

Explicit lower bounds for $\|(3/2)^k\|$

by

LAURENT HABSIEGER (Bordeaux)

1. Introduction. Let $\{x\}$ (resp. $[x]$, $\|x\|$) denote the fractional part (resp. the integer part, the distance to the nearest integer) of a real number x . It has been proved that the function $g(k)$ occurring in Waring's problem is given by the formula

$$g(k) = 2^k + [(3/2)^k] - 2$$

if the following inequality holds:

$$(1.1) \quad \{(3/2)^k\} \leq 1 - (3/4)^k.$$

Moreover Mahler [7] showed that (1.1) is valid for k large enough. However his proof is ineffective and does not provide a bound from which (1.1) is satisfied. In 1990, Kubina and Wunderlich [6] checked (1.1) for $k \leq 471600000$.

In 1981, Beukers [2] proved that, for $k \geq 5000$,

$$(1.2) \quad \|(3/2)^k\| > 2^{-0.9k} = (0.53588\dots)^k.$$

This result was asymptotically improved by Dubickas [4] who showed that

$$(1.3) \quad \|(3/2)^k\| > (0.5769)^k$$

for k large enough. However he did not compute the range of validity of (1.3). We refine Dubickas's computations to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *For k large enough, we have*

$$(1.4) \quad \|(3/2)^k\| > (0.5770173776\dots)^k.$$

We also improve on Beukers's result (1.2) by showing the following inequality.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11J04, 11P05.

Key words and phrases: Waring's problem, diophantine approximation, Padé approximation.

THEOREM 2. For $k \geq 5$, we have

$$(1.5) \quad \|(3/2)^k\| > 2^{-0.8k} = (0.57434\dots)^k.$$

Our proof proceeds as those of Beukers and Dubickas. We describe diagonal Padé approximants of the function $H(a, b; t)$, the polynomial part of $(1 - t)^{a+b}t^{-b}$. A precise study of the asymptotic and arithmetic behavior of these approximants leads to (1.4) and to (1.5) for $k \geq 64440000$. The range $[5, 64440000]$ is checked by using Delmer and Deshouillers’s technique [3].

All the computations were performed using the system PARI.

2. Padé approximations. Let a, b be fixed nonnegative integers. Beukers [2] introduced the function

$$H(a, b; t) = t^{-b} \left((1 - t)^{a+b} - \sum_{r=0}^{b-1} \binom{a+b}{r} (-t)^r \right)$$

and determined diagonal Padé approximants for this function. More precisely, he showed that, for any nonnegative integer n ,

$$(2.1) \quad P_n(t) - Q_n(t)H(a, b; t) = (-1)^{n+b}t^{2n+1}E_n(t),$$

where P_n is a polynomial of degree at most n with integer coefficients, and where

$$(2.2) \quad Q_n(t) = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{2n+b-r}{n+b} \binom{a-n+r-1}{r} t^r$$

$$(2.3) \quad = \frac{(a+b+n)!}{(a-n-1)!(b+n)!n!} \int_0^1 x^{a-n-1}(1-x)^{n+b}(1-x+tx)^n dx,$$

$$(2.4) \quad E_n(t) = \frac{(a+b+n)!}{(a-n-1)!(b+n)!n!} \int_0^1 x^n(1-x)^{n+b}(1-tx)^{a-n-1} dx.$$

Moreover he proved that these approximants are distinct by establishing the following relation:

$$(2.5) \quad P_n(t)Q_{n+1}(t) - P_{n+1}(t)Q_n(t) = (-1)^{n+b} \binom{a+b+n}{2n+b+1} \binom{2n+b+2}{n+b+1} t^{2n+1}.$$

We now restrict our attention to the case $(a, b) = (2m, m)$, where m is a fixed positive integer. The key point of Beukers’s proof was to exhibit nontrivial divisors of the content of the polynomials P_n and Q_n . Dubickas got his improvement by refining this part of the proof. Let us show an equivalent form of Dubickas’s lemma. Let \mathcal{P} denote the set of all prime numbers.

