APPLICATIONES MATHEMATICAE 28,2 (2001), pp. 151–168

KERWIN MORRIS (Adelaide) DOMINIK SZYNAL (Lublin)

GOODNESS-OF-FIT TESTS USING CHARACTERIZATIONS OF CONTINUOUS DISTRIBUTIONS

Abstract. Using characterization conditions of continuous distributions in terms of moments of order statistics and moments of record values we present new goodness-of-fit techniques.

1. Introduction and preliminaries. Let (X_1, \ldots, X_n) be a sample from a continuous distribution $F(x) = P[X \leq x], x \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $X_{k:n}$ denote the kth smallest order statistic of the sample. We construct goodness-of-fit tests for continuous distributions using characterizations of distributions via moments of order statistics and moments of record values (cf. [2]–[5], [10]). The results presented extend the tests for uniformity and exponentiality discussed in [6] and [7]. Moreover, we give the proof of statements on tests for exponentiality announced in [7]. We include a theorem on the asymptotic effect of substituting estimators for parameters in the tests proposed here. It can be used, among other things, to construct a test for normality.

(O) Characterizations in terms of moments of order statistics. We use the characterization conditions contained in the following theorems.

THEOREM 1 (cf. [10], [3]). Let n, k, l be given integers such that $n \ge k \ge l \ge 1$. Assume that G is a nondecreasing right-continuous function from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} . Then F(x) = G(x) on I(F) (the minimal interval containing the support of F) and F is continuous on \mathbb{R} iff

(1.1)
$$\frac{(k-l)!}{(n-l+1)!} EG^{2l}(X_{k+1-l:n+1-l}) - \frac{2k!}{(n+1)!} EG^{l}(X_{k+1:n+1}) + \frac{(k+l)!}{(n+l+1)!} = 0.$$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 62E10, 62F03.

Key words and phrases: goodness-of-fit tests, characterizations, order statistics, record values, uniform, exponential, Weibull, Pareto, geometric and logarithmic distributions.

THEOREM 2 (cf. [5]). Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, F(x) = G(x) on I(F) and F is continuous on \mathbb{R} iff

(1.2)
$$EG^{l}(X_{k+1:n+1}) = \frac{(k+l)!(n+1)!}{k!(n+l+1)!},$$
$$EG^{2l}(X_{k+1-l:n+1-l}) = \frac{(k+l)!(n-l+1)!}{(k-l)!(n+l+1)!}$$

Note that Theorem 2 is a consequence of Theorem 1, since (1.1) implies F = G implies (1.2) implies (1.1).

COROLLARY 1. $X \sim F$ and F is continuous iff

(1.3)
$$EF(X_{2:2}) - EF^2(X) = \frac{1}{3}$$

or

(1.4)
$$EF(X_{2:2}) = \frac{2}{3}, \quad EF^2(X) = \frac{1}{3}.$$

In particular:

(a) $X \sim U(\alpha, \beta)$ (uniform distribution), i.e. $F(x) = (x - \alpha)/(\beta - \alpha)$, $\alpha < x < \beta$, iff

$$E[(X_{2:2} - \alpha)/(\beta - \alpha)] - E[(X - \alpha)/(\beta - \alpha)]^2 = \frac{1}{3}$$

or

$$E[(X_{2:2} - \alpha)/(\beta - \alpha)] = \frac{2}{3}, \quad E[(X - \alpha)/(\beta - \alpha)]^2 = \frac{1}{3},$$

(b) $X \sim \text{Exp}(\alpha)$ (exponential distribution), i.e. $F(x) = 1 - \exp(-\alpha x)$, $x > 0, \alpha > 0$, iff

$$E(1 - \exp(-\alpha X_{2:2})) - E(1 - \exp(-\alpha X))^2 = \frac{1}{3}$$

or

$$E(1 - \exp(-\alpha X_{2:2})) = \frac{2}{3}, \quad E(1 - \exp(-\alpha X))^2 = \frac{1}{3}.$$

(**R**) Characterization conditions in terms of moments of record values. Let $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with cdf F and pdf f. For a fixed $k \ge 1$ we define the sequence $U_k(1), U_k(2), \ldots$ of k-(upper) record times of X_1, X_2, \ldots as follows:

$$U_k(1) = 1,$$

$$U_k(n) = \min\{j > U_k(n-1) : X_{j:j+k-1} > X_{U_k(n-1):U_k(n-1)+k-1}\},$$

$$n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Write

$$Y_n^{(k)} := X_{U_k(n):U_k(n)+k-1}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

The sequence $\{Y_n^{(k)}, n \ge 1\}$ is called the sequence of k-(upper) record values of the above sequence. For convenience we also take $Y_0^{(k)} = 0$ and note that $Y_1^{(k)} = X_{1:k} = \min(X_1, \ldots, X_k)$ (cf. [1]).

We see that for k = 1, 2, ...,the sequences $\{Y_n^{(k)}, n \ge 1\}$ of kth record values can be obtained from $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ by inspecting successively the samples $X_1, (X_1, X_2), (X_1, X_2, X_3)$, and so on. For $k = 1, Y_1^{(1)} = X_1$, and the following terms are obtained by looking at the maxima of the successive samples; $Y_2^{(1)}$ is the first maximum that exceeds $Y_1^{(1)}, Y_3^{(1)}$ is the first maximum that exceeds $Y_2^{(1)}$, and so on. For $k = 2, Y_1^{(2)} = \min(X_1, X_2)$, and the following terms are obtained by looking at the next-to-largest values in the successive samples: $Y_2^{(2)}$ is the first next-to-largest value that exceeds $Y_1^{(2)}, Y_3^{(2)}$ is the next-to-largest value that exceeds $Y_2^{(2)}$, and so on. And generally, $Y_1^{(k)} = \min(X_1, \ldots, X_k) = X_{1:k}$, and the following kth record values are obtained by looking at the kth largest values in successive samples, i.e., looking at the order statistics $X_{2:k+1}$ from $(X_1, \ldots, X_{k+1}), X_{3:k+2}$ from (X_1, \ldots, X_{k+2}) , and so on.

We have the following characterizations.

