

On the equation $x^2 + dy^2 = F_n$

by

CHRISTIAN BALLOT (Caen) and FLORIAN LUCA (Morelia)

1. Introduction. Let $(F_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the Fibonacci sequence given by $F_0 = 0$, $F_1 = 1$ and $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. Let d be any fixed rational integer. Using standard sieve methods it is easy to establish that, for $\sqrt{-d}$ not an integer, most positive integers m are not representable as $m = |x^2 + dy^2|$ with x and y integers. In this paper, we look at those positive integers m which are both members of the Fibonacci sequence and are representable as $|x^2 + dy^2|$ for some integers x and y . That is, we investigate the set

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{N}_d = \{n > 0 : F_n = |x^2 + dy^2| \text{ for some integers } x \text{ and } y\}.$$

Clearly, \mathcal{N}_0 consists of the positive integers n such that F_n is a perfect square and Cohn [1] showed that $\mathcal{N}_0 = \{1, 2, 12\}$. When $d = 1$, using the formula

$$(2) \quad F_{2n+1} = F_n^2 + F_{n+1}^2,$$

we see that \mathcal{N}_1 contains all odd positive integers. Furthermore, since F_n and F_{n+1} are coprime, every odd prime factor of F_{2n+1} is congruent to 1 modulo 4. In [2], it was shown that for most even positive integers n , F_n admits a prime factor $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Here, we go one step further. In order to settle the case of \mathcal{N}_1 , we first prove the following result.

PROPOSITION 1. *For all even positive integers n except a set of asymptotic density zero, there exists a prime $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ such that $q \mid F_n$ and the exact power of q that divides F_n is odd.*

Since for $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, -1 is a quadratic nonresidue $(\text{mod } q)$, Proposition 1 immediately implies that the asymptotic density of \mathcal{N}_1 is precisely $1/2$.

Note also that if d is a perfect square, then \mathcal{N}_d has positive lower asymptotic density. Indeed, if we write $\varrho(d)$ for the rank of appearance of d in $(F_n)_{n \geq 0}$, i.e., $\varrho(d)$ is the minimal positive integer k such that $d \mid F_k$, then formula (2) implies that if d is a perfect square, then the set \mathcal{N}_d contains

the set

$$\{2n + 1 : n \equiv 0, -1 \pmod{\varrho(d)}\},$$

which is of positive asymptotic density. But \mathcal{N}_d also has positive lower asymptotic density if d is the opposite of a perfect square. Indeed, \mathcal{N}_d then contains

$$\{n : \varrho(4d) \mid n\}.$$

If we put $d = -t^2$, then F_n/t^2 is an integer multiple of 4 for n divisible by $\varrho(4d)$. As such, F_n/t^2 can be written as $(x - y)(x + y)$. Hence $F_n = (tx)^2 - (ty)^2 = (tx)^2 + dy^2$. Therefore we have shown the following result.

THEOREM 2. *For any d which is plus or minus a perfect square, the set \mathcal{N}_d has positive lower asymptotic density. The asymptotic density of \mathcal{N}_1 is $1/2$.*

We put

$$\mathcal{D} = \{d \in \mathbb{Z} : \mathcal{N}_d \text{ has positive lower asymptotic density}\}.$$

Theorem 2 implies that \mathcal{D} is an infinite set. However, in this paper, we show that most integers do not belong to \mathcal{D} . For a positive real number x we write $\mathcal{D}(x)$ for the set of $d \in \mathcal{D}$ with $|d| \leq x$.

THEOREM 3. *There exists a positive constant C such that if $x > 1$ is any real number then*

$$\#\mathcal{D}(x) \leq C \frac{x}{(\log x)^3}.$$

By a standard procedure of partial summation, Theorem 3 implies that

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{|d|} < \infty$$

(note that $0 \notin \mathcal{D}$).

We would like to make the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 4. *\mathcal{D} contains only finitely many integers not a square or the negative of a square.*

For integers a and b with $b > 0$ odd, we write $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$ for the Jacobi symbol of a with respect to b . We state another related conjecture.

