The Romanoff theorem revisited

by

HONGZE LI (Shanghai) and HAO PAN (Nanjing)

For a subset A of positive integers, define $A(x) = |\{1 \le a \le x : a \in A\}|$. Let \mathcal{P} denote the set of all primes and $2^{\mathbb{N}} = \{2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$. A classical result of Romanoff [6] asserts that the sumset

$$2^{\mathbb{N}} + \mathcal{P} = \{2^n + p : n \in \mathbb{N}, p \in \mathcal{P}\}\$$

has a positive lower density, i.e., there exists a positive constant C_R such that $(2^{\mathbb{N}} + \mathcal{P})(x) \geq C_R x$ for sufficiently large x. Recently, the lower bound of C_R has been calculated in [2, 3, 5]. Now let

 $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{q : q \text{ is a prime or the product of two primes}\}.$

Motivated by Romanoff's theorem, in this short note we shall show that:

THEOREM 1. The sumset

$$2^{\mathcal{P}} + \mathcal{P}_2 = \{2^p + q : p \in \mathcal{P}, q \in \mathcal{P}_2\}$$

has a positive lower density.

Proof. In our proof, the constants implied by \ll , \gg and $O(\cdot)$ will be always absolute.

For $q \in \mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}$, let $\psi(q)$ be the least prime factor of q. Let

$$\mathcal{P}_2^* = \{ q \in \mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P} : \psi(q) < q^{1/3} \}.$$

It suffices to show that $2^{\mathcal{P}} + \mathcal{P}_2^*$ has a positive lower density.

By the Chebyshev theorem, we have

$$\frac{x}{5\log x} \le \mathcal{P}(x) \le \frac{5x}{\log x}.$$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11P32; Secondary 11B05, 11N36. Key words and phrases: positive lower density, primes, powers of 2.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10771135). The second author is the corresponding author.

Hence for $x \ge e^{750}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{2}^{*}(x) &= |\{(p_{1}, p_{2}) : p_{1}, p_{2} \in \mathcal{P}, \ p_{1}^{2} < p_{2} \leq x/p_{1}\}| \\ &\geq \sum_{\substack{p_{1} \in \mathcal{P} \\ p_{1} \leq x^{1/3}}} \left(\frac{x/p_{1}}{5 \log(x/p_{1})} - \frac{5p_{1}^{2}}{\log(p_{1}^{2})}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{x}{5 \log x} \sum_{\substack{p_{1} \in \mathcal{P} \\ p_{1} \leq x^{1/3}}} \frac{1}{p_{1}} - \frac{5x^{1/3}}{\log(x^{1/3})} \cdot \frac{5x^{2/3}}{\log(x^{2/3})} \\ &\geq \frac{x \log \log x}{10 \log x}, \end{aligned}$$

since (cf. [1, Theorem 8.8.5])

$$\log \log x \le \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P} \cap [1,x]} \frac{1}{p} \le \log \log x + C,$$

where C is an absolute constant.

Similarly it is not difficult to deduce that $\mathcal{P}_2^*(x) \ll x \log \log x / \log x$. Let

$$r(n) = |\{(p,q) : n = 2^p + q, \ p \in \mathcal{P}, \ q \in \mathcal{P}_2^*\}|$$

Clearly we have

$$\sum_{n \le x} r(n) = |\{(p,q) : p \in \mathcal{P}, q \in \mathcal{P}_2^*, 2^p + q \le x\}|$$
$$\geq 2^{\mathcal{P}}(x/2)\mathcal{P}_2^*(x/2)$$
$$\gg \frac{\log x}{\log \log x} \cdot \frac{x \log \log x}{\log x} = x.$$

And by Cauchy–Schwarz's inequality,

$$\left(\sum_{n\leq x} r(n)\right)^2 \leq (2^{\mathcal{P}} + \mathcal{P}_2^*)(x) \sum_{n\leq x} r(n)^2.$$

Therefore we only need to prove that

(1)
$$\sum_{n \le x} r(n)^2 = |\{(p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2) : p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P}, q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2^*, 2^{p_1} + q_1 = 2^{p_2} + q_2 \le x\}|$$

is O(x).

Below we shall show that

(2)
$$|\{q \le x - N : q, q + N \in \mathcal{P}_2^*\}| \ll \frac{x(\log \log x)^2}{(\log x)^2} \prod_{p|N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

138

for each positive even integer N. Define

$$\mathfrak{S}(n) = \prod_{p|n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

Suppose that k_1, k_2, l_1, l_2 are positive integers such that $(k_i, l_i) = 1$ and $2 | k_2 l_1 - k_1 l_2$. Let

$$\mathscr{A} = \{ (k_1 n + l_1)(k_2 n + l_2) : 1 \le n \le x \}$$

and $\mathscr{A}_d = \{a \in \mathscr{A} : d \mid a\}$. Then for any square-free d,

$$\mathscr{A}_d = \frac{\omega(d)}{d} x + O(\omega(d)),$$

where $\omega(d)$ is a multiplicative function such that for a prime p,

$$\omega(p) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } p \nmid k_1 k_2 (k_2 l_1 - k_1 l_2), \\ 1 & \text{if } p \nmid k_1 k_2 \text{ and } p \mid (k_2 l_1 - k_1 l_2), \\ & \text{or } p \mid k_1 \text{ and } p \nmid k_2, \text{ or } p \mid k_2 \text{ and } p \nmid k_1, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \mid k_1 \text{ and } p \mid k_2. \end{cases}$$