LEMMA 1. Define

$$E_n(m) = \left\{ l \in \mathcal{P}, l^2 > \max(n + m, 2m - n - 1) : \left\{ \frac{n + m}{l} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{2m - n - 1}{l} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{n}{l} \right\} \geq 2 \right\}.$$

Then, for any element l from $E_n(m)$, we have $\{P_n(t), Q_n(t)\} \subset l\mathbb{Z}[t]$.

Proof. Let l be in \mathcal{P} , with $l^2 > \max(n + m, 2m - n - 1)$. We first consider the content of $Q_n(t)$. Let r be an integer from $\{0, \dots, n\}$. By (2.2), we want to show that l divides $\binom{2n+m-r}{n+m} \binom{2m-n+r-1}{r}$. Put

$$\eta_1 = \left\{ \frac{n + m}{l} \right\}, \quad \eta_2 = \left\{ \frac{2m - n - 1}{l} \right\}, \quad \eta_3 = \left\{ \frac{n}{l} \right\}, \quad \theta = \left\{ \frac{j}{l} \right\},$$

and let ω_l denote the l -adic valuation of $\binom{2n+m-r}{n+m} \binom{2m-n+r-1}{r}$. The size of l gives the following expressions for ω_l :

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_l &= \left[\frac{2n + m - r}{l} \right] - \left[\frac{n + m}{l} \right] - \left[\frac{n - r}{l} \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{2m - n + r - 1}{l} \right] - \left[\frac{2m - n - 1}{l} \right] - \left[\frac{r}{l} \right] \\ &= [\eta_1 + \eta_3 - \theta] - [\eta_1] - [\eta_3 - \theta] + [\eta_2 + \theta] - [\eta_2] - [\theta] \\ &= [\eta_1 + \eta_3 - \theta] - [\eta_3 - \theta] + [\eta_2 + \theta], \end{aligned}$$

which lead to the estimate

$$\omega_l \geq [\eta_1 + \eta_3 - \theta] + [\eta_2 + \theta] \geq [\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3] - 1.$$

When l belongs to $E_n(m)$, we know that $\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3$ is greater than or equal to 2, which implies that ω_l is positive. Therefore l divides the content of Q_n . Since the supports of $P_n(t)$ and $t^{2n+1}E_n(t)$ are disjoint, this also shows that l divides the content of P_n , by (2.1). ■

The form given to this lemma was inspired by Hata’s work on irrationality measures [5]. It makes it easier to compute the asymptotic behavior of the product of the elements of $E_n(m)$, as shown in the next section.

3. Asymptotic behavior. Consider $n = [\alpha(m - 3/2)] + 1 + \eta$ with (m, α, η) belonging to the set $(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}) \times]0, 2[\times \{0, 1\}$. Put $II_m(\alpha) = \prod_{l \in E_n(m)} l$.

Let $\delta \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and M be an integer. By (2.5), we may choose η such that

$$(3.1) \quad P_n\left(-\frac{1}{8}\right) - \frac{M}{2^\delta} Q_n\left(-\frac{1}{8}\right) \neq 0.$$

Indeed, if not, the couple $(1, M/2^\delta)$ would be a solution of a homogeneous system of rank 2, which is impossible. Moreover the polynomial $\Pi_m(\alpha)^{-1} \times (2^\delta P_n - MQ_n)$ has integer coefficients and its degree is at most n . We thus deduce from (3.1) the estimate

$$(3.2) \quad \left| P_n\left(-\frac{1}{8}\right) - \frac{M}{2^\delta} Q_n\left(-\frac{1}{8}\right) \right| \geq 2^{-\delta-3n} \Pi_m(\alpha).$$

