## On a problem of R. C. Baker

by

R. NAIR (Liverpool)

**1. Introduction.** For a real number y, let  $\langle y \rangle$  denote its fractional part. We will use L to denote the collection of Lebesgue integrable functions on [0,1) and M to denote the bounded measurable functions on [0,1). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a collection of Lebesgue measurable functions on the interval [0,1). Following [B], [M] we say that a strictly increasing sequence  $(a_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of natural numbers is an  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  sequence if for each f in  $\mathcal{A}$  we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{a_k} \sum_{j=1}^{a_k} f(\langle x+j/a_k \rangle) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure. We say that  $(a_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}^*$  sequence if for each f in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} f(\langle a_k x \rangle) = \int_{0}^{1} f(t) dt$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure. Examples of sequences that are both  $\widehat{M}$  and  $M^*$  appear in [B], [M], though the study of each class has an independent history going back to [J] and [K] respectively. See also [E], [S]. In this paper, in answer to a question raised in [B], we show that  $a_k = 2^{2^k}$  is an  $\widehat{L}$  sequence but not an  $M^*$  sequence. Evidently  $\widehat{L} \subseteq \widehat{M}$ .

As is often the case in this subject a statement's verification is straightforward given that we have isolated the right general principle, and difficult without it. To show that  $a_n = 2^{2^k}$  is an  $\widehat{L}$  sequence, we recall B. Jessen's theorem [J].

THEOREM A. Suppose that  $(a_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers such that  $a_k$  divides  $a_{k+1}$  for each k. Suppose also that f

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 11K06, 11K41, 26A42, 28D05.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:$  Riemann sums, Lebesgue integrals, strong uniform distribution.

is a Lebesgue integrable function on [0, 1). Then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{a_k} \sum_{j=1}^{a_k} f(\langle x+j/a_k \rangle) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure.

It is worthwhile to note that Jessen's theorem is a consequence of J. L. Doob's decreasing martingale theorem [D]. We now show that  $a_k = 2^{2^k}$  is not an  $M^*$  sequence. Let  $(\mu_N)_{N=1}^{\infty}$  denote a sequence of probability measures on the integers. We call the sequence  $(\mu_N)_{N=1}^{\infty}$  dissipative if

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mu_N(k) = 0 \quad \text{for all integers } k.$$

Suppose that we have a set X, a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of its subsets, and a measure  $\mu$  on X which is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ . Suppose that T is map from X to itself. For A in  $\mathcal{B}$  set  $T^{-1}A = \{x : Tx \in A\}$ . We call the map T measurable if  $T^{-1}A$  is in  $\mathcal{B}$  when A is; and we call it measure preserving if  $\mu(T^{-1}A) = \mu(A)$  for all A in  $\mathcal{B}$ . We call the quadruple  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  a dynamical system if it is measurable and measure preserving. A dynamical system  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  is called ergodic if  $\mu(A \bigtriangleup T^{-1}A) = 0$  implies that  $\mu(A)$  is either zero or one. Here for two sets A and B we have used  $A \bigtriangleup B$  to denote their symmetric difference.

For a sequence  $(\mu_N)_{N=1}^{\infty}$  of probability measures on the integers and f in  $L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ,

$$(\mu_N f)(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_N(k) f(T^k x) \quad (N = 1, 2, \ldots).$$

Given  $\delta > 0$ , a sequence of probability measures  $(\mu_N)_{N=1}^{\infty}$  is called  $\delta$ sweeping out if for all ergodic dynamical systems  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists E in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mu(E) \leq \varepsilon$  and

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \mu_N I_E(x) \ge \delta$$

 $\mu$ -almost everywhere. Here  $I_E$  denotes the indicator function of the set E.

We need the following theorem proved in [Ro].

