

STANISŁAW LEWANOWICZ (Wrocław)

RECURRENCES FOR THE COEFFICIENTS OF SERIES EXPANSIONS WITH RESPECT TO CLASSICAL ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

Abstract. Let $\{P_k\}$ be any sequence of classical orthogonal polynomials. Further, let f be a function satisfying a linear differential equation with polynomial coefficients. We give an algorithm to construct, in a compact form, a recurrence relation satisfied by the coefficients a_k in $f = \sum_k a_k P_k$. A systematic use of the basic properties (including some nonstandard ones) of the polynomials $\{P_k\}$ results in obtaining a low order of the recurrence.

1. Introduction. Let $\{P_k(x)\}$ be any system of classical orthogonal polynomials, i.e. associated with the names of Jacobi, Laguerre, Hermite or Bessel. Given a function f , a series expansion

$$(1.1) \quad f = \sum_k a_k [f] P_k$$

is a matter of interest in numerical analysis, applied mathematics and mathematical physics. Important special cases are connection and linearization problems, where $f = \bar{P}_n$ and $f = \bar{P}_m \bar{P}_n$ (m, n nonnegative integers), respectively, and $\{\bar{P}_k\}$ is a family of polynomials (orthogonal or not). In particular, positivity of the connection coefficients $c_k = a_k[\bar{P}_n]$, or the linearization coefficients $l_k = a_k[\bar{P}_m \bar{P}_n]$ is of great importance. See [1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 12, 13, 19–28, 33].

Usually, determination of the expansion coefficients $a_k[f]$ requires a deep knowledge of special (hypergeometric) functions. See, e.g., [1, 2, 4, 9, 15, 18, 19, 25, 26]. It is important to note that, even in the case when it is possible

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 33C45, 42A16, 42C10, 42C15, 65Q05.

Key words and phrases: classical orthogonal polynomials, Fourier coefficients, recurrences.

Supported by Komitet Badań Naukowych (Poland) under the grant 2P03A02717.

to compute these coefficients explicitly, it is often useful to have a recurrence relation of the type

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{L}a_k[f] \equiv \sum_{i=0}^r A_i(k)a_{k+i}[f] = B(k),$$

where $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and A_i and B are known functions of k . See, e.g., [10, 29]. Equation (1.2) may serve as a tool for detecting certain properties of $a_k[f]$, or for numerical evaluation of these quantities, using a judiciously chosen algorithm (cf. [30]).

In the present paper, we give an algorithmic description of the method generalizing ideas of the papers [11]–[13], to construct the recurrence (1.2) provided f is a solution of the differential equation

$$(1.3) \quad P_n f(x) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n w_{ni}(x) \mathbf{D}^i f(x) = g(x),$$

where $\mathbf{D} := d/dx$, w_{ni} are polynomials in x , and the coefficients $a_k[g]$ are known. The difference operator \mathcal{L} in (1.2) is given in terms of the polynomial coefficients σ and τ of the Pearson differential equation for the orthogonality weight ϱ associated with $\{P_k(x)\}$ (see Section 3).

Notice that an alternative approach is proposed in [5, 6]; it should be stressed that there exists a class of important problems for which our method gives more refined results, i.e. lower-order recurrences of the type (1.2).

As examples we give recurrence relations for (i) the linearization coefficients of the cube $f = P_n^3$ (Section 4.1); (ii) the coefficients in the parameter derivative representation for classical orthogonal polynomials (Section 4.2); (iii) the connection coefficients between Laguerre–Sobolev and Laguerre polynomials (Section 4.3). For further examples see [12] and [13].

A Maple implementation of the proposed algorithm is given in [14].

2. Classical orthogonal polynomials

2.1. Basic properties of classical orthogonal polynomials. Let $\{P_k(x)\}$ be any system of classical orthogonal polynomials (i.e. associated with the names of Jacobi, Laguerre, Hermite or Bessel):

$$\int_I \varrho(x) P_k(x) P_l(x) dx = \delta_{kl} h_k \quad (k, l = 0, 1, \dots),$$

where $h_k \neq 0$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots$); the support I of the weight function ϱ is $[-1, 1]$, $[0, \infty)$, $(-\infty, \infty)$ and $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, respectively. See Appendix, Table 1.

Besides the three-term recurrence relation

$$(2.1) \quad xP_k(x) = \xi_0(k)P_{k-1}(x) + \xi_1(k)P_k(x) + \xi_2(k)P_{k+1}(x) \\ (k = 0, 1, \dots; P_{-1}(x) \equiv 0, P_0(x) \equiv 1),$$

these polynomials enjoy a number of similar properties which in turn provide their characterizations ([3], pp. 150–152; or [8]; or [17], Chapter II). We shall need three of those properties.