Let us now study what happens when m goes to infinity. Define

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(\alpha) &= \max_{x \in [0,1]} x^{2-\alpha}(1-x)^{1+\alpha} \left| 1 - \frac{9}{8}x \right|^\alpha, \\ F_2(\alpha) &= \max_{x \in [0,1]} x^\alpha(1-x)^{1+\alpha} \left| 1 + \frac{x}{8} \right|^{2-\alpha}, \\ A(\alpha) &= (\alpha + 3) \log(\alpha + 3) - (2 - \alpha) \log(2 - \alpha) \\ &\quad - (1 + \alpha) \log(1 + \alpha) - \alpha \log \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 1. *We have the upper bounds*

$$(3.3) \quad \left| Q_n\left(-\frac{1}{8}\right) \right| \leq \frac{(3m+n)!}{(2m-n-1)!(m+n)!n!} \cdot \frac{2F_1(\alpha)^{m-3/2}}{5},$$

$$(3.4) \quad \left| E_n\left(-\frac{1}{8}\right) \right| \leq \frac{(3m+n)!}{(2m-n-1)!(m+n)!n!} \cdot \frac{541F_2(\alpha)^{m-3/2}}{1260},$$

$$(3.5) \quad \log \left(\frac{(3m+n)!}{(2m-n-1)!(m+n)!n!} \right) \leq A(\alpha)m + O(1).$$

Moreover we can get a better estimate for $\alpha = 15/16$:

$$(3.6) \quad \log \left(\frac{(3m+n)!}{(2m-n-1)!(m+n)!n!} \right) \leq A\left(\frac{15}{16}\right)m - \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{m}.$$

Proof. Use (2.3) and the inequalities

$$(3.7) \quad n \geq \alpha(m - 3/2),$$

$$(3.8) \quad n + m \geq (1 + \alpha)(m - 3/2) + 3/2,$$

$$(3.9) \quad 2m - n - 1 \geq (2 - \alpha)(m - 3/2),$$

to get

$$\left| Q_n\left(-\frac{1}{8}\right) \right| \leq \frac{(3m+n)!}{(2m-n-1)!(m+n)!n!} F_1(\alpha)^{m-3/2} \int_0^1 (1-x)^{3/2} dx,$$

which shows (3.3). Similarly, application of (2.4) together with the inequality

$2m - n - 1 \leq (2 - \alpha)(m - 3/2) + 2$ yields

$$\left| E_n \left(-\frac{1}{8} \right) \right| \leq \frac{(3m + n)!}{(2m - n - 1)!(m + n)!n!} \times F_2(\alpha)^{m-3/2} \int_0^1 (1 - x)^{3/2} (1 + x/8)^2 dx,$$

and (3.4) follows.

We shall now need the following Stirling formula (cf. [8, p. 37]):

$$\log \Gamma(s) = (s - 1/2) \log s - s + \log \sqrt{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\{x\} - \{x\}^2}{(x + s)^2} dx.$$

This way we get

$$\log \left(\frac{(3m + n)!}{(2m - n - 1)!(m + n)!n!} \right) = \Delta + 1 - \log(2\pi) + I,$$

where $\Delta = \phi(3m + n + 1) - \phi(2m - n) - \phi(n + m + 1) - \phi(n + 1)$, $\phi(s) = (s - 1/2) \log s$ and

$$I = \int_0^\infty \frac{\{x\} - \{x\}^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(3m + n + 1 + x)^2} - \frac{1}{(2m - n + x)^2} - \frac{1}{(n + m + 1 + x)^2} - \frac{1}{(n + 1 + x)^2} \right) dx \leq 0.$$

We now use the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \left(n + m + \frac{1}{2} \right) \log \left(\frac{3m + n + 1}{m + n + 1} \right) \\ &+ \left(2m - n - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log \left(\frac{3m + n + 1}{2m - n} \right) + \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \log \left(\frac{3m + n + 1}{n + 1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

to complete the proof of (3.5).