THEOREM 3 (cf. [4]). Let $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with cdf F. Assume that G is a nondecreasing right-continuous function from \mathbb{R} to $(-\infty, 1]$, and let n, k, l be given integers such that $k \ge 1$ and $n \ge l \ge 1$. Then F(x) = G(x) on I(F) iff

(1.5)
$$k^{2l}(n-l)!E[-\log(1-G(Y_{n-l+1}^{(k)}))]^{2l}$$

 $-2n!k^{l}E[-\log(1-G(Y_{n+1}^{(k)}))]^{l} + (n+l)! = 0.$

THEOREM 4 (cf. [5], [4]). Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, F(x) = G(x) on I(F) iff

$$E[-\log(1 - G(Y_{n+1}^{(k)}))]^{l} = \frac{(n+l)!}{n!k^{l}},$$
$$E[-\log(1 - G(Y_{n-l+1}^{(k)}))]^{2l} = \frac{(n+l)!}{(n-l)!k^{2l}}$$

Following the observation after Theorem 2 we see that Theorem 4 is a consequence of Theorem 3.

COROLLARY 2. $X \sim F$ and F is continuous iff

(1.6)
$$E[-\log(1 - F(Y_1^{(k)}))]^2 - \frac{2}{k}E[-\log(1 - F(Y_2^{(k)}))] + \frac{2}{k^2} = 0$$

or

(1.7)
$$E[-\log(1 - F(Y_2^{(k)}))] = \frac{2}{k}, \quad E[-\log(1 - F(Y_1^{(k)}))]^2 = \frac{2}{k^2}.$$

In particular:

(a)
$$X \sim U(0,1)$$
 iff
 $E[-\log(1-Y_1^{(k)})]^2 - \frac{2}{k}E[-\log(1-Y_2^{(k)})] + \frac{2}{k^2} = 0$

(b) 2 (b) 2 (c) 2

or

$$E[-\log(1-Y_2^{(k)})] = \frac{2}{k}, \quad E[-\log(1-Y_1^{(k)})]^2 = \frac{2}{k^2},$$

(b) $X \sim \text{Exp}(\alpha)$ iff

$$\alpha^{2} E(Y_{1}^{(k)})^{2} - \frac{2}{k^{2}} \alpha E(Y_{2}^{(k)}) + \frac{2}{k^{2}} = 0$$

or

$$EY_2^{(k)} = \frac{2}{\alpha k}, \quad E(Y_1^{(k)})^2 = \frac{2}{\alpha^2 k^2}.$$

2. Goodness-of-fit tests based on characterizations via moments of order statistics. The cases when parameters of F are specified and unknown will be treated separately.

(A) Parameters of F are specified. First we construct goodness-of-fit tests based on the characterization in (1.1) (see also (1.3)) which we can write in the form

$$E(F(X_{2:2})) - \frac{1}{2}(E(F^2(X_1) + F^2(X_2))) = \frac{1}{3}$$

where X_1 and X_2 are i.i.d. as X.

Let (X_1, \ldots, X_{2n}) be a sample from F, where F is continuous and strictly increasing. Define

$$Y_j = F^2(X_{2j-1}) + F^2(X_{2j}),$$

$$Z_j = F(\max(X_{2j-1}, X_{2j})), \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then Y_1, \ldots, Y_n are i.i.d. and Z_1, \ldots, Z_n are i.i.d. Writing $Y := Y_1 = F^2(X_1) + F^2(X_2), Z := Z_1 = F(\max(X_1, X_2))$ we state the following result.

LEMMA 1. Under the above assumptions, the density function of (Y, Z) is given by

$$f(y,z) = \begin{cases} 1/\sqrt{y-z^2}, & 0 \le z \le 1, \ z^2 < y \le 2z^2, \\ 0, & otherwise, \end{cases}$$

and

$$EY = \frac{2}{3}, \text{ Var}(Y) = \frac{8}{45}, EZ = \frac{2}{3}, \text{ Var}(Z) = \frac{1}{18}, \text{ Cov}(Y, Z) = \frac{4}{45}.$$

Now we define

$$R_j = Z_j - \frac{1}{2}Y_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

We see that

$$ER_j = EZ_j - \frac{1}{2}EY_j = \frac{1}{3},$$

Var $R_j = \text{Var } Z_j + \frac{1}{4} \text{Var } Y_j - \text{Cov}(Z_j, Y_j) = \frac{1}{90}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$

Write

$$\overline{R_n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n R_j;$$

then by the CLT

$$3\sqrt{10n}\left(\overline{R_n} - \frac{1}{3}\right) \xrightarrow{D} V \sim N(0, 1),$$

and hence

(2.1)
$$D_n^{(1)} := 45 \cdot 2n \left(\overline{R_n} - \frac{1}{3}\right)^2 \xrightarrow{D} \chi^2(1).$$

and so $D_n^{(1)}$ provides a simple asymptotic test of the hypothesis $X \sim F$.

Setting $X_j^* = \max(X_{2j-1}, X_{2j}), \ j = 1, ..., n$, we note that $D_n^{(1)}$ in (2.1) has the form

(2.2)
$$D_n^{(1)} = 45 \cdot 2n \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n F(X_j^*) - \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} F^2(X_j) - \frac{1}{3} \right)^2.$$

Next we construct goodness-of-fit tests based on the characterization in (1.2) (see also (1.4)), which we write in the form

$$EF(\max(X_1, X_2)) = \frac{2}{3}, \quad EF^2(X_1) = \frac{1}{3}.$$

Define

$$\mathbf{W}_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{j} \\ Z_{j} \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$
$$\mu = E\mathbf{W}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2/3 \\ 2/3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\Sigma := \operatorname{Var}(\mathbf{W}_{1}) = E(\mathbf{W}_{1} - E\mathbf{W}_{1})(\mathbf{W}_{1} - E\mathbf{W}_{1})' = \begin{pmatrix} 8/45 & 4/45 \\ 4/45 & 1/18 \end{pmatrix},$$

and write $\overline{\mathbf{W}_n} = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{W}_j$. The CLT says that

(2.3)
$$\sqrt{n} \left(\overline{\mathbf{W}_n} - \mu \right) \xrightarrow{D} \mathbf{V} \sim N(0, \Sigma),$$

whence

$$D_n^{(2)} := n(\overline{\mathbf{W}_n} - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\overline{\mathbf{W}_n} - \mu) \xrightarrow{D} \mathbf{V}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{V} \sim \chi^2(2).$$

