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1. Introduction and main results. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of all primes, and $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ the set of integers of the form $p_{1} p_{2}$ where $p_{1}, p_{2} \in \mathcal{P}, p_{1} \neq p_{2}$. We shall write $e(\alpha)$ instead of $e^{2 \pi i \alpha}$. Let $\pi(x)$ be the number of primes up to $x$. In [3] Kátai considered the following trigonometric sums:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(x, \alpha \mid X_{p}\right)=\sum_{\substack{p_{1} p_{2}<x \\ p_{1}<p_{2}}} X_{p_{1}} X_{p_{2}} e\left(\alpha p_{1} p_{2}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{p}$ are complex numbers satisfying $\left|X_{p}\right| \leq 1$ and $p_{1}, p_{2}$ run over the prime numbers. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{2}(x)=\sum_{\substack{p_{1} p_{2}<x \\ p_{1}<p_{2}}} 1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Kátai showed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\substack{\left|X_{p}\right| \leq 1 \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{S\left(x, \alpha \mid X_{p}\right)}{\pi_{2}(x)} \rightarrow 0 \quad(x \rightarrow \infty) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $\alpha$ is an irrational number satisfying the following condition:
Condition $\delta$. There exists $x_{0}>0$ such that for all $x \geq x_{0}$ there exists a rational number $a / q$ with $(a, q)=1$ satisfying $x^{2 / 3+\delta}<q<x^{1-\delta}$ and $|\alpha-a / q| \leq 1 / q^{2}$. Here $\delta$ is an arbitrary small positive number.

The aim of this note is to give a stronger version of Kátai's result. To this end, we recall the definition of the irrationality measure for a real number $\alpha$.

Definition 1.1. Let $\alpha$ be a real number, and let $R(\alpha)$ be the set of positive real numbers $\mu$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<|\alpha-p / q|<1 / q^{\mu} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$
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has (at most) finitely many solutions $p / q$ for $q$ and $p$ integers. Then the irrationality measure of $\alpha$ is defined as

$$
\mu(\alpha)=\inf _{\mu \in R(\alpha)} \mu .
$$

If the set $R(\alpha)$ is empty, then we set $\mu(\alpha)=\infty$.
By Dirichlet's well-known rational approximation lemma, for every irrational number $\alpha$, we have $\mu(\alpha) \geq 2$. For every irrational algebraic number $\alpha$, Roth [4] proved in 1955 that $\mu(\alpha)=2$. And it is well-known that for almost all real numbers the irrationality measure is 2 .

In this note we shall prove the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1. For any irrational number $\alpha$ with $\mu(\alpha)<\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\substack{\left|X_{p}\right| \leq 1 \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{S\left(x, \alpha \mid X_{p}\right)}{\pi_{2}(x)} \rightarrow 0 \quad(x \rightarrow \infty) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Preliminaries. We need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\Lambda(n)$ be the von Mangoldt function

$$
\Lambda(n)= \begin{cases}\log p & \text { if } n=p^{\alpha}, \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

If

$$
|\alpha-a / q|<1 / q^{2}, \quad(a, q)=1,
$$

then

$$
S(\alpha)=\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) e(\alpha n) \ll\left(x q^{-1 / 2}+x^{4 / 5}+x^{1 / 2} q^{1 / 2}\right)(\log x)^{4} .
$$

Proof. See Davenport [1, Chapter 25].
Lemma 2.2. Let $\alpha$ be any irrational number with $\mu(\alpha)<\infty$. Fix $\eta \in R(\alpha)$ and $0<\varepsilon<1 / 12(\eta-1)$. Then for sufficiently large $x$ we have

$$
\max _{1 \leq H \leq x^{2 \varepsilon}}\left|\sum_{p \leq x} e(H \alpha p)\right| \ll x^{1-4 \varepsilon} .
$$

Proof. First we show that for any $1 \leq H \leq x^{2 \varepsilon}$ there exist integers $a$ and $q$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|H \alpha-\frac{a}{q}\right|<\frac{1}{q x^{1-9 \varepsilon}} \quad \text { with }(a, q)=1, x^{9 \varepsilon} \leq q \leq x^{1-9 \varepsilon} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any irrational $H \alpha$ has just one infinite simple continued fraction. Let $a / q$ and $a^{\prime} / q^{\prime}$ be the two consecutive convergents to that continued fraction such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \leq x^{1-9 \varepsilon}<q^{\prime} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall the well-known property of continued fractions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|H \alpha-\frac{a}{q}\right|<\frac{1}{q q^{\prime}} \quad \text { with }(a, q)=1 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $1 \leq q \leq x^{9 \varepsilon}$, then $q H \leq x^{11 \varepsilon} \leq\left(x^{1-9 \varepsilon}\right)^{12 \varepsilon}$ for sufficiently large $x$. Thus by (2.2) and (2.3),

$$
\|q \alpha H\| \leq\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{-1}<\left(x^{1-9 \varepsilon}\right)^{-1} \leq(q H)^{-1 / 12 \varepsilon}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the distance to the nearest integer. But for $\eta \in R(\alpha)$, the inequality

$$
\|q H \alpha\|<(q H)^{1-\eta}
$$

has (at most) finitely many integer solutions $q H$. When $x$ is sufficiently large, this contradicts the choice of $\eta$ and $\varepsilon$. Thus we have $x^{9 \varepsilon} \leq q \leq x^{1-9 \varepsilon}$.