First, the weight function ϱ satisfies a differential equation of Pearson type

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbf{D}(\sigma\varrho) = \tau\varrho,$$

where $\mathbf{D} := d/dx$, σ is a polynomial of degree at most 2, and τ is a first-degree polynomial.

Second, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the polynomial P_k satisfies the second-order differential equation

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbf{L}P_k(x) \equiv \{\sigma\mathbf{D}^2 + \tau\mathbf{D}\}P_k(x) = -\lambda_k P_k(x),$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda_k := -\frac{1}{2}k[(k-1)\sigma'' + 2\tau'].$$

Third, we have the so-called structure relation

$$(2.5) \quad \sigma(x)\lambda_k^{-1}\mathbf{D}P_k(x) = \delta_0(k)P_{k-1}(x) + \delta_1(k)P_k(x) + \delta_2(k)P_{k+1}(x).$$

Recently, Yáñez *et al.* [31] (see also [32], or [8], or [21]) have shown that the coefficients $\xi_i(k)$ and $\delta_i(k)$ of the relations (2.1) and (2.5), respectively, can be expressed in terms of the coefficients σ and τ of the equation (2.2).

Notice that if ζ is any zero of σ , we have the following differential-recurrence identity:

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{\sigma(x)}{x-\zeta}\mathbf{D}[\vartheta(k)\lambda_k^{-1}P_k(x) + \omega(\zeta;k)\lambda_{k+1}^{-1}P_{k+1}(x)] \\ = P_k(x) + \pi(\zeta;k)P_{k+1}(x),$$

where

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{cases} \vartheta(k) := \xi_0(k)/\delta_0(k), \\ \omega(\zeta;k) := \lambda_{k+1} \frac{\delta_1(k)\vartheta(k) + \zeta - \xi_1(k)}{\xi_0(k+1)\eta(k) - \delta_0(k+1)}, \\ \pi(\zeta;k) := \eta(k)\omega_2(k). \end{cases}$$

Here

$$\eta(k) := \frac{\delta_2(k+1)}{\xi_2(k+1)}.$$

2.2. Fourier coefficients

2.2.1. General case. Let $\{P_k(x)\}$ be any system of classical orthogonal polynomials. Given a function f define

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} a_k[f] &:= \frac{1}{h_k} b_k[f], \\ b_k[f] &:= \int_I \varrho(x) P_k(x) f(x) dx \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

We have a formal Fourier expansion $f \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k[f] P_k$.

Let \mathcal{X} , \mathcal{D} , \mathcal{P}_ζ and \mathcal{Q}_ζ be the difference operators defined by

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{X} := \xi_0(k) \mathcal{E}^{-1} + \xi_1(k) \mathcal{J} + \xi_2(k) \mathcal{E},$$

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{D} := \delta_0(k) \mathcal{E}^{-1} + \delta_1(k) \mathcal{J} + \delta_2(k) \mathcal{E},$$

$$(2.11) \quad \mathcal{P}_\zeta := \mathcal{J} + \pi(\zeta; k) \mathcal{E},$$

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{Q}_\zeta := \omega_1(\zeta; k) \mathcal{J} + \omega_2(\zeta; k) \mathcal{E}$$

(cf. (2.1), (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6), respectively), where \mathcal{J} is the *identity operator*, $\mathcal{J}b_k[f] = b_k[f]$, and \mathcal{E}^m the *mth shift operator*, $\mathcal{E}^m b_k[f] = b_{k+m}[f]$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$). For simplicity, we write \mathcal{E} in place of \mathcal{E}^1 .

Further, let us introduce the differential operators \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{Z}_ζ , ζ being any root of σ , by the following formulae:

$$(2.13) \quad \mathbf{U} := \sigma \mathbf{D} + \tau \mathbf{I},$$

$$(2.14) \quad \mathbf{Z}_\zeta := (x - \zeta) \mathbf{D}.$$

Here \mathbf{I} is the identity operator.

Using (2.1)–(2.5), and the notation of (2.9)–(2.14), the following can be proved.

