# On a sum involving the Möbius function 

by<br>I. Kiuchi (Yamaguchi), M. Minamide (Yamaguchi) and Y. Tanigawa (Nagoya)

1. Introduction. Let $c_{q}(n)$ be the Ramanujan sum [1, p. 160] defined by

$$
c_{q}(n)=\sum_{\substack{h=1 \\(h, q)=1}}^{q} e^{2 \pi i h n / q}=\sum_{d \mid(q, n)} d \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)
$$

where $\mu(n)$ is the Möbius function. We recall a well-known identity [9, p. 10]

$$
\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{q}(n)}{q^{s}}=\frac{\sigma_{1-s}(n)}{\zeta(s)} \quad(\operatorname{Re} s>1)
$$

with $\sigma_{1-s}(n)=\sum_{d \mid n} d^{1-s}$ and the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$.
Recently, T. H. Chan and A. V. Kumchev [2] studied a new type of sums,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k}(x, y)=\sum_{n \leq y}\left(\sum_{q \leq x} c_{q}(n)\right)^{k} \quad(k=1,2) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any sufficiently large positive numbers $x$ and $y$. They showed

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}(x, y)=y-\frac{x^{2}}{4 \zeta(2)}+O\left(x y^{1 / 3} \log x+x^{3} / y\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $y \geq x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}(x, y)=\frac{y x^{2}}{2 \zeta(2)}+O\left(x^{4}+x y \log x\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $y \geq x^{2}(\log x)^{B}(B>0)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}(x, y)=\frac{y x^{2}}{2 \zeta(2)}(1+2 \kappa(u))+O\left(y x^{2}(\log x)^{10}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}+\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]for $x \leq y \leq x^{2}(\log x)^{B}(B>0)$ and $u=\log \left(y x^{-2}\right)$. Here $\kappa(u)$ is given by
$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(1-i t)}{\zeta(1+i t)} \frac{1}{(1+i t)^{2}(1-i t)} e^{-i t u} d t
$$

Their work stems from their unpublished paper concerned with Diophantine approximation of reals by sums of rational numbers. In the present paper, as a problem on arithmetical functions, we shall consider a certain sum which is a modification of (1.1).

Let $\widehat{c}_{q}(n)$ be the arithmetical function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{c}_{q}(n)=\sum_{d \mid(n, q)} d\left|\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)\right| . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be regarded as a modification of the Ramanujan sum and also as a restricted divisor function (a sum over modified square-free divisors). Note that the Dirichlet series with the coefficients $\widehat{c}_{q}(n)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\widehat{c}_{q}(n)}{q^{s}}=\sigma_{1-s}(n) \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(2 s)} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\operatorname{Re} s>1$. Following [2], we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{k}(x, y)=\sum_{n \leq y}\left(\sum_{q \leq x} \widehat{c}_{q}(n)\right)^{k} \quad(k=1,2) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The purpose of this paper is to obtain formulas for $D_{k}(x, y)$ analogous to (1.2)-1.4).

In the case $k=1$, we have the following theorem:
THEOREM 1.1. Let $x$ and $y$ be large real numbers such that $y \geq x$, and let $\varepsilon(x)=(\log x)^{3 / 5}(\log \log x)^{-1 / 5}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1}(x, y)= & \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} x y \log x+\frac{1}{\zeta(2)}\left(2 \gamma-1-\frac{2 \zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right) x y-\frac{\zeta(2)}{4 \zeta(4)} x^{2} \\
& +O\left(x^{1 / 2} y \exp (-C \varepsilon(x))+x y^{1 / 3} \log x+x^{3} / y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma$ is the Euler constant and $C$ is a certain positive constant.
In the case $k=2$, we have two types of formulas. To state the first formula, define a polynomial $P(u)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(u)=\frac{1}{3 \zeta^{3}(2)} u^{3}+C_{1} u^{2}+C_{2} u \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{1}= & \frac{1}{\zeta^{3}(2)}\left(3 \gamma-1-\frac{3 \zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right)  \tag{1.9}\\
C_{2}= & \frac{1}{\zeta^{3}(2)}\left\{2 \gamma_{1}+8 \gamma^{2}-6 \gamma\left(1+\frac{3 \zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right)\right.  \tag{1.10}\\
& \left.+1+\frac{6 \zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}+\frac{10\left(\zeta^{\prime}(2)\right)^{2}}{\zeta^{2}(2)}-\frac{\zeta^{\prime \prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma_{1}$ is the coefficient of $s-1$ in the Laurent expansion of $\zeta(s)$ at $s=1$ :

$$
\zeta(s)=\frac{1}{s-1}+\gamma+\gamma_{1}(s-1)+\cdots
$$

In fact, these values are determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=\frac{A_{1}+A_{2}}{\zeta^{2}(2)}, \quad C_{2}=\frac{A_{1}^{2}+2 A_{1} A_{2}}{\zeta(2)}-\frac{2 A_{3}}{\zeta^{2}(2)}, \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ are constants defined by $2.1,2.7$ and 2.8 below, respectively.

Theorem 1.2. Let the notation be as above. Then for large real numbers $x$ and $y$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2}(x, y)=x^{2} y P(\log x)+O\left(x^{2} y+x^{4}\right) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This (1.12) gives an asymptotic formula for $D_{2}(x, y)$ when $y \gg x^{2} / \log ^{3} x$.
For the second formula, we introduce another polynomial $Q(u)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(u)=-\frac{1}{6 \zeta^{3}(2)} u^{3}+C_{3} u^{2}+C_{4} u+C_{5} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{3}= & \frac{1}{2 \zeta^{3}(2)}\left(-2 \gamma+1+\frac{4 \zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right)  \tag{1.14}\\
C_{4}=- & \frac{2}{\zeta^{3}(2)}\left\{2 \gamma_{1}-\gamma\left(1+\frac{4 \zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right)\right.  \tag{1.15}\\
& \left.\quad+1+\frac{2 \zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}+\frac{6\left(\zeta^{\prime}(2)\right)^{2}}{\zeta^{2}(2)}-\frac{2 \zeta^{\prime \prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

and $C_{5}$ is a certain constant.
Under this notation we have
Theorem 1.3. Let $x$ and $y$ be large real numbers such that $y \ll x^{M}$ for some constant $M$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 16) } D_{2}(x, y)=x^{2} y P(\log x)+x^{2} y Q\left(\log \frac{x^{2}}{y}\right)  \tag{1.16}\\
& +O\left(x^{2} y\left(\left(x^{-3 / 8}+y^{-1 / 2}\right) \log ^{10} x+\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{1 / 2} \log ^{4} x+\left(\frac{y}{x^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \log ^{2} x\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where the implied constant depends on $M$.
This gives an asymptotic formula for $D_{2}(x, y)$ when $x \log ^{2} x \ll y \ll$ $x^{2} \log ^{2} x$.