CONJECTURE 5. *For all but finitely many of the integers d not a square or the negative of a square, there is a prime $q \geq 5$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{d}{F_q}\right) = -1.$$

The argument used in the proof of Lemma 9 below shows that Conjecture 5 implies Conjecture 4. If true, Conjecture 4 would imply a stronger bound on the cardinality of $\mathcal{D}(x)$ than the one provided by Theorem 3. We

would like to leave these conjectures as problems to the reader. In fact, it may be that Conjecture 5 is true without exceptions.

Throughout this paper, we assume familiarity with basic properties of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers. The n th Lucas number is denoted by L_n . We recall here that for a prime p , the rank of appearance $\rho(p)$ of p in the Fibonacci sequence divides $p - e_p$, where e_p is the Legendre symbol of 5 with respect to p . Also, we use the Vinogradov symbols \gg and \ll and the Landau symbols O and o with their regular meanings. The constants implied in them are absolute. For a positive real number x , we use $\log x$ for the maximum between the natural logarithm of x and 1. We write $\pi(x)$ for the number of primes $p \leq x$, and for coprime integers $1 \leq a \leq b$ we write $\pi(x; a, b)$ for the number of primes $p \leq x$ congruent to a modulo b . We use p , q and r to denote prime numbers. For a set \mathcal{A} of positive integers we put $\mathcal{A}(x) = \mathcal{A} \cap [1, x]$.

Acknowledgements. This paper was written in part during a very enjoyable visit by the second author to the Laboratoire Nicolas Oresme of the University of Caen; he wishes to express his thanks to that institution for the hospitality and support. During the preparation of this paper, F. L. was also partly supported by grants SEP-CONACYT 46755, PAPIIT IN104505 and a Guggenheim Fellowship.

2. The proofs. For any positive integer n we let $P(n)$ denote the largest prime factor of n , and for real numbers $x \geq y \geq 1$ we put $\Psi(x, y) = \{n \leq x : P(n) \leq y\}$. The numbers belonging to $\Psi(x, y)$ are usually referred to as *smooth numbers*. The following estimate for the number of smooth numbers (see Section III.5.4 of Tenenbaum's book [3]) will play a crucial rôle in our proofs.

LEMMA 6. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Uniformly for*

$$\exp((\log \log x)^{5/3+\varepsilon}) \leq y \leq x$$

we have

$$\#\Psi(x, y) = x \exp(-(1 + o(1))u \log u), \quad \text{where } u = \frac{\log x}{\log y}.$$

Let $1 \leq a \leq b$ be fixed coprime integers. For a positive real number x we put

$$\mathcal{A}(x; a, b) = \{n \leq x : \text{if } p \mid n \text{ and } p > \log x, \text{ then } p \not\equiv a \pmod{b}\},$$

that is, n is in $\mathcal{A}(x; a, b)$ if no prime factor of n larger than $\log x$ is congruent to $a \pmod{b}$.

We will need the following estimate.

LEMMA 7. *If $1 \leq a \leq b$ are coprime, then there exists $x_{a,b}$ such that*

$$\#\mathcal{A}(x; a, b) \ll \frac{x(\log \log x)^2}{(\log x)^{1/\phi(b)}} \quad \text{for } x > x_{a,b}.$$

Proof. Let x be a large real number and let $y = x^{1/\log \log x}$, $u = \log x / \log y = \log \log x$. We put $\mathcal{A}_1(x) = \mathcal{A}(x; a, b) \cap \Psi(x, y)$. Then, by Lemma 6,

$$(3) \quad \#\mathcal{A}_1(x) \leq \#\Psi(x, y) = x \exp(-u(1 + o(1)) \log u) < \frac{x}{\log x}$$

for large x . We now put $\mathcal{A}_2(x) = \mathcal{A}(x; a, b) \setminus \mathcal{A}_1(x)$. To bound $\#\mathcal{A}_2(x)$, let $n \in \mathcal{A}_2(x)$ and write $n = Pm$, where $P = P(n) > y$. Then $m < x/y$. Thus, fixing m , we see that the number of choices for P is

$$\leq \pi(x/m) \ll \frac{x}{m \log(x/m)} \ll \frac{x}{m \log y} = \frac{x \log \log x}{m \log x}.$$

