1} & \text{if } i-i'\geq 0,\\ x^iyx^{i'}y^{j'}(x^{n+(i-i')}y^{(1+j')\bmod 2})^{-1} & \text{if } i-i'<0\end{cases}\\
&= \begin{cases} \alpha^{i'}x^{i-i'}y^{1+j'}y^{-(1+j')\bmod 2}\,x^{-(i-i')} & \text{if } i-i'\geq 0,\\ \alpha^{i'}x^{i-i'}y^{1+j'}y^{-(1+j')\bmod 2}\,x^{-(i-i')}x^{-n} & \text{if } i-i'<0\end{cases}\\
&= \begin{cases} \gamma_b(\sigma^i\tau,\sigma^{i'}\tau^{j'})\alpha^{i'} & \text{if } i-i'\geq 0,\\ \gamma_b(\sigma^i\tau,\sigma^{i'}\tau^{j'})\alpha^{i'}a^{-1} & \text{if } i-i'<0,\end{cases}\\
(\delta(\psi))(\sigma^i,\sigma^{i'}\tau^{j'}) &= \tilde{\psi}(\sigma^i)\tilde{\psi}(\sigma^{i'}\tau^{j'})\tilde{\psi}(\sigma^i\sigma^{i'}\tau^{j'})^{-1}\\
&= \tilde{\psi}(\sigma^i)\tilde{\psi}(\sigma^{i'}\tau^{j'})\tilde{\psi}(\sigma^{i+i'}\tau^{j'})^{-1}\\
&= \begin{cases} x^ix^{i'}y^{j'}(x^{i+i'}y^{j'})^{-1} & \text{if } i+i'<n,\\ x^ix^{i'}y^{j'}(x^{(i+i')-n}y^{j'})^{-1} & \text{if } i+i'\geq n\end{cases}\\
&= \begin{cases} x^{i+i'}y^{j'}y^{-j'}x^{-(i+i')} & \text{if } i+i'<n,\\ x^{i+i'}y^{j'}y^{-j'}x^{-(i+i')}x^n & \text{if } i+i'\geq n\end{cases}\\
&= \begin{cases} \gamma_b(\sigma^i,\sigma^{i'}\tau^{j'}) & \text{if } i+i'<n,\\ \gamma_b(\sigma^i,\sigma^{i'}\tau^j)\cdot a & \text{if } i+i'\geq n.\end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

From these expressions we see that $\delta(\psi)$ is represented by the cocycle $\gamma_b\cdot e$. Clearly γ_b is 2-torsion since γ_b^2 is the coboundary of the map $d_\gamma(\sigma^i)=1$, $d_\gamma(\sigma^i\tau)=b$. The cocycle e is 2-torsion as well, and a coboundary for e^2 is given by the map $d_e(\sigma^i\tau^j)=\alpha^i$. If we view d_γ and d_e as taking values in P/P^2, then by Proposition 2.3 we see that $\overline{\delta(\psi)}$ is the map $d_e\cdot d_\gamma$. Note that $\langle\sigma^2,\tau\rangle\subseteq\ker d_e$. If n is odd, then $\langle\sigma^2,\tau\rangle=\operatorname{Gal}(M/K)$ and the only contribution to $\overline{\delta(\psi)}$ comes from d_γ, and it is the map $(t,b)_P$. If n is even, then the contribution from d_e is (s,α), and in this case $\overline{\delta(\psi)}=(s,\alpha)_P\cdot(t,b)_P$.

The component $\delta(\psi)_\pm$ comes from taking the sign in the cocycle $\gamma_b\cdot e$. The element b is positive, since by [CF, Lemma 2.3] we know that $\mathcal{B}\simeq(d,b)_F$, and d is negative. To determine the sign of a, note that from $\alpha^n=a^2$ we find that if n is even then $\alpha^{n/2} = \pm a$. The case $\alpha^{n/2} = a$ is not possible since otherwise $F(x^{n/2}, y)$ would be a subfield of $\mathcal{B}$ of dimension 4 over F. Then $\alpha^{n/2} = -a$, and the fact that α is totally positive forces a to be negative. This shows that $\delta(\psi)_\pm$ is represented by the cocycle (3.3). If n is odd then $c_\pm$ is the coboundary of the map $\sigma^i\tau^j \mapsto (-1)^i$.