These theorems are proved in the same way as in [2]. The change in the definition of the Ramanujan sum $c_{q}(n)$ causes a little complication in the behaviour of $D_{k}(x, y)$. However this may be of arithmetical interest, especially in connection with modified square-free numbers.

Remarks. (i) In Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 , the asymptotic behaviour is obtained only for $y \gg x \log ^{2} x$. It is an interesting problem to investigate the asymptotic behaviour e.g. for $y \ll x \log ^{2} x$.
(ii) In the proof of Theorem 1.3 (see Section 5 ), we will observe by direct calculation that the first three terms containing $x^{2} y \log ^{j} x(j=3,2,1)$ are the same as those of Theorem 1.2. If we ignore the error term $O\left(x^{4}\right)$ of Theorem 1.2, this is easily derived by considering the asymptotic behaviour of these two theorems with the special choice $y=x^{2} / \log ^{4} x$. Unfortunately we cannot deduce it from the present error terms, but this observation may suggest that the error term $O\left(x^{4}\right)$ in Theorem 1.2 could be smaller.

The identity (1.6) leads to problems similar to those above. Let $\bar{c}_{q}(n ; l)$ be the $q$ th coefficient of the Dirichlet series

$$
\sigma_{1-s}(n) \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(l s)}=\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{c}_{q}(n ; l)}{q^{s}} \quad(\operatorname{Re} s>1)
$$

The function $\bar{c}_{q}(n ; l)$ can be regarded as a sum over modified $l$-free numbers. We shall write

$$
U_{k}(x, y)=\sum_{n \leq y}\left(\sum_{q \leq x} \bar{c}_{q}(n ; l)\right)^{k}
$$

Moreover, let $\widetilde{c_{q}}(n)$ be the $q$ th coefficient of the series

$$
\sigma_{1-s}(n) \frac{\zeta(2 s) \zeta(3 s)}{\zeta(6 s)}=\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\widetilde{c_{q}}(n)}{q^{s}} \quad(\operatorname{Re} s>1 / 2)
$$

which can be regarded as a sum over modified square-full numbers. Similarly we write

$$
V_{k}(x, y)=\sum_{n \leq y}\left(\sum_{q \leq x} \widetilde{c_{q}}(n)\right)^{k}
$$

for any positive integer $k$. The method of the proofs of Theorems 1.1-1.3 may be applied to studying $U_{k}(x, y)$ and $V_{k}(x, y)(k=1,2)$, which will be done elsewhere.
2. Some lemmas. In order to prove our theorems, we prepare several lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\omega(m)$ be the number of distinct prime divisors of a positive integer $m$, and $\varepsilon(x)=(\log x)^{3 / 5}(\log \log x)^{-1 / 5}$ as in Theorem 1.1. For $x \geq 1$, we have

$$
\sum_{m \leq x} 2^{\omega(m)}=\frac{1}{\zeta(2)} x \log x+A_{1} x+O\left(x^{1 / 2} \exp (-C \varepsilon(x))\right)
$$

where $C>0$ is a positive constant and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=\frac{1}{\zeta(2)}\left(2 \gamma-1-2 \frac{\zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

See A. Ivić [7, p. 394]. It is easy to see that $A_{1}$ is indeed given explicitly by (2.1), though this form is not given in [7].

In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need an upper bound on the sum $\sum_{n \in I} \psi(y / n)$, where $\psi(x)=x-[x]-1 / 2$ denotes the first periodic Bernoulli function. This kind of sum is estimated effectively by exponent pairs. Roughly speaking, an exponent pair $(\kappa, \lambda)$ is a pair of numbers $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1 / 2 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ such that

$$
\sum_{n \in I} e^{2 \pi i f(n)} \ll A^{\kappa} N^{\lambda}
$$

where $I \subset(N, 2 N]$ and $A \ll\left|f^{\prime}(u)\right| \ll A$ for $u \in I$. For the precise definition and properties, the reader should consult S. W. Graham and G. Kolesnik [5] and [7]. Now applying [5, Lemma 4.3] with $f(n)=y / n$, we have

Lemma 2.2. Let $(\kappa, \lambda)$ be an exponent pair. If $I$ is a subinterval of ( $N, 2 N]$, then

$$
\sum_{n \in I} \psi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right) \ll y^{\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}} N^{\frac{\lambda-\kappa}{\kappa+1}}+N^{2} y^{-1}
$$

In particular, if we take $(\kappa, \lambda)=(1 / 2,1 / 2)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \in I} \psi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right) \ll y^{1 / 3}+N^{2} y^{-1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $q$ be a non-negative integer. For $y \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \leq y} \frac{\log ^{q} n}{n}=\frac{1}{q+1} \log ^{q+1} y-\frac{\log ^{q} y}{y} \psi(y)+C(q)+O\left(\frac{\log ^{q}(y+1)}{y^{2}}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(q)$ is the constant given by

$$
C(q)=\frac{\delta_{q}}{2}+\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{q \log ^{q-1} t-\log ^{q} t}{t^{2}} \psi(t) d t
$$

with $\delta_{0}=1$ and $\delta_{q}=0$ for $q \geq 1$, and in particular $C(0)=\gamma$ and $C(1)$ $=-\gamma_{1}$.

This lemma is derived immediately by applying the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula (see also [3]).

Lemma 2.4. Let $\phi(n)$ be the Euler totient function and define

$$
F(x)=\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)
$$

For $x \geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\phi(n)}{n^{2}} & =\frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \log x+A_{2}-\frac{1}{x} F(x)+O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\phi(n) \log n}{n^{2}} & =\frac{1}{2 \zeta(2)} \log ^{2} x+A_{3}-\frac{\log x}{x} F(x)+O\left(\frac{\log x}{x}\right) \\
\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\phi(n) \log ^{2} n}{n^{2}} & =\frac{1}{3 \zeta(2)} \log ^{3} x+A_{4}-\frac{\log ^{2} x}{x} F(x)+O\left(\frac{\log ^{2} x}{x}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where the constants $A_{j}(j=2,3,4)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{2}= & \frac{\gamma}{\zeta(2)}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n) \log n}{n^{2}}=\frac{\gamma}{\zeta(2)}-\frac{\zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta^{2}(2)}  \tag{2.7}\\
A_{3}= & \frac{C(1)}{\zeta(2)}+\gamma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n) \log n}{n^{2}}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n) \log ^{2} n}{n^{2}}  \tag{2.8}\\
= & -\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\zeta(2)}+\gamma \frac{\zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta^{2}(2)}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\zeta^{\prime \prime}(2)}{\zeta^{2}(2)}-\frac{\left(\zeta^{\prime}(2)\right)^{2}}{\zeta^{3}(2)}, \\
A_{4}= & \frac{C(2)}{\zeta(2)}+2 C(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n) \log n}{n^{2}}+\gamma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n) \log ^{2} n}{n^{2}} \\
& -\frac{1}{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n) \log ^{3} n}{n^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We shall give a proof of 2.5 only, since 2.4 and (2.6) are similar. Using the well-known formula $\phi(n)=n \sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d) / d$ and changing the order of summation, we obtain

$$
S:=\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\phi(n) \log n}{n^{2}}=\sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^{2}} \sum_{n \leq x / d} \frac{\log d n}{n}
$$