LEMMA 2.1 ([13]). *Let $\{P_k\}$ be any sequence of classical orthogonal polynomials. The coefficients $b_k[f]$ satisfy the identities:*

$$(2.15) \quad b_k[qf] = q(\mathcal{X})b_k[f] \quad (q \text{ an arbitrary polynomial}),$$

$$(2.16) \quad \mathcal{D}b_k[\mathbf{D}f] = b_k[f],$$

$$(2.17) \quad b_k[\mathbf{U}f] = -\lambda_k \mathcal{D}b_k[f],$$

$$(2.18) \quad b_k[\mathbf{L}f] = -\lambda_k b_k[f],$$

$$(2.19) \quad \mathcal{P}_\zeta b_k[\mathbf{Z}_\zeta f] = \mathcal{Q}_\zeta b_k[f].$$

Later we shall need the following result.

LEMMA 2.2. *Given a zero ζ of σ , let \mathcal{P}_ζ be the operator defined by (2.11). Then*

$$(2.20) \quad \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{R}_\zeta \mathcal{P}_\zeta,$$

where \mathcal{D} is defined in (2.10), and the operator \mathcal{R}_ζ is given by

$$(2.21) \quad \mathcal{R}_\zeta := \delta_0(k) \mathcal{E}^{-1} + \varrho(\zeta; k) \mathcal{J}$$

with

$$(2.22) \quad \varrho(\zeta; k) := \delta_2(k) / \pi(\zeta; k).$$

2.2.2. The Jacobi and Bessel cases. Let $\{P_k\}$ be the Jacobi polynomials $P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}$, or Bessel polynomials $Y_n^\alpha(x)$. Given a zero ζ of the polynomial σ associated to $\{P_k\}$, we define the following sequences of operators:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(m)} &:= \mathcal{J} + \pi^{(m)}(\zeta; k)\mathcal{E}, \\
 \mathcal{Q}_\zeta^{(m)} &:= \vartheta(k)\mathcal{J} + \frac{\pi^{(m)}(\zeta; k)}{\eta(k+m)}\mathcal{E}, \quad (m = 0, 1, \dots) \\
 \mathcal{R}_\zeta^{(m)} &:= \delta_0(k)\mathcal{E}^{-1} + \varrho^{(m)}(\zeta; k)\mathcal{J},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.23}$$

where the notation used is that of (2.7), and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi^{(m)}(\zeta; k) &:= \zeta \frac{(k+1)(2k+\gamma+1)_2}{(k+\nu_\zeta)(2k+\gamma+m+1)_2}, \\
 \varrho^{(m)}(\zeta; k) &:= -2\zeta \frac{k+\nu_\zeta+m}{(2k+\gamma+m)_2},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.24} \quad (\zeta \in \{-1, +1\})$$

with $\gamma := \alpha + \beta + 1$ and

$$\nu_\zeta := \frac{\zeta \cdot \tau(\zeta)}{\sigma''} = \begin{cases} \beta + 1 & (\zeta = -1), \\ \alpha + 1 & (\zeta = +1), \end{cases}
 \tag{2.25}$$

in the Jacobi case, and

$$\pi^{(m)}(\zeta; k) := -\frac{(2k+\alpha+1)_2(2k+\alpha+2)_2}{2(k+\alpha+1)(2k+\alpha+m+2)_2},
 \tag{2.26}$$

$$\varrho^{(m)}(\zeta; k) := \frac{2}{(2k+\alpha+m+1)_2},
 \tag{2.27}$$

with $\zeta = 0$ in the Bessel case (notice that $\zeta = 0$ is the double root of σ in this case). The *Pochhammer symbol* $(a)_m$ is defined by

$$(a)_0 := 1, \quad (a)_m := a(a+1)\dots(a+m-1) \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

It can be checked that

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(0)} = \mathcal{P}_\zeta, \quad \mathcal{Q}_\zeta^{(0)} = \mathcal{Q}_\zeta, \quad \mathcal{R}_\zeta^{(0)} = \mathcal{R}_\zeta,
 \tag{2.28}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(m)}\mathcal{Q}_\zeta^{(m-1)} = \mathcal{Q}_\zeta^{(m)}\mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(m-1)} \quad (m \geq 1),
 \tag{2.29}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_\zeta^{(m)}\mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(m)} = \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(m-1)}\mathcal{R}_\zeta^{(m-1)} \quad (m \geq 1).
 \tag{2.30}$$