As usual, given an extension M/K, elements $b \in F^\times$, $s, t \in K^\times$ and $c_\pm \in Z^2(\mathrm{Gal}(M/K), \{\pm 1\})$ with the properties described in the proposition, one can easily construct a map ψ with the prescribed $\delta(\psi)$ just defining $\psi(\sigma) = \overline{x}$ and $\psi(\tau) = \overline{y}$, where $\overline{x}, \overline{y}$ generate a subgroup of $\mathcal{B}^\times$ isomorphic to D_{2n} and $y^2 = b$. ■

4. Examples. In this section we illustrate with some examples the use of the techniques developed so far in studying the field of definition of building blocks up to isogeny. We will use the information provided by the building block table of [Qu, Section 5.1 of the Appendix]. These data can also be obtained directly by means of the `Magma` functions implemented by Jordi Quer, which are based on the packages of William Stein for modular abelian varieties.

Example. Let B be the only building block of dimension 2 with quaternionic multiplication that is associated to a newform f of level $N = 243$ and trivial Nebentypus, and let $\gamma = [c_B]$ be its cohomology class. The components of γ are $\gamma_\pm = 1$ and $\overline{\gamma} = (-3, 6)_P$, and $K_P = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ is a minimum field of definition of B and of its endomorphisms up to isogeny. The dimension of B is 2, as it is the dimension of A_f; therefore, we know a priori that $\mathbb{Q}$ is a field of definition of B up to isogeny. Let us see now how this can also be deduced using our results. The endomorphism algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is the quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ ramified at the primes 2 and 3. The field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$ is isomorphic to a maximal subfield of $\mathcal{B}$, and by Proposition 3.2 there exists a morphism $\psi\colon G_\mathbb{Q} \to \mathcal{B}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^\times$ such that $\overline{\delta(\psi)} = (-3, 6)_P$ and $\delta(\psi)_\pm = (-3, 1)_\mathbb{Q}$, which is trivial in $H^2(G_\mathbb{Q}, \{\pm 1\})$. Therefore $\gamma = \delta(\psi)$ and we deduce the existence of an abelian variety defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and isogenous to B.

Example. Let B be the only quaternionic building block of dimension 2 associated to a modular form f of level $N = 60$ with Nebentypus of order 4. In this case the variety A_f is 4-dimensional and the cohomology class associated to B has components $\overline{\gamma} = (5, 2)_P \cdot (-3, 5)_P$, and $\gamma_\pm$ the quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ ramified at the primes 3 and 5. The field $K_P = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{-3})$ is the minimum field of definition of the variety and of its endomorphisms up to isogeny, and the algebra $\mathcal{B} = \mathrm{End}^0_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(B)$ is the quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ ramified at 2 and 5, which is isomorphic to $(-2, 5)_\mathbb{Q}$. Hence, by Proposition 3.3 there exists a $\psi\colon G_\mathbb{Q} \to \mathcal{B}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^\times$ such that $\overline{\delta(\psi)} = (5, -2)_P \cdot (-3, 5)_P$ and $\delta(\psi)_\pm = (5, 3)_\mathbb{Q}$, which is the quaternion algebra ramified at 3 and 5. Hence

$\gamma = \delta(\psi)$ and by Proposition 2.5 there exists a variety B_0 defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and with all its endomorphisms defined over K_P that is isogenous to B.

Example. Let B be the only quaternionic building block of dimension 2 associated to a newform f of level $N = 80$ and Nebentypus of order 4. Now $\overline{\gamma} = (5,2)_P \cdot (-4,3)_P$ and $\gamma_\pm$ is the quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ ramified at 2 and 5. Again K_P, which in this case is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5},\sqrt{-1})$, is the minimum field of definition of B and of its endomorphisms up to isogeny.

First, we observe that there does not exist a variety B_0 defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and with all its endomorphisms defined over K_P. By 2.5 the existence of such a variety would be equivalent to the existence of a $\psi\colon G_\mathbb{Q} \to \mathcal{B}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^\times$ with image isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2$ such that $\overline{\delta(\psi)} = \overline{\gamma}$ and $\delta(\psi)_\pm = \gamma_\pm$. By 3.3, $\overline{\delta(\psi)} = (s,a)_P \cdot (t,b)_P$ with $\mathcal{B} \simeq (a,b)_\mathbb{Q}$. If we want $\overline{\delta(\psi)} = \overline{\gamma}$, the only possibilities for a, b modulo squares are the following: $a = 2$ and $b = 3$, $a = 2$ and $b = -3$, $a = -2$ and $b = 3$, or $a = -2$ and $b = -3$. Since $\mathcal{B}$ is the quaternion algebra of discriminant 6, only the first two options are possible. But if $\overline{\delta(\psi)} = (5,2)_P \cdot (-4,3)_P$, from 3.3 we see that $\delta(\psi)_\pm = (5,-4)_\mathbb{Q}$, which is not equal to $\gamma_\pm$, and if $\overline{\delta(\psi)} = (5,2)_P \cdot (-4,-3)_P$ then $\delta(\psi)_\pm = (-5,-4)_\mathbb{Q}$, which is not equal to $\gamma_\pm$ either. Hence there does not exist such a ψ.