For the sum over $n$ we apply 2.3 with $q=0,1$ to get

$$
\begin{align*}
S= & \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^{2}}\left\{\log d\left(\log \frac{x}{d}+\gamma-\frac{d}{x} \psi\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)+O\left(\frac{d^{2}}{x^{2}}\right)\right)\right.  \tag{2.9}\\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \log ^{2} \frac{x}{d}+C(1)-\frac{d}{x} \psi\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) \log \frac{x}{d}+O\left(\frac{d^{2}}{x^{2}} \log \left(\frac{x}{d}+1\right)\right)\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^{2}}\right) \log ^{2} x-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d) \log ^{2} d}{d^{2}}+\gamma \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d) \log d}{d^{2}} \\
& +C(1) \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^{2}}-\frac{\log x}{x} F(x) \\
& +O\left(\frac{1}{x^{2}} \sum_{d \leq x} \log d\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{x^{2}} \sum_{d \leq x} \log \left(\frac{x}{d}+1\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From the prime number theorem we observe that

$$
\sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d) \log ^{j} d}{d^{2}}=\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d) \log ^{j} d}{d^{2}}+O\left(x^{-1} \exp (-c \sqrt{\log x})\right)
$$

for $j=0,1,2$. Substituting this into 2.9 we get 2.5 .
Lemma 2.5. If $\sigma_{0}>\max \left(0, \sigma_{a}\right)$ and $x, T>0$, then

$$
\sum_{n \leq x}^{\prime} a_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\sigma_{0}-i T}^{\sigma_{0}+i T} \alpha(s) \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s+R
$$

where

$$
R \ll \sum_{\substack{x / 2<n<2 x \\ n \neq x}}\left|a_{n}\right| \min \left(1, \frac{x}{T|x-n|}\right)+\frac{4^{\sigma_{0}}+x^{\sigma_{0}}}{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a_{n}\right|}{n^{\sigma_{0}}},
$$

and $\sum^{\prime}$ indicates that the last term is to be halved if $x$ is an integer.
This is the famous Perron formula (see H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan [8, Theorem 5.2 and Corollary 5.3]).

Lemma 2.6 ([2, (4.12)]). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(s_{1}, s_{2} ; y\right)=\sum_{n \leq y} \sigma_{1-s_{1}}(n) \sigma_{1-s_{2}}(n) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $L=\log y$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, y\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{4} R_{j}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, y\right)+O\left(L^{6}\left(y^{1 / 2}+y / T\right)\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, y\right)=y \frac{\zeta\left(s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(s_{1}+s_{2}-1\right)}{\zeta\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right)} \\
& R_{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, y\right)=y^{2-s_{1}} \frac{\zeta\left(2-s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(1-s_{1}+s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(s_{2}\right)}{\left(2-s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(2-s_{1}+s_{2}\right)} \\
& R_{3}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, y\right)=y^{2-s_{2}} \frac{\zeta\left(2-s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(1+s_{1}-s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(s_{1}\right)}{\left(2-s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(2+s_{1}-s_{2}\right)} \\
& R_{4}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, y\right)=y^{3-s_{1}-s_{2}} \frac{\zeta\left(3-s_{1}-s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(2-s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(2-s_{1}\right)}{\left(3-s_{1}-s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(4-s_{1}-s_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. From $(1.5)$ and $(1.7)$, we have

$$
D_{1}(x, y)=\sum_{n \leq y} \sum_{q \leq x} \widehat{c}_{q}(n)=\sum_{n \leq y} \sum_{\substack{q \leq x}} \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ d| n}} d\left|\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)\right|=\sum_{\substack{n \leq y \\ d k \leq x \\ d \mid n}} d|\mu(k)|
$$

Changing the order of summation, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{1}(x, y) & =\sum_{d k \leq x} d|\mu(k)| \sum_{\substack{n \leq y \\
d \mid n}} 1=\sum_{d k \leq x} d|\mu(k)|\left[\frac{y}{d}\right]  \tag{3.1}\\
& =y \sum_{d k \leq x}|\mu(k)|-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{d k \leq x} d|\mu(k)|-\sum_{d k \leq x} d|\mu(k)| \psi\left(\frac{y}{d}\right) \\
& =: D_{1,1}(x, y)-D_{1,2}(x, y)-D_{1,3}(x, y)
\end{align*}
$$

For the first term, we apply Lemma 2.1 to get

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{1,1}(x, y) & =y \sum_{d k \leq x}|\mu(k)|=y \sum_{m \leq x} \sum_{k \mid m}|\mu(k)|=y \sum_{m \leq x} 2^{\omega(m)}  \tag{3.2}\\
& =y\left(\frac{1}{\zeta(2)} x \log x+A_{1} x+O\left(x^{1 / 2} \exp (-C \varepsilon(x))\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{1,2}(x, y) & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{d k \leq x} d|\mu(k)|=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \leq x}|\mu(k)| \sum_{d \leq x / k} d  \tag{3.3}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \leq x}|\mu(k)|\left(\frac{x^{2}}{2 k^{2}}+O\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4} x^{2} \sum_{k \leq x} \frac{|\mu(k)|}{k^{2}}+O(x \log x)=\frac{\zeta(2)}{4 \zeta(4)} x^{2}+O(x \log x) .
\end{align*}
$$

To estimate $D_{1,3}(x, y)$ we use the theory of exponent pairs. Let $N_{j}=N_{j, k}=$ $(x / k) 2^{-j}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1,3}(x, y) & =\sum_{k \leq x}|\mu(k)| \sum_{d \leq x / k} d \psi\left(\frac{y}{d}\right) \\
& \ll \sum_{k \leq x}|\mu(k)| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} N_{j} \sup _{I}\left|\sum_{d \in I} \psi\left(\frac{y}{d}\right)\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the sup is over all subintervals $I$ of $\left(N_{j}, 2 N_{j}\right]$. From (2.2) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{1,3}(x, y) & \ll \sum_{k \leq x}|\mu(k)| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left\{N_{j} y^{1 / 3}+N_{j}^{3} y^{-1}\right\}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \ll \sum_{k \leq x}|\mu(k)|\left\{\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) y^{1 / 3}+\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)^{3} y^{-1}\right\} \\
& \ll \sum_{k \leq x} \frac{|\mu(k)|}{k} \cdot x y^{1 / 3}+\sum_{k \leq x} \frac{|\mu(k)|}{k^{3}} \cdot x^{3} y^{-1} \\
& \ll x y^{1 / 3} \log x+x^{3} y^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (3.2)-(3.4) in (3.1), we get the assertion of Theorem 1.1.
4. Proof of Theorem 1.2. We follow the method of Chan and Kumchev [2]. From (1.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{2}(x, y) & =\sum_{n \leq y}\left(\sum_{q \leq x} \widehat{c}_{q}(n)\right)^{2}=\sum_{n \leq y}\left(\sum_{\substack{d k \leq x \\
d \mid n}} d|\mu(k)|\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{d_{1} k_{1} \leq x} d_{1}\left|\mu\left(k_{1}\right)\right| \sum_{d_{2} k_{2} \leq x} d_{2}\left|\mu\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \sum_{\substack{n \leq y \\
d_{1}\left|n, d_{2}\right| n}} 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The sum over $n$ can be written as