But $D_n^{(2)}$ is a reasonable measure of the "size" of $(\overline{\mathbf{W}_n} - \mu)$ and so by (2.3) provides a test of the hypothesis that X has the distribution function F. And since

$$\Sigma^{-1} = 45 \begin{pmatrix} 5/8 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

it follows that in extended form

(2.4)
$$D_n^{(2)} = 45n \left[\frac{5}{8} \left(\overline{Y_n} - \frac{2}{3} \right)^2 + 2 \left(\overline{Z_n} - \frac{2}{3} \right)^2 - 2 \left(\overline{Y_n} - \frac{2}{3} \right) \left(\overline{Z_n} - \frac{2}{3} \right) \right].$$

In terms of X_j^* , $D_n^{(2)}$ in (2.4) has the form

(2.5)
$$D_n^{(2)} = 45 \cdot 2n \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(\overline{F^2(X_{2n})} - \frac{1}{3} \right)^2 + \left(\overline{F^2(X_{2n})} - \overline{F(X_n^*)} + \frac{1}{3} \right)^2 \right].$$

By (2.5) and (2.2) we have

Lemma 2.

$$D_n^{(2)} = \frac{45}{4} \cdot 2n \left(\overline{F^2(X_{2n})} - \frac{1}{3}\right)^2 + D_n^{(1)}$$

Special cases:

(a) If $X \sim U(\alpha, \beta)$ then

$$D_{n}^{(1)} = 45 \cdot 2n \left(\frac{1}{(\beta - \alpha)^{2}} \overline{X_{2n}^{2}} - \frac{\beta + \alpha}{(\beta - \alpha)^{2}} \overline{X_{2n}} - \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} \overline{X_{n}^{+}} + \frac{\alpha\beta}{\beta - \alpha} + \frac{1}{3} \right)^{2},$$

$$D_{n}^{(2)} = \frac{45}{4} \cdot 2n \left(\frac{\overline{X_{2n}^{2}}}{(\beta - \alpha)^{2}} - 2\alpha \frac{\overline{X_{2n}}}{(\beta - \alpha)^{2}} + \frac{\alpha^{2}}{(\beta - \alpha)^{2}} - \frac{1}{3} \right)^{2} + D_{n}^{(1)}.$$

Remark. If $X \sim U(0, \beta)$ then

$$D_n^{(1)} = 45 \cdot 2n \left(\overline{X_{2n}^2} / \beta^2 - \overline{X_{2n}} / \beta - \overline{X_n^+} / \beta + \frac{1}{3} \right)^2,$$

$$D_n^{(2)} = \frac{45}{4} \cdot 2n \left(\overline{X_{2n}^2} / \beta^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right)^2 + D_n^{(1)}.$$

(b) If $X \sim \text{Pow}(\alpha)$ (power distribution), i.e. $F(x) = 1 - (1 - x/\alpha)^{\alpha}$, $0 \le x \le \alpha, 0 < \alpha \le 1$, then

$$D_n^{(1)} = 45 \cdot 2n \left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (1 - (1 - X_j/\alpha)^{\alpha})^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - X_j^*/\alpha)^{\alpha} - \frac{2}{3} \right)^2,$$
$$D_n^{(2)} = \frac{45}{4} \cdot 2n \left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (1 - (1 - X_j/\alpha)^{\alpha})^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right)^2 + D_n^{(1)}.$$

(c) If $X \sim \text{Exp}(\alpha)$ then

$$D_n^{(1)} = 45 \cdot 2n \left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (1 - e^{-\alpha X_j})^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n e^{-\alpha X_j^*} - \frac{2}{3} \right)^2,$$

$$D_n^{(2)} = \frac{45}{4} \cdot 2n \left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (1 - e^{-\alpha X_j})^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right)^2 + D_n^{(1)}.$$

(d) If $X \sim W(\beta, \alpha)$ (Weibull distribution), i.e. $F(x) = 1 - \exp(-\alpha x^{\beta})$, $x > 0, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0$, then

$$D_n^{(1)} = 45 \cdot 2n \left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (1 - e^{-\alpha X_j^\beta})^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n e^{-\alpha (X_j^*)^\beta} - \frac{2}{3} \right)^2,$$

$$D_n^{(2)} = \frac{45}{4} \cdot 2n \left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (1 - e^{-\alpha X_j^\beta})^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right)^2 + D_n^{(1)}.$$

(e) If $X \sim \operatorname{Par}_S(\alpha, \sigma)$ (single-parameter Pareto distribution), i.e. $F(x) = 1 - (\sigma/x)^{\alpha}$, $x > \sigma$, $\alpha > 0$, $\sigma > 0$, then

$$D_n^{(1)} = 45 \cdot 2n \left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\sigma}{X_j}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (\sigma/X_j^*)^{\alpha} - \frac{2}{3}\right)^2,$$
$$D_n^{(2)} = \frac{45}{4} \cdot 2n \left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\sigma}{X_j}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{3}\right)^2 + D_n^{(1)}.$$

(f) If $X \sim \operatorname{Par}_T(\alpha, \theta)$ (two-parameter Pareto distribution), i.e. $F(x) = 1 - \left(\frac{\theta}{x+\theta}\right)^{\alpha}$, x > 0, $\alpha > 0$, $\theta > 0$, then

$$D_n^{(1)} = 45 \cdot 2n \left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\theta}{X_j + \theta}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\theta}{X_j^* + \theta}\right)^{\alpha} - \frac{2}{3}\right)^2,$$
$$D_n^{(2)} = \frac{45}{4} \cdot 2n \left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\theta}{X_j + \theta}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{3}\right)^2 + D_n^{(1)}.$$

(g) If $X \sim \text{Log}(\alpha, \beta)$ (logistic distribution), i.e.

$$F(x) = [1 + \exp(-(x - \alpha)/\beta)]^{-1}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \ \beta > 0,$$

then

$$D_n^{(1)} = 45 \cdot 2n \left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (1 + \exp(-(X_j - \alpha)/\beta))^{-2} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (1 + \exp(-(X_j^* - \alpha)/\beta))^{-1} + \frac{1}{3} \right)^2,$$
$$D_n^{(2)} = \frac{45}{4} \cdot 2n \left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (1 + \exp(-(X_j - \alpha)/\beta))^{-2} - \frac{1}{3} \right)^2 + D_n^{(1)}.$$

(B) Unknown parameters. We discuss asymptotic tests obtained from $D_n^{(1)}$ and $D_n^{(2)}$ in (A) when parameters are replaced by estimators.