Note that $m \leq x$ is an integer which is free of primes $p \equiv a \pmod{b}$ larger than $\log x$. Write $\mathcal{M}(x)$ for the set of such positive integers m . Then, summing up over all possible choices of $m \in \mathcal{M}(x)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad \#\mathcal{A}_2(x) &\ll \frac{x \log \log x}{\log x} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}(x)} \frac{1}{m} \\ &\leq \frac{x \log \log x}{\log x} \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \not\equiv a \pmod{b}}} \left(\sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \frac{1}{p^\alpha} \right) \prod_{\substack{p \leq \log x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{b}}} \left(\sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \frac{1}{p^\alpha} \right) \\ &= \frac{x \log \log x}{\log x} \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \not\equiv a \pmod{b}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p \leq \log x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{b}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{x \log \log x}{\log x} \exp \left(\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \not\equiv a \pmod{b}}} \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{\substack{p \leq \log x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{b}}} \frac{1}{p} + O(1) \right) \\ &= \frac{x \log \log x}{\log x} \exp \left(\frac{\phi(b) - 1}{\phi(b)} \log \log x + \frac{1}{\phi(b)} \log \log \log x + O(1) \right) \\ &\ll \frac{x(\log \log x)^2}{(\log x)^{1/\phi(b)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that for any fixed $A > 0$, the estimate

$$(5) \quad \sum_{\substack{p \leq y \\ p \equiv c \pmod{b}}} \frac{1}{p} = \frac{\log \log y}{\phi(b)} + O\left(\frac{\log b}{b}\right)$$

holds uniformly in the range $y \geq 3$ and $1 \leq c \leq b < (\log y)^A$ with c and b

coprime. In particular, the above estimate also holds when b is fixed. This completes the proof of the lemma. ■

We will also need the following lemma.

LEMMA 8. *Let $\mathcal{B}(x)$ be the set of integers $n \leq x$ divisible by a product of primes pq , where $p > \log x$ and $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$. Then*

$$\#\mathcal{B}(x) \ll \frac{x \log \log x}{\log x}.$$

Proof. Let x be large and fix two primes p and q such that $p > \log x$, $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ and $pq < x$. The number of positive integers $n \leq x$ such that $pq \mid n$ is $\leq x/pq$. Summing up over all possible choices of p and q , we get

$$(6) \quad \#\mathcal{B}(x) \leq x \sum_{\log x < p \leq x} \sum_{\substack{q \leq x \\ q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}}} \frac{1}{pq} = x(S_1 + S_2),$$

where S_1 is the contribution to the double sum from primes $p < (\log x)^3$, and S_2 is the contribution from primes $p \geq (\log x)^3$. Let

$$T_p = \sum_{\substack{q \leq x \\ q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}}} \frac{1}{pq}.$$

Using estimate (5) with $A = 3$ when $p < (\log x)^3$, we get

$$T_p \ll \frac{\log \log x}{p^2}.$$

We use the trivial estimate

$$T_p \leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k \leq x/p} \left(\frac{1}{pk+1} + \frac{1}{pk-1} \right) \ll \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k} \ll \frac{\log x}{p^2},$$

when $p \geq (\log x)^3$. Thus

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} S_1 + S_2 &\leq \sum_{\log x < p < (\log x)^3} T_p + \sum_{(\log x)^3 \leq p \leq x} T_p \\ &\leq \sum_{\log x < p} \frac{\log \log x}{p^2} + \sum_{(\log x)^3 \leq p} \frac{\log x}{p^2} \\ &\ll \frac{\log \log x}{\log x}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the trivial bound

$$\sum_{t \leq p} \frac{1}{p^2} \leq \sum_{t \leq n} \frac{1}{n^2} \ll \int_t^\infty \frac{ds}{s^2} = \frac{1}{t}$$

with $t = \log x$ and with $t = (\log x)^3$. Estimates (6) and (7) now lead to the desired conclusion. ■

Proof of Proposition 1. Let x be a large real number and let

$$\mathcal{C}(x) = \{n \leq x : \text{if } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ and } q^\alpha \parallel F_{2n}, \text{ then } \alpha \text{ is even}\}.$$