As an application of Selberg's sieve method (cf. [4, Sections 7.2 and 7.3]), we know that

(3)
$$|\{1 \le n \le x : k_1 n + l_1, k_2 n + l_2 \in \mathcal{P}\}| \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^2} \mathfrak{S}(k_1 k_2) \mathfrak{S}(k_2 l_1 - k_1 l_2).$$

Observe that $n, n + N \in \mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}$ if and only if there exist $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $n/p_1, (n+N)/p_2 \in \mathcal{P}$. Assume that $n/p_1 = p_2m + l$ where $1 \leq l \leq p_2$. Then

$$(n+N)/p_2 = (p_1p_2m + p_1l + N)/p_2 = p_1m + (p_1l + N)/p_2$$

whence $p_1 l \equiv -N \pmod{p_2}$. Note that l is uniquely determined by p_1 and p_2 unless $p_1 = p_2$. Thus

$$\begin{split} |\{n \leq x : n, n+N \in \mathcal{P}_{2}^{*}, \ p_{1} \mid n, \ p_{2} \mid (n+N) \}| \\ \leq \begin{cases} |\{m \leq x/p_{1} : m, m+N/p_{1} \in \mathcal{P} \}| & \text{if } p_{1} = p_{2}, \\ |\{m \leq x/p_{1}p_{2} : p_{2}m+l, p_{1}m+(p_{1}l+N)/p_{2} \in \mathcal{P} \}| & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \\ \ll \begin{cases} \frac{x/p_{1}}{(\log(x/p_{1}))^{2}} \mathfrak{S}(N/p_{1}) & \text{if } p_{1} = p_{2} \mid N, \\ \frac{x/p_{1}p_{2}}{(\log(x/p_{1}p_{2}))^{2}} \mathfrak{S}(p_{1}p_{2})\mathfrak{S}(N) & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} |\{q \le x - N : q, q + N \in \mathcal{P}_2^*\}| \\ \ll \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P} \\ p_1, p_2 \le x^{1/3}}} \frac{x/p_1 p_2}{(\log(x/p_1 p_2))^2} \,\mathfrak{S}(p_1 p_2) \mathfrak{S}(N) + \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P} \\ p \mid N, p \le x^{1/3}}} \frac{x/p}{(\log(x/p))^2} \,\mathfrak{S}(N/p). \end{split}$$

Now

$$\sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P} \\ p_1, p_2 \le x^{1/3}}} \frac{x/p_1 p_2}{(\log(x/p_1 p_2))^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_2}\right)$$
$$\leq \frac{36x}{(\log x)^2} \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P} \\ p_1, p_2 \le x^{1/3}}} \frac{1}{p_1 p_2} \ll \frac{x(\log\log x)^2}{(\log x)^2}.$$

And

$$\sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P} \\ p \mid N, \, p \le x^{1/3}}} \frac{x/p}{(\log(x/p))^2} \le \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P} \\ p \le x^{1/3}}} \frac{x/p}{(\log(x/p))^2} \ll \frac{x \log \log x}{(\log x)^2}$$

This concludes the proof of (2).

Let us return to the proof of (1). Clearly

$$\sum_{n \le x} r(n)^2 \le 2 \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P} \\ p_2 \le p_1 \le \log x / \log 2}} |\{q_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2^* : 2^{p_1} - 2^{p_2} + q_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2^* \cap [1, x]\}|.$$

If $p_1 = p_2$, then

$$\sum_{q_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2^* \cap [1,x]} |\{q_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2^* \cap [1,x] : q_2 = 2^{p_1} - 2^{p_2} + q_1\}| = \mathcal{P}_2^*(x) \ll \frac{x \log \log x}{\log x}.$$

And if $p_1 > p_2$, then

$$\sum_{q_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2^* \cap [1,x]} |\{q_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2^* \cap [1,x] : q_2 = 2^{p_1} - 2^{p_2} + q_1\}| \\ \ll \frac{x(\log \log x)^2}{(\log x)^2} \prod_{p \mid (2^{p_1 - p_2} - 1)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n \le x} r(n)^2 \ll \mathcal{P}\left(\frac{\log x}{\log 2}\right) \frac{x \log \log x}{\log x} + \frac{x (\log \log x)^2}{(\log x)^2} \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P} \\ p_2 < p_1 \le \frac{\log x}{\log 2}}} \prod_{p \mid (2^{p_1 - p_2} - 1)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \\ &\ll \frac{\log x}{\log \log x} \cdot \frac{x \log \log x}{\log x} + \frac{x (\log \log x)^2}{(\log x)^2} \sum_{0 < k \le \frac{\log x}{\log 2}} 2 \prod_{p \mid (2^k - 1)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P} \\ p_2 < p_1 \le \frac{\log x}{\log 2}}} 1 \\ &\ll x + \frac{x (\log \log x)^2}{(\log x)^2} \cdot \frac{2 \log x}{(\log \log x)^2} \sum_{0 < k \le \frac{\log x}{\log 2}} \prod_{p \mid (2^k - 1)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p \mid k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right). \end{split}$$