PROPOSITION 1. Goodness-of-fit tests for $F(x) = x/\beta$, $x \in (0, \beta)$, $\beta > 0$, are given by

$$\hat{D}_{n}^{(1)} := D_{n}^{(1)}(\hat{\beta}_{n}) = 45 \cdot 2n \left(\frac{\overline{X_{2n}^{2}}}{\hat{\beta}_{n}^{2}} - \frac{\overline{X_{2n}}}{\hat{\beta}_{n}} - \frac{\overline{X_{n}^{+}}}{\hat{\beta}_{n}} + \frac{1}{3} \right)^{2} \xrightarrow{D} \chi^{2}(1),$$
$$\hat{D}_{n}^{(2)} := D_{n}^{(2)}(\hat{\beta}_{n}) = \frac{45}{4} \cdot 2n \left(\frac{\overline{X_{2n}^{2}}}{\hat{\beta}_{n}^{2}} - \frac{1}{3} \right)^{2} + D_{n}^{(1)}(\hat{\beta}_{n}) \xrightarrow{D} \chi^{2}(2),$$

where $\widehat{\beta}_n = \max(X_1, \dots, X_{2n}).$

PROPOSITION 2. Goodness-of-fit tests for $F(x) = \frac{x-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha}, x \in (\alpha,\beta), \alpha < \beta$, are given by

$$\begin{split} \widehat{D}_{n}^{(1)} &:= D_{n}^{(1)}(\widehat{\alpha}_{n},\widehat{\beta}_{n}) = 45 \cdot 2n \bigg(\frac{\overline{X_{2n}^{2}}}{(\widehat{\beta}_{n} - \widehat{\alpha}_{n})^{2}} - (\widehat{\beta}_{n} + \widehat{\alpha}_{n}) \frac{\overline{X_{2n}}}{(\widehat{\beta}_{n} - \widehat{\alpha}_{n})^{2}} \\ &- \frac{\overline{X_{n}^{+}}}{(\widehat{\beta}_{n} - \widehat{\alpha}_{n})} + \frac{\widehat{\alpha}_{n}\widehat{\beta}_{n}}{(\widehat{\beta}_{n} - \widehat{\alpha}_{n})^{2}} + \frac{1}{3} \bigg)^{2} \xrightarrow{D} \chi^{2}(1), \\ \widehat{D}_{n}^{(2)} &:= D_{n}^{(2)}(\widehat{\alpha}_{n},\widehat{\beta}_{n}) = \frac{45}{4} \cdot 2n \bigg(\frac{\overline{X_{2n}^{2}}}{(\widehat{\beta}_{n} - \widehat{\alpha}_{n})^{2}} - 2\frac{\widehat{\alpha}_{n}\overline{X_{2n}}}{(\widehat{\beta}_{n} - \widehat{\alpha}_{n})} \\ &+ \frac{\widehat{\alpha}_{n}^{2}}{(\widehat{\beta}_{n} - \widehat{\alpha}_{n})^{2}} - \frac{1}{3} \bigg)^{2} + D_{n}^{(1)}(\widehat{\alpha}_{n},\widehat{\beta}_{n}) \xrightarrow{D} \chi^{2}(2), \end{split}$$

where $\widehat{\beta}_n = \max(X_1, \ldots, X_{2n})$ and $\widehat{\alpha}_n = \min(X_1, \ldots, X_{2n})$.

The proofs of Propositions 1 and 2 are given in [6] and [7]. For the following propositions concerning exponential and normal distributions we use a general theorem based on results in [8] and [9].

THEOREM 5 ([8]). Let $\widehat{T}_n = T_n(X_1, \ldots, X_n; \widehat{\lambda}_n)$, where $\widehat{\lambda}_n = \widehat{\lambda}_n(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is an estimator of a parameter λ of the distribution of X, and let $T_n = T_n(X_1, \ldots, X_n; \lambda)$ (here T_n, λ and $\widehat{\lambda}_n$ may be vectors). Suppose that:

(i) For each λ ,

$$\sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} T_n \\ \widehat{\lambda}_n - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{D} T \sim N(0, V),$$

where

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} \\ V_{21} & V_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

and V_{22} is nonsingular.

(ii) There is a matrix B, possibly depending continuously on λ , such that

$$\sqrt{n}\,\widehat{T}_n = \sqrt{n}\,T_n + B\sqrt{n}\,(\widehat{\lambda}_n - \lambda) + o_p(1).$$

(iii) $\widehat{\lambda}_n$ is asymptotically efficient (cf. [8]).

Then

(2.6)
$$\sqrt{n} \widehat{T}_n \xrightarrow{D} T^* \sim N(0, V_{11} - BV_{22}B').$$

Note that (ii) is satisfied when T_n is differentiable in λ , and then

$$B = \lim_{n \to \infty} E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}T_n\right].$$

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 5.

THEOREM 6. Let (X_1, \ldots, X_{2n}) be a sample with an absolutely continuous distribution function $F(x; \lambda)$ differentiable with respect to the $m \times 1$ vector λ . Set

$$\overline{\mathbf{W}_n} := \left(\frac{\overline{Y_n}}{\overline{Z_n}}\right) := \left(\frac{\overline{Y_n(\lambda)}}{\overline{Z_n(\lambda)}}\right) = \overline{W_n(\lambda)},$$

where

$$\overline{Y_n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} F^2(X_j; \lambda), \quad \overline{Z_n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n F(X_j^*; \lambda),$$

and $X_j^* = \max(X_{2j-1}, X_{2j}), j = 1, \dots, n.$ Write

$$\widehat{W}_n = \overline{W_n(\widehat{\lambda}_{2n})} = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{Y}_n \\ \widehat{Z}_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\widehat{Y}_n := \overline{Y_n(\widehat{\lambda}_{2n})}, \quad \widehat{Z}_n := \overline{Z_n(\widehat{\lambda}_{2n})}.$$

and $\widehat{\lambda}_{2n}$ is the MLE of λ . Suppose that F is such that the MLE $\widehat{\lambda}_{2n}$ is "regular" in the sense that

$$\sqrt{2n} \left(\widehat{\lambda}_{2n} - \lambda\right) \xrightarrow{D} \gamma \sim N(0, I^{-1}),$$

where $I = I(\lambda)$ is the information matrix for λ based on a single observation. Then