THEOREM B. Suppose that  $S = (b_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of integers with

$$\inf_{k\ge 1}\frac{b_{k+1}}{b_k}>1,$$

and that each measure  $\mu_N$  (N = 1, 2, ...) has support contained in S. Then  $(\mu_N)_{N=1}^{\infty}$  is  $\delta$ -sweeping out for some  $\delta > 0$ .

We use this theorem by applying it to the setting where

$$b_k = 2^k$$
  $(k = 1, 2, \ldots),$ 

A problem of R. C. Baker

$$\mu_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{b_k} \quad (N = 1, 2, \ldots)$$

for delta measures  $\delta_a$  defined by

$$\delta_a(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin A, \end{cases}$$

defined on the integers, X = [0, 1),  $\mathcal{B}$  is the Lebesgue  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\mu$  is Lebesgue measure on [0, 1) and the map T is defined by  $Tx = \langle 2x \rangle$ . The fact that T both preserves Lebesgue measure on [0, 1) and is ergodic is proved in [W]. For a Lebesgue measurable set A let |A| denote its Lebesgue measure. The upshot of this is that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a Lebesgue measurable set E contained in [0, 1) such that  $|E| < \varepsilon$  and

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} I_E(\langle 2^{2^k} x \rangle) \ge \delta > 0$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure.

Thus of course  $a_k = 2^{2^k}$  (k = 1, 2, ...) is not an  $M^*$  sequence. It would be interesting to know if we could choose  $\delta = 1$ . Evidently in the previous argument Lebesgue measurable can be replaced by Borel measurable everywhere.

Plainly for any strictly increasing sequence  $(c_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of natural numbers, the sequence  $a_k = 2^{c_k}$  (k = 1, 2, ...) is an  $\widehat{L}$  sequence. Given p in  $[1, \infty)$ it is possible to give strictly increasing sequences  $(c_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of integers such that  $a_k = 2^{c_k}$  (k = 1, 2, ...) is in  $(L^p)^*$  but not in  $(L^q)^*$  for any q < p. Here  $L^p$  denotes the space of Lebesgue measurable functions on [0, 1) whose pth powers are Lebesgue integrable. This observation relies on a result of K. Reinhold-Larsson [RL].

THEOREM C. Given p in  $[1, \infty)$ , there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $(c_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of natural numbers such that for every dynamical system  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  and every function f in  $L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  there exists  $C_p > 0$  such that if

$$Mf(x) = \Big| \sup_{N \ge 1} \sum_{k=1}^{N} f(T^{c_k} x) \Big|,$$

then

$$\mu(\{x \in X : Mf(x) > \alpha\}) \le \frac{C_p}{\alpha^p} \|f\|_p$$

where

$$||f||_p = \left(\int_X |f|^p(x) \, d\mu\right)^{1/p}.$$

Also if  $1 \leq q < p$  then there exists f in  $L^q(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  such that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} f(T^{c_k} x)$$

does not have a finite limit for almost all x with respect to  $\mu$ .

Choosing X = [0, 1),  $\mathcal{B}$  to be the Lebesgue  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\mu$  the Lebesgue measure and  $Tx = \langle 2x \rangle$  and using Theorem C as before shows that  $a_k = 2^{c_k}$  (k = 1, 2, ...) does not belong to  $(L^q)^*$ . To show that  $(2^{c_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is in  $(L^p)^*$  we need to show that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} f(\langle 2^{c_k} x \rangle) = \int_{0}^{1} f(t) dt$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure. By a classical theorem of H. Weyl [Wy] this is known for continuous functions on [0, 1). Suppose that  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of continuous functions on [0, 1) converging to fin  $L^p$  norm. This means that there exists a subsequence  $(n_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} |f - f_{n_k}|^p(x) \, dx < \infty,$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f - f_{n_k}|^p(x) < \infty$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure on [0, 1). Thus for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a sequence of functions  $(f_{\varepsilon,k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that

$$\|f - f_{\varepsilon,k}\|_p^p \le \varepsilon^{2k}$$

and  $f_{\varepsilon,k}$  tends to f as k tends to infinity almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure on [0, 1). Notice that

