

## On the Siegel–Tatuzawa–Hoffstein theorem

by

YONG-GAO CHEN (Nanjing)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\chi$  be a real primitive Dirichlet character of conductor  $k$  ( $> 1$ ). The well-known Siegel theorem (Siegel [8]) says that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive number  $c(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$L(1, \chi) > \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{k^\varepsilon},$$

where  $c(\varepsilon)$  is an ineffective constant depending upon  $\varepsilon$ . Estermann [2], Chowla [1], Goldfeld [3] and Goldfeld and Schinzel [4] have given several proofs of Siegel's theorem.

Tatuzawa [9] proved that if  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/11.2$  and  $k > e^{1/\varepsilon}$ , then, with at most one exception,

$$L(1, \chi) > \frac{0.655\varepsilon}{k^\varepsilon}.$$

Hoffstein [5] proved that if  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/(6 \log 10)$  and  $k > e^{1/\varepsilon}$ , then, with at most one exception,

$$L(1, \chi) > \min \left\{ \frac{1}{7.735 \log k}, \frac{\varepsilon}{0.349k^\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

Ji and Lu [6] proved that if  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/(6 \log 10)$  and  $k > e^{1/\varepsilon}$ , then, with at most one exception,

$$L(1, \chi) > \min \left\{ \frac{1}{7.7388 \log k}, \frac{32.260\varepsilon}{k^\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

In this paper the following theorem is proved.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/(6 \log 10)$  and  $\chi$  be a real primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $k$  with  $k > e^{1/\varepsilon}$ . Then, with at most one exception,*

$$L(1, \chi) > \min \left\{ \frac{1}{7.732 \log k}, \frac{1.5 \cdot 10^6 \varepsilon}{k^\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11M20; Secondary 11Y35.

*Key words and phrases*:  $L$ -function, Siegel theorem, biquadratic field, Siegel zeros.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No 10471064.

In this paper we follow the proof of Ji and Lu [6], with the following improvement: First,  $\beta$  and  $\beta_1$  do not play the same roles in Ji and Lu [6], but they do in this paper (see (5) in the next section). Secondly, we use the following fact: the function  $xd_F^{-A_2x}$  increases for  $0 < x \leq 1/(A_2 \log d_F)$  and decreases for  $x \geq 1/(A_2 \log d_F)$ . Thus for  $a \leq x \leq b$  we have  $xd_F^{-A_2x} \geq \min\{ad_F^{-A_2a}, bd_F^{-A_2b}\}$ . This improves  $xd_F^{-A_2x} \geq ad_F^{-A_2b}$  for  $a \leq x \leq b$ . Thirdly, we give a result with five parameters. Then by carefully choosing these parameters we find a numerical result.

In fact we prove a general Siegel–Tatuzawa–Hoffstein type theorem in the next section (Theorem 2). By taking explicit values of the five parameters we can obtain many results similar to Theorem 1.

### 2. Proofs

LEMMA 1 (Hoffstein [5, Lemma 2]). *Let  $c = 6 - 4\sqrt{2}$ . Let  $K (\neq \mathbb{Q})$  be an algebraic number field and let  $d_K$  denote the absolute value of the discriminant of  $K$ . Then  $\zeta_K(s)$  has at most one real simple zero  $\beta$  with*

$$1 - \beta < \frac{c}{\log d_K}.$$

LEMMA 2 (Ji and Lu [6, Lemma 2]). *Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field of degree  $n > 1$  and assume that for each  $m \geq 1$  there exists at least one integral ideal of  $K$  of norm  $m^2$  (e.g.  $K$  is a quadratic or a biquadratic bicyclic number field). Assume also that  $1/2 < \beta < 1$  and  $\zeta_K(\beta) \leq 0$ . Then the residue at  $s = 1$  of the Dedekind zeta function  $\zeta_K(s)$  of  $K$  satisfies*

$$\kappa_K \geq (1 - \beta) \left( x^{\beta-1} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{n+2}{[\sqrt{x}]} \right) - 2 \frac{d_K}{x^{3/2}} \frac{\zeta^n(3/2)(n+1)!}{(4n-3)\pi^n} \right) \quad (x \geq 1).$$

LEMMA 3 (Ramaré [7]). *If  $\chi$  is a primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $k$ , then*

$$|L(1, \chi)| \leq (\log k + 1.4165)/2.$$

Lemma 3 follows from [7, Corollary 1] and  $5 - 2 \log 6 < 1.4165$ .