Assume that $\alpha \leq 1$. This implies that $-1/2 \leq 1 - (3/2)\alpha \leq n + 1 - \alpha m \leq 3 - (3/2)\alpha$. By applying Taylor's formula to the function ϕ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &\leq \phi((3 + \alpha)m) + (n + 1 - \alpha m)\phi'((3 + \alpha)m) \\ &+ \frac{(n + 1 - \alpha m)^2}{2} \phi''((3 + \alpha)m) \\ &- \phi((2 - \alpha)m) + (n + 1 - \alpha m)\phi'((2 - \alpha)m) - \phi'((2 - \alpha)m) \\ &- \phi((1 + \alpha)m) - (n + 1 - \alpha m)\phi'((1 + \alpha)m) \\ &- \phi(\alpha m) - (n + 1 - \alpha m)\phi'(\alpha m) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= A(\alpha)m + \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\alpha(1+\alpha)}{(2-\alpha)(3+\alpha)} \right) - 1 + \frac{1}{2(2-\alpha)m} \\
 &\quad + (n+1-\alpha m) \log \left(\frac{\alpha(1+\alpha)}{(2-\alpha)(3+\alpha)} \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{n+1-\alpha m}{2m} \left(\frac{1}{3+\alpha} + \frac{1}{2-\alpha} - \frac{1}{1+\alpha} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{(n+1-\alpha m)^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(3+\alpha)m} + \frac{1}{2(3+\alpha)^2 m^2} \right) \\
 &\leq A(\alpha)m - 1 - \frac{3}{2}(1-\alpha) \log \left(\frac{(2-\alpha)(3+\alpha)}{\alpha(1+\alpha)} \right) + \frac{3-(3/2)\alpha}{2m} \\
 &\quad \times \left(\frac{1+2\alpha}{\alpha(1+\alpha)} + \frac{2-(3/2)\alpha}{3+\alpha} + \frac{1/4}{(3+\alpha)^2} - \frac{2-(3/2)\alpha}{(2-\alpha)(3-(3/2)\alpha)} \right) \\
 &\leq A(\alpha)m - 1 - \frac{3}{2}(1-\alpha) \log \left(\frac{(2-\alpha)(3+\alpha)}{\alpha(1+\alpha)} \right) + \frac{505}{512m}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha = 15/16$, we get (3.6). ■

We still have to determine the asymptotic behavior of $\Pi_m(\alpha)$. Put

$$E_\alpha = \{x > 0 : \{(1+\alpha)x\} + \{(2-\alpha)x\} + \{\alpha x\} \geq 2\} \quad \text{and} \quad I(\alpha) = \int_{E_\alpha} \frac{dx}{x^2}.$$

Note that, when $\alpha = u/v$ is a rational, the function $x \mapsto \{(1+\alpha)x\} + \{(2-\alpha)x\} + \{\alpha x\}$ is v -periodic and the set E_α may be written as

$$E_\alpha = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq j_\alpha} ([a_i, b_i[+ v\mathbb{N})$$

with $0 < a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < \dots < b_{j_\alpha} \leq v$. Moreover the functions $x \mapsto \{(1+\alpha)x\}$, $x \mapsto \{(2-\alpha)x\}$ and $x \mapsto \{\alpha x\}$ are constant on any of the intervals $[a_i, b_i[$ (otherwise there will be a jump by 1 and there would exist a point x_0 such that $\{(1+\alpha)x_0\} + \{(2-\alpha)x_0\} + \{\alpha x_0\} < 2$). This in turn implies that the fractional part is a nondecreasing function on any of the intervals $[(1+\alpha)(a_i + vq), (1+\alpha)(b_i + vq)[$, $[(2-\alpha)(a_i + vq), (2-\alpha)(b_i + vq)[$ and $[\alpha(a_i + vq), \alpha(b_i + vq)[$.