Further, for $i, j \geq 0$, define

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}_\zeta^{(i,j)} &:= \begin{cases} \mathcal{J} & (i < j), \\ \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(i)} \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(i-1)} \dots \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(j)} & (i \geq j), \end{cases} \\
\mathfrak{Z}_\zeta^{(i)} &:= \mathfrak{S}_\zeta^{(i-1,0)} \quad (i \geq 0), \\
\mathcal{U}_\zeta^{(i)} &:= \begin{cases} \mathcal{J} & (i = 0), \\ \mathcal{Q}_\zeta^{(i-1)} \dots \mathcal{Q}_\zeta^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}_\zeta^{(0)} & (i \geq 1), \end{cases} \\
\mathcal{M}_\zeta^{(i,j)} &:= \begin{cases} \mathcal{J} & (i > j), \\ \mathcal{R}_\zeta^{(i)} \mathcal{R}_\zeta^{(i+1)} \dots \mathcal{R}_\zeta^{(j)} & (0 \leq i \leq j), \end{cases} \\
\mathcal{N}_\zeta^{(i)} &:= \mathcal{M}_\zeta^{(0,i-1)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.31}$$

LEMMA 2.3. *We have*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\zeta^{(v)} \mathcal{D}^r = \mathcal{M}_\zeta^{(v,v+r-1)} \mathfrak{Z}_\zeta^{(v+r)} \quad (v, r = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Proof. The main tools used in the proof are Lemma 2.2 and (2.30). ■

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{T}_i := \mathfrak{Z}_\zeta^{(v_i)} \mathcal{D}^{r_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$), where v_i, r_i are non-negative integers. Define $\mathcal{T} := \mathfrak{Z}_\zeta^{(v)} \mathcal{D}^r$, where $v := \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} (r_i + v_i) - r$, $r := \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} r_i$. Then $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{C}_i \mathcal{T}_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$), where*

$$\mathcal{C}_i := \mathcal{M}_\zeta^{(v, v_i + \gamma_i - 1)} \mathfrak{S}_\zeta^{(v_i + \gamma_i - 1, v_i)}$$

and $\gamma_i := v + r - (v_i + r_i)$.

Proof. Making use of (2.31) and Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_i \mathcal{T}_i &= \mathcal{M}_\zeta^{(v, v_i + \gamma_i - 1)} \mathfrak{S}_\zeta^{(v_i + \gamma_i - 1, v_i)} \mathfrak{Z}_\zeta^{(v_i)} \mathcal{D}^{r_i} \\
&= \mathcal{M}_\zeta^{(v, v_i + \gamma_i - 1)} \mathfrak{Z}_\zeta^{(v_i + \gamma_i)} \mathcal{D}^{r_i} = \mathcal{U}_\zeta^{(v)} \mathcal{D}^{r-r_i} \mathcal{D}^{r_i} = \mathcal{T}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $i = 1, \dots, m$. ■

LEMMA 2.5. *We have*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\zeta^{(i)} b_k[\mathcal{Z}_\zeta^i f] = \mathcal{U}_\zeta^{(i)} b_k[f] \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Proof. We use induction on i . For $i = 0$, (2.32) is obviously true, and for $i = 1$ it takes the form $\mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(0)} b_k[\mathcal{Z}_\zeta f] = \mathcal{Q}_\zeta^{(0)} b_k[f]$, which is equivalent to (2.19). Now, assume that (2.32) holds for some i ($i \geq 1$). We have

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\zeta^{(i+1)} b_k[\mathcal{Z}_\zeta^{i+1} f] = \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(i)} \mathfrak{Z}_\zeta^{(i)} b_k[\mathcal{Z}_\zeta^i \mathcal{Z}_\zeta f] = \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(i)} \mathcal{U}_\zeta^{(i)} b_k[\mathcal{Z}_\zeta f].$$

It can be checked that

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(i)} \mathcal{U}_\zeta^{(i)} = \mathcal{Q}_\zeta^{(i)} \dots \mathcal{Q}_\zeta^{(2)} \mathcal{Q}_\zeta^{(1)} \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(0)}.$$

Hence, by the first part of the proof,

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\zeta^{(i+1)} b_k[\mathcal{Z}_\zeta^{i+1} f] = \mathcal{U}_\zeta^{(i+1)} b_k[f]. \quad \blacksquare$$

The Jacobi case. The case where $P_k = P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ are Jacobi polynomials differs significantly from the others. To begin with, it is the only case where the associated polynomial $\sigma(x)$ has two different real zeros, namely -1 and 1 . An important role is played by the following special second-order differential operators:

$$(2.33) \quad \mathbf{K}_\zeta := (\mathbf{Z}_\zeta + \nu_\zeta \mathbf{I})\mathbf{D} \quad (\zeta \in \{-1, +1\}),$$

where ν_ζ is given by (2.25). The following two lemmata contain reformulated and slightly improved results of [11].