On noting (2.1), by Lemma 2.1 we have
$\max _{1 \leq H \leq x^{2 \varepsilon}}\left|\sum_{p \leq x} e(H \alpha p)\right| \ll\left\{x\left(x^{9 \varepsilon}\right)^{-1 / 2}+x^{4 / 5}+x^{1 / 2}\left(x^{1-9 \varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}(\log x)^{3} \ll x^{1-4 \varepsilon}$.
3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Following the arguments of Kátai, to prove Theorem 1.1 it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}(x, \alpha):=\sum_{\substack{p_{1} p_{2}<x \\ p_{1}<Y, p_{2}>\sqrt{x}}} X_{p_{1}} X_{p_{2}} e\left(p_{1} p_{2} \alpha\right)=o\left(\pi_{2}(x)\right), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y=e^{(\log x)^{1-\delta_{x}}}, \delta_{x}$ is a function of $x$ for which $\delta_{x} \rightarrow 0$, and

$$
\pi_{2}(x)=\sum_{\substack{p_{1} p_{2}<x \\ p_{1}<p_{2}}} 1 \sim \frac{x}{\log x} \log \log x .
$$

We have

$$
S_{1}(x, \alpha)=\sum_{p_{2}} X_{p_{2}} \sum_{p_{1} \leq \min \left(x / p_{2}, Y\right)} X_{p_{1}} e\left(p_{1} p_{2} \alpha\right) .
$$

Then by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{1}(x, \alpha)\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{p_{2}}\left|X_{p_{2}}\right|^{2} \sum_{p_{2}}\left|\sum_{p_{1} \leq \min \left(x / p_{2}, Y\right)} X_{p_{1}} e\left(p_{1} p_{2} \alpha\right)\right|^{2} \leq \pi(x) \sum_{1}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1}=\sum_{\substack{p_{1}, p_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P} \\ p_{1}, p_{1}^{\prime} \leq Y}} X_{p_{1}} \bar{X}_{p_{1}^{\prime}} \sum_{\sqrt{x} \leq p_{2} \leq x / \max \left(p_{1}, p_{1}^{\prime}\right)} e\left(\left(p_{1}-p_{1}^{\prime}\right) p_{2} \alpha\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1} \leq \sum_{2}+\sum_{3} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{2}=\sum_{p_{1}=p_{1}^{\prime} \leq Y} \sum_{\sqrt{x} \leq p_{2} \leq x / \max \left(p_{1}, p_{1}^{\prime}\right)} 1 \ll \frac{x}{\log x} \sum_{p \leq Y} \frac{1}{p} \ll \frac{x}{\log x} \log \log x \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{3}=2 \sum_{p_{1}^{\prime}<p_{1} \leq Y}\left|\sum_{\sqrt{x} \leq p_{2} \leq x / \max \left(p_{1}, p_{1}^{\prime}\right)} e\left(\left(p_{1}-p_{1}^{\prime}\right) p_{2} \alpha\right)\right| \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the inner sum in (3.6), by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\sqrt{x} \leq p_{2} \leq x / \max \left(p_{1}, p_{1}^{\prime}\right)} e\left(\left(p_{1}-p_{1}^{\prime}\right) p_{2} \alpha\right) \ll x^{1-4 \varepsilon} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $p_{1} \neq p_{1}^{\prime}$ and $p_{1}, p_{1}^{\prime}<Y$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{3} \ll Y^{2} x^{1-4 \varepsilon}=o\left(\pi_{2}(x)\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.2), (3.5) and (3.8), we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.
4. Another similar result. Recently Indlekofer and Kátai [2] proved another result about trigonometric sums

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(x \mid \alpha ; Y_{m}, X_{p}\right)=\sum_{m_{j} p \leq x} Y_{m_{j}} X_{p} e\left(\alpha m_{j} p\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $m_{j}$ are integers depending on $x$ with $m_{1}<\cdots<m_{t} \leq x^{\delta_{x}}, \delta_{x} \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty, p$ runs over the primes $p \geq \sqrt{x}$, and $\left|Y_{m_{j}}\right| \leq 1,\left|X_{p}\right| \leq 1$.

Assume further that as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{m_{j}} \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then they proved that provided that the irrational number $\alpha$ satisfies the Condition $\delta$ (see Section 1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{Y_{m}, X_{p}}\left|S\left(x \mid \alpha ; Y_{m}, X_{p}\right)\right|=o_{x}(1) \sum_{j=1}^{t} \pi\left(x / m_{j}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that our previous arguments also give the following stronger result:

Proposition 4.1. For any irrational number $\alpha$ with $\mu(\alpha)<\infty$, if

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{m_{j}} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty
$$

then

$$
\max _{Y_{m}, X_{p}}\left|S\left(x \mid \alpha ; Y_{m}, X_{p}\right)\right|=o_{x}(1) \sum_{j=1}^{t} \pi\left(\frac{x}{m_{j}}\right)
$$
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