PROPOSITION 2. *When m goes to infinity, we have*

$$(3.10) \quad \log \Pi_m(\alpha) \geq I(\alpha)m + O\left(\frac{m}{\log m}\right).$$

Moreover, for $m \geq 10740000$, the following inequality holds:

$$(3.11) \quad \log \Pi_m(15/16) \geq 0.3945m + 9.$$

Proof. There exist absolute constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$-C_1 \leq \max(n - \alpha m, -n - 1 + \alpha m) \leq C_2.$$

Put $C_3 = C_2 \max(1/\alpha, 1/(2 - \alpha))$, $C_4 = C_1 \max(1/\alpha, 1/(2 - \alpha))$. Assume $m \geq C_3 + C_4$ and introduce

$$q_0(m) = \min \left(\frac{a_1 + b_1}{v} \left(\frac{m}{C_3 + C_4} - 1 \right), \frac{m + C_3}{(v + 1)\sqrt{3m}} \right) = O(\sqrt{m}).$$

Let us prove that, for $0 \leq q \leq q_0(m)$ and $1 \leq i \leq j_\alpha$, any prime number from the interval $] \frac{m+C_3}{b_i+vq}, \frac{m-C_4}{a_i+vq}]$ belongs to $E_n(m)$. The definition of $q_0(m)$ implies the inequality $\frac{m+C_3}{b_i+vq} \leq \frac{m-C_4}{a_i+vq}$ and shows that

$$\frac{m + C_3}{b_i + vq} \geq \sqrt{3m} \geq \sqrt{\max(n + m, 2m - n - 1)}.$$

Thus any prime number from the interval $] \frac{m+C_3}{b_i+vq}, \frac{m-C_4}{a_i+vq}]$ satisfies the condition $l^2 > \max(n+m, 2m-n-1)$. Moreover we have the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m+n}{l} &\geq \frac{(1+\alpha)m - C_1}{l} \geq (1+\alpha)(a_i + vq) + \frac{C_4(1+\alpha) - C_1}{l} \\ &\geq (1+\alpha)(a_i + vq), \\ \frac{m+n}{l} &\leq \frac{(1+\alpha)m + C_2}{l} < (1+\alpha)(b_i + vq) - \frac{C_3(1+\alpha) - C_2}{l} \\ &\leq (1+\alpha)(b_i + vq), \\ \frac{2m-n-1}{l} &\geq \frac{(2-\alpha)m - C_1}{l} \geq (2-\alpha)(a_i + vq) + \frac{C_4(2-\alpha) - C_1}{l} \\ &\geq (2-\alpha)(a_i + vq), \\ \frac{2m-n-1}{l} &\leq \frac{(2-\alpha)m + C_2}{l} < (2-\alpha)(b_i + vq) - \frac{C_3(2-\alpha) - C_2}{l} \\ &\leq (2-\alpha)(b_i + vq), \\ \frac{n}{l} &\geq \frac{\alpha m - C_1}{l} \geq \alpha(a_i + vq) + \frac{C_4\alpha - C_1}{l} \geq \alpha(a_i + vq), \\ \frac{n}{l} &\leq \frac{\alpha m + C_2}{l} < \alpha(b_i + vq) + \frac{C_3\alpha - C_2}{l} \leq \alpha(b_i + vq), \end{aligned}$$

which lead to

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \frac{m+n}{l} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{2m-n-1}{l} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{n}{l} \right\} \\ &\geq \{(1+\alpha)(a_i + vq)\} + \{(2-\alpha)(a_i + vq)\} + \{\alpha(a_i + vq)\} \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get the inclusion

$$E_n(m) \supseteq \bigcup_{0 \leq q \leq q_0(m)} \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq j_\alpha} \left(\left] \frac{m + C_3}{b_i + vq}, \frac{m - C_4}{a_i + vq} \right] \cap \mathcal{P} \right).$$