(2.7)
$$\sqrt{n} \left(\overline{W_n}(\widehat{\lambda}_{2n}) - \mu\right) \xrightarrow{D} W \sim N(0, \Sigma_1),$$

(2.8)
$$\widehat{D}_{n}^{(1)} := 45 \cdot 2n \left(\overline{\widehat{F}(X_{n}^{*})} - \overline{\widehat{F}^{2}(X_{2n})} - \frac{1}{3}\right)^{2} \to \chi^{2}(1),$$

(2.9)
$$\widehat{D}_n^{(2)} := \frac{45}{4-b} 2n \left(\overline{\widehat{F}^2(X_{2n})} - \frac{1}{3}\right)^2 + \widehat{D}_n^{(1)} \to \chi^2(2),$$

where $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma - B(2I)^{-1}B$, μ and Σ are taken from (2.3), $\hat{F}(x) := F(x, \hat{\lambda})$, and

$$B = 2\binom{2}{1}d',$$

where

$$d := E\left(F(X;\lambda)\frac{dF(X;\lambda)}{d\lambda}\right) \quad is \ m \times 1,$$

and

$$b := b(\lambda) = 180d'I^{-1}d.$$

Proof. The statement (2.7) follows directly from (2.3) and (2.6). Now note that

$$E\frac{\partial\overline{Y_n}}{\partial\lambda_j} = 2E\frac{\partial F^2(X;\lambda)}{\partial\lambda_j} = 4E\left(F\frac{\partial F}{\partial\lambda_j}\right)$$
$$= 4\int F(x;\lambda)\frac{\partial F}{\partial\lambda_j}f(x;\lambda)\,dx, \quad j = 1,\dots,m,$$

and correspondingly

$$E\left(\frac{\partial \overline{Z_n}}{\partial \lambda_j}\right) = E\left(\frac{\partial F(\max(X_{2j-1}, X_{2j}); \lambda)}{\partial \lambda_j}\right) = \frac{1}{2}E\frac{\partial \overline{Y_n}}{\partial \lambda_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

since the pdf of $X_i^* = \max(X_{2i-1}, X_{2i})$ is $2F(x^*; \lambda)f(x^*; \lambda), i = 1, ..., n$. It follows that

$$B = \lim_{n \to \infty} E\left(\frac{\partial \overline{W_n}}{\partial \lambda}\right) = \binom{4}{2} \left(E\left(F\frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_1}\right) \dots E\left(F\frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_m}\right)\right) = 2\binom{2}{1}d',$$

and hence that

$$B(2I)^{-1}B' = 2d'I^{-1}d\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2\\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{b}{90}\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2\\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we have

$$\Sigma_1 = \Sigma - B(2I)^{-1}B' = \frac{1}{90} \begin{pmatrix} 16 & 8\\ 8 & 5 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{b}{90} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2\\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{90} \begin{pmatrix} 4(4-b) & 2(4-b)\\ 2(4-b) & 5-b \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\Sigma_1^{-1} = 90 \begin{pmatrix} 5 - b/(4(4-b)) & -1/2 \\ -1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$\widehat{D}_n^{(2)} = n(\widehat{W} - \mu)' \Sigma_1^{-1}(\widehat{W} - \mu) \xrightarrow{D} \chi^2(2),$$

which (in extended form) proves (2.9). Finally, writing $a = \binom{-1/2}{1}$ we see that

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{Z}_n - \frac{1}{2}\widehat{Y}_n - \frac{1}{3}\right) = a'(\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{W} - \mu\right)) \xrightarrow{D} a'W \sim N(0, a'\Sigma_1 a),$$

and $a' \Sigma_1 a = 1/90$, which shows (2.8) and completes the proof of Theorem 6.

PROPOSITION 3. Goodness-of-fit tests for $X \sim \text{Exp}(\alpha)$ are given by

$$\begin{split} \widehat{D}_{n}^{(1)} &:= D_{n}^{(1)}(\widehat{\alpha}_{2n}) \\ &= 45 \cdot 2n \left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (1 - e^{-\widehat{\alpha}_{2n}X_{j}})^{2} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{-\widehat{\alpha}_{2n}X_{j}^{*}} - \frac{2}{3}\right)^{2} \\ &\stackrel{D}{\to} \chi^{2}(1), \\ \widehat{D}_{n}^{(2)} &:= D_{n}^{(2)}(\widehat{\alpha}_{2n}) \\ &= \frac{45 \cdot 36}{19} 2n \left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (1 - e^{-\widehat{\alpha}_{2n}X_{j}})^{2} - \frac{1}{3}\right)^{2} + \widehat{D}_{n}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{D} \chi^{2}(2), \end{split}$$

where $\widehat{\alpha}_{2n} = 1/\overline{X_{2n}}$.

Proof. The first statement of Proposition 3 follows from (c) after Lemma 2 and Theorem 6. To prove the second statement it is enough to see that for $X \sim \text{Exp}(\alpha)$ we have $I(\alpha) = 1/\alpha^2$,

$$d = \alpha \int_{0}^{\infty} (xe^{-2\alpha x} - xe^{-3\alpha x}) dx = 5/(36\alpha),$$

and b = 125/36, which by (2.9) gives the test statistic $\widehat{D}_n^{(2)}$.