LEMMA 2.6. *Let*

$$(2.34) \quad \mathbf{Q} := \mathbf{K}_\zeta^q \mathbf{Z}_\zeta^r,$$

where $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $r \in \{0, 1\}$, and $\zeta \in \{-1, +1\}$. Then

$$(2.35) \quad \mathcal{Z}_\zeta^{(2q+r)} b_k[\mathbf{Q}f] = \mu_q(\zeta; k) \mathcal{E}^q \mathcal{U}_\zeta^{(r)} b_k[f],$$

where

$$(2.36) \quad \mu_0(\zeta; k) := 1, \quad \mu_q(\zeta; k) := \frac{(k + \nu_\zeta)_q}{\prod_{i=1}^q \delta_0(k + i)} \quad (q \geq 1).$$

Proof. First we prove the identity

$$(2.37) \quad \mathcal{K}_\zeta b_k[\mathbf{K}_\zeta f] = b_k[f],$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_\zeta := \mathcal{R}_{-\zeta} \frac{1}{k + \nu_\zeta} \mathcal{P}_\zeta.$$

To this end, notice that

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta b_k[\mathbf{Z}_\zeta f + \nu_\zeta f] = (\mathcal{Q}_\zeta + \nu_\zeta \mathcal{P}_\zeta) b_k[f] = (k + \nu_\zeta) \mathcal{P}_{-\zeta} b_k[f]$$

(cf. (2.19), (2.11), (2.12), and (2.25)). Using (2.20), (2.16), and the above equality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_\zeta b_k[\mathbf{K}_\zeta f] &= \mathcal{R}_{-\zeta} \frac{1}{k + \nu_\zeta} \mathcal{P}_\zeta b_k[(\mathbf{Z}_\zeta + \nu_\zeta \mathbf{I})\mathbf{D}f] \\ &= \mathcal{R}_{-\zeta} \mathcal{P}_{-\zeta} b_k[\mathbf{D}f] = \mathcal{D} b_k[\mathbf{D}f] = b_k[f]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, it can be checked that

$$(2.38) \quad \mathcal{Z}_\zeta^{(2m)} = \mu_m(\zeta; k) \mathcal{E}^m \mathcal{K}_\zeta^m, \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\zeta_*}^{(2m+1)} = \mu_m(\zeta; k) \mathcal{E}^m \mathcal{Z}_\zeta^{(1)} \mathcal{K}_\zeta^m,$$

for $m = 1, 2, \dots$. The result follows from Lemma 2.5 and (2.37). ■

LEMMA 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{T}_1 := \mathcal{Z}_\zeta^{(v)} \mathcal{D}^r$, $\mathcal{T}_2 := \mathcal{Z}_{\zeta_*}^{(u)} \mathcal{D}^s$, where $\zeta \neq \zeta_*$, and v, r, u, s are nonnegative integers such that $v + r \geq u + s$. Define $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{Z}_\zeta^{(w)} \mathcal{D}^t$ with $t := \max(u + s, r)$, $w := v + r - t$. Then $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{C}_i \mathcal{T}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$), where*

$$\mathcal{C}_1 := \mathcal{M}_\zeta^{(w, v-1)}, \quad \mathcal{C}_2 := \mathcal{Z}_\zeta^{(w)} \mathcal{D}^{t-u-s} \mathcal{N}_{\zeta_*}^{(u)}.$$

Note that the assumption of the above lemma that the polynomial σ has two different zeros is satisfied in the Jacobi case only.

2.2.3. Back to the general case. Let us return to the general setting. We have the following

LEMMA 2.8. *Let $\{P_k(x)\}$ be any system of classical orthogonal polynomials, and let ζ be a root of the associated polynomial σ (in the Laguerre or Hermite case, the value of this parameter is inessential). Further, let*

$$(2.39) \quad \mathbf{Q} := \mathbf{D}^p \mathbf{K}_\zeta^q \mathbf{Z}_\zeta^r \mathbf{L}^s \mathbf{U}^t,$$

where $p, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+, t \in \{0, 1\}$ and

$$(2.40) \quad q \in \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^+ & (\text{Jacobi case}), \\ \{0\} & (\text{other cases}), \end{cases}$$

$$(2.41) \quad r \in \begin{cases} \{0, 1\} & (\text{Jacobi case}), \\ \mathbb{Z}^+ & (\text{Bessel case}), \\ \{0\} & (\text{Laguerre and Hermite cases}). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(2.42) \quad \mathcal{T}b_k[\mathbf{Q}f] = \mathcal{A}b_k[f],$$

where

$$(2.43) \quad \mathcal{T} := \begin{cases} \mathcal{Z}_\zeta^{(2q+r)} \mathcal{D}^p & (\text{Jacobi, Bessel}), \\ \mathcal{D}^p & (\text{Laguerre, Hermite}), \end{cases}$$

$$(2.44) \quad \mathcal{A} := \begin{cases} \mu_q(\zeta; k) \mathcal{E}^{q\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{U}_\zeta^{(r)} (-\lambda_k)^{s+t} \mathcal{D}^t & (\text{Jacobi, Bessel}), \\ (-\lambda_k)^{s+t} \mathcal{D}^t & (\text{Laguerre, Hermite}), \end{cases}$$

and μ_q is defined by (2.36).

