On the diophantine equation $ax^2 + b^m = 4y^n$

by

S. AKHTAR ARIF and AMAL S. AL-ALI (Al-Riyadh)

Let a, b, x, y, m, n be positive integers. Many special cases of the diophantine equation

$$ax^2 + b^m = 4y^n,$$

where (ax, by) = 1, a, b are square-free integers, y > 1, m is odd and n is an odd prime, have been considered in the last few years (see [1, 3, 7–11]). Le Maohua [4–6] studied this equation in full generality and proved that it has only a finite number of solutions (a, b, x, y, m, n) with n > 5.

Following almost the same method as Le Maohua but using a recent result of Bilu, Hanrot and Voutier [2], we are able to prove:

THEOREM. The diophantine equation

(1)
$$ax^2 + b^{2k+1} = 4y^n$$

where a, b, x, y, k, n are positive integers such that (ax, b) = 1, a, b are squarefree integers, $k \ge 0$, n is an odd prime, (n, h) = 1 where h is the class number of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-ab})$ and y > 1, has no solutions in (a, b, x, y, k, n) when n > 13 and has exactly six solutions for $7 \le n \le 13$, given by

$$(a, b, k, n, y) = (1, 7, 0, 13, 2), (1, 7, 1, 7, 2), (1, 19, 0, 7, 5), (3, 5, 0, 7, 2), (5, 7, 1, 7, 3), (13, 3, 0, 7, 4).$$

Further if a = 1, n = 5, then (1) has exactly 2 solutions given by k = 0and (b, y) = (7, 2), (11, 3).

We are grateful to Professor Bilu for his valuable suggestions and also for providing us with a copy of [1]. He also informed us that similar results using similar approach have been obtained by Bugeaud [3]. Our paper was submitted independently although later than that of Bugeaud.

We start by giving some important definitions.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 11D61, 11D41.

Key words and phrases: diophantine equations, Lucas sequences, Lehmer sequences, primitive divisors, non-defective.

DEFINITIONS. A Lehmer pair is a pair (α, β) of algebraic integers such that $(\alpha + \beta)^2$ and $\alpha\beta$ are non-zero co-prime rational integers and α/β is not a root of unity. For a Lehmer pair (α, β) one defines the corresponding sequence of Lehmer numbers by

$$\widetilde{u}_n = \widetilde{u}_n(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

A prime number p is a *primitive divisor* of $\tilde{u}_n(\alpha,\beta)$ if p divides \tilde{u}_n but does not divide $(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)^2 \tilde{u}_1 \tilde{u}_2 \dots \tilde{u}_{n-1}$.

In [2] it has been shown that

LEMMA 1. For every integer n > 30, $\tilde{u}_n(\alpha, \beta)$ has a primitive divisor.

Also in [12], for $6 < n \leq 30$, all Lehmer pairs (α, β) such that $\tilde{u}_n(\alpha, \beta)$ has no primitive divisors have been listed.

We also need the following classical lemma, going back to the work of Ljunggren [8, 9] (and perhaps earlier), and in the present form proved in [1].

LEMMA 2. Let a, b, x, y be positive integers, with a and b square-free and gcd(ax, by) = 1. Assume that $ax^2 + by^2 = 4c^n$, where c and n are positive integers such that gcd(n, 6h(-ab)) = 1. Then there exist integers x_1 and y_1 such that

$$\frac{x\sqrt{a}+y\sqrt{-b}}{2} = \left(\frac{x_1\sqrt{a}+y_1\sqrt{-b}}{2}\right)^n.$$

Proof of the Theorem. Let (a, b, x, y, k, n) be a solution of (1). Then applying Lemma 2 with $c = y, y = b^m$, we can find integers c, d such that

(2)
$$\frac{x\sqrt{a}+b^k\sqrt{-b}}{2} = \left(\frac{c\sqrt{a}+d\sqrt{-b}}{2}\right)^n$$

where c, d are rational integers such that $4y = ac^2 + bd^2$ and (ac, bd) = 1. Let

$$\alpha = \frac{c\sqrt{a} + d\sqrt{-b}}{2}, \quad \beta = \frac{c\sqrt{a} - d\sqrt{-b}}{2}.$$

Then from equation (2), we get

(3)
$$\frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} = \pm \frac{b^k}{d}.$$

It is easy to verify that (α, β) is a Lehmer pair and so from (3) it follows that all the prime divisors of the corresponding *n*th Lehmer number $\tilde{u}_n = (\alpha^n - \beta^n)/(\alpha - \beta)$ must divide *b*. Since $(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)^2 = -abc^2d^2$, these prime divisors also divide $(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)^2$. Hence the *n*th Lehmer number $\tilde{u}_n = (\alpha^n - \beta^n)/(\alpha - \beta)$ has no primitive divisors. Since *n* is a prime, by Lemma 1 and Table 2 in [2] when n > 13 there is no Lehmer number $\tilde{u}_n(\alpha,\beta)$ which has no primitive divisors and so no solution of equation (1) when n > 13. For $7 \le n \le 13$, in [2] all Lehmer pairs (α,β) for which $\tilde{u}_n(\alpha,\beta)$ have no primitive divisors have been listed; we consider each value of n separately.