Let  $c = 6 - 4\sqrt{2}$ . Let  $A_1, A_2, r, u, v$  be parameters with

$$A_1 > \frac{2}{3}, \quad 9A_2 \log 10 - 6 \log 10 - rA_2 > 0,$$

$$0 < r < \frac{1}{2A_2}, \quad u \geq \frac{2.206rA_2 - 0.103}{1 - 2rA_2}, \quad u \geq 1, \quad v \geq 1.$$

Let

$$D_1 = \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{4}{[10^{3A_1}]} - \frac{12\zeta^2(3/2)}{5\pi^2} \frac{e^{rA_1}}{10^{9A_1-6}},$$

$$D_2 = \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{6}{[10^{6A_2}]} - \frac{240\zeta^4(3/2)}{13\pi^4} \frac{e^{2rA_2}}{10^{18A_2-12}},$$

$$B_1 = \frac{2D_2}{D_1} \min \left\{ \frac{D_1^2}{2D_2} r e^{-rA_1}, 0.475 e^{rA_1 - 4rA_2}, 0.1188 \frac{c}{r} e^{rA_1 - cA_2}, \frac{u}{u + 1.103} e^{r(A_1 - 2A_2 - 2A_2u)}, \frac{cv}{2r(v + 1)(v + 1.103)} e^{rA_1 - cA_2} \right\},$$

$$B_2 = \frac{2D_2}{D_1} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{u + 1.103} e^{r(A_1 - 2A_2) + (1 - 2rA_2)u}, \frac{c}{2r(v + 1)(v + 1.103)} e^{rA_1 - cA_2 + v} \right\}.$$

To prove Theorem 1, we first prove the following general Siegel–Tatuzawa–Hoffstein type theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/(6 \log 10)$  and  $B_1, B_2$  be two constants depending only on the parameters  $A_1, A_2, r, u, v$ . Let  $\chi$  be a real primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $k$  with  $k > e^{1/\varepsilon}$ . Then, with at most one exception,*

$$L(1, \chi) > \min \left\{ \frac{B_1}{\log k}, \frac{\varepsilon B_2}{k^\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2 by taking  $A_1 = 1, A_2 = 0.78, r = 0.086, u = 19.23$  and  $v = 22$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $\chi_1$  be a real primitive Dirichlet character of least conductor  $k_1 > e^{1/\varepsilon}$  such that

$$(1) \quad L(1, \chi_1) \leq \frac{B_1}{\log k_1} \leq D_1 r e^{-rA_1} \frac{1}{\log k_1}$$

if it exists. Let  $\chi_1$  be the Kronecker symbol of the quadratic number field  $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1})$ , where  $d_1$  is the fundamental discriminant with  $|d_1| = k_1$ . If  $L(s, \chi_1) \neq 0$  for  $1 - r/\log k_1 < s < 1$ , let  $s_1 = 1 - r/\log k_1$ ; then  $\zeta_{K_1}(s_1) \leq 0$ . Take  $x = k_1^{A_1}$  and  $n = 2$ . By Lemma 2 and the assumptions  $A_1 > 2/3$  and  $k_1 > e^{1/\varepsilon} > 10^6$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(1, \chi_1) &\geq (1 - s_1)x^{s_1 - 1} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{4}{[\sqrt{x}]} - \frac{12\zeta^2(3/2)}{5\pi^2} \frac{k_1}{x^{3/2}} x^{1 - s_1} \right) \\ &= \frac{r}{\log k_1} e^{-rA_1} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{4}{[k_1^{A_1/2}]} - \frac{12\zeta^2(3/2)}{5\pi^2} e^{rA_1} k_1^{1 - 3A_1/2} \right) \\ &> D_1 r e^{-rA_1} \frac{1}{\log k_1}, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting (1). So  $L(s, \chi_1)$  has a real zero  $\beta_1$  with

$$(2) \quad 1 - \beta_1 < \frac{r}{\log k_1}.$$

Let  $\chi$  be another real primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $k > e^{1/\varepsilon}$  and  $\chi \neq \chi_1$ . By the choice of  $k_1$  we have  $k \geq k_1$ . Let  $\chi$  be the Kronecker symbol

of the quadratic number field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ , where  $d$  is the fundamental discriminant with  $|d| = k$ . Let  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}, \sqrt{d_1})$ . Then, by the theory of biquadratic number fields, there exists another quadratic number field  $K_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_2})$  such that

$$\zeta_F(s) = \zeta(s)L(s, \chi)L(s, \chi_1)L(s, \chi_2),$$

where  $d_2$  is the fundamental discriminant with  $d_2 | dd_1$  and  $\chi_2$  is the Kronecker symbol of the quadratic number field  $K_2$ .