Proof. This readily follows from Lemmata 2.1, 2.5 and 2.6. ■

3. Main results. The first step of the algorithm is to convert the LHS of the equation

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{P}_n f(x) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n w_{ni}(x) \mathbf{D}^i f(x) = g(x)$$

to the form

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbf{P}_n f = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{Q}_i(z_i f),$$

where the z_i are polynomials, and the differential operators \mathbf{Q}_i have the form

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbf{Q}_i := \mathbf{D}^{p_i} \mathbf{K}_{\zeta_i}^{q_i} \mathbf{Z}_{\zeta_i}^{r_i} \mathbf{L}^{s_i} \mathbf{U}^{t_i},$$

where ζ_i is a root of the associated polynomial σ (this refers to the Jacobi and Bessel cases only), $p_i, s_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+, t_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and

$$(3.4) \quad q_i \in \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^+ & \text{(Jacobi case),} \\ \{0\} & \text{(Bessel, Laguerre and Hermite cases),} \end{cases}$$

$$(3.5) \quad r_i \in \begin{cases} \{0, 1\} & \text{(Jacobi),} \\ \mathbb{Z}^+ & \text{(Bessel),} \\ \{0\} & \text{(Laguerre, Hermite).} \end{cases}$$

To this end, define the differential operators P_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$) and Q_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$), and the polynomials z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n in the following recursive way.

For $i = n, n - 1, \dots, 1$, given the operator $P_i = \sum_{j=0}^i w_{ij} D^j$,

- represent the leading coefficient w_{ii} in the form

$$w_{ii}(x) = [\sigma(x)]^{\alpha_i} (x - \zeta_i)^{\beta_i} u_i(x)$$

where the polynomial u_i has no roots in common with σ , and

$$\alpha_i \begin{cases} \in \mathbb{Z}^+ & \text{(Jacobi, Bessel, Laguerre),} \\ = i & \text{(Hermite),} \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_i \begin{cases} \in \mathbb{Z}^+ & \text{(Jacobi, Bessel),} \\ = 0 & \text{(Laguerre, Hermite),} \end{cases}$$

ζ_i being a root of σ (if $\beta_i = 0$, the value of ζ_i is inessential), then find out which of the following cases holds:

- case A: $\alpha_i \geq m$,
- case B: $\alpha_i + \beta_i \geq m > \alpha$,
- case C: $m > \alpha_i + \beta_i$,

where $m := \lfloor (i + 1)/2 \rfloor$;

- define

$$z_i(x) := u_i(x) \begin{cases} [\sigma(x)]^{\alpha_i - m} (x - \zeta)^{\beta_i} & \text{(case A),} \\ (x - \zeta)^{\alpha_i + \beta_i - m} & \text{(case B),} \\ 1 & \text{(case C);} \end{cases}$$

- define

$$r_i := \begin{cases} i \bmod 2 & \text{(case B),} \\ 0 & \text{(case A or C),} \end{cases} \quad t_i := \begin{cases} i \bmod 2 & \text{(case A),} \\ 0 & \text{(case B or C),} \end{cases}$$

$$s_i := \min\{\alpha_i, \lfloor i/2 \rfloor\}, \quad q_i := \min\{\beta_i, \lfloor i/2 \rfloor - t_i\},$$

$$p_i := i - 2q_i - 2s_i - r_i - t_i;$$

- define the operator Q_i by

$$Q_i := D^{p_i} K_{\zeta_i}^{q_i} Z_{\zeta_i}^{r_i} L^{s_i} U^{t_i};$$

- define the operator P_{i-1} of degree $i - 1$ as

$$P_{i-1}f(x) := P_i f(x) - Q_i(z_i f)(x).$$

For convenience, set

$$(3.6) \quad Q_0 := I, \quad z_0 := w_{0,0},$$

where $w_{0,0}$ is the only coefficient of the operator P_0 .