This implies the estimate

$$(3.12) \quad \log \Pi_m(\alpha) \geq \sum_{0 \leq q \leq q_0(m)} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j_\alpha} \left(\theta \left(\frac{m - C_4}{a_i + vq} \right) - \theta \left(\frac{m + C_3}{b_i + vq} \right) \right),$$

where $\theta(x) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}, p \leq x} \log p$. We now use Schoenfeld's estimate for the function $\theta(x)$ [9, Theorem 8*]: $|\theta(x) - x| \leq 8.072x/\log^2 x$ for $x > 1$, to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta \left(\frac{m - C_4}{a_i + vq} \right) - \theta \left(\frac{m + C_3}{b_i + vq} \right) \\ & \geq \frac{m - C_4}{a_i + vq} - \frac{m + C_3}{b_i + vq} - \frac{m - C_4}{a_i + vq} \frac{8.072}{(\log \sqrt{3m})^2} - \frac{m + C_3}{b_i + vq} \frac{8.072}{(\log \sqrt{3m})^2}, \end{aligned}$$

for $q \leq q_0(m)$. We deduce from (3.12) the lower bound

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \log \Pi_m(\alpha) & \geq m(1 + O(1/m)) \int_{E_\alpha \cap [0, (1+v)q_0(m)]} \frac{dx}{x^2} + O \left(\frac{m}{\log^2 m} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq q_0(m)} \frac{1}{q} \right) \\ & = I(\alpha)m + O(\sqrt{m}) + O(m/\log m) \end{aligned}$$

and the first part of the proposition is proved.

For $\alpha = 15/16$, we have $C_3 = 19/30$ and $C_4 = 17/10$. The a_i 's and b_i 's are given below:

i	(a_i, b_i)	i	(a_i, b_i)	i	(a_i, b_i)
1	(32/63, 16/31)	2	(16/21, 16/17)	3	(64/63, 32/31)
4	(32/21, 48/31)	5	(16/9, 32/17)	6	(128/63, 64/31)
7	(160/63, 80/31)	8	(176/63, 48/17)	9	(64/21, 96/31)
10	(32/9, 112/31)	11	(256/63, 128/31)	12	(32/7, 144/31)
13	(320/63, 160/31)	14	(352/63, 96/17)	15	(128/21, 192/31)
16	(400/63, 32/5)	17	(64/9, 224/31)	18	(464/63, 112/15)
19	(512/63, 256/31)	20	(176/21, 144/17)	21	(64/7, 288/31)
22	(592/63, 160/17)	23	(640/63, 320/31)	24	(704/63, 192/17)
25	(736/63, 176/15)	26	(256/21, 208/17)	27	(800/63, 64/5)
28	(96/7, 208/15)	29	(928/63, 224/15)	30	(992/63, 16)

To prove the second part of the proposition, we shall need the bound

$$(3.14) \quad \log \Pi_m(\alpha) \geq \sum_{0 \leq q \leq 10} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 30} \left(\theta \left(\frac{m - 17/10}{a_i + 16q} \right) - \theta \left(\frac{m + 19/30}{b_i + 16q} \right) \right).$$

For $m > 5 \cdot 10^{10}$, we use the following estimates from [9]:

$$-0.0077629 \frac{x}{\log x} < \theta(x) - x < 0.000081x \quad \text{for } x \geq 1.04 \cdot 10^7.$$

We find $\log \Pi_m(\alpha) \geq 0.40127m - 32 > 0.3945m + 9$.

For $5 \cdot 10^{10} \geq m > 5 \cdot 10^7$, we use the additional estimates from [9]: $0.998697x < \Theta(x) < x$ for $1155901 \leq x < 10^{11}$. We find $\log \Pi_m(\alpha) \geq 0.39572m - 27 > 0.3945m + 9$.