Now we will see that there exists a $\psi\colon G_\mathbb{Q} \to \mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times$ with image isomorphic to $D_{2\cdot 4}$ such that $\gamma = \delta(\psi)$. This will tell us that there exists an abelian variety B_0 defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ that is isogenous to B, but that does not have all its endomorphisms defined over K_P. First of all, we observe that $\mathcal{B} \simeq (-1,3)_\mathbb{Q}$, and so $\mathcal{B}$ contains a maximal subfield isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}(i)$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. This implies that $\mathcal{B}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^\times$ contains subgroups isomorphic to $D_{2\cdot 4}$. More precisely, if x, y are elements in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $x^2 = -1$, $y^2 = 3$, and $xy = -yx$, then the subgroup of $\mathcal{B}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^\times$ generated by $\overline{1+x}$ and $\overline{y}$ is isomorphic to $D_{2\cdot 4}$.

The number field $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{5}, i)$ has $\mathrm{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq D_{2\cdot 4}$, generated by the automorphisms $\sigma\colon \sqrt[4]{5} \mapsto i\sqrt[4]{5},\ i \mapsto i$, and $\tau\colon \sqrt[4]{5} \mapsto \sqrt[4]{5},\ i \mapsto -i$. We define $\psi\colon G_\mathbb{Q} \to \mathcal{B}^\times/F^\times$ as the morphism sending σ to $\overline{1+x}$ and τ to $\overline{y}$. From the expressions given in Proposition 3.5 we show that $\overline{\delta(\psi)} = (-1,3)_P \cdot (5,2)_P$, which is equal to $\overline{\gamma}$. It only remains to show that $\delta(\psi)_\pm = \gamma_\pm$. Let D be the quaternion algebra associated to $\delta(\psi)_\pm$. Since $\delta(\psi)_\pm \in Z^2(\mathrm{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}), \{\pm 1\})$ and the extension $M/\mathbb{Q}$ only ramifies at the primes 2 and 5, D can only ramify at the places 2, 5 and ∞ (see [Pi, Proposition 18.5]). We will see that $D \otimes_\mathbb{Q} \mathbb{Q}(i)$ is not trivial in the Brauer group (and therefore D ramifies at some prime), and that $D \otimes_\mathbb{Q} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ is trivial (and therefore D does not ramify at ∞). These two conditions imply that D ramifies exactly at 2 and 5.

Since $\mathrm{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}(i)) = \langle\sigma\rangle$, a 2-cocycle c representing $D \otimes_\mathbb{Q} \mathbb{Q}(i)$ is the restriction to the subgroup $\langle\sigma\rangle \subseteq \mathrm{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q})$ of a cocycle representing $\delta(\psi)_\pm$.

From (3.3) we obtain

$$c(\sigma^i,\sigma^j)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } i+j<4,\\ -1 & \text{if } i+j\geq 4.\end{cases}$$

By [Pi, Lemma 15.1] the algebra associated to this cocycle is trivial if and only if $-1\in \mathrm{Nm}_{M/\mathbb{Q}(i)}(M)$, where $\mathrm{Nm}_{M/\mathbb{Q}(i)}$ refers to the norm in the extension $M/\mathbb{Q}(i)$. But -1 is not a norm of this extension, hence $D\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{Q}(i)$ is non-trivial in the Brauer group.