$$M(f+g) \le M(f) + M(g).$$

Let

$$E_{\varepsilon,k} := \{ x \in [0,1) : M(f - f_{\varepsilon,k})(x) > \varepsilon^{k/p} \}$$

and note from Theorem C that

$$\mu(E_{\varepsilon,k}) \le C_p \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^k \int\limits_{E_{\varepsilon,k}} |f - f_{\varepsilon,k}|^p(x) \, dx \le C_p \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^k \varepsilon^{2k} = C_p \varepsilon^k.$$

Let  $a_N(f, x)$  denote  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^N f(\langle 2^{c_l} x \rangle)$ . Now  $a_N(f, x) = a_N(f - f_{\varepsilon,k}x) + a_N(f_{\varepsilon,k}, x).$  This means that

$$\left|a_N(f,x) - \int_0^1 f(t) \, dt\right| \le \left|a_N(f - f_{\varepsilon,k}, x)\right| + \left|a_N(f_{\varepsilon,k}, x) - \int_0^1 f(t) \, dt\right|$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure on [0, 1). Thus

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \left| a_N(f, x) - \int_0^1 f(t) \, dt \right| \\ & \leq \limsup_{N \to \infty} \left| a_N(f - f_{\varepsilon, k}, x) \right| + \left| \int_0^1 (f - f_{\varepsilon, k})(t) \, dt \right| \end{split}$$

which is

$$\leq M(f - f_{\varepsilon,k})(x) + \int_{0}^{1} |f - f_{\varepsilon,k}|(t) dt$$

Therefore as N tends to infinity we know that  $a_N(f, x)$  tends to  $\int_0^1 f(t) dt$  for all x in  $E_{\varepsilon} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{\varepsilon,n}$ . Let  $B_{\varepsilon}$  be the null set off which  $f_{\varepsilon,k}$  tends to f as  $k \to \infty$ . This means that

$$\lambda(E_{\varepsilon} \cup B_{\varepsilon}) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(E_{\varepsilon,k}) \le C_p \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k = \frac{C_p \varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon$  tend to zero shows that  $(2^{c_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is in  $(L^p)^*$  for finite p.

## References

- [B] R. C. Baker, *Riemann sums and Lebesgue integrals*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.
  (2) 27 (1976), 191–198.
- [D] J. L. Doob, Stochastic Processes, Wiley, 1953.
- [E] P. Erdős, On the strong law of large numbers, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (1949), 51–56.
- B. Jessen, On the approximation of Lebesgue integrals by Riemann sums, Ann. of Math. (2) 35 (1934), 248–251.
- [K] A. Khinchin, Ein Satz über Kettenbrüche, mit arithmetischen Anwendungen, Math.
  Z. 18 (1923), 289–306.
- [M] J. M. Marstrand, On Khinchin's conjecture about strong uniform distribution, Proc. London Math. Soc. 21 (1970), 540–556.
- $\begin{array}{ll} [RL] & \text{K. Reinhold-Larsson, Discrepancy of behaviour of perturbed sequences in $L^{p}$ spaces,} \\ & \text{Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1994), 865-874.} \end{array}$
- [Ro] J. Rosenblatt, Universally bad sequences in ergodic theory, in: Almost Everywhere Convergence II, Academic Press, 1991, 227–245.
- R. Salem, Sur les sommes Riemanniennes des fonctions sommables, Mat. Tidsskr. B. 1948, 60–62.
- [W] P. Walters, Introduction to Ergodic Theory, Grad. Texts in Math. 79, Springer, 1981.

## R. Nair

[Wy] H. Weyl, Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins, Math. Ann. 77 (1916), 313–352.

Mathematical Sciences University of Liverpool Liverpool L69 7ZL, U.K. E-mail: nair@liverpool.ac.uk

> Received on 12.4.2002 and in revised form on 17.9.2002

(4258)

## 348