$$
\sum_{\substack{n \leq y \\ d_{1}\left|n, d_{2}\right| n}} 1=\sum_{\left[d_{1}, d_{2}\right] m \leq y} 1=\sum_{m \leq y /\left[d_{1}, d_{2}\right]} 1=\left[\frac{y}{\left[d_{1}, d_{2}\right]}\right]
$$

where $\left[d_{1}, d_{2}\right.$ ] denotes the least common multiple of $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{2}(x, y) & =\sum_{d_{1} k_{1} \leq x} \sum_{d_{2} k_{2} \leq x} d_{1} d_{2}\left|\mu\left(k_{1}\right)\right|\left|\mu\left(k_{2}\right)\right|\left[\frac{y}{\left[d_{1}, d_{2}\right]}\right]  \tag{4.1}\\
& =y \sum_{d_{1} k_{1} \leq x} \sum_{d_{2} k_{2} \leq x}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)\left|\mu\left(k_{1}\right)\right|\left|\mu\left(k_{2}\right)\right|+O(E),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =\sum_{d_{1} k_{1} \leq x} \sum_{d_{2} k_{2} \leq x} d_{1} d_{2}\left|\mu\left(k_{1}\right)\right|\left|\mu\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \ll \sum_{d_{1} \leq x} d_{1}\left[\frac{x}{d_{1}}\right] \sum_{d_{2} \leq x} d_{2}\left[\frac{x}{d_{2}}\right] \ll x^{2} \cdot x^{2}=x^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we shall evaluate the main term of (4.1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{d_{1} k_{1} \leq x} \sum_{d_{2} k_{2} \leq x}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)\left|\mu\left(k_{1}\right)\right|\left|\mu\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \\
&=\sum_{d \leq x} d \sum_{d l_{1} k_{1} \leq x, d l_{2} k_{2} \leq x}^{\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=1} \\
&=\sum_{d \leq x} d \sum_{d l_{1} k_{1} \leq x} \sum_{d l_{2} k_{2} \leq x}\left|\mu\left(k_{1}\right)\right|\left|\mu\left(k_{2}\right)\right|\left|\mu\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \sum_{l \mid\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)} \mu(l) \\
&=\sum_{d l \leq x} d \mu(l)\left(\sum_{m k \leq x /(d l)}|\mu(k)|\right)^{2} \\
&=\sum_{d l \leq x} d \mu(l)\left(\sum_{n \leq x /(d l)} \sum_{k \mid n}|\mu(k)|\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, for large $x$,

$$
\text { 2) } \begin{align*}
& \left(\sum_{n \leq x /(d l)} \sum_{k \mid n}|\mu(k)|\right)^{2}=\left(\sum_{n \leq x /(d l)} 2^{\omega(n)}\right)^{2}  \tag{4.2}\\
= & \frac{1}{\zeta^{2}(2)} \frac{x^{2}}{d^{2} l^{2}} \log ^{2} \frac{x}{d l}+\frac{2 A_{1}}{\zeta(2)} \frac{x^{2}}{d^{2} l^{2}} \log \frac{x}{d l}+A_{1}^{2} \frac{x^{2}}{d^{2} l^{2}}+O\left(\left(\frac{x}{d l}\right)^{3 / 2}\right) \\
= & \frac{x^{2} \log ^{2} x}{\zeta^{2}(2)} \frac{1}{d^{2} l^{2}}-\frac{2 x^{2} \log x}{\zeta^{2}(2)} \frac{\log d l}{d^{2} l^{2}}+\frac{x^{2}}{\zeta^{2}(2)} \frac{\log ^{2} d l}{d^{2} l^{2}}+\frac{2 A_{1} x^{2} \log x}{\zeta(2)} \frac{1}{d^{2} l^{2}} \\
& -\frac{2 A_{1} x^{2}}{\zeta(2)} \frac{\log d l}{d^{2} l^{2}}+A_{1}^{2} x^{2} \frac{1}{d^{2} l^{2}}+O\left(\left(\frac{x}{d l}\right)^{3 / 2}\right) \\
= & \left\{\frac{x^{2} \log ^{2} x}{\zeta^{2}(2)}+\frac{2 A_{1} x^{2} \log x}{\zeta(2)}+A_{1}^{2} x^{2}\right\} \frac{1}{d^{2} l^{2}}-\left\{\frac{2 x^{2} \log x}{\zeta^{2}(2)}+\frac{2 A_{1} x^{2}}{\zeta(2)}\right\} \frac{\log d l}{d^{2} l^{2}} \\
& +\frac{x^{2}}{\zeta^{2}(2)} \frac{\log ^{2} d l}{d^{2} l^{2}}+O\left(\left(\frac{x}{d l}\right)^{3 / 2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Write $G(x, d l)$ for the first three terms of the right hand side of 4.2$)$. Since

$$
\sum_{d l \leq x} d \mu(l) \cdot \frac{\log ^{j} d l}{d^{2} l^{2}}=\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\phi(n) \log ^{j} n}{n^{2}}
$$

we can apply Lemma 2.4 to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{d l \leq x} d \mu(l) G(x, d l)  \tag{4.3}\\
& =\frac{x^{2} \log ^{3} x}{3 \zeta^{3}(2)}+\frac{A_{1}+A_{2}}{\zeta^{2}(2)} x^{2} \log ^{2} x+\left(\frac{A_{1}^{2}+2 A_{1} A_{2}}{\zeta(2)}-\frac{2 A_{3}}{\zeta^{2}(2)}\right) x^{2} \log x \\
& \quad+\left(A_{1}^{2} A_{2}-\frac{2 A_{1} A_{3}}{\zeta(2)}+\frac{A_{4}}{\zeta^{2}(2)}\right) x^{2}-A_{1}^{2} x F(x)+O\left(x \log ^{2} x\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $F(x) \ll \log x$ trivially, $x F(x)$ is included in the last error term.
On the other hand, the contribution from the error term of $\sqrt[4.2]{ }$ is bounded above by

$$
\sum_{d l \leq x} d\left(\frac{x}{d l}\right)^{3 / 2} \ll x^{3 / 2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\sigma(n)}{n^{3 / 2}} \ll x^{2}
$$

