

The multiplicity of the zero at 1 of polynomials with constrained coefficients

by

PETER BORWEIN (Burnaby), TAMÁS ERDÉLYI (College Station, TX)
and GÉZA KÓS (Budapest)

1. Introduction. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $L > 0$, and $p \geq 1$ we define the following numbers. Let $\kappa_p(n, L)$ be the largest possible value of k for which there is a polynomial $P \neq 0$ of the form

$$P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j, \quad |a_0| \geq L \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C},$$

such that $(x-1)^k$ divides $P(x)$. Let $\kappa_\infty(n, L)$ be the largest possible value of k for which there is a polynomial $P \neq 0$ of the form

$$P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j, \quad |a_0| \geq L \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |a_j|, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C},$$

such that $(x-1)^k$ divides $P(x)$. In [BEK] we proved that there is an absolute constant $c_3 > 0$ such that

$$\min \left\{ \frac{1}{6} \sqrt{n(1 - \log L)} - 1, n \right\} \leq \kappa_\infty(n, L) \leq \min \left\{ c_3 \sqrt{n(1 - \log L)}, n \right\}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L \in (0, 1]$. However, we were far from being able to establish the right result in the case of $L \geq 1$. It is our goal in the present paper to prove the right order of magnitude of $\kappa_\infty(n, L)$ and $\kappa_2(n, L)$ in the case of $L \geq 1$. Our results in [BEK] have turned out to be related to a number of recent publications from a rather wide range of research areas (see [BBBP, BEZ, B, CK, CD, CH, D1, D2, DS, E, FK, G, K, KLS, M, OP, P, Pr, S], for example).

2. New results. We extend some of our main results in [BEK] to the case $L \geq 1$. Our main result is the following.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11C08, 41A17, 26C10, 30C15.

Key words and phrases: polynomials with constrained coefficients, heights of polynomials, zeros of polynomials, bounds for the multiplicity, density of square free numbers.

THEOREM 2.1. *There are absolute constants $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that*

$$c_1\sqrt{n/L} - 1 \leq \kappa_\infty(n, L) \leq c_2\sqrt{n/L}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L \geq 1/2$.

To prove the above theorem, its lower bound, in particular, requires some subtle new ideas. An interesting connection to number theory is explored. Namely, the fact that the density of square free integers is positive (in fact, it is $\pi^2/6$) appears in our proof in an elegant fashion.

While, as we said, we consider Theorem 2.1 to be our main result in this paper, we also prove the following.

THEOREM 2.2. *There are absolute constants $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that*

$$c_1\sqrt{n}/L - 1 \leq \kappa_2(n, L) \leq c_2\sqrt{n}/L$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L > 2^{-1/2}$, and

$$\min\{c_1\sqrt{n(-\log L)} - 1, n\} \leq \kappa_2(n, L) \leq \min\{c_2\sqrt{n(-\log L)}, n\}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L \in (0, 2^{-1/2}]$.

We think that the right result on the size of $\kappa_2(n, L)$ offered by Theorem 2.2 is also of some interest.

3. Lemmas. In this section we list our lemmas needed in the proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. These lemmas are proved in Section 4.

LEMMA 3.1. *For any $L \geq 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are polynomials P_n of the form*

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_{j,n}x^j, \quad a_{j,n} \in \mathbb{R}, a_{0,n} = \frac{6L}{\pi^2} + o(L), |a_{j,n}| \leq 2, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

such that P_n has at least $\lfloor \sqrt{n/L} \rfloor$ zeros at 1.

LEMMA 3.2. *For any $L > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are polynomials P_n of the form*

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_{j,n}x^j, \quad a_{j,n} \in \mathbb{R}, a_{0,n} = 1, \sum_{j=1}^n a_{j,n}^2 \leq L^{-2},$$

such that

- (a) P_n has at least $\lfloor \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{n/L} \rfloor$ zeros at 1 if $2^{-1/2} \leq L$.
- (b) P_n has at least $\lfloor \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{n(-\log L)} \rfloor$ zeros at 1 if $4^{-n} \leq L \leq 2^{-1/2}$.
- (c) P_n has at least n zeros at 1 if $0 < L \leq 4^{-n}$.