Since $\mathrm{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}))=\langle\sigma^2,\tau\rangle$, a 2-cocycle c representing $D\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ is the restriction to $\langle\sigma^2,\tau\rangle\subseteq \mathrm{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q})$ of a cocycle representing $\delta(\psi)_\pm$. Again from (3.3) we obtain the following:

$$\begin{array}{llll}
c(1,1)=1, & c(\sigma^2,1)=1, & c(\tau,1)=1, & c(\sigma^2\tau,1)=1,\\
c(1,\sigma^2)=1, & c(\sigma^2,\sigma^2)=-1, & c(\tau,\sigma^2)=-1, & c(\sigma^2\tau,\sigma^2)=1,\\
c(1,\tau)=1, & c(\sigma^2,\tau)=1, & c(\tau,\tau)=1, & c(\sigma^2\tau,\tau)=1,\\
c(1,\sigma^2\tau)=1, & c(\sigma^2,\sigma^2\tau)=-1, & c(\tau,\sigma^2\tau)=-1, & c(\sigma^2\tau,\sigma^2\tau)=1.
\end{array}$$

To see that the cohomology class of this cocycle in $H^2(\mathrm{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})),M^\times)$ is trivial (where now the action is the natural Galois action), we define a map λ by $\lambda(1)=1$, $\lambda(\sigma^2)=i$, $\lambda(\tau)=i$ and $\lambda(\sigma^2\tau)=-i$. Now a computation shows that $c(\rho,\mu)=\lambda(\rho)\cdot{}^{\rho}\lambda(\mu)\cdot\lambda(\rho\mu)^{-1}$ for all $\rho,\mu\in\mathrm{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}))$.

Example. Consider the building block B in the table associated with a newform of conductor 336. For this variety $\overline{\gamma}=(-3,11)_P$ and $\gamma_\pm$ is the quaternion algebra ramified at 2 and 3. Hence $K_P=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ and since $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{K_P}(\gamma_\pm)=1$ we see that K_P is the minimum field of definition of B and of its endomorphisms up to isogeny. We will show that B is not isogenous to any variety defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

As K_P is a quadratic number field and $\gamma_\pm\neq 1$, the only morphisms ψ we know to consider are those with image isomorphic to C_2 or to C_n for some even $n>2$. The only such values of n with $\mathcal{B}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^\times$ containing a subgroup isomorphic to C_n are $n=4$ and $n=6$. Since the component $\overline{\delta(\psi)}$ associated to a ψ with image C_n has the form $(t,2+\zeta_n+\zeta_n^{-1})$, and for $n=4,6$ we know that $2+\zeta_n+\zeta_n^{-1}$ is not congruent to 11 modulo $\{\pm 1\}\mathbb{Q}^{*2}$, it turns out that there does not exist any ψ with image C_4 or C_6 such that $\overline{\gamma}=\overline{\delta(\psi)}$. If ψ has image C_2, the only possibilities are $\overline{\delta(\psi)}=(-3,11)$ or $\overline{\delta(\psi)}=(-3,-11)$. In the first case we would have $\delta(\psi)_\pm=(-3,1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and in the second case $\delta(\psi)_\pm=(-3,-1)$. In both cases $\delta(\psi)_\pm\neq\gamma_\pm$, and thus there does not exist a ψ with image C_2 such that $\gamma=\delta(\psi)$.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Jordi Quer for his guidance and help throughout this work. This research was partially supported by Grants MTM2009-13060-C02-01 and 2009 SGR 1220.

References

[CF] T. Chinburg and E. Friedman, *The finite subgroups of maximal arithmetic Kleinian groups*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 50 (2000), 1765–1798.

[Pi] R. S. Pierce, *Associative Algebras*, Grad. Texts in Math. 88, Stud. Hist. Modern Sci. 9, Springer, New York, 1982.

[Py] E. E. Pyle, *Abelian varieties over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *with large endomorphism algebras and their simple components over* $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, in: Modular Curves and Abelian Varieties, Progr. Math. 224, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004, 189–239.

[Qu] J. Quer, *Fields of definition of building blocks*, Math. Comp. 78 (2009), 537–554; appendix at arXiv:1202.3061.

[Ri1] K. A. Ribet, *Fields of definition of abelian varieties with real multiplication*, in: Arithmetic Geometry (Tempe, AZ, 1993), Contemp. Math. 174, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, 107–118.

[Ri2] —, *Abelian varieties over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *and modular forms*, in: Modular Curves and Abelian Varieties, Progr. Math. 224, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004, 241–261.

[Se] J.-P. Serre, *Local Fields*, Grad. Texts in Math. 67, Springer, New York, 1979.

Xavier Guitart
Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada II
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya
Carrer Colom 11
08222 Terrassa, Spain
E-mail: xevi.guitart@gmail.com

Received on 21.1.2010
and in revised form on 13.9.2011 (6276)