Lemmas 7 and 8 yield $\#\mathcal{A}(x; 5, 6) + \#\mathcal{B}(x) = o(x)$. We now show that

$$\mathcal{C}(x) \subset \mathcal{A}(x; 5, 6) \cup \mathcal{B}(x),$$

which, together with the previous estimate, will prove the proposition. Let $n \in \mathcal{C}(x)$ and assume $n \notin \mathcal{A}(x; 5, 6)$. Then there exists a prime $p > \log x$ with $p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ such that $p | n$. But $2p | 2n$, and $2p \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$. Since the Fibonacci sequence is periodic modulo 4 with period 6, and $F_4 = 3$, we find that $F_{2p} \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Thus, there exists a prime $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ such that $q^a \parallel F_{2p}$, where a is odd. Since $2p | 2n$, we infer that $q^a | F_{2n}$. Now since $n \in \mathcal{C}(x)$, we must have $q^{a+1} | F_{2n}$. Now $q | F_{2n}/F_{2p}$ with $q \nmid F_{2p}$ implies, by the well-known law of appearance of powers of primes in Lucas sequences, that $q | n/p$. However, since $q | F_{2p}$, the rank $\rho(q)$ is either p or $2p$, which in both cases implies that $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$. Hence, $pq | n$, $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$, and $p > \log x$. Therefore, $n \in \mathcal{B}(x)$. This completes our proof. ■

The following lemma will be useful for the proof of Theorem 3.

LEMMA 9. *Let d be a nonzero integer. Suppose that p is a prime number not dividing $12\rho(d)$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{d}{F_p}\right) = -1.$$

Then \mathcal{N}_d is of asymptotic density zero.

Proof. Note that $p \neq 3$, so that F_p is odd and the Jacobi symbol of d with respect to F_p is well-defined. Let $q = 12\rho(d)k + p$ for some nonnegative integer k . By the addition formula $2F_{m+n} = F_m L_n + L_m F_n$, we have

$$2F_q = F_{12\rho(d)k} L_p + L_{12\rho(d)k} F_p.$$

Clearly, $16 | F_{12} | F_{12\rho(d)k}$ and $d | F_{\rho(d)} | F_{12\rho(d)k}$. Furthermore, since $L_{2n} = 5F_n^2 + 2(-1)^n$,

$$L_{12\rho(d)k} = 5F_{6\rho(d)k}^2 + 2$$

is congruent to 2 both modulo 16 and modulo d . The above arguments show that

$$2F_q \equiv 2F_p \pmod{\text{lcm}[16, d]},$$

therefore

$$F_q \equiv F_p \pmod{\text{lcm}[8, d]}.$$

These congruences imply the Jacobi symbols' identity

$$\left(\frac{d}{F_q}\right) = \left(\frac{d}{F_p}\right).$$

We now show that $\mathcal{N}_d(x) \subset \mathcal{A}(x; p, 12\rho(d)) \cup \mathcal{B}(x)$, which will prove that $\#\mathcal{N}_d(x) = o(x)$.

Let $n \in \mathcal{N}_d(x)$ and assume that $n \notin \mathcal{A}(x; p, 12\rho(d))$, so that there exists a prime $q > \log x$ with $q | n$ and $q = 12\rho(d)k + p$ for some $k \geq 0$. Assume also that $n \notin \mathcal{B}(x)$, so that we now seek a contradiction.

Write $F_q = \delta_q \lambda_q^2$, where δ_q and λ_q are positive integers with δ_q square-free. Note that δ_q is odd and > 1 because F_q is odd and not a square. Any prime r dividing δ_q satisfies $r^{\alpha_r} \parallel F_q$ for some odd exponent α_r . If $r^{\alpha_r+1} | F_n$, then $r | F_n/F_q$, and hence $r | n/q$, so that $qr | n$ and $r \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{q}$ (because $\rho(r) = q$ and, assuming $\log x \geq 5$, we cannot have $r = q = p = 5$). Thus, $n \in \mathcal{B}(x)$, a contradiction. Therefore $r^{\alpha_r} \parallel F_n$. So, there exist $m, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(8) \quad y^2 + dz^2 = m\lambda_q^2\delta_q = F_n, \quad \text{where} \quad \gcd(m, \delta_q) = 1.$$

If $g = \gcd(\delta_q, yz)$, then, having in mind that δ_q is square-free and $\gcd(\delta_q, d) = 1$ (since $\left(\frac{d}{\delta_q}\right) = -1 \neq 0$), we get $g | \gcd(y, z, \lambda_q)$.

