

A determinant formula for congruence zeta functions of maximal real cyclotomic function fields

by

DAISUKE SHIOMI (Nagoya)

1. Introduction. Let k be a field of rational functions over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q with q elements. Fix a generator T of k , and let $R = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ be the polynomial subring of k . Let M be a monic polynomial in R , and A_M be the M -torsion of the Carlitz module. The field k_M obtained by adding the points of A_M to k is called the M th cyclotomic function field. For the definition of the Carlitz module and basic facts on cyclotomic function fields, see Section 2 below. Let k_M^+ be a “maximal real subfield” of k_M which is the decomposition field of the infinite prime of k in k_M/k .

Define $h_{k_M^+}$ to be the order of the divisor class group of degree 0 for k_M^+ . Bae and Kang obtained a determinant formula for $h_{k_M^+}$ in [1]. For the field k_M^+ , the congruence zeta function $\zeta(s, k_M^+)$ is expressed by

$$(1) \quad \zeta(s, k_M^+) = \frac{P_{k_M^+}(q^{-s})}{(1 - q^{-s})(1 - q^{1-s})}$$

where $P_{k_M^+}(X)$ is a polynomial with integral coefficients, and $P_{k_M^+}(1) = h_{k_M^+}$ (cf. [5, p. 130]).

The purpose of this paper is to give a determinant formula for $P_{k_M^+}(X)$ (see Section 3). Since $P_{k_M^+}(1) = h_{k_M^+}$, our formula is a generalization of the determinant formula for $h_{k_M^+}$. As an application, we calculate some low coefficients of $P_{k_M^+}(X)$ by using the first and second derivatives of a determinant (see Section 4).

2. Basic facts. In this section, we recall some basic properties of cyclotomic function fields and their congruence zeta functions. For details, see [2, 3, 4].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11M38, 11R60.

Key words and phrases: congruence zeta function, cyclotomic function fields.

2.1. Cyclotomic function fields. Let $\text{End}(k^{\text{ac}})$ be the \mathbb{F}_q -algebra of endomorphisms of the additive group of the algebraic closure k^{ac} of k . The Frobenius automorphism $\varphi (x \mapsto x^q)$ and the T -multiplication $\mu_T (x \mapsto T \cdot x)$ are elements of $\text{End}(k^{\text{ac}})$. We define

$$(2) \quad x^M := M(\varphi + \mu_T)(x)$$

for $x \in k^{\text{ac}}$ and $M \in R$. Then k^{ac} becomes an R -module with the above action.

For a monic polynomial $M \in R$, let Λ_M be the set of all x satisfying $x^M = 0$, which is a cyclic R -submodule of k^{ac} . We have the following isomorphism of R -modules:

$$(3) \quad R/M \rightarrow \Lambda_M \quad (A \bmod M \mapsto \lambda^A)$$

where λ is one of the generators of Λ_M .

Let $(R/M)^\times$ be the group of units of R/M . Let $\Phi(M)$ be the order of $(R/M)^\times$. By using the previous isomorphism, we see that $\Phi(M)$ is the number of generators of Λ_M .

Let k_M be the field obtained by adding the elements of Λ_M to k , which is called the M th *cyclotomic function field*. Then k_M is an abelian extension of k . Fix a generator λ of Λ_M . We get the following isomorphism:

$$(4) \quad (R/M)^\times \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k_M/k) \quad (A \bmod M \mapsto \sigma_{A \bmod M})$$

where $\text{Gal}(k_M/k)$ is the Galois group of k_M/k , and $\sigma_{A \bmod M}$ is the isomorphism given by $\sigma_{A \bmod M}(\lambda) = \lambda^A$. The extension degree of k_M/k is $\Phi(M)$. We see that \mathbb{F}_q^\times is contained in $(R/M)^\times$, and let k_M^+ be the subfield of k_M corresponding to \mathbb{F}_q^\times . We call k_M^+ the *maximal real subfield* of k_M . The extension degree of k_M^+/k is $\Phi(M)/(q - 1)$. If M is a monic polynomial of degree 1, then $k_M^+ = k$.

For a monic polynomial $M \in R$, let X_M be the group of all primitive Dirichlet characters of $(R/M)^\times$. We call χ the *real character* if $\chi(a) = 1$ for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Let X_M^+ be the set of real characters contained in X_M . Let \mathbb{D} be the group of all primitive Dirichlet characters. Put

$$(5) \quad \tilde{k} := \bigcup_M k_M$$

where M runs through all monic polynomials in R . By the same argument as in Chapter 3 of [4], we have a one-to-one correspondence between finite subgroups of \mathbb{D} and finite subextension fields of \tilde{k}/k , and X_M, X_M^+ corresponds to k_M, k_M^+ respectively.