PROPOSITION 4. Goodness-of-fit tests for $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-(t-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)} dt,$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty,$$

are given by

$$\begin{split} \widehat{D}_{n}^{(1)} &:= D_{n}^{(1)}(\widehat{\mu}_{2n}, \widehat{\sigma}_{2n}^{2}) \\ &= 45 \cdot 2n \left(\overline{\Phi^{2}((X_{2n} - \widehat{\mu}_{2n})/\widehat{\sigma}_{2n})} - \overline{\Phi((X_{n}^{*} - \widehat{\mu}_{2n})/\widehat{\sigma}_{2n})} + \frac{1}{3} \right)^{2} \\ &\stackrel{D}{\to} \chi^{2}(1), \\ \widehat{D}_{n}^{(2)} &:= D_{n}^{(2)}(\widehat{\mu}_{2n}, \widehat{\sigma}_{2n}^{2}) \\ &= \frac{45 \cdot 8\pi^{2}}{32\pi^{2} - 15(6\pi + 1)} \cdot 2n \left(\overline{\Phi^{2}((X_{2n} - \widehat{\mu}_{2n})/\widehat{\sigma}_{2n})} - \frac{1}{3} \right)^{2} + \widehat{D}_{n}^{(1)} \end{split}$$

where

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-t^2/2} dt,$$

,

K. Morris and D. Szynal

$$\overline{\Phi^2((X_{2n} - \hat{\mu}_{2n})/\hat{\sigma}_{2n})} = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \Phi^2((X_j - \hat{\mu}_{2n})/\hat{\sigma}_{2n}),$$
$$\overline{\Phi((X_n^* - \hat{\mu}_{2n})/\hat{\sigma}_{2n})} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \Phi((X_j^* - \hat{\mu}_n)/\hat{\sigma}_n),$$

and

$$\hat{\mu}_{2n} = \overline{X_{2n}}, \quad \hat{\sigma}_{2n}^2 = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (X_j - \overline{X_{2n}})^2.$$

Proof. Here

$$I^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0\\ 0 & 2\sigma^4 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial F}{\sigma \mu} = -f, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)f,$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$d_1 = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x)f^2(x) \, dx, \quad d_2 = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x-\mu)F(x)f^2(x) \, dx.$$

To evaluate the integrals, write

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} e^{-y^2/2} \, dy = \frac{1}{2} + \psi(x_1),$$

where

$$x_1 = (x - \mu)/\sigma, \quad \psi(x_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{x_1} e^{-y^2/2} \, dy.$$

Changing variables to $x_1 = (x - \mu)/\sigma$ gives

$$d_1 = -\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \psi(x_1)\right) e^{-x_1^2} dx_1 = -\frac{1}{4\pi\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x_1^2} dx_1 = -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi\sigma}},$$

where we have used the fact that ψ is an odd function. Similarly

$$d_{2} = -\frac{1}{4\pi\sigma^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \psi(x_{1})\right) x_{1} e^{-x_{1}^{2}} dx_{1} = -\frac{1}{4\pi\sigma^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x_{1}) x_{1} e^{-x_{1}^{2}} dx_{1}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{8\pi\sigma^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi'(x_{1}) e^{-x_{1}^{2}} dx_{1} = -\frac{1}{8\sqrt{3}\pi\sigma^{2}},$$

where we have used integration by parts and the facts that $x_1 e^{-x_1^2}$ is an odd function and

$$\psi'(x_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x_1^2/2}.$$

Hence

$$b = 180(d_1, d_2) \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0\\ 0 & 2\sigma^4 \end{pmatrix} (d_1, d_2)' = \frac{15(6\pi + 1)}{8\pi^2},$$

which by (2.9) leads us to the $\widehat{D}_n^{(2)}$ test. Then $\widehat{D}_n^{(1)}$ is obtained immediately from (2.8).

3. Goodness-of-fit tests based on characterizations via moments of record values. Suppose that X has df F and pdf f. To simplify the notation we write

$$g(x) = 1 - F(x)$$
 and $h(x) = -\log(g(x))$

if F(x) < 1 and 0 otherwise.

Then Theorem 3 says (see (1.5)) that $X \sim F$ iff

$$k^{2l}(n-l)!Eh^{2l}(Y_{n-l+1}^{(k)}) - 2n!k^{l}Eh^{l}(Y_{n+1}^{(k)}) + (n+l)! = 0.$$

Since the definition of $Y_n^{(k)}$ requires an infinite sequence it is hard to see how a finite sample can be used to estimate $EY_n^{(k)}$. So our procedure is as follows.

We consider the special case l = n. Then $X \sim F$ iff

(3.1)
$$Eh^{2n}(X_{1:k}) - \frac{2n!}{k^n}Eh^n(Y_{n+1}^{(k)}) + \frac{(2n)!}{k^{2n}} = 0.$$

We know that the pdf of $Y_n^{(k)}$ is

$$f_{Y_n^{(k)}}(x) = \frac{k^n}{(n-1)!} h^{n-1}(x) g^{k-1}(x) f(x) \quad \text{(cf. [1])}$$

and that

(3.2)
$$F_{Y_{n+1}^{(k)}}(x) = F_{Y_n^{(k)}}(x) - \frac{k^n}{n!}h^n(x)g^k(x)$$
$$= 1 - g^k(x)\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{k^j}{j!}h^j(x) \quad (\text{cf. [2]})$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} Eh^n(Y_{n+1}^{(k)}) &= Eh^n(Y_n^{(k)}) - \frac{k^n}{(n-1)!} Eh^{2n-1}(X) g^{k-1}(X) \\ &+ \frac{k^{n+1}}{n!} Eh^{2n}(X) g^{k-1}(X). \end{split}$$

Taking into account that

$$Eg^{\alpha-1}(X)h^{\beta-1}(X) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\alpha^{\beta}} \text{ for } \alpha, \beta > 0$$

as X has df F, we get

$$Eh^{n}(Y_{n+1}^{(k)}) = Eh^{n}(Y_{n}^{(k)}) + \frac{(2n)!}{2n!k^{n}}.$$

Hence by (3.1) we obtain

$$Eh^{2n}(X_{1:k}) - \frac{2n!}{k^n} Eh^n(Y_n^{(k)}) = 0.$$

Letting n = 1 we have

(3.3)
$$Eh^{2}(X_{1:k}) - \frac{2}{k}Eh(X_{1:k}) = 0.$$

Similarly using the second equality in (3.2) we get

(3.4)
$$Eh^{2n}(X_{1:k}) - \frac{2n!}{k^n}Eh^n(X_{1:k}) - \frac{(2n)! - 2(n!)^2}{k^{2n}} = 0.$$

To verify $H: X \sim F$ we use (3.3). Consider first the case k = 1. Then

$$E(h^2(X_1) - 2h(X_1)) = 0.$$

The sample (X_1, \ldots, X_n) provides an estimator of EW_1 , where $W_1 = h^2(X_1) - 2h(X_1)$, of the form

$$\overline{W_n} = \overline{h^2(X_n)} - 2\overline{h(X_n)},$$

where

$$\overline{h^2(X_n)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n h^2(X_j), \quad \overline{h(X_n)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n h(X_j).$$