Hence, dividing out relation (8) by g^2 yields

$$(9) \quad y_1^2 + dz_1^2 = m\mu_q^2\delta_q,$$

for some integers y_1, z_1, μ_q with $\gcd(\delta_q, y_1z_1) = 1$. But equation (9) implies that $\left(\frac{-d}{\delta_q}\right) = 1$. Because F_q is odd and $F_q = F_{(q-1)/2}^2 + F_{(q+1)/2}^2$, we have $F_q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Therefore

$$-1 = \left(\frac{d}{F_p}\right) = \left(\frac{d}{F_q}\right) = \left(\frac{-d}{F_q}\right) = \left(\frac{-d}{\delta_q}\right) = 1,$$

which is a contradiction, and our proof is complete. ■

REMARK. For $d \in \{\pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 6, \pm 7, \pm 8, \pm 10\}$, \mathcal{N}_d is of asymptotic density 0 since

$$\left(\frac{2}{F_5}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{F_5}\right) = \left(\frac{7}{F_5}\right) = \left(\frac{5}{F_7}\right) = \left(\frac{6}{F_7}\right) = \left(\frac{10}{F_{19}}\right) = -1.$$

In what follows, we put

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \{d \in \mathcal{D} : d \text{ is square-free}\}.$$

We approach the proof of Theorem 3 by first proving the following somewhat weaker statement.

THEOREM 10. *The estimate*

$$\#\mathcal{D}_1(x) \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^3}$$

holds for all sufficiently large values of x .

Proof. Let x be large and $y = x^{1/\log \log x}$, $u = \log x / \log y = \log \log x$. Let $\mathcal{D}_2(x) = \{d \in \mathcal{D}_1(x) : |d| \in \Psi(x, y)\}$. By Lemma 6,

$$(10) \quad \#\mathcal{D}_2(x) \leq 2\#\Psi(x, y) = 2x \exp(-(1 + o(1))u \log u) < \frac{x}{(\log x)^3},$$

when x is large.

For a positive integer k , we write $\omega(k)$ for the number of distinct prime factors of k . Let $v = 25(\log \log x)^2$ and put

$$\mathcal{D}_3(x) = \{d \in \mathcal{D}_1(x) : \omega(\varrho(d)) \geq v\}.$$

We now bound $\mathcal{D}_3(x)$. Let $d \in \mathcal{D}_3(x)$. Because $d | F_n$ if and only if $\varrho(d) | n$, we deduce that $\varrho(d) | \prod_{p|d} \varrho(p)$. Therefore

$$\varrho(d) \mid \prod_{p|d} (p - e_p),$$

where $e_p = \left(\frac{5}{p}\right)$. Since $\omega(\varrho(d)) \geq v$, it follows that either d has at least $w = 5 \log \log x$ distinct prime factors, or there exists $p | d$ such that $p - e_p$ has at least w distinct prime factors. In the first case, the number of such numbers d does not exceed

$$2 \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ \omega(m) \geq w}} 1 < 2 \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ \omega(m) \geq w}} \frac{x}{m} \leq 2x \sum_{k \geq w} \sum_{\substack{m < x \\ \omega(m) = k}} \frac{1}{m}.$$

In the second case, let $p < x$ be a prime such that $p - e_p$ has at least w prime factors. The number of numbers d with $|d| \leq x$ which are multiples of p does not exceed

$$\frac{2x}{p} \leq \frac{4x}{p - e_p}.$$

Summing up over all such primes and noting that for every m the equation $p - e_p = m$ can have at most two solutions p , we find that in this case the number of acceptable d 's is

$$\leq 8x \sum_{k \geq w} \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ \omega(m) = k}} \frac{1}{m}.$$

Hence, if we write

$$\mathcal{S}(x; k) = \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ \omega(m) = k}} \frac{1}{m},$$

then

$$(11) \quad \#\mathcal{D}_3(x) \ll x \sum_{k \geq w} \mathcal{S}(x; k).$$

Using the multinomial formula, we get a bound for $\mathcal{S}(x; k)$:

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(x; k) &\leq \frac{1}{k!} \left(\sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \frac{1}{p^\alpha} \right)^k = \frac{1}{k!} \left(\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} + O(1) \right)^k \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} (\log \log x + O(1))^k. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\frac{(\log \log x + O(1))^k / k!}{(\log \log x + O(1))^{k+1} / (k+1)!} = \frac{k+1}{(\log \log x + O(1))} > 2$$

if $k \geq w$ and x is large, therefore by estimates (11) and (12), and Stirling's formula, we get

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{D}_3(x) &\ll x \sum_{k \geq w} \mathcal{S}(x; k) \ll \frac{x}{[w]!} (\log \log x + O(1))^{[w]} \\ &\ll x \left(\frac{e \log \log x + O(1)}{w} \right)^w \ll x \left(\frac{e}{5} \right)^{5 \log \log x} < \frac{x}{(\log x)^3} \end{aligned}$$

for large x because $5 \log(5/e) = 3.047 \dots > 3$.

Let $\mathcal{D}_4(x) = \mathcal{D}_1(x) \setminus (\mathcal{D}_2(x) \cup \mathcal{D}_3(x))$. Let $d \in \mathcal{D}_4(x)$ and write it as $d = \varepsilon Pm$, where $P = P(d) > y$, m is a positive integer $< x/y$, and $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. We fix the number m and let $\mathcal{D}_4^m(x)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{D}_4(x)$ that contains the d 's for which $d = \pm mP(d)$. Assume $\mathcal{D}_4^m(x)$ is not empty.

Let $z = 300(\log \log x)^2 \log \log \log x$ and let $\mathcal{P} = \{p : p \leq z\}$. For x large, the cardinality of \mathcal{P} satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(z) &= (1 + o(1)) \frac{z}{\log z} = 150(1 + o(1))(\log \log x)^2 \\ &> 125(\log \log x)^2 = 5v. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{Q} be a fixed subset of \mathcal{P} having precisely $5[v]$ primes in it. Because $\mathcal{D}_4^m(x)$ is not empty, there is a subset \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{Q} of cardinality $4[v]$ such that every prime number in \mathcal{T} is coprime to $12\rho(m)$. Indeed, since there is a d in $\mathcal{D}_4(x)$ such that $m \mid d$, we know that $\rho(m)$ divides $\rho(d)$, so that any p coprime to $12\rho(d)$ is coprime to $12\rho(m)$. Thus, let \mathcal{Q}_m be the set of subsets of \mathcal{Q} of cardinality $4[v]$ whose (prime) elements are all prime to $12\rho(m)$. Choose a \mathcal{T} in \mathcal{Q}_m and put $\mathcal{D}_4^{m, \mathcal{T}}(x) = \{d \in \mathcal{D}_4^m(x) : \gcd(p, 12\rho(d)) = 1, \forall p \in \mathcal{T}\}$. We will bound $\mathcal{D}_4(x)$ by using the crude estimate

$$\#\mathcal{D}_4(x) \leq \sum_{m \leq x} \sum_{\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{Q}_m} \#\mathcal{D}_4^{m, \mathcal{T}}(x).$$

By Lemma 9, we have, for $d \in \mathcal{D}_4^{m, \mathcal{T}}(x)$,

$$\left(\frac{d}{F_p}\right) = 1 \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathcal{T}.$$

The above condition means that

$$\left(\frac{\varepsilon m}{F_p}\right) \left(\frac{P}{F_p}\right) = 1.$$

But again because p is not 3, F_p is odd. And since F_p is the sum of two squares we have $F_p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, so that

$$\left(\frac{P}{F_p}\right) = \left(\frac{m}{F_p}\right).$$

In the above relation, m is fixed, and p is a prime in the fixed set \mathcal{T} . Let again $F_p = \delta_p \lambda_p^2$. The above relation puts P into half of all possible $\phi(\delta_p)$ arithmetic progressions with common differences δ_p , which are all odd and > 1 . Using the fact that the F_p 's are mutually coprime as p varies in \mathcal{T} , we conclude that P lies in $1/2^{\#\mathcal{T}}$ of all admissible progressions of the form $A_{\mathcal{T}} \pmod{B_{\mathcal{T}}}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad B_{\mathcal{T}} &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{T}} \delta_p \leq \prod_{p \in \mathcal{T}} F_p \leq \exp(\#\mathcal{T} z) \\ &= \exp(30000(\log \log x)^4 \log \log x). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used the fact that $F_n < e^n$ for all positive integers n . By the Brun–Titchmarsh theorem, the number of such primes $P \leq x/m$ does not exceed