THEOREM 2.1 (cf. [4, Theorem 3.7]). *Let X be a finite subgroup of \mathbb{D} , and L the associated field. For an irreducible monic polynomial $P \in R$, put*

$$Y := \{\chi \in X \mid \chi(P) \neq 0\}, \quad Z := \{\chi \in X \mid \chi(P) = 1\}.$$

Then

- $X/Y \simeq$ the inertia group of P for L/k ,
- $Y/Z \simeq$ the cyclic group of order f_P ,
- $X/Z \simeq$ the decomposition group of P for L/k ,

where f_P is the residue class degree of P in L/k .

2.2. The congruence zeta function for k_M^+ . For a monic polynomial $M \in R$, let $\mathcal{O}_{k_M^+}$ be the integral closure of R in the field k_M^+ . We define $\zeta(s, \mathcal{O}_{k_M^+})$ by

$$(6) \quad \zeta(s, \mathcal{O}_{k_M^+}) := \prod_{\mathcal{P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P}^s} \right)^{-1}$$

where \mathcal{P} runs through all primes of $\mathcal{O}_{k_M^+}$, and $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P}$ denotes the number of elements of the residue field of \mathcal{P} . By the same argument as in the case of number fields, we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.1 (cf. [4, Theorem 4.3]).

$$(7) \quad \zeta(s, \mathcal{O}_{k_M^+}) = \prod_{\chi \in X_M^+} L(s, \chi)$$

where the L -function is defined by

$$L(s, \chi) := \prod_P \left(1 - \frac{\chi(P)}{\mathcal{N}P^s} \right)^{-1}$$

with P running through all monic irreducible polynomials of R .

The congruence zeta function of k_M^+ is defined by

$$\zeta(s, k_M^+) := \prod_{\mathcal{P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P}^s} \right)^{-1}$$

where \mathcal{P} runs through all primes of k_M^+ . Let P_∞ be the infinite prime of k determined by the unique pole of T . Let $e_\infty, f_\infty, g_\infty$ be the ramification index in k_M^+/k , the residue class degree, and the number of primes lying above P_∞ , respectively. Then we obtain

$$\zeta(s, k_M^+) = \zeta(s, \mathcal{O}_{k_M^+}) (1 - q^{-sf_\infty})^{-g_\infty}.$$

Since P_∞ splits completely in k_M^+/k , we get

$$(8) \quad \zeta(s, k_M^+) = \zeta(s, \mathcal{O}_{k_M^+}) (1 - q^{-s})^{-\Phi(M)/(q-1)}.$$

3. The determinant formula for $P_{k_M^+}(X)$. The goal of this section is to give a determinant formula for $P_{k_M^+}(X)$.

For a monic polynomial $M \in R$ of degree d ($d \geq 2$), we define $\mathcal{R}_M := (R/M)^\times / \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. For $\alpha \in (R/M)^\times$, let r_α be the element of R satisfying

$$r_\alpha \equiv \alpha \pmod{M}, \quad \deg r_\alpha < d,$$

where $\deg A$ denotes the degree of the polynomial A . We define

$$(9) \quad \text{Deg}(\alpha) = \deg r_\alpha.$$

We can easily see that Deg is a function over \mathcal{R}_M .

Let $N = \Phi(M)/(q - 1) - 1$. We put

$$\mathcal{R}_M = \{1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_i &= \text{Deg}(\alpha_i) & (i = 1, \dots, N), \\ d_{ij} &= \text{Deg}(\alpha_i \alpha_j^{-1}) & (i, j = 1, \dots, N). \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$(10) \quad J_{k_M^+}(X) := \prod_{\substack{\chi \in X_M^+ \\ \chi \neq 1}} \prod_{Q|M} (1 - \chi(Q)X^{\deg Q}),$$

where Q runs through all irreducible monic polynomials dividing M . We put

$$(11) \quad D_{k_M^+}(X) := \left(\frac{X^{d_{ij}} - X^{d_i}}{1 - X} \right)_{i,j=1,\dots,N}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.1.

$$(12) \quad J_{k_M^+}(X) = \prod_{Q|M} \frac{(1 - X^{f_Q \deg Q})^{g_Q}}{1 - X^{\deg Q}}$$

where Q is an irreducible monic polynomial dividing M and f_Q, g_Q are the residue class degree in k_M^+/k and the number of primes lying over Q , respectively.