It follows from the CLT that

$$\sqrt{n} \ \overline{W_n} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \operatorname{Var}(W_1)),$$

and hence that

$$T_n^{(1)} := n \overline{W_n}^2 / \operatorname{Var}(W_1) \xrightarrow{D} \chi^2(1),$$

and so provides a simple asymptotic test of the hypothesis $X \sim F$ when the parameters of F are known. Here

$$\operatorname{Var} W_1 = Eh^4(X_1) - 4Eh^3(X_1) + 4Eh^2(X_1) = 8$$

since $h(X_1) \sim \text{Exp}(1)$ gives $Eh^m(X_1) = m!, m = 1, 2, \dots$, and so

$$T_n^{(1)} = \frac{n}{8} (\overline{h^2(X_n)} - 2\overline{h(X_n)})^2.$$

We have proved

PROPOSITION 5. If $X_n \sim F$, $n \geq 1$, are independent then

(3.5)
$$T_n^{(1)} = \frac{n}{8} (\overline{h^2(X_n)} - 2\overline{h(X_n)})^2 \xrightarrow{D} \chi^2(1).$$

Now consider the case k = 2. Write $U_1 := X_{1:2} = \min(X_1, X_2)$. Here from (3.3) we have to estimate EW'_1 , where $W'_1 = h^2(U_1) - h(U_1)$. The sample X_1, \ldots, X_{2n} provides the sample W'_1, \ldots, W'_n , where $W'_j = h^2(U_j) - h(U_j)$ and $U_j = \min(X_{2j-1}, X_{2j}), j = 1, \ldots, n$. Then EW'_1 is estimated by

$$\overline{W'_n} = \overline{h^2(U_n)} - \overline{h(U_n)},$$

and

$$T_n^{(2)} := n(\overline{W'_n})^2 / \operatorname{Var}(W'_1) \xrightarrow{D} \chi^2(1).$$

Taking into account that $h(U_1) \sim \text{Exp}(2)$ we see that $\text{Var}(W'_1) = 1/2$. Thus another simple asymptotic test is provided by

PROPOSITION 6. If $X_n \sim F$, $n \geq 1$, are independent then

(3.6)
$$T_n^{(2)} = 2n(\overline{h^2(U_n)} - \overline{h(U_n)})^2 \xrightarrow{D} \chi^2(1).$$

The same argument leads to a similar test for the case k = 3, ..., n - 1 based on a sample of size kn.

We now consider the case k = n. Write $U_n = \min(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. Then by (3.3) we have to estimate $E(h^2(U_n) - (2/n)h(U_n))$. The obvious estimate is $h^2(U_n) - (2/n)h(U_n)$ itself, and if the parameters of F are specified the test statistic is

$$T_n^{(n)} := \left(h^2(U_n) - \frac{2}{n}h(U_n)\right)^2.$$

As above, under H, $h(U_n) \sim \text{Exp}(n)$, whence

(3.7)
$$R_n := nh(U_n) \sim U \sim \operatorname{Exp}(1), \quad n \ge 1.$$

It follows that

$$T_n^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n^4} (R_n^2 - 2R_n)^2$$

and so an equivalent test statistic is $T_n := (R_n^2 - 2R_n)^2 \sim T := (U^2 - 2U)^2$, $n \ge 1$, which provides an exact test for $H : X \sim F$.

PROPOSITION 7 (cf. [7]). The significance probability of the test using T_n is

(3.8)
$$P_t := P[T_n > t] = \begin{cases} e^{-1 - \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{t}}} + e^{-1 + \sqrt{1 - \sqrt{t}}} - e^{-1 - \sqrt{1 - \sqrt{t}}} & \text{if } 0 < t \le 1, \\ e^{-1 - \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{t}}} & \text{if } t \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The significance probability $P[T_n > t]$ associated with an observed value t can be obtained by considering the graph of $u^2(u-2)^2 = t$ and using the fact that $P[U < u] = 1 - e^{-u}$. One finds readily that (3.8) holds true.

In particular we consider the 5% test of H, i.e. $P_t = 0.05$. But since

$$P[T > 1] = e^{-(1+\sqrt{2})} > 0.05$$

the 5% test rejects when $R_n > u_0$, where $e^{-u_0} = 0.05$, i.e. when $u_0 = 3.00$. Thus the exact 5% test rejects when $nh(U_n) > 3$.

Now we show that instead of $T_n = [R_n^2 - 2R_n]^2$ one can use more generally the statistics

$$T_n^{[m]} := \{ (R_n^m - m!)^2 - ((2m)! - (m!)^2) \}^2, \quad m \ge 1.$$

We note that $T_n = T_n^{[1]}$.

Writing (3.4) in the form

$$Ek^{2m}h^{2m}(X_{1:k}) - 2m!Ek^{m}h^{m}(X_{1:k}) - ((2m)! - 2(m!)^{2}) = 0$$

and letting k = n (sample size), we have

$$E\{((nh(X_{1:n}))^m - m!)^2 - ((2m)! - (m!)^2)\} = 0.$$

Taking into account that $R_n = nh(X_{1:n}) \sim Exp(1), n \ge 1$, we see that

$$T_n^{[m]} = \{(R_n^m - m!)^2 - a_m\}^2 \sim [(U^m - m!)^2 - a_m]^2$$

where

$$a_m = (2m)! - (m!)^2.$$

It follows that the statistics $T_n^{[m]}$ have for every $n \ge 1$ the distribution of $[(U^m - m!)^2 - a_m]^2$, and we reject $H : X \sim F$ if $T_n^{[m]}$ is large enough. Moreover, we can state the following result.

PROPOSITION 8. The significance probability of the test using $T_n^{[m]}$ is

$$(3.9) P_t^{[m]} := P[T_n^{[m]} > t] \\ = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-b_m^{(2)}(t)} + e^{-b_m^{(3)}(t)} & \text{if } 0 < t \le t_m, \\ e^{-b_m^{(1)}(t)} - e^{-b_m^{(2)}(t)} + e^{-b_m^{(3)}(t)} & \text{if } t_m < t \le t'_m, \\ e^{-b_m^{(3)}(t)} & \text{if } t > t'_m, \end{cases}$$

where

$$b_m^{(1)}(t) = (m! - \sqrt{a_m - \sqrt{t}})^{1/m}, \quad b_m^{(2)}(t) = (m! + \sqrt{a_m - \sqrt{t}})^{1/m},$$

$$b_m^{(3)}(t) = (m! + \sqrt{a_m + \sqrt{t}})^{1/m}, \quad t_m = (a_m - (m!)^2)^2, \quad t'_m = a_m^2.$$

The proof of (3.9) is similar to the proof of Proposition 7.