Now, we prove

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $\{P_k\}$ be any sequence of classical orthogonal polynomials, and let the function f satisfy the differential equation*

$$(3.7) \quad P_n f(x) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n Q_i(z_i f)(x) = g(x),$$

where the z_i are polynomials, and the i th-order differential operator Q_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$) is of the form

$$(3.8) \quad Q_i := D^{p_i} K_{\zeta}^{q_i} Z_{\zeta}^{r_i} L^{s_i} U^{t_i},$$

where ζ is a fixed root of the associated polynomial σ (this refers to the Jacobi and Bessel cases only), $p_i, s_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $t_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and

$$q_i \in \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^+ & \text{(Jacobi)}, \\ \{0\} & \text{(other families)}, \end{cases} \quad r_i \in \begin{cases} \{0, 1\} & \text{(Jacobi)}, \\ \mathbb{Z}^+ & \text{(Bessel)}, \\ \{0\} & \text{(Laguerre, Hermite)}. \end{cases}$$

The Fourier coefficients $a_k[f]$ satisfy the recurrence relation

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{L}(h_k a_k[f]) = B(k),$$

where

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{L} := \sum_{i=0}^n C_i A_i z_i(\mathcal{X}),$$

$$(3.11) \quad B(k) := \mathcal{J}(h_k a_k[g]),$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad \mathcal{J} := \mathcal{Z}_{\zeta}^{(d)} \mathcal{D}^e,$$

$$(3.13) \quad A_i := \begin{cases} \mu_{q_i}(\zeta; k) \mathcal{E}^{q_i} \mathcal{U}_{\zeta}^{(r_i)} (-\lambda_k)^{s_i+t_i} \mathcal{D}^{t_i} & \text{(Jacobi, Bessel)}, \\ (-\lambda_k)^{s_i+t_i} \mathcal{D}^{t_i} & \text{(Laguerre, Hermite)}, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.14) \quad C_i := \begin{cases} \mathcal{M}_{\zeta}^{(d, d+e-p_i-1)} \mathcal{S}_{\zeta}^{(d+e-p_i-1, 2q_i+r_i)} & \text{(Jacobi, Bessel)}, \\ \mathcal{D}^{e-p_i} & \text{(Laguerre, Hermite)}, \end{cases}$$

with

$$e := \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} p_i, \quad d := \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} (p_i + 2q_i + r_i) - e.$$

The order of the recurrence (3.9) equals

$$(3.15) \quad d + \delta \cdot e + \max_{0 \leq i \leq n, z_i \neq 0} (2 \deg z_i - \delta \cdot [p_i + q_i - t_i]),$$

where $\delta := \deg \sigma$.

Proof. Observe that by Lemma 2.4, the operators (3.12) and (3.14) satisfy

$$\mathcal{T}^+ = \mathcal{C}_i \mathcal{T}_i \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n), \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{T}_i := \mathcal{Z}_\zeta^{(2q_i+r_i)} \mathcal{D}^{p_i}.$$

Obviously, in view of (3.7), we have $b_k[\mathbf{P}_n f] = b_k[g]$. Apply the operator \mathcal{T} to both sides of the above equation, then use Lemmata 2.8 and 2.1, and (2.8) to transform the left-hand side of the resulting equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} b_k[\mathbf{P}_n f] &= \sum_{i=0}^n \mathcal{C}_i \mathcal{T}_i b_k[\mathbf{Q}_i(z_i f)] = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathcal{C}_i \mathcal{A}_i z_i(\mathcal{X}) b_k[f] \\ &= \mathcal{L} b_k[f] = \mathcal{L}(h_k a_k[f]). \end{aligned}$$

This implies the identity (3.9) with the operator \mathcal{L} and the function $B(k)$ given by (3.10) and (3.11), respectively.

The formula (3.15) follows easily from (3.10), in view of (3.12)–(3.14). (Notice that the orders of the operators \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{X} are $\deg \sigma$ and 2, respectively; see Tables 3 and 2 in the Appendix). ■

Let us return to the differential operator (3.2). In the Jacobi case, it is possible that not all ζ_i 's in (3.3) are equal, so that Theorem 3.1 is not applicable. The next theorem is a reformulated and corrected version of a result in [11].