Proof. Let Q be an irreducible monic polynomial dividing M , and put

$$Y_Q^+ := \{\chi \in X_M^+ \mid \chi(Q) \neq 0\}, \quad Z_Q^+ := \{\chi \in X_M^+ \mid \chi(Q) = 1\}.$$

From Theorem 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\chi \in X_M^+} (1 - \chi(Q)X^{\deg Q}) &= \prod_{\chi \in Y_Q^+} (1 - \chi(Q)X^{\deg Q}) \\ &= \prod_{\chi \in Y_Q^+/Z_Q^+} \prod_{\psi \in Z_Q^+} (1 - \chi\psi(Q)X^{\deg Q}) \\ &= \left(\prod_{\chi \in Y_Q^+/Z_Q^+} (1 - \chi(Q)X^{\deg Q}) \right)^{g_Q}. \end{aligned}$$

Since Y_Q^+/Z_Q^+ is a cyclic group of order f_Q , we have

$$\prod_{\chi \in Y_Q^+/Z_Q^+} (1 - \chi(Q)X^{\deg Q}) = 1 - X^{f_Q \deg Q}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\prod_{\chi \in X_M^+} (1 - \chi(Q)X^{\deg Q}) = (1 - X^{f_Q \deg Q})^{g_Q}.$$

From the above equality, the desired result follows. ■

From Proposition 3.1, $J_{k_M^+}(X)$ is a polynomial with integral coefficients. Now we can prove the main result of the present paper.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $M \in R$ be a monic polynomial of degree not less than 2. Then*

$$(13) \quad \det D_{k_M^+}(X) = P_{k_M^+}(X)J_{k_M^+}(X).$$

Proof. For any $\chi \in X_M^+$, let f_χ be the conductor of χ . Define $\tilde{\chi}$ by

$$\tilde{\chi} = \chi \circ \pi_\chi$$

where $\pi_\chi : (R/M)^\times \rightarrow (R/f_\chi)^\times$ is the natural homomorphism. Then we can easily see that

$$L(s, \tilde{\chi}) = L(s, \chi) \cdot \prod_{Q|M} (1 - \chi(Q)q^{-s \deg Q}).$$

Hence we have

$$\prod_{\substack{\chi \in X_M^+ \\ \chi \neq 1}} L(s, \tilde{\chi}) = \left(\prod_{\substack{\chi \in X_M^+ \\ \chi \neq 1}} L(s, \chi) \right) \cdot J_{k_M^+}(q^{-s}) = \zeta(s, \mathcal{O}_{k_M^+})(1 - q^{1-s})J_{k_M^+}(q^{-s}).$$

By the same argument as in Lemma 3 in [2], if $\chi \neq 1$,

$$L(s, \tilde{\chi}) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \sum_{\substack{\deg A=k \\ A \text{ monic}}} \tilde{\chi}(A)q^{-ks} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_M} \tilde{\chi}(\alpha)q^{-\text{Deg}(\alpha)s}.$$

Since $\tilde{\chi}$ is real, $\tilde{\chi}$ is a character of \mathcal{R}_M . Notice that $\tilde{\chi}$ runs through all characters of \mathcal{R}_M when χ runs through all characters of X_M^+ . By the Frobenius determinant formula (cf. [4, Lemma 5.26]),

$$\prod_{\substack{\chi \in X_M^+ \\ \chi \neq 1}} L(s, \tilde{\chi}) = \prod_{\substack{\chi \in X_M^+ \\ \chi \neq 1}} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_M} \tilde{\chi}(\alpha) q^{-\text{Deg}(\alpha)s} = \det (q^{-sd_{ij}} - q^{-sd_i})_{i,j=1,\dots,N}.$$

Since P_∞ splits completely in k_M^+/k , we have

$$\det \left(\frac{q^{-sd_{ij}} - q^{-sd_i}}{1 - q^{-s}} \right)_{i,j} = P_{k_M^+}(q^{-s}) J_{k_M^+}(q^{-s}).$$

Putting $X = q^{-s}$, we obtain the desired result. ■

By applying L'Hôpital's rule, we calculate

$$(14) \quad \left. \frac{X^{d_{ij}} - X^{d_i}}{1 - X} \right|_{X=1} = d_i - d_{ij}.$$

We can now use our theorem to rederive the class number formula of Bae and Kang.