140

For any positive odd integer d, let e(d) denote the least positive integer such that $2^{e(d)} \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$. Then $2^k \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ if and only if $e(d) \mid k$. Now

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n \le x} r(n)^2 &\ll x + \frac{2x}{\log x} \sum_{0 < k \le \frac{\log x}{\log 2}} \prod_{p \mid k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{\substack{d \mid (2^k - 1) \\ d \text{ square-free}}} \frac{1}{d} \\ &= x + \frac{2x}{\log x} \sum_{\substack{d \text{ square-free} \\ 2 \nmid d}} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\substack{0 < k \le \frac{\log x}{\log 2}}} \prod_{p \mid k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \\ &= x + \frac{2x}{\log x} \sum_{\substack{d \text{ square-free} \\ 2 \nmid d}} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\substack{d' \text{ square-free} \\ e(d) \mid k}} \frac{1}{d'} \sum_{\substack{0 < k \le \frac{\log x}{\log 2}}} 1 \\ &\le x + \frac{2x}{\log x} \cdot \frac{\log x}{\log 2} \sum_{\substack{d, d' \text{ square-free} \\ 2 \nmid d}} \frac{1}{dd' [e(d), d']}. \end{split}$$

Our final task is to show that the series

$$\sum_{\substack{d,d' \text{ square-free} \\ 2 \nmid d}} \frac{1}{dd'[e(d),d']}$$

converges. Clearly

$$\sum_{\substack{d,d' \text{ square-free} \\ 2 \nmid d}} \frac{1}{dd'[e(d), d']} = \sum_{k>0} \sum_{d' \text{ square-free}} \frac{1}{d'[k, d']} \sum_{\substack{d \text{ square-free} \\ e(d) = k}} \frac{1}{d}.$$

Let

$$W(x) = \sum_{\substack{0 < k \le x \ d \text{ square-free} \\ e(d) = k}} \sum_{\substack{0 < k \le x \ d \text{ square-free} \\ e(d) = k}} \frac{1}{d}.$$

With the help of the arguments of Romanoff (cf. [6], [4, p. 201]), we know that $W(x) \ll \log x$. And

$$\sum_{d' \text{ square-free}} \frac{1}{d'[k,d']} = \frac{1}{k} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}, p \mid k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}, p \nmid k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^2} \right)$$
$$\ll \frac{1}{k} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}, p \mid k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) \le \frac{1}{\phi(k)} \ll k^{-2/3}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\substack{d,d' \text{ square-free} \\ 2 \nmid d}} \frac{1}{dd'[e(d), d']} \ll \int_{1/2}^{\infty} x^{-2/3} \, dW(x) = \int_{1/2}^{\infty} \frac{2W(x)}{3x^{5/3}} \, dx + O(1)$$
$$\ll \int_{1/2}^{\infty} \frac{\log x}{x^{5/3}} \, dx + O(1) \ll 1.$$

This completes the proof.

REMARK. Professor Y.-G. Chen communicated to the second author the following two conjectures:

CONJECTURE 1. Let A and B be two sets of positive integers. If there exists a constant c > 0 such that $A(\log x/\log 2)B(x) > cx$ for all sufficiently large x, then the set $\{2^a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ has a positive lower asymptotic density.

CONJECTURE 2. Let A and B be two sets of positive integers. If there exists a constant c > 0 such that $A(\log x/\log 2)B(x) > cx$ for infinitely many positive integers x, then the set $\{2^a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ has a positive upper asymptotic density.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to the anonymous referee for his/her useful suggestions on this paper. We also thank Professor Yong-Gao Chen for helpful discussions.

References

- E. Bach and J. Shallit, Algorithmic Number Theory, Foundations of Computing. Volume I: Efficient Algorithms, The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1996.
- [2] Y.-G. Chen and X.-G. Sun, On Romanoff's constant, J. Number Theory 106 (2004), 275–284.
- [3] L. Habsieger and X.-F. Roblot, On integers of the form $p + 2^k$, Acta Arith. 122 (2006), 45–50.
- [4] M. B. Nathanson, Additive Number Theory: Inverse Problems and the Geometry of Sumsets, Grad. Texts in Math. 165, Springer, New York, 1996.
- [5] J. Pintz, A note on Romanov's constant, Acta Math. Hungar. 112 (2006), 1–14.
- [6] N. P. Romanoff, Über einige Sätze der additiven Zahlentheorie, Math. Ann. 109 (1934), 668–678.

Department of Mathematics Shanghai Jiaotong University Shanghai 200240 People's Republic of China E-mail: lihz@sjtu.edu.cn Department of Mathematics Nanjing University Nanjing 210093 People's Republic of China E-mail: haopan79@yahoo.com.cn

Received on 21.9.2007 and in revised form on 12.8.2008

(5528)