Hence the terms lower than $x^{2}$ in 4.3 are absorbed in the error. Thus using (1.8) - 1.11), we finally obtain

$$
D_{2}(x, y)=x^{2} y P(\log x)+O\left(x^{2} y+x^{4}\right)
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.
5. Proof of Theorem 1.3. In this section we assume $1 \leq y \leq x^{M}$ for some constant $M$. Without loss of generality we can assume $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}+1 / 2$. We apply Lemma 2.5 with

$$
\alpha(s)=\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\widehat{c}_{q}(n)}{q^{s}}=\sigma_{1-s}(n) \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(2 s)}
$$

Then we have, for $x^{\varepsilon} \ll T \ll x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{q \leq x} \widehat{c}_{q}(n)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\alpha-i T}^{\alpha+i T} \sigma_{1-s}(n) \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(2 s)} \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s+E_{1}(x, n) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha \geq 1+1 / \log x$, where $E_{1}(x, n)$ is the error term given by

$$
E_{1}(x, n) \ll \sum_{x / 2<q<2 x}\left|\widehat{c}_{q}(n)\right| \min \left(1, \frac{x}{T|x-q|}\right)+\frac{x^{\alpha}}{T} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\widehat{c}_{q}(n)\right|}{q^{\alpha}} .
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
E_{1}(x, n) \ll \frac{x}{T} \sigma_{0}(n) \log x
$$

Let $\alpha_{j}=1+j / \log x(j=1,2)$. Applying (5.1) with $\alpha=\alpha_{j}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{q \leq x} \widehat{c}_{q}(n)\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \int_{\alpha_{1}-i T}^{\alpha_{1}+i T} \int_{\alpha_{2}-i T}^{\alpha_{2}+i T} F\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, n\right) d s_{2} d s_{1}+E_{2}(x, n), \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
F\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, n\right)=\sigma_{1-s_{1}}(n) \sigma_{1-s_{2}}(n) \frac{\zeta\left(s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(s_{2}\right)}{\zeta\left(2 s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(2 s_{2}\right)} \frac{x^{s_{1}+s_{2}}}{s_{1} s_{2}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{2}(x, n)=E_{1}(x, n)\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right. & \int_{\alpha_{1}-i T}^{\alpha_{1}+i T} \sigma_{1-s_{1}}(n) \frac{\zeta\left(s_{1}\right)}{\zeta\left(2 s_{1}\right)} \frac{x^{s_{1}}}{s_{1}} d s_{1} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\alpha_{2}-i T}^{\alpha_{2}+i T} \sigma_{1-s_{2}}(n) \frac{\zeta\left(s_{2}\right)}{\zeta\left(2 s_{2}\right)} \frac{x^{s_{2}}}{s_{2}} d s_{2}+E_{1}(x, n)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can see easily that

$$
E_{2}(x, n) \ll \frac{x^{2}}{T} \sigma_{0}(n)^{2} \log ^{3} x
$$

Summing (5.2) over $n$ and using the estimate

$$
\sum_{n \leq y} \sigma_{0}(n)^{2} \ll y \log ^{3} y
$$

we get

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{2}(x, y)= & \frac{1}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \int_{\alpha_{1}-i T}^{\alpha_{1}+i T} \int_{\alpha_{2}-i T}^{\alpha_{2}+i T} G\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, y\right) \frac{\zeta\left(s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(s_{2}\right)}{\zeta\left(2 s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(2 s_{2}\right)} \frac{x^{s_{1}+s_{2}}}{s_{1} s_{2}} d s_{2} d s_{1}  \tag{5.3}\\
& +O\left(x^{2} y L^{6} / T\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

where $G\left(s_{1}, s_{2} ; y\right)$ is defined by 2.10) and $L=\log x$. Here we note that $\log y \leq M \log x$ by the assumption.

Now we shall evaluate the integral of (5.3). Substituting (2.11) in (5.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2}(x, y)=\sum_{j=1}^{4} D_{2, j}(x, y)+O\left(y x^{2} L^{10}\left(y^{-1 / 2}+1 / T\right)\right), \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
D_{2, j}(x, y)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \int_{\alpha_{1}-i T}^{\alpha_{1}+i T} \int_{\alpha_{2}-i T}^{\alpha_{2}+i T} R_{j}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, y\right) \frac{\zeta\left(s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(s_{2}\right)}{\zeta\left(2 s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(2 s_{2}\right)} \frac{x^{s_{1}+s_{2}}}{s_{1} s_{2}} d s_{2} d s_{1}
$$

with $\alpha_{1}=1+1 / \log x$ and $\alpha_{2}=1+2 / \log x$.

First we deal with $D_{2,1}(x, y)$. From the definition of $R_{1}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, y\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2,1}(x, y)=\frac{y}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \int_{\alpha_{1}-i T}^{\alpha_{1}+i T} \int_{\alpha_{2}-i T}^{\alpha_{2}+i T} \frac{\zeta^{2}\left(s_{1}\right) \zeta^{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(s_{1}+s_{2}-1\right)}{\zeta\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(2 s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(2 s_{2}\right)} \frac{x^{s_{1}+s_{2}}}{s_{1} s_{2}} d s_{2} d s_{1} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in [2], let $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta, T)$ denote the contour consisting of the line segments $[\alpha-i T, \beta-i T],[\beta-i T, \beta+i T]$ and $[\beta+i T, \alpha+i T]$. In (5.5), we move the line of integration with respect to $s_{2}$ to $\Gamma\left(\alpha_{2}, 1 / 2, T\right)$. We denote the integrals over the horizontal line segments by $J_{1,1}$ and $J_{1,3}$, and the integral over the vertical line segment by $J_{1,2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{1,1}, J_{1,3} \\
& \ll \frac{x y}{T} \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\left|\zeta^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+i t_{1}\right)\right|}{1+\left|t_{1}\right|} d t_{1} \int_{1 / 2}^{\alpha_{2}} \frac{\left|\zeta^{2}\left(\sigma_{2}+i T\right) \zeta\left(\alpha_{1}+\sigma_{2}-1+i\left(t_{1}+T\right)\right)\right| x^{\sigma_{2}}}{\left|\zeta\left(2 \sigma_{2}+2 i T\right)\right|} d \sigma_{2} \\
& \ll \frac{x y L^{4}}{T} \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\left|\zeta^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+i t_{1}\right)\right|}{1+\left|t_{1}\right|} d t_{1} \int_{1 / 2}^{\alpha_{2}} T^{\frac{2}{3}\left(1-\sigma_{2}\right)} T^{\frac{1}{3}\left(1-\sigma_{2}-1 / \log x\right)} x^{\sigma_{2}} d \sigma_{2} \\
& \ll \frac{x y L^{5}}{T}\left(x+x^{1 / 2} T^{1 / 2}\right) \ll y x^{2} \frac{L^{5}}{T},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the estimate $\int_{1}^{T}\left|\zeta\left(\alpha_{1}+i t\right)\right|^{2} d t \ll T$.
For the integral along the vertical line we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{1,2} & <y y x^{3 / 2} \int_{-T}^{T} \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\left|\zeta^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+i t_{1}\right) \zeta^{2}\left(1 / 2+i t_{2}\right) \zeta\left(\alpha_{1}-1 / 2+i\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)\right)\right|}{\left|\zeta\left(1+2 i t_{2}\right)\right|\left(1+\left|t_{1}\right|\right)\left(1+\left|t_{2}\right|\right)} d t_{1} d t_{2} \\
& <y x^{3 / 2} L^{3} \int_{-T}^{T} \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\left|\zeta^{2}\left(1 / 2+i t_{2}\right) \zeta\left(\alpha_{1}-1 / 2+i\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)\right)\right|}{\left(1+\left|t_{1}\right|\right)\left(1+\left|t_{2}\right|\right)} d t_{1} d t_{2} \\
& <y y x^{3 / 2} L^{3} \int_{-2 T}^{2 T}\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\log x}+i u\right)\right| \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\left|\zeta^{2}(1 / 2+i t)\right|}{(1+|t|)(1+|t-u|)} d t d u \\
& \ll y x^{3 / 2} L^{3} \int_{2}^{2 T}\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\log x}+i u\right)\right| \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\left|\zeta^{2}(1 / 2+i t)\right|}{(1+|t|)(1+|t-u|)} d t d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we note that