COROLLARY 3.1 (Bae–Kang [1]). *In the notations of Proposition 3.1, we have*

$$(15) \quad \det (d_i - d_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,N} = W_{k_M^+} h_{k_M^+}$$

where

$$(16) \quad W_{k_M^+} = \begin{cases} \prod_{Q|M} f_Q & \text{if } g_Q = 1 \text{ for every prime } Q \text{ dividing } M, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We can calculate

$$(17) \quad \det D_{k_M^+}(X)|_{X=1} = \det (d_i - d_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,N},$$

and $W_{K_M^+} = J_M^+(1)$ by Proposition 3.1. Since $P_{k_M^+}(1) = h_{k_M^+}$, we obtain the desired result. ■

REMARK. The corollary applies, in particular, when $M = Q^d$ is a prime power. Since Q is totally ramified in k_M^+/k , we have $g_Q = 1$ and $f_Q = 1$. It follows, in this case, that $h_{k_M^+} = \det (d_i - d_{ij})$.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $M \in R$ be a monic polynomial of degree 2. Then $P_{k_M^+}(X) = 1$.*

Proof. We have

$$d_i = 1, \quad d_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 1 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

It follows that $D_{k_M^+}(X) = I_N$. By Theorem 3.1, $P_{k_M^+}(X) = 1$. ■

I would like to thank the referee for suggesting the following alternative proof of Corollary 3.2. Using the Riemann–Hurwitz formula, we find that k_M^+ has genus zero in the case of $\deg M = 2$. Thus, we also obtain $P_{K_M^+}(X) = 1$.

We give some examples of $P_{k_M^+}(X)$.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let $q = 2$ and $M = T^3 \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$. We put

$$\mathcal{R}_M = \{1, \alpha_1 = T + 1, \alpha_2 = T^2 + 1, \alpha_3 = T^2 + T + 1\}.$$

As M is a power of an irreducible polynomial, $P_{k_M^+}(X) = \det D_{k_M^+}(X)$. Hence

$$P_{k_M^+}(X) = \det D_{k_M^+}(X) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -X & -X \\ X & 1 + X & 0 \\ 0 & X & 1 + X \end{vmatrix} = 1 + 2X + 2X^2.$$

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let $q = 2$ and $M = T^2(T + 1)^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$. We put

$$\mathcal{R}_M = \{1, \alpha_1 = T^2 + T + 1, \alpha_2 = T^3 + T + 1, \alpha_3 = T^3 + T^2 + 1\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \det D_{k_M^+}(X) &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 + X & -X^2 & -X^2 \\ 0 & 1 + X + X^2 & X^2 \\ 0 & X^2 & 1 + X + X^2 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (1 + X + 2X^2)(1 + X)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$J_{k_M^+}(X) = (1 + X)^2.$$

Thus, we get

$$P_{k_M^+}(X) = 1 + X + 2X^2.$$

4. Calculating the coefficients of $\det D_{k_M^+}(X)$. In this section, we will give a formula for the coefficients of low degree for $\det D_{k_M^+}(X)$.

Let $M \in R$ be a monic polynomial of degree d . Since $\det D_{k_M^+}(0) = 1$, we can write

$$(18) \quad \det D_{k_M^+}(X) = 1 + a_1X + a_2X^2 + \dots,$$

where a_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) are integers. For $0 \leq i < d$, put

$$s_i = \#\{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_M \mid \deg \alpha = i\}, \quad t_i = \#\{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_M \mid \deg \alpha \leq i\},$$

where $\#A$ is the number of elements of the set A . We have the following result.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *If $\deg M \geq 3$, then*

$$(19) \quad a_1 = \frac{\Phi(M)}{q-1} - t_1,$$

$$(20) \quad a_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\Phi(M)}{q-1} - 2t_2 + \left(\frac{\Phi(M)}{q-1} - t_1 \right)^2 + t_1^2 \right\}.$$

To prove this proposition, we first state the following lemma, which can be shown by simple calculations.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $F(X) = (f_{ij}(X))_{i,j}$ be a matrix-valued function of one variable. If $F(X)$ is twice differentiable and invertible for $X = X_0$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d \det F(X)}{dX} \right|_{X=X_0} &= \det F(X_0) \cdot \text{Tr} \left(F(X_0)^{-1} \frac{dF}{dX}(X_0) \right), \\ \left. \frac{d^2 \det F(X)}{dX^2} \right|_{X=X_0} &= \det F(X_0) \cdot \left\{ \text{Tr} \left(F(X_0)^{-1} \frac{d^2 F}{dX^2}(X_0) \right) \right. \\ &\quad - \text{Tr} \left(F(X_0)^{-1} \frac{dF}{dX}(X_0) F(X_0)^{-1} \frac{dF}{dX}(X_0) \right) \\ &\quad \left. + \text{Tr} \left(F(X_0)^{-1} \frac{dF}{dX}(X_0) \right)^2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Tr}(A)$ is the trace of the matrix A .