For $5 \cdot 10^7 \geq m > 1.074 \cdot 10^7$, we use other estimates from [1]:

$$\frac{\Theta(x) - x}{\sqrt{x}} \begin{cases} < -0.344 & \text{if } 0 < x < 10^8, \\ > -1.833 & \text{if } 19801 < x < 10^8, \end{cases}$$

together with Theorem 6* and Corollary 2 of [9], which give pairs (c, d) such that $\Theta(x) > x - x/(c \log x)$ for $x \geq d$. We find $\log \Pi_m(\alpha) \geq 0.39454m - 26 > 0.3945m + 9$. ■

4. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2. We shall use the notations from the previous section.

PROPOSITION 3. For any positive number ε and for any integer $k > k_0(\varepsilon)$ ($k_0(\varepsilon)$ effective), we have

$$(4.1) \quad \|(3/2)^k\| \geq e^{(C_1(\alpha) - \varepsilon)k} - e^{(C_2(\alpha) - \varepsilon)k},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(\alpha) &= (-3\alpha \log 2 + I(\alpha) - A(\alpha) - \log F_1(\alpha))/6, \\ C_2(\alpha) &= (-6\alpha \log 2 + \log F_2(\alpha) - \log F_1(\alpha))/6. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Take $k = 6m - \delta$ with $\delta \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and choose the integer M_0 for which the distance from $(3/2)^k$ to \mathbb{Z} is attained. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(3/2)^k\| &= (3/2)^{-\delta}((3/2)^{6m} - (3/2)^\delta M_0) \\ &= (2/3)^\delta (-1)^m (H(2m, m; -1/8) - M2^{-\delta}) \end{aligned}$$

for some integer M , by the definition of $H(a, b; t)$. By (2.1) we know that

$$\begin{aligned} &H(2m, m; -1/8) - M2^{-\delta} \\ &= \frac{P_n(-1/8) - M2^{-\delta}Q_n(-1/8)}{Q_n(-1/8)} + (-1)^{m+n}2^{-3(2n+1)} \frac{E_n(-1/8)}{Q_n(-1/8)}. \end{aligned}$$

We use (3.2) to get the inequality

$$(4.2) \quad \|(3/2)^k\| \geq \frac{2^{-3n}\Pi_m(\alpha) - 2^{\delta-3(2n+1)}|E_n(-1/8)|}{3^\delta|Q_n(-1/8)|}.$$

The estimates (3.3)–(3.5) and (3.7) then complete the proof of (4.1). ■

In order to get the best lower bound for $\|(3/2)^k\|$, we have to find for which value of α the first exponent in (4.1) is maximal, under the condition $C_1(\alpha) > C_2(\alpha)$. It appears that the difference between C_1 and C_2 is negative for low values of values of α ; moreover, once this difference becomes positive, the value of $C_1(\alpha)$ decreases. Therefore we are looking for good upper bounds for the solution α_0 of $C_1(\alpha) = C_2(\alpha)$. The computations show that α_0 is smaller than 1, and more precisely that α_0 belongs to the range $[0.9, 0.95]$.

Computing $(C_1 - C_2)(1 - 1/p)$ for $p = 10, \dots, 20$ gives the better estimate $\alpha_0 \in [13/14, 14/15]$. We can get more precise estimates for α_0 by determining the continued fraction expansion of α_0 . We find this way

$$\frac{198478}{212871} = [0, 1, 13, 1, 3, 1, 3, 6, 3, 1, 2, 1, 7]$$

$$< \alpha_0 < [0, 1, 13, 1, 3, 1, 3, 6, 3, 1, 2, 1, 8] = \frac{224141}{240395}.$$

Since PARI gives

$$(C_1 - C_2)(224141/240395) = 1.0057378 \cdot 10^{-11}$$

and $e^{C_1(224141/240395)} = 0.57701737767006\dots$, the proof of Theorem 1 is complete. Note that Dubickas's result was obtained by choosing $\alpha = 1/1.0723 = 0.93257483\dots$, which was pretty close to our better choice $\alpha = 224141/240395 = 0.93238628\dots$. To prove Theorem 2, we shall give an explicit version of Proposition 3 for $\alpha = 15/16$.