To prove Lemma 3.2 our tool is the next lemma due to Halász [T]. Let \mathcal{P}_m denote the collection of all polynomials of degree at most m with real

coefficients. Let \mathcal{P}_m^c denote the collection of all polynomials of degree at most m with complex coefficients.

LEMMA 3.3. *For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a polynomial $Q_m \in \mathcal{P}_m$ such that*

$$Q_m(0) = 1, \quad Q_m(1) = 0, \quad |Q_m(z)| < e^{2/m}, \quad |z| \leq 1.$$

The observation below is well known, easy to prove, and recorded in several papers (see [BEK], for example).

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $P \neq 0$ be a polynomial of the form $P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j$. Then $(x - 1)^k$ divides P if and only if $\sum_{j=0}^n a_j Q(j) = 0$ for all polynomials $Q \in \mathcal{P}_{k-1}^c$.*

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < q \leq \infty$, and $L > 0$ we define the following numbers. Let $\mu_q(n, L)$ be the smallest value of k for which there is a polynomial of degree k with complex coefficients such that

$$|Q(0)| > \frac{1}{L} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |Q(j)|^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

Let $\mu_\infty(n, L)$ be the smallest value of k for which there is a polynomial of degree k with complex coefficients such that

$$|Q(0)| > \frac{1}{L} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |Q(j)|.$$

Our next lemma is a simple consequence of Hölder’s inequality.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L > 0$, we have*

$$\kappa_p(n, L) \leq \mu_q(n, L).$$

The next result is Lemma 3.4 in [KLS].

LEMMA 3.6. *For arbitrary real numbers $A, M > 0$, there exists a polynomial g such that $f = g^2$ is a polynomial of degree*

$$m < \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{A} \sqrt[4]{M} + 2$$

with real coefficients such that $f(0) = M$ and

$$|f(x)| \leq \min \left\{ M, \frac{1}{x^2} \right\}, \quad x \in (0, A].$$

We also need Lemma 5.7 from [BEK], which may be stated as follows.

LEMMA 3.7. *Let n and R be a positive integers with $1 \leq R \leq \sqrt{n}$. Then there exists a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{P}_m$ with*

$$m \leq 4\sqrt{n} + \frac{9}{7}R\sqrt{n} + R + 4 \leq \frac{44}{7}R\sqrt{n} + 4$$

such that

$$f(1) = f(2) = \dots = f(R^2) = 0$$

and

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} |f(0)| &> \exp(R^2)(|f(R^2 + 1)| + |f(R^2 + 2)| + \cdots + |f(n)|) \\ &\geq \exp(R^2) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |f(j)|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 imply the following results needed in the proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

LEMMA 3.8. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < K \leq \exp(n - 2\sqrt{n})$, there exists a polynomial h of degree m with real coefficients satisfying*

$$|h(0)| > K \sum_{j=1}^n |h(j)| \quad \text{and} \quad m \leq \begin{cases} c_4 \sqrt{nK}, & K < 2, \\ c_4 \sqrt{n \log K}, & K \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

with an absolute constant $c_4 > 0$.

LEMMA 3.9. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < K \leq \exp(n - 2\sqrt{n})$, there exists a polynomial H of degree m with real coefficients satisfying*

$$|H(0)| > \sqrt{K} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |H(j)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad m \leq \begin{cases} c_5 \sqrt{nK}, & K < 2, \\ c_5 \sqrt{n \log K}, & K \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

with an absolute constant $c_5 > 0$.