Proof of Proposition 4.1. The matrix $D_{k_M^+}(0)$ is the unit matrix I_N , and

$$D_{k_M^+}(0)^{-1} = I_N, \quad \frac{dD_{k_M^+}}{dX}(0) = (c_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,N},$$

where

$$(21) \quad c_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j, d_i = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j, d_i \neq 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } d_{ij} = 1, d_i > 1, \\ -1 & \text{if } d_{ij} > 1, d_i = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$a_1 = \text{Tr}((c_{ij})_{i,j}) = \frac{\Phi(M)}{q-1} - t_1,$$

and

$$2a_2 = \text{Tr} \left(\frac{d^2 D_{k_M^+}}{dX^2}(0) \right) - \text{Tr} \left(\left(\frac{dD_{k_M^+}}{dX}(0) \right)^2 \right) + \text{Tr} \left(\frac{dD_{k_M^+}}{dX}(0) \right)^2.$$

By straightforward calculations, we get

$$\text{Tr}\left(\frac{d^2 D_{k_M^+}}{dX^2}(0)\right) = 2\left(\frac{\Phi(M)}{q-1} - t_2\right), \quad \text{Tr}\left(\frac{dD_{k_M^+}}{dX}(0)\right)^2 = \left(\frac{\Phi(M)}{q-1} - t_1\right)^2.$$

From (21),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\left(\left(\frac{dD_{k_M^+}}{dX}(0)\right)^2\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N c_{ij}c_{ji} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N c_i^2 + \sum_{\substack{d_i=1 < d_{ij} \\ d_j=1 < d_{ji}}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{d_{ij}=1 < d_i \\ d_{ji}=1 < d_j}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{d_i=1 < d_{ij} \\ d_{ji}=1 < d_j}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{d_j=1 < d_{ji} \\ d_{ij}=1 < d_i}} 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{deg } M \geq 3$, we can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N c_i^2 &= \frac{\Phi(M)}{q-1} - t_1, & \sum_{\substack{d_i=1 < d_{ij} \\ d_j=1 < d_{ji}}} 1 &= s_1^2 - s_1, \\ \sum_{\substack{d_{ij}=1 < d_i \\ d_{ji}=1 < d_j}} 1 &= 0, & \sum_{\substack{d_i=1 < d_{ij} \\ d_{ji}=1 < d_j}} 1 &= \sum_{\substack{d_j=1 < d_{ji} \\ d_{ij}=1 < d_i}} 1 = s_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\text{Tr}\left(\left(\frac{dD_{k_M^+}}{dX}(0)\right)^2\right) = \frac{\Phi(M)}{q-1} - t_1^2.$$

Hence (20) follows. ■

We give some examples for Proposition 4.1.

EXAMPLE 4.1. Let $M \in R$ be an irreducible monic polynomial of degree 3. Then

$$t_1 = q + 1, \quad t_2 = \frac{\Phi(M)}{q-1} = q^2 + q + 1.$$

By Proposition 4.1,

$$P_{k_M^+}(X) = \det D_{k_M^+}(X) = 1 + q^2X + \frac{q(q^3 + 1)}{2}X^2 + \dots.$$

EXAMPLE 4.2. We put $M = T^n$ ($n \geq 3$). Then

$$t_1 = q, \quad t_2 = q^2, \quad \frac{\Phi(M)}{q-1} = q^{n-1}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P_{k_M^+}(X) &= \det D_{k_M^+}(X) \\ &= 1 + (q^{n-1} - q)X + \frac{q^{n-1}(q^{n-1} - 2q + 1)}{2}X^2 + \dots. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Prof. Kohji Matsumoto and the referee for their valuable comments.

References

- [1] S. H. Bae and P.-L. Kang, *Class numbers of cyclotomic function fields*, Acta Arith. 102 (2002), 251–259.
- [2] S. Galovich and M. Rosen, *The class number of cyclotomic function fields*, J. Number Theory 13 (1981), 363–375.
- [3] D. R. Hayes, *Explicit class field theory for rational function fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 189 (1974), 77–91.
- [4] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Springer, New York, 1982.
- [5] A. Weil, *Basic Number Theory*, Springer, New York, 1967.

Graduate School of Mathematics
Nagoya University
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602
Japan
E-mail: m05019e@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Received on 18.4.2008
and in revised form on 10.3.2009

(5689)