PARI gives the numerical values

$$F_1(15/16) = 0.0964204654\dots,$$

$$(F_2/F_1)(15/16) = 1.7628240038\dots,$$

$$A(15/16) = 4.1111565348\dots$$

From (3.3), (3.4) and (3.6) we deduce

$$\left| Q_n \left(-\frac{1}{8} \right) \right|^{-1} \geq \exp(-1.7721197321m + 0.6711),$$

$$\left| E_n \left(-\frac{1}{8} \right) \right| \leq \exp(2.3390368029m - 0.1084).$$

Since $(15/16)m - 45/32 \leq n \leq (15/16)m + 19/32$, from (4.2) and (3.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(3/2)^k\| &\geq \exp(-3.327097m + 8.43 - 1.1\delta) \\ &\quad - \exp(-3.332035m + 4.34 - 0.4\delta) \\ &\geq 2^{-0.8k}(\exp(0.17) - \exp(-0.005m)) \geq 2^{-0.8k} \end{aligned}$$

for $m \geq 10740000$. Therefore (1.5) is proved for $k \geq 64440000$. For $k < 64440000$, we shall use the following lemma, inspired by Delmer and Deshouillers [3].

LEMMA 2. *For a positive integer n , let $l(n)$ denote the maximal number of identical consecutive digits in the binary expansion of n . Then, if $l(3^p) \leq 0.8p - 2$, we have*

$$\|(3/2)^k\| \geq 2^{-0.8k} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{\frac{\log 3}{\log 2} p + l(3^p) + 2}{\frac{\log 3}{\log 2} + 0.8} \leq k \leq p.$$

Proof. Follow exactly the proof of [3, Proposition 1]. ■

Define now the finite sequence (k_0, \dots, k_r) by the initial value $k_0 = 64440000$ and the recursion relation

$$k_{i+1} = \left\lceil \frac{\frac{\log 3}{\log 2} k_i + l(3^{k_i}) + 2}{\frac{\log 3}{\log 2} + 0.8} \right\rceil \quad \text{if } l(3^{k_i}) < 0.8k_i - 2.$$

This sequence is decreasing and terminates when the condition $l(3^{k_i}) < 0.8k_i - 2$ is not satisfied. PARI gives $r = 41$ and $k_r = 11$. Since formula (1.5) is true for $k = 5, \dots, 11$ and $k \in \{k_r + 1, \dots, k_0\}$ by Lemma 2, the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

References

- [1] K. I. Appel and J. B. Rosser, *Tables for estimating functions of primes*, Comm. Res. Div. Tech. Rep. 4 (1961).
- [2] F. Beukers, *Fractional parts of powers of rationals*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 90 (1981), 13–20.
- [3] F. Delmer and J.-M. Deshouillers, *The computation of $g(k)$ in Waring's problem*, Math. Comp. 54 (1990), 885–893.
- [4] A. K. Dubickas, *A lower bound for the quantity $\|(3/2)^k\|$* , Russian Math. Surveys 45 (1990), 163–164.
- [5] M. Hata, *Legendre type polynomials and irrationality measures*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 407 (1990), 99–125.
- [6] J. Kubina and M. Wunderlich, *Extending Waring's conjecture up to 471600000*, Math. Comp. 55 (1990), 815–820.
- [7] K. Mahler, *On the fractional parts of powers of real numbers*, Mathematika 4 (1957), 122–124.
- [8] H. Rademacher, *Topics in Analytic Number Theory*, Springer, 1973.
- [9] L. Schoenfeld, *Sharper bounds for the Chebyshev functions $\theta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ II*, Math. Comp. 30 (1976), 337–360.

A2X
 CNRS UMR 5465
 Université Bordeaux 1
 351 cours de la Libération
 F-33405 Talence Cedex, France
 E-mail: Laurent.Habsieger@math.u-bordeaux.fr

Received on 18.1.2002
 and in revised form on 15.4.2002

(4189)