4. Proofs

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Following page 138 of [BE] we define

$$H_m(x) := \frac{(m!)^2}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{x^t dt}{\prod_{k=0}^m (t - k^2)}, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, \quad x \in (0, \infty),$$

where the simple closed contour Γ surrounds the zeros of the denominator of the integrand. Then H_m is a polynomial of degree m^2 with a zero at 1 with multiplicity at least m . (This can be seen easily by repeated differentiation and then evaluation of the above contour integral by expanding the contour to infinity.) Also, by the residue theorem,

$$H_m(x) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^m c_{k,m} x^{k^2},$$

where

$$c_{k,m} = \frac{(-1)^m (m!)^2}{\prod_{j=0, j \neq k}^m (k^2 - j^2)} = \frac{(-1)^k 2(m!)^2}{(m - k)!(m + k)!}.$$

It follows that each $c_{k,m}$ is real and

$$|c_{k,m}| \leq 2, \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

Let S_L be the collection of all square free integers in $[1, L]$. Let $m := \lfloor \sqrt{n/L} \rfloor$. We define

$$P_n(x) := \sum_{j \in S_L} H_m(x^j).$$

Then P_n is of the form

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_{j,n} x^j, \quad a_{j,n} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Since $ju^2 \neq lv^2$ whenever $j, l \in S_L, j \neq l$, and $u, v \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we have

$$|a_{j,n}| \leq 2, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Also, $a_{0,n} = |S_L|$, where $|S_L|$ denotes the number of elements in S_L , and it is well known that

$$|S_L| = \frac{6L}{\pi^2} + o(L)$$

(see [HW, pp. 267–268], for example). Finally, observe that each term in P_n has a zero at 1 with multiplicity at least $m = \lfloor \sqrt{n/L} \rfloor$, and hence so does P_n . ■

Proof of Lemma 3.2. (a) Let $2^{-1/2} \leq L$. We define $k := \lfloor \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{n/L} \rfloor$ and $m := \lfloor 4\sqrt{nL} \rfloor$. Observe that $m \geq 1$. Let $P_n := Q_m^k \in \mathcal{P}_n$, where $Q_m \in \mathcal{P}_m$ is a polynomial with the properties of Lemma 3.3. Then

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_{j,n} x^j, \quad a_{j,n} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

has at least $k = \lfloor \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{n/L} \rfloor$ zeros at 1. Clearly, $a_{0,n} = P_n(0) = 1$, and since $k \leq \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{n/L}$ and $m \geq 2\sqrt{nL}$, we have

$$|P_n(z)| < \exp(2k/m) \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{4L^2}\right), \quad |z| \leq 1.$$

Hence, it follows from the Parseval formula that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{j,n}^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P_n(e^{it})|^2 dt \right) - 1 \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2L^2}\right) - 1 \leq \frac{1}{L^2}.$$

In the last step we used the inequality $e^x \leq 1 + 2x$ valid for $x \in [0, 1]$ with $x = 1/(2L^2)$.

(b) Let $4^{-n} \leq L \leq 2^{-1/2}$. Let further $k := \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n(-\log L)} \rfloor$ and $m := \lfloor 2\sqrt{n/(-\log L)} \rfloor$. Again observe that $m \geq 1$. Let $P_n := Q_m^k \in \mathcal{P}_n$, where $Q_m \in \mathcal{P}_m$ is a polynomial with the properties of Lemma 3.3. Then

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_{j,n} x^j, \quad a_{j,n} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

has at least $k = \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n(-\log L)} \rfloor$ zeros at 1. Clearly, $a_{0,n} = P_n(0) = 1$, and since $k \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n/(-\log L)}$ and $m \geq \sqrt{n/(-\log L)}$, we have

$$|P_n(z)| < \exp(2k/m) \leq \exp(-\log L) = \frac{1}{L}, \quad |z| \leq 1.$$

Hence, it follows from the Parseval formula that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{j,n}^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P_n(e^{it})|^2 dt \right) - 1 \leq \frac{1}{L^2}.$$

(c) Observe that the polynomial P_n defined by $P_n(z) = (z - 1)^n$ has at least n zeros at 1, $P(0) = 1$, and

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{j,n}^2 = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j}^2 \leq 4^n. \blacksquare$$

Proof of Lemma 3.5. Let $m := \mu_q(n, L)$. Let Q be a polynomial of degree m with complex coefficients such that

$$|Q(0)| > \frac{1}{L} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |Q(j)|^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

Now let P be a polynomial of the form

$$P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j, \quad |a_0| \geq L \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}.$$

It follows from Hölder’s inequality that

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j Q(j) \right| \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |Q(j)|^q \right)^{1/q} < \frac{|a_0|}{L} L |Q(0)| = |a_0 Q(0)|.$$