If n = 13, then $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{-7})/2$, which correspondingly gives k = 0, a = 1, b = 7, c = 1, d = 1 and consequently $y = (ac^2 + bd^2)/4 = 2, x = 181$ is the only solution of the equation $ax^2 + b^{2k+1} = 4y^{13}$.

If n = 11, then there is no Lehmer number which has no primitive divisors and so no solution of equation (1).

If n = 7, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= (1+\sqrt{-7})/2, \ (1+\sqrt{-19})/2, \ (\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{-5})/2, \ (\sqrt{5}+\sqrt{-7})/2, \\ &(\sqrt{13}+\sqrt{-3})/2, \ (\sqrt{14}+\sqrt{-22})/2. \end{aligned}$$

The first five values of α give us:

- y = 2 as a solution of $x^2 + 7^3 = 4y^7$ (x = 13),
- y = 5 as a solution of $x^2 + 19 = 4y^7$ (x = 559),
- y = 2 as a solution of $3x^2 + 5 = 4y^7$ (x = 13),
- y = 3 as a solution of $5x^2 + 7^3 = 4y^7$ (x = 41),
- y = 4 as a solution of $13x^2 + 3 = 4y^7$ (x = 71).

If n = 5 and a = 1, then the values of α for which $\widetilde{u}_5(\alpha, \beta)$ has no primitive divisors as given in [2] are:

$$\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{-5})/2, \ (1 + \sqrt{-7})/2, \ (1 + \sqrt{-11})/2, \ (1 + \sqrt{-15})/2,$$

which gives us:

- y = 2 as a solution of $x^2 + 7 = 4y^5$ (x = 11),
- y = 3 as a solution of $x^2 + 11 = 4y^5$ (x = 31).

No solutions are found when $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{-5})/2, (1 + \sqrt{-15})/2$.

References

- [1] Y. Bilu, On Le's and Bugeaud's papers about the equation $ax^2 + b^{2m-1} = 4c^p$, preprint.
- [2] Y. Bilu, G. Hanrot and P. M. Voutier, Existence of primitive divisors of Lucas and Lehmer numbers (with an appendix by M. Mignotte), J. Reine Angew. Math. 539 (2001), 75–122.
- [3] Y. Bugeaud, On some exponential diophantine equations, Monatsh. Math. 132 (2001), 93–97.
- [4] M. H. Le, On the diophantine equations $d_1x^2 + 2^{2m}d_2 = y^n$ and $d_1x^2 + d_2 = 4y^n$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1993), 67–70.
- [5] —, On the diophantine equation $D_1 x^2 + D_2^m = 4y^n$, Monatsh. Math. 120 (1995), 121–125.

- [6]M. H. Le, A note on the number of solutions of the generalized Ramanujan-Nagell equation $D_1 x^2 + D_2 = 4p^n$, J. Number Theory 62 (1997), 100–106.
- [7]
- —, On the diophantine equation $x^2 + D = 4p^n$, ibid. 41 (1992), 87–97. W. Ljunggren, On the diophantine equation $x^2 + D = 4y^q$, Monatsh. Math. 75 [8] (1971), 136-143.
- —, New theorems concerning the diophantine equation $x^2 + D = 4y^q$, Acta Arith. [9] 21 (1972), 183-191.
- B. Persson, On a diophantine equation in two unknowns, Ark. Mat. 1 (1949), 45–57. [10]
- [11] B. Stolt, Die Anzahl von Lösungen gewisser diophantischer Gleichungen, Arch. Math. (Basel) 8 (1957), 393-400.
- [12]P. M. Voutier, Primitive divisors of Lucas and Lehmer sequences, Math. Comp. 64 (1995), 869-888.

S. Akhtar Arif P.O. Box 22171 Al-Riyadh 11495 Saudi Arabia E-mail: sarif5@hotmail.com

Amal S. Al-Ali P.O. Box 56778 Al-Rivadh 11564 Saudi Arabia

Received on 3.1.2001 and in revised form on 24.8.2001

(3943)