Similarly to the above arguments, if  $L(s, \chi) \neq 0$  for  $1 - r/\log k < s < 1$ , then

$$L(1, \chi) > D_1 r e^{-rA_1} \frac{1}{\log k} \geq \frac{B_1}{\log k}.$$

Now we assume that  $L(s, \chi)$  has a real zero  $\beta$  with

$$(3) \quad 1 - \beta < \frac{r}{\log k} \leq \frac{r}{\log k_1}.$$

By Lemma 1 and the equalities  $\zeta_F(\beta_1) = \zeta_F(\beta) = 0$  we have

$$(4) \quad \max\{1 - \beta_1, 1 - \beta\} \geq \frac{c}{\log d_F}.$$

Let  $x = d_F^{A_2}$  and  $n = 4$ . Noting that  $9A_2 \log 10 - 6 \log 10 - rA_2 > 0$ ,  $d_F \geq kk_1 \geq k_1^2$  and  $k_1 > 10^6$ , by Lemma 2, (2) and the equality  $\zeta_F(\beta_1) = 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_F &\geq (1 - \beta_1)d_F^{(\beta_1-1)A_2} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{6}{[d_F^{A_2/2}]} - \frac{240\zeta^4(3/2)}{13\pi^4} d_F^{1-3A_2/2+(1-\beta_1)A_2} \right) \\ &\geq (1 - \beta_1)d_F^{(\beta_1-1)A_2} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{6}{[d_F^{A_2/2}]} - \frac{240\zeta^4(3/2)}{13\pi^4} d_F^{1-3A_2/2+rA_2/\log k_1} \right) \\ &\geq (1 - \beta_1)d_F^{(\beta_1-1)A_2} D_2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by Lemma 2, (3) and the equality  $\zeta_F(\beta) = 0$  we have

$$\kappa_F \geq (1 - \beta)d_F^{(\beta-1)A_2} D_2.$$

Since  $\kappa_F = L(1, \chi)L(1, \chi_1)L(1, \chi_2)$ , we obtain

$$(5) \quad L(1, \chi)L(1, \chi_1)L(1, \chi_2) \geq D_2 \max\{(1 - \beta)d_F^{(\beta-1)A_2}, (1 - \beta_1)d_F^{(\beta_1-1)A_2}\}.$$

Since  $d_F \leq (kk_1)^2$ , and the function  $xd_F^{-A_2x}$  increases for  $x \leq 1/(A_2 \log d_F)$  and decreases for  $x \geq 1/(A_2 \log d_F)$ , by (2)–(5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} L(1, \chi)L(1, \chi_1)L(1, \chi_2) &\geq D_2 \min \left\{ \frac{r}{\log k_1} d_F^{-rA_2/\log k_1}, \frac{c}{\log d_F} d_F^{-cA_2/\log d_F} \right\} \\ &\geq D_2 \min \left\{ \frac{r}{\log k_1} (kk_1)^{-2rA_2/\log k_1}, \frac{c}{2 \log(kk_1)} e^{-cA_2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3 yields

$$(6) \quad L(1, \chi_2) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\log |d_2| + 1.4165) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\log(kk_1) + 1.4165).$$

By (1) and (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &L(1, \chi) \\ &\geq D_2 L(1, \chi_1)^{-1} L(1, \chi_2)^{-1} \min \left\{ \frac{r}{\log k_1} (kk_1)^{-2rA_2/\log k_1}, \frac{c}{2 \log(kk_1)} e^{-cA_2} \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{2D_2}{rD_1} e^{rA_1} \frac{\log k_1}{\log(kk_1) + 1.4165} \min \left\{ \frac{r(kk_1)^{-2rA_2/\log k_1}}{\log k_1}, \frac{ce^{-cA_2}}{2 \log(kk_1)} \right\} \\ &= \frac{2D_2}{D_1} \min \left\{ \frac{e^{rA_1 - 2rA_2 - 2rA_2 \log k/\log k_1}}{\log(kk_1) + 1.4165}, \frac{ce^{rA_1 - cA_2} \log k_1}{2r(\log(kk_1) + 1.4165) \log(kk_1)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(7) \quad x = \frac{\log k}{\log k_1}.$$