$$
\int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\left|\zeta^{2}(1 / 2+i t)\right|}{(1+|t|)(1+|t-u|)} d t=\int_{|t-u|>\frac{1}{2}|u|}+\int_{|t-u| \leq \frac{1}{2}|u|} \ll \frac{L}{1+|u|}+\frac{|u|^{\delta}}{1+|u|},
$$

where $\delta$ is a positive number such that

$$
\int_{0}^{X}\left|\zeta^{2}(1 / 2+i t)\right| d t=c X \log X+c^{\prime} X+O\left(X^{\delta}\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
J_{1,2} \ll y x^{3 / 2} L^{4} \int_{-2 T}^{2 T}\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\log x}+i u\right)\right| \frac{|u|^{\delta}}{1+|u|} d u \ll y x^{3 / 2} T^{\delta} L^{5}
$$

For simplicity, we take $\delta=1 / 3$ in what follows.
It remains to evaluate the residues of the poles of the integrand when we move the line of integration to $\Gamma\left(\alpha_{2}, 1 / 2, T\right)$. There is a simple pole at $s_{2}=2-s_{1}$ with residue

$$
\frac{\zeta^{2}\left(s_{1}\right) \zeta^{2}\left(2-s_{1}\right) x^{2}}{\zeta(2) \zeta\left(2 s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(4-2 s_{1}\right) s_{1}\left(2-s_{1}\right)}=: H_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) x^{2}
$$

and a double pole at $s_{2}=1$ with residue

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\zeta^{2}\left(s_{1}\right)}{\zeta\left(2 s_{1}\right)} \frac{x^{s_{1}+1}}{s_{1}}\left\{\frac{\zeta\left(s_{1}\right)}{\zeta\left(s_{1}+1\right)}\left(\frac{\log x}{\zeta(2)}+A_{1}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{1}{\zeta(2)}\left(\frac{\zeta^{\prime}\left(s_{1}\right)}{\zeta\left(s_{1}+1\right)}-\frac{\zeta\left(s_{1}\right) \zeta^{\prime}\left(s_{1}+1\right)}{\zeta^{2}\left(s_{1}+1\right)}\right)\right\} \\
&=: x^{s_{1}+1}\left\{H_{2}\left(s_{1}\right) \log x+H_{3}\left(s_{1}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{1}$ is defined by (2.1). The contributions to $D_{2,1}(x, y)$ from these residues are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{x^{2} y}{2 \pi i} \int_{\alpha_{1}-i T}^{\alpha_{1}+i T} H_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1}+\frac{x y \log x}{2 \pi i} \int_{\alpha_{1}-i T}^{\alpha_{1}+i T} H_{2}\left(s_{1}\right) x^{s_{1}} d s_{1} \\
& +\frac{x y}{2 \pi i} \int_{\alpha_{1}-i T}^{\alpha_{1}+i T} H_{3}\left(s_{1}\right) x^{s_{1}} d s_{1}=: I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

say.
For $I_{1}$, moving the line of integration to $\Gamma\left(\alpha_{1}, 5 / 4, T\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}= & \frac{x^{2} y}{2 \pi i} \int_{5 / 4-i \infty}^{5 / 4+i \infty} H_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1}+O\left(x^{2} y \int_{T}^{\infty}\left|H_{1}\left(\frac{5}{4}+i t_{1}\right)\right| d t_{1}\right) \\
& +O\left(x^{2} y L^{4} T^{-11 / 6}\right) \\
= & c x^{2} y+O\left(x^{2} y / T\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have set

$$
c=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{5 / 4-i \infty}^{5 / 4+i \infty} H_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1}
$$

For $I_{2}$, we move the line of integration to $\Gamma\left(\alpha_{1}, 1 / 2, T\right)$. The integrals over the horizontal lines are

$$
\ll x y L^{5} \int_{1 / 2}^{\alpha_{1}} T^{1-\sigma_{1}} T^{-1} x^{\sigma_{1}} d \sigma_{1} \ll x y L^{5}\left(\frac{x}{T}+\left(\frac{x}{T}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

and the integral over the vertical line is

$$
\ll x y L^{2} \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\left|\zeta\left(1 / 2+i t_{1}\right)\right|^{3}}{1+\left|t_{1}\right|} x^{1 / 2} d t_{1} \ll x^{3 / 2} y L^{6}
$$

where we have used the well-known estimate $\int_{0}^{T}|\zeta(1 / 2+i t)|^{3} d t \ll T \log ^{3} T$. Furthermore, when moving the line of integration we encounter a triple pole at $s_{1}=1$. Hence by Cauchy's theorem we get

$$
I_{2}=x^{2} y \log x P_{1}(\log x)+O\left(x y L^{5}\left(\frac{x}{T}+\left(\frac{x}{T}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)\right)+O\left(x^{3 / 2} y L^{6}\right)
$$

where $P_{1}(u)$ is a polynomial in $u$ of degree 2 . By direct computation we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}(u)=a_{1} u^{2}+a_{2} u+a_{3} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \zeta^{3}(2)}, \quad a_{2}= \frac{1}{\zeta^{3}(2)}\left(3 \gamma-1-\frac{3 \zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right)  \tag{5.7}\\
& a_{3}=\frac{1}{\zeta^{3}(2)}\left\{3\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma^{2}\right)-3 \gamma\left(1+\frac{3 \zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+1+\frac{3 \zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}-\frac{5 \zeta^{\prime \prime}(2)}{2 \zeta(2)}+\frac{7\left(\zeta^{\prime}(2)\right)^{2}}{\zeta^{2}(2)}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