$$\frac{2x/m}{2^{\#\mathcal{T}} \log(x/mB_{\mathcal{T}})} \leq \frac{4x \log \log x}{2^{4\lfloor v \rfloor} m \log x},$$

where we used estimate (14) to conclude that $x/m > y > (B_{\mathcal{T}})^2$ for large x , therefore that $x/mB_{\mathcal{T}} > y^{1/2}$. The number of subsets $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{Q}_m$ is less than $\binom{5\lfloor v \rfloor}{4\lfloor v \rfloor}$ so that the number of acceptable primes P when m is fixed is

$$\leq \frac{1}{2^{4\lfloor v \rfloor}} \binom{5\lfloor v \rfloor}{4\lfloor v \rfloor} \frac{4x \log \log x}{m \log x},$$

and summing up over all possible values of m we get

$$\#\mathcal{D}_4(x) \leq \frac{4x \log \log x}{\log x} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{4\lfloor v \rfloor}} \binom{5\lfloor v \rfloor}{4\lfloor v \rfloor} \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{1}{m} \ll x \log \log x \cdot \frac{1}{2^{4\lfloor v \rfloor}} \binom{5\lfloor v \rfloor}{4\lfloor v \rfloor}.$$

By Stirling's formula, the above inequality leads, for x large, to

$$(15) \quad \#\mathcal{D}_4(x) \ll x \log \log x \left(\frac{5^5}{4^4 \cdot 2^4}\right)^{\lfloor v \rfloor} < \frac{x}{(\log x)^3},$$

where we used the fact that

$$25 \log(5^5 / (4^4 \cdot 2^4)) = -6.7644 \dots < -3.$$

The conclusion of the theorem now follows from estimates (10), (13) and (15). ■

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $d \in \mathcal{D}$, and write it as $d = d_1 \cdot d_0^2$, where d_1 is square-free. It is clear that $\mathcal{N}_d \subset \mathcal{N}_{d_1}$, therefore $d_1 \in \mathcal{D}$ as well. Thus, if x is large, then

$$\#\mathcal{D}(x) \leq \sum_{d_0 \geq 1} \#\mathcal{D}_1(x/d_0^2).$$

By Theorem 10,

$$\#\mathcal{D}(x/d_0^2) \ll \frac{x}{d_0^2 (\log(x/d_0^2))^3}.$$

When $d_0 < x^{1/3}$, we have $x/d_0^2 > x^{1/3}$, therefore

$$\#\mathcal{D}(x/d_0^2) \ll \frac{x}{d_0^2 (\log x)^3}.$$

Otherwise, we use the trivial inequality $\#\mathcal{D}_1(x/d_0^2) \leq 2x/d_0^2$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{D}(x) &\ll \sum_{1 \leq d_0 \leq x^{1/3}} \frac{x}{d_0^2 (\log x)^3} + 2 \sum_{x^{1/3} \leq d_0} \frac{x}{d_0^2} \\ &\ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^3} \sum_{d_0 \geq 1} \frac{1}{d_0^2} + 2x \int_{x^{1/3}}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^2} \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^3}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the theorem. ■

References

- [1] J. H. E. Cohn, *Square Fibonacci numbers, etc.*, *Fibonacci Quart.* 2 (1964), 109–113.
- [2] F. Luca, *Prime factors of Fibonacci numbers*, solution to Advanced Problem H546, *ibid.* 42 (2004).
- [3] G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.

Laboratoire Nicolas Oresme
 Université de Caen
 F-14032 Caen Cedex, France
 E-mail: Christian.Ballot@math.unicaen.fr

Instituto de Matemáticas
 Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
 C.P. 58089, Morelia, Michoacán, México
 E-mail: fluca@matmor.unam.mx

*Received on 1.6.2006
 and in revised form on 28.10.2006*

(5211)