[0]

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{COROLLARY. } P_t^{[1]} \ is \ given \ by \ (3.8) \ and \ P_t^{[2]} \ is \ given \ by \ the \ formula \\ P_t^{[2]} = P[T_n^{[2]} > t] \\ = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{20 - \sqrt{t}}}} + e^{-\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{20 + \sqrt{t}}}} & \text{if } \ 0 < t \le 256, \\ e^{-\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{20 - \sqrt{t}}}} - e^{-\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{20 - \sqrt{t}}}} + e^{-\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{20 + \sqrt{t}}}} & \text{if } \ 256 < t \le 400, \\ e^{-\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{20 + \sqrt{t}}}} & \text{if } \ t > 400. \end{cases} \end{array}$$

4. Tests for exponentiality. We consider corresponding tests for $X \sim \text{Exp}(\alpha)$ when α is not specified. Note that in this case h(x) = $-\log(1 - F(x)) = \alpha x$. Using $T_n^{(1)} := T_n^{(1)}(\alpha), T_n^{(2)} := T_n^{(2)}(\alpha)$ in (3.5) and (3.6) respectively, we replace α by the estimator $\hat{\alpha}_n$. We have proved in [7] the following results.

PROPOSITION 9. If $X_n \sim F$, $n \geq 1$, are independent then

$$\widehat{T}_{n}^{(1)} := 2T_{n}^{(1)}(\widehat{\alpha}_{n}) = \frac{n}{4} (\overline{X_{n}^{2}}/(\overline{X_{n}})^{2} - 2)^{2} \xrightarrow{D} \chi^{2}(1),$$

where $\widehat{\alpha}_n = 1/\overline{X_n}$.

PROPOSITION 10. If $X_n \sim F$, $n \geq 1$, are independent then

$$\begin{split} \widehat{T}_n^{(2)} &:= \frac{4}{3} T_n^{(2)}(\widehat{\alpha}_n) = \frac{8}{3} \left(\overline{U_n^2} - \frac{1}{\widehat{\alpha}_n} \overline{U_n} \right)^2 = \frac{8n}{3} \left(\frac{\overline{U_n^2}}{(\overline{X_{2n}})^2} - \frac{\overline{U_n}}{\overline{X_{2n}}} \right)^2 \xrightarrow{D} \chi^2(1), \\ where \ \widehat{\alpha}_n &= 1/\overline{X_{2n}}. \end{split}$$

PROPOSITION 11. Let $\widehat{T}_n := T(\widehat{\alpha}_n) = (\widehat{U}_n^2 - 2\widehat{U}_n)^2$ where $\widehat{U}_n = n\widehat{\alpha}_n U_n = 0$ $nU_n/\overline{X_n}$, and let $\widehat{P}_t := P[\widehat{T_n} > t]$ stand for the associated significance probability. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \widehat{P}_t = P_t$, where P_t is given by Proposition 7.

Now by Proposition 8 we have the following generalization of Proposition 11.

PROPOSITION 12. Let

$$\widehat{T}_{n}^{[m]} := T_{n}^{[m]}(\widehat{\alpha}_{n}) = \{ [(n\widehat{\alpha}_{n}U_{n})^{m} - m!]^{2} - a_{m} \}^{2}$$

and let $\hat{P}_t^{[m]} := P[\hat{T}_n^{[m]} > t]$ stand for the associated significance probability. Then . .

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \widehat{P}_t^{[m]} = P_t^{[m]}, \quad m \ge 1,$$

where $P_t^{[m]}$ is given by Proposition 8.

Proof. Since $\widehat{\alpha}_n \xrightarrow{P} \alpha$, from (3.7) we get $n\widehat{\alpha}_n U_n = (\widehat{\alpha}_n / \alpha) R_n \xrightarrow{D} U$ and \mathbf{SO}

$$\widehat{T}_n^{[m]} \xrightarrow{D} [(U^m - m!)^2 - a_m]^2,$$

which is distributed as $T_n^{[m]}$.

Acknowledgements. The authors are very grateful to the referee for a number of useful suggestions.

References

- [1] W. Dziubdziela and B. Kopociński, *Limiting properties of the k-th record values*, Zastos. Mat. 15 (1976), 187–190.
- [2] Z. Grudzień and D. Szynal, On the expected values of k-th record values and associated characterizations of distributions, in: Proc. 4th Pannonian Symp. on Math. Statist. (Bad Tatzmannsdorf, 1985), 119–127.
- [3] —, —, Characterization of continuous distributions in terms of moments of extremal statistics, J. Math. Sci. 81 (1996), 2912–2936.
- [4] —, —, Characterizations of continuous distributions via moments of record values, J. Appl. Statist. Soc. 9 (2000), 93–103.
- G. D. Lin, Characterizations of continuous distributions via expected values of two functions of order statistics, Sankhyā Ser. A 52 (1990), 84–90.
- [6] K. Morris and D. Szynal, A goodness-of-fit test for the uniform distribution based on a characterization, J. Math. Sci., submitted.
- [7] —, —, Goodness-of-fit tests based on characterizations of continuous distributions, Appl. Math. (Warsaw) 27 (2000), 475–488.
- [8] D. A. Pierce, The asymptotic effect of substituting estimators for parameters in certain types of statistics, Ann. Statist. 10 (1982), 475–478.
- [9] R. H. Randles, On the asymptotic normality of statistics with estimated parameters, ibid., 462–474.
- [10] Y. H. Too and G. D. Lin, Characterizations of uniform and exponential distributions, Statist. Probab. Lett. 7 (1989), 357–359.

Department of Applied MathematicsInstitute of MathematicsUniversity of AdelaideMaria Curie-Skłodowska UniversityNorth Tce, AdelaidePl. M. Curie-Skłodowskiej 1South Australia, 500120-031 Lublin, PolandE-mail: kmorris@stats.adelaide.edu.auE-mail: szynal@golem.umcs.lublin.pl

Received on 1.9.2000; revised version on 26.1.2001 (1549)