If  $1 \leq x \leq u$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} &(\log k + \log k_1 + 1.4165)^{-1} e^{-2rA_2 \log k/\log k_1} \\ &= (\log k)^{-1} x(x + 1 + 1.4165/\log k_1)^{-1} e^{-2rA_2 x} \\ &\geq (\log k)^{-1} x(x + 1 + 1.4165/(6 \log 10))^{-1} e^{-2rA_2 x} \\ &\geq (\log k)^{-1} x(x + 1.103)^{-1} e^{-2rA_2 x} \\ &\geq (\log k)^{-1} \min\{2.103^{-1} e^{-2rA_2}, u(u + 1.103)^{-1} e^{-2rA_2 u}\} \\ &\geq (\log k)^{-1} \min\{0.475 e^{-2rA_2}, u(u + 1.103)^{-1} e^{-2rA_2 u}\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $x > u$ , then the inequalities  $0 < r < (2A_2)^{-1}$ ,  $\log k \geq \log k_1 > 1/\varepsilon$  and

$$u \geq \frac{2.206rA_2 - 0.103}{1 - 2rA_2}$$

imply

$$\begin{aligned} &(\log k + \log k_1 + 1.4165)^{-1} e^{-2rA_2 \log k/\log k_1} \\ &= (\log k_1)^{-1} e^{-\log k/\log k_1} (x + 1 + 1.4165/\log k_1)^{-1} e^{(1-2rA_2)x} \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{k^\varepsilon} (x + 1 + 1.4165/(6 \log 10))^{-1} e^{(1-2rA_2)x} \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{k^\varepsilon} (x + 1.103)^{-1} e^{(1-2rA_2)x} \\ &> \frac{\varepsilon}{k^\varepsilon} (u + 1.103)^{-1} e^{(1-2rA_2)u}. \end{aligned}$$

In the first inequality, we use the fact that  $\log k \geq \log k_1 > 1/\varepsilon$  and that the function  $te^{(\log k)/t}$  decreases for  $0 < t \leq \log k$ . Hence

$$\frac{2D_2}{D_1} \frac{e^{rA_1-2rA_2-2rA_2 \log k/\log k_1}}{\log(kk_1) + 1.4165} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{B_1}{\log k}, \frac{\varepsilon B_2}{k^\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

If  $1 \leq x \leq v$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} &(\log k_1)(\log k + \log k_1 + 1.4165)^{-1}(\log k + \log k_1)^{-1} \\ &= (\log k)^{-1}(x + 1 + 1.4165/\log k_1)^{-1}(x + 1)^{-1}x \\ &\geq (\log k)^{-1}(x + 1 + 1.4165/(6 \log 10))^{-1}(x + 1)^{-1}x \\ &\geq (\log k)^{-1} \min\{0.2377, (v + 1.103)^{-1}(v + 1)^{-1}v\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $x > v$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} &(\log k_1)(\log k + \log k_1 + 1.4165)^{-1}(\log k + \log k_1)^{-1} \\ &= (\log k_1)^{-1}e^{-\log k/\log k_1}e^x(x + 1 + 1.4165/\log k_1)^{-1}(x + 1)^{-1} \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{k^\varepsilon}e^x(x + 1.103)^{-1}(x + 1)^{-1} \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{k^\varepsilon}e^v(v + 1.103)^{-1}(v + 1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact that  $\log k \geq \log k_1 > 1/\varepsilon$  and that the function  $te^{(\log k)/t}$  decreases for  $0 < t \leq \log k$ . Hence

$$\frac{2D_2}{D_1} \frac{ce^{rA_1-cA_2} \log k_1}{2r(\log(kk_1) + 1.4165) \log(kk_1)} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{B_1}{\log k}, \frac{\varepsilon B_2}{k^\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

Therefore

$$L(1, \chi) > \min \left\{ \frac{B_1}{\log k}, \frac{\varepsilon B_2}{k^\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

**Acknowledgments.** I would like to thank the referee for his/her suggestions.

### References

- [1] S. Chowla, *A new proof of a theorem of Siegel*, Ann. of Math. 51 (1950), 120–122.
- [2] T. Estermann, *On Dirichlet’s L-functions*, J. London Math. Soc. 23 (1948), 275–279.
- [3] D. M. Goldfeld, *A simple proof of Siegel’s theorem*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 71 (1974), 1055.
- [4] D. M. Goldfeld and A. Schinzel, *On Siegel’s zero*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 4 (1975), 571–583.
- [5] J. Hoffstein, *On the Siegel–Tatuzawa theorem*, Acta Arith. 38 (1980), 167–174.
- [6] C. G. Ji and H. W. Lu, *Lower bound of real primitive L-function at  $s = 1$* , *ibid.* 111 (2004), 405–409.

- [7] O. Ramaré, *Approximate formulae for  $L(1, \chi)$* , *ibid.* 100 (2001), 245–266.
- [8] C. L. Siegel, *Über die Classenzahl quadratischer Zahlkörper*, *ibid.* 1 (1935), 83–86.
- [9] T. Tatuzawa, *On Siegel’s theorem*, *Japan. J. Math.* 21 (1951), 163–178.

Department of Mathematics  
Nanjing Normal University  
Nanjing 210097, P.R. China  
E-mail: ygchen@njnu.edu.cn

*Received on 16.4.2007*  
*and in revised form on 21.8.2007*

(5432)