Then $\sum_{j=0}^n a_j Q(j) \neq 0$, and hence Lemma 3.4 implies that $(x - 1)^{m+1}$ does not divide P . We conclude that $\kappa_p(n, L) \leq m = \mu_q(n, L)$. \blacksquare

Proof of Lemma 3.8. Note that $h \equiv 1$ is a trivial choice in the case of $K < 1/n$. First we consider the case of $2 \leq K \leq \exp(n - 2\sqrt{n})$. Let $R := \lfloor \sqrt{\log K} \rfloor + 1$, and let $h := f$, where f is the polynomial given in Lemma 3.7 with this R . Then

$$|h(0)| > K \sum_{j=1}^n |h(j)|,$$

and the degree m of h satisfies

$$m \leq \frac{44}{7} R \sqrt{n} + 4 \leq c_6 \sqrt{n \log K}$$

with an absolute constant $c_6 > 0$.

Now let $1/n \leq K < 2$. Let f be the polynomial given in Lemma 3.6 with $A := n$ and $M := 9K^2$. Let $h := f$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n |h(j)| &= \sum_{j=1}^n |f(j)| \leq \sum_{j \leq (3K)^{-1}} M + \sum_{j > (3K)^{-1}} \frac{1}{j^2} \\ &< \frac{1}{3K} 9K^2 + 2(3K) = 9K, \end{aligned}$$

with $|h(0)| = |f(0)| = M = 9K^2$, and the degree m of h satisfies

$$m < \pi\sqrt{n} \sqrt[4]{M} + 2 < c_7\sqrt{nK}$$

with an absolute constant $c_7 > 0$. ■

Proof of Lemma 3.9. Note that $H \equiv 1$ is a trivial choice again in the case of $K < 1/n$. In the case of $2 \leq K \leq \exp(n - 2\sqrt{n})$ let $H := h$, where the polynomial h is the same as in Lemma 3.8. Then

$$|H(0)| > K \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |H(j)| \right) \geq K \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |H(j)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq \sqrt{K} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |H(j)|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

and the degree m of H satisfies

$$m \leq c_6\sqrt{n \log K},$$

where $c_6 > 0$ is the same absolute constant as in the proof of Lemma 3.8.

Now let $1/n \leq K < 2$. Let g be the polynomial such that $f = g^2$ is the polynomial given in Lemma 3.6 with $A := n$ and $M := 9K^2$. Let $H := g$. Then $h = H^2$, where the polynomial h is the same as in Lemma 3.8. Then

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n |H(j)|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |h(j)| \right)^{1/2} < 3\sqrt{K}, \quad |H(0)| = \sqrt{h(0)} = 3K,$$

and the degree m of H satisfies $m < \frac{1}{2}c_7\sqrt{nK}$, where $c_7 > 0$ is the same absolute constant as in the proof of Lemma 3.8. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The upper bound follows from Lemmas 3.5 and 3.8. Indeed, Lemma 3.5 implies $\kappa_\infty(n, L) \leq \mu_1(n, L)$, and it follows from Lemma 3.8 with $K = L^{-1}$ that $\mu_1(n, L) \leq c_4\sqrt{n/L}$. The lower bound of the theorem follows directly from Lemma 3.1. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.2. The upper bound follows from Lemmas 3.5 and 3.9. Indeed, Lemma 3.5 implies $\kappa_2(n, L) \leq \mu_2(n, L)$ and it follows from Lemma 3.9 with $K = L^{-2}$ that

$$\mu_2(n, L) \leq \begin{cases} c_5\sqrt{n}/L, & L > 2^{-1/2}, \\ c_5\sqrt{n(-2 \log L)}, & \exp(-n/2 + \sqrt{n}) \leq L \leq 2^{-1/2}. \end{cases}$$

Combining this with $\kappa_2(n, L) \leq \mu_2(n, L)$ and the trivial estimate $\kappa_2(n, L) \leq n$ yields the upper bound of the theorem. The lower bound of the theorem is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.2. ■