In the same way as for $I_{2}$, we find that there exists a polynomial $P_{2}(t)$ in $t$ of degree 3 such that

$$
I_{3}=x^{2} y P_{2}(\log x)+O\left(x y L^{6}\left(\frac{x}{T}+\left(\frac{x}{T}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)\right)+O\left(x^{3 / 2} y L^{6}\right)
$$

Here we have used the mean square estimate $\int_{0}^{T}\left|\zeta^{\prime}(1 / 2+i t)\right|^{2} d t \ll T \log ^{3} T$ due to A. E. Ingham [6], and the bound $\zeta^{\prime}(\sigma+i t) \ll|t|^{\frac{1}{3}(1-\sigma)} \log ^{3}|t|$ for $1 / 2 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ (see S. M. Gonek [4]). In this case we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2}(u)=b_{1} u^{3}+b_{2} u^{2}+b_{3} u+b_{4} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
b_{1}=-\frac{1}{6 \zeta^{3}(2)}, \quad b_{2}=0  \tag{5.10}\\
b_{3}=-\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\zeta^{3}(2)}+\frac{5 \gamma^{2}}{\zeta^{3}(2)}-\frac{3 \gamma}{\zeta^{3}(2)}\left(1+\frac{3 \zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right)  \tag{5.11}\\
+\frac{1}{\zeta^{4}(2)}\left(3 \zeta^{\prime}(2)+\frac{3\left(\zeta^{\prime}(2)\right)^{2}}{\zeta(2)}+\frac{3 \zeta^{\prime \prime}(2)}{2}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

From (5.6)-(5.11), $\sqrt{1.9}$ and $(1.10$ we find that

$$
a_{2}+b_{2}=a_{2}=C_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad a_{3}+b_{3}=C_{2},
$$

hence

$$
u P_{1}(u)+P_{2}(u)=P(u)+b_{4},
$$

where $P(u)$ is the polynomial defined by 1.8 . The constant term $b_{4}$ can also be computed explicitly. Combining these results we get

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{2,1}(x, y)= & x^{2} y\left(P(\log x)+b_{4}+c\right)  \tag{5.12}\\
& +O\left(x^{2} y L^{6} / T\right)+O\left(x^{3 / 2} y T^{1 / 3} L^{5}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Next we consider the term $D_{2,4}(x, y)$. It is given explicitly by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
D_{2,4}(x, y)=\frac{y^{3}}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \int_{\alpha_{1}-i T}^{\alpha_{1}+i T} \int_{\alpha_{2}-i T}^{\alpha_{2}+i T} \frac{\zeta\left(3-s_{1}-s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(2-s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(2-s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(s_{2}\right)}{\zeta\left(4-s_{1}-s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(2 s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(2 s_{2}\right)\left(3-s_{1}-s_{2}\right)} \\
\times \frac{(x / y)^{s_{1}+s_{2}}}{s_{1} s_{2}} d s_{2} d s_{1}
\end{array}
$$

We move the line of integration with respect to $s_{2}$ to $\Gamma\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta, T\right)$, where $\beta=5 / 2-\alpha_{1}=3 / 2-1 / \log x$. There are no poles when we deform the path of integration over $s_{2}$. The contributions from the horizontal lines are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{4,1}, J_{4,3} \ll x y^{2}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{1}{\log x}} \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\left|\zeta\left(1-\frac{1}{\log x}-i t_{1}\right) \zeta\left(1+\frac{1}{\log x}+i t_{1}\right)\right|}{1+\left|t_{1}\right|} d t_{1} \\
& \quad \times \int_{\alpha_{2}}^{\beta} \frac{\left|\zeta\left(2-\frac{1}{\log x}-\sigma_{2}-i\left(t_{1}+T\right)\right) \zeta\left(2-\sigma_{2}-i T\right) \zeta\left(\sigma_{2}+i T\right)\right|}{\left(1+\left|t_{1}+T\right|\right) T}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\sigma_{2}} d \sigma_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The inner integral is estimated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ll \frac{1}{T\left(1+\left|t_{1}+T\right|\right)}\left(L^{3}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{1+\frac{2}{\log x}}+T^{1 / 3}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{\log x}}\right) \\
& \ll \frac{L^{3}}{T\left(1+\left|t_{1}+T\right|\right)}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)\left(1+T^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the assumption $y \ll x^{M}$. Hence,
$J_{4,1}, J_{4,3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ll x^{2} y \frac{L^{3}}{T}\left(1+T^{1 / 3}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\left|\zeta\left(1-\frac{1}{\log x}-i t_{1}\right) \zeta\left(1+\frac{1}{\log x}+i t_{1}\right)\right|}{\left(1+\left|t_{1}\right|\right)\left(1+\left|t_{1}\right|+T\right)} d t_{1} \\
& \ll x^{2} y \frac{L^{4}}{T^{2}}\left(1+T^{1 / 3}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the integral on the vertical line we find that
$J_{4,2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ll y^{3} \int_{-T}^{T} \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}-i\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)\right) \zeta\left(1-\frac{1}{\log x}-i t_{1}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\log x}-i t_{2}\right) \zeta\left(1+\frac{1}{\log x}+i t_{1}\right)\right|}{\left(1+\left|t_{1}+t_{2}\right|\right)\left(1+\left|t_{1}\right|\right)\left(1+\left|t_{2}\right|\right)} \\
& \times\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{5 / 2} d t_{1} d t_{2} \\
& \ll y^{3}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{5 / 2} L^{2} \int_{-T}^{T} \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}-i\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\log x}-i t_{2}\right)\right|}{\left(1+\left|t_{1}\right|\right)\left(1+\left|t_{2}\right|\right)\left(1+\left|t_{1}+t_{2}\right|\right)} d t_{1} d t_{2} \\
& \ll y^{3}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{5 / 2} L^{2} \int_{-2 T}^{2 T} \frac{\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}-i u\right)\right|}{1+|u|} \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\log x}-i t_{2}\right)\right|}{\left(1+\left|t_{2}\right|\right)\left(1+\left|u-t_{2}\right|\right)} d t_{2} d u \\
& \ll x^{2} y\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{1 / 2} L^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2,4}(x, y) \ll x^{2} y L^{4}\left\{\frac{1}{T^{2}}+\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we shall evaluate the integral $D_{2,3}(x, y)$. It is given explicitly by $D_{2,3}(x, y)$

$$
=\frac{y^{2}}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \int_{\alpha_{1}-i T}^{\alpha_{1}+i T} \int_{\alpha_{2}-i T}^{\alpha_{2}+i T} \frac{\zeta\left(2-s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(1+s_{1}-s_{2}\right) \zeta^{2}\left(s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(s_{2}\right)}{\left(2-s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(2+s_{1}-s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(2 s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(2 s_{2}\right)} \frac{x^{s_{1}+s_{2}} y^{-s_{2}}}{s_{1} s_{2}} d s_{2} d s_{1} .
$$

We move the line of integration with respect to $s_{2}$ to $\Gamma\left(\alpha_{2}, 3 / 2, T\right)$. Note that there exist no poles under this deformation. The contributions from the horizontal lines are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{3,1}, J_{3,3} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\left.\ll \frac{y^{2} x}{T^{2}} \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\left|\zeta^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+i t_{1}\right)\right|}{1+\left|t_{1}\right|} \int_{\alpha_{2}}^{3 / 2} \right\rvert\, \zeta\left(2-\sigma_{2}-i T\right) \zeta(1 & \left.+\alpha_{1}-\sigma_{2}+i\left(t_{1}-T\right)\right) \\
& \times \zeta\left(\sigma_{2}+i T\right) \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\sigma_{2}} d \sigma_{2} d t_{1}\right.
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ll \frac{y^{2} x L^{3}}{T^{2}} \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\left|\zeta^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+i t_{1}\right)\right|}{1+\left|t_{1}\right|} \int_{\alpha_{2}}^{3 / 2} T^{\left(-1+\sigma_{2}\right) / 3}\left(1+\left|t_{1}-T\right|\right)^{\left(-1+\sigma_{2}\right) / 3}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\sigma_{2}} d \sigma_{2} d t_{1} \\
& \ll \frac{y^{2} x L^{3}}{T^{2}} \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\left|\zeta^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+i t_{1}\right)\right|}{1+\left|t_{1}\right|} d t_{1} \int_{\alpha_{2}}^{3 / 2} T^{2\left(-1+\sigma_{2}\right) / 3}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\sigma_{2}} d \sigma_{2} \\
& \ll \frac{y^{2} x L^{4}}{T^{2}}\left(T^{1 / 3}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{3 / 2}+\frac{x}{y}\right) \ll y x^{2} L^{4}\left(T^{-2}+T^{-5 / 3}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, the contribution from the vertical line is $J_{3,2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ll y^{2} x \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\left|\zeta^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+i t_{1}\right)\right|}{1+\left|t_{1}\right|} \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}-i t_{2}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\log x}+i\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)\right)\right|}{\left(1+\left|t_{2}\right|\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} d t_{2} d t_{1} \\
& \ll y^{2} x\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{3 / 2} L .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2,3}(x, y) \ll y x^{2} L\left\{\frac{L^{3}}{T^{2}}+\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we consider the integral $D_{2,2}(x, y)$. Its explicit form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2,2}(x, y) \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=\frac{y^{2}}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \int_{\alpha_{1}-i T}^{\alpha_{1}+i T} \int_{\alpha_{2}-i T}^{\alpha_{2}+i T} \frac{\zeta\left(2-s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(1-s_{1}+s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(s_{1}\right) \zeta^{2}\left(s_{2}\right)}{\left(2-s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(2-s_{1}+s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(2 s_{1}\right) \zeta\left(2 s_{2}\right)} \frac{x^{s_{1}+s_{2}} y^{-s_{1}}}{s_{1} s_{2}} d s_{2} d s_{1}
$$

This time we first move the line of integration over $s_{1}$ to $\left.\Gamma\left(\alpha_{1}, 3 / 2, T\right){ }^{1}\right)$. The estimates over the horizontal lines and the vertical line are the same as those of $D_{2,3}(x, y)$, but there is a simple pole at $s_{1}=s_{2}$ inside this contour. The residue of the integrand of (5.15) at this pole is

$$
-\frac{\zeta\left(2-s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(s_{2}\right)^{3} x^{2 s_{2}} y^{-s_{2}}}{\zeta(2) \zeta\left(2 s_{2}\right)^{2}\left(2-s_{2}\right) s_{2}^{2}}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{2,2}(x, y)= & \frac{x^{2} y}{2 \pi i} \int_{\alpha_{2}-i T}^{\alpha_{2}+i T} \frac{\zeta\left(2-s_{2}\right) \zeta\left(s_{2}\right)^{3}\left(y / x^{2}\right)^{1-s_{2}}}{\zeta(2) \zeta\left(2 s_{2}\right)^{2}\left(2-s_{2}\right) s_{2}^{2}} d s_{2} \\
& +y x^{2} L\left\{\frac{L^{3}}{T^{2}}+\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]The treatment of the integral on the right hand side is easier than the corresponding integral of [2]. In fact, we move the line of integration to $\Gamma\left(\alpha_{2}, 1 / 2, T\right)$. By the same method as before, the integrals over the horizontal lines are estimated as
$\ll \frac{x^{2} y}{T^{3}}\left(L^{4}\left(\frac{y}{x^{2}}\right)^{-2 / \log x}+L^{2} T^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{y}{x^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \ll \frac{x^{2} y L^{4}}{T^{3}}\left(1+T^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{y}{x^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$,
and those over the vertical line are estimated as $\ll x^{2} y\left(y / x^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} L^{2}$. Furthermore, there is a contribution from the pole $s_{2}=1$ of order 4 , hence

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{2,2}(x, y) & =x^{2} y Q_{0}\left(\log \frac{x^{2}}{y}\right)+y x^{2} L\left(\frac{L^{3}}{T^{2}}+\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)  \tag{5.16}\\
+ & O\left(\frac{x^{2} y L^{4}}{T^{3}}\left(1+T^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{y}{x^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)\right)+O\left(x^{2} y\left(\frac{y}{x^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} L^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q_{0}(u)$ is a polynomial in $u$ of degree 3 . By Cauchy's residue theorem, we have

$$
Q_{0}(u)=-\frac{1}{6 \zeta^{3}(2)} u^{3}+C_{3} u^{2}+C_{4} u+C_{5}^{\prime}
$$

where $C_{3}$ and $C_{4}$ are the constants defined by 1.14 and 1.15 , respectively, and $C_{5}^{\prime}$ is another constant.

Now we substitute (5.12)-(5.14) and (5.16) into (5.4), and take $T=x^{3 / 8}$. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{2}(x, y)= & x^{2} y\left(P(\log x)+b_{4}+c\right)+x^{2} y Q_{0}\left(\log \frac{x^{2}}{y}\right) \\
& +O\left(x^{2} y\left(L^{10} x^{-3 / 8}+L^{10} y^{-1 / 2}+L^{4}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{1 / 2}+L^{2}\left(\frac{y}{x^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $C_{5}=b_{4}+c+C_{5}^{\prime}$ and defing $Q(u)$ by 1.13), we get the assertion of Theorem 1.3.
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[^1]:    $\left({ }^{1}\right)$ In [2, p. 8, line 4], Chan and Kumchev wrote that "Similarly, by moving the line of integration to $\Gamma\left(\alpha_{2}, 3 / 2, T\right)$, we find" formulas (4.16) and (4.17). But it seems that to derive (4.17) of [2], we need to move the line of integration over $s_{1}$ to $\Gamma\left(\alpha_{1}, 3 / 2, T\right)$.