References

- [BBBP] F. Beaucoup, P. Borwein, D. W. Boyd and C. Pinner, *Multiple roots of $[-1, 1]$ power series*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 57 (1998), 135–147.
- [BE] P. Borwein and T. Erdélyi, *Polynomials and Polynomial Inequalities*, Springer, New York, 1995.
- [BEK] P. Borwein, T. Erdélyi and G. Kós, *Littlewood-type problems on $[0, 1]$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. 79 (1999), 22–46.
- [BEZ] P. Borwein, T. Erdélyi and J. Zhang, *Müntz systems and orthogonal Müntz–Legendre polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 342 (1994), 523–542.
- [BM] P. Borwein and M. J. Mossinghoff, *Polynomials with height 1 and prescribed vanishing at 1*, Experiment. Math. 9 (2000), 425–433.
- [B] D. W. Boyd, *On a problem of Byrnes concerning polynomials with restricted coefficients*, Math. Comp. 66 (1997), 1697–1703.
- [CK] P. G. Casazza and N. J. Kalton, *Roots of complex polynomials and Weyl–Heisenberg frame sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002), 2313–2318.
- [CD] J. M. Cooper and A. M. Dutle, *Greedy Galois games*, Amer. Math. Monthly 120 (2013), 441–451.
- [CH] E. Croot and D. Hart, *h -fold sums from a set with few products*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 24 (2010), 505–519.
- [D1] A. Dubickas, *On the order of vanishing at 1 of a polynomial*, Lithuanian Math. J. 39 (1999), 365–370.
- [D2] A. Dubickas, *Three problems for polynomials of small measure*, Acta Arith. 98 (2001), 279–292.
- [DS] M. Dudík and L. J. Schulman, *Reconstruction from subsequences*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 103 (2003), 337–348.
- [E] T. Erdélyi, *Pseudo-Boolean functions and the multiplicity of the zeros of polynomials*, J. Anal. Math., to appear.
- [FK] W. Foster and I. Krasikov, *An improvement of a Borwein–Erdélyi–Kós result*, Methods Appl. Anal. 7 (2000), 605–614.
- [G] C. S. Güntürk, *Approximation by power series with ± 1 coefficients*, Int. Math. Res. Notices 2005, 1601–1610.
- [HW] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1938.
- [K] I. Krasikov, *Multiplicity of zeros and discrete orthogonal polynomials*, Results Math. 45 (2004), 59–66.
- [KLS] G. Kós, P. Ligeti and P. Sziklai, *Reconstruction of matrices from submatrices*, Math. Comp. 78 (2009), 1733–1747.
- [M] M. J. Mossinghoff, *Polynomials with restricted coefficients and prescribed non-cyclotomic factors*, London Math. Soc. J. Comput. Math. 6 (2003), 314–325.
- [OP] A. M. Odlyzko and B. Poonen, *Zeros of polynomials with 0,1 coefficients*, Enseign. Math. (2) 39 (1993), 317–348.
- [P] C. Pinner, *Double roots of $[-1, 1]$ power series and related matters*, Math. Comp. 68 (1999), 1149–1178.

- [Pr] A. A. Prikhodko, *On flat Littlewood polynomials with unimodular coefficients*, manuscript.
- [S] I. E. Shparlinski, *Finite Fields: Theory and Computation. The Meeting Point of Number Theory, Computer Science, Coding Theory and Cryptography*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [T] P. Turán, *On a New Method of Analysis and its Applications*, Wiley, New York, 1984.

Peter Borwein
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Simon Fraser University
Burnaby, BC, Canada V5A 1S6
E-mail: pborwein@cecm.sfu.ca

Tamás Erdélyi
Department of Mathematics
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX 77843, U.S.A.
E-mail: terdelyi@math.tamu.edu

Géza Kós
Mathematical Institute
Lóránd Eötvös University
Pázmány P. s. 1/c
Budapest, Hungary H-1117
and
Computer and Automation Research Institute
Kende u. 13-17
Budapest, Hungary H-1111
E-mail: kosgeza@sztaki.hu

*Received on 14.12.2012
and in revised form on 28.3.2013*

(7289)