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $\{P_k\}$ be the sequence of Jacobi polynomials, and let f satisfy the equation*

$$(3.16) \quad \mathbf{P}_n f(x) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{Q}_i(z_i f)(x) = g(x),$$

where the z_i are polynomials, and the differential operators \mathbf{Q}_i have the form

$$(3.17) \quad \mathbf{Q}_i := D^{p_i} K_{\zeta_i}^{q_i} Z_{\zeta_i}^{r_i} L^{s_i} U^{t_i},$$

where $\zeta_i \in \{-1, +1\}$, $p_i, q_i, s_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $r_i, t_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $p_i + 2q_i + r_i + 2s_i + t_i = i$, and the expansion of the function g in P_k is known. Set

$$(3.18) \quad \Omega := \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad \Omega_\eta := \{i \in \Omega : \zeta_i = \eta\} \quad (\eta \in \{-1, +1\}),$$

$$(3.19) \quad v_i := 2q_i + r_i \quad (i \in \Omega),$$

$$(3.20) \quad e_\eta := \max_{i \in \Omega_\eta} p_i, \quad d_\eta := \max_{i \in \Omega_\eta} (p_i + v_i) - e_\eta \quad (\eta \in \{-1, +1\}),$$

$$(3.21) \quad \omega := \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } d_{-1} + e_{-1} \geq d_1 + e_1, \\ +1 & \text{if } d_{-1} + e_{-1} < d_1 + e_1, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.22) \quad e := \max(e_\omega, d_{-\omega} + e_{-\omega}), \quad d := d_\omega + e_\omega - e.$$

Further, define difference operators \mathcal{T} , \mathcal{A}_i , \mathcal{B}_i , \mathcal{C}_i , \mathcal{J}_η by

$$(3.23) \quad \mathcal{T} := \mathcal{Z}_\omega^{(d)} \mathcal{D}^e,$$

$$(3.24) \quad \mathcal{A}_i := \mu_{q_i}(\omega; k) \mathcal{E}^{q_i} \mathcal{U}_\omega^{(r_i)} (-\lambda_k)^{s_i+t_i} \mathcal{D}^{t_i} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n),$$

$$(3.25) \quad \mathcal{B}_i := \mathcal{M}_\eta^{(d_\eta, e_\eta + d_\eta - p_i - 1)} \mathcal{S}_\eta^{(e_\eta + d_\eta - p_i - 1, v_i)} \quad (i \in \Omega_\eta; \eta = -1, +1),$$

$$(3.26) \quad \mathcal{J}_\omega := \mathcal{M}_\omega^{(d, d_\omega - 1)}, \quad \mathcal{J}_{-\omega} := \mathcal{Z}_\omega^{(d)} \mathcal{N}_{-\omega}^{(e - e_{-\omega})} \mathcal{S}_{-\omega}^{(e - e_{-\omega} - 1, d_{-\omega})},$$

$$(3.27) \quad \mathcal{C}_0 := \mathcal{T}, \quad \mathcal{C}_i := \begin{cases} \mathcal{J}_\omega \mathcal{B}_i & (i \in \Omega_\omega), \\ \mathcal{J}_{-\omega} \mathcal{B}_i & (i \in \Omega_{-\omega}). \end{cases}$$

The Fourier coefficients $a_k[f]$ satisfy the recurrence relation

$$(3.28) \quad \mathcal{L}(h_k a_k[f]) = B(k),$$

where

$$(3.29) \quad \mathcal{L} := \sum_{i=0}^n \mathcal{C}_i \mathcal{A}_i z_i(\mathcal{X}),$$

$$(3.30) \quad B(k) := \mathcal{T}(h_k a_k[g]).$$

The order of the recurrence (3.28) equals

$$(3.31) \quad d + 2e + 2 \max_{0 \leq i \leq n, z_i \neq 0} (\deg z_i - p_i - q_i + t_i).$$

Proof. Let the operator \mathcal{W}_η be given by

$$\mathcal{W}_\eta := \mathcal{Z}_\eta^{(d_\eta)} \mathcal{D}^{e_\eta} \quad (\eta = \pm 1),$$

where d_η , e_η are the numbers defined in (3.20). By Lemma 2.4,

$$(3.32) \quad \mathcal{W}_\eta = \mathcal{B}_i \mathcal{T}_i \quad (i \in \Omega_\eta; \eta = \pm 1),$$

where \mathcal{B}_i is given by (3.25), and

$$(3.33) \quad \mathcal{T}_i := \mathcal{Z}_{\zeta_i}^{(v_i)} \mathcal{D}^{p_i} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n).$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{T}_i b_k[\mathcal{Q}_i f] = \mathcal{A}_i b_k[f]$, where \mathcal{A}_i is the operator (3.24). Now, using Lemma 2.7, we see that the operator (3.23) satisfies $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{J}_\eta \mathcal{W}_\eta$ ($\eta = \pm 1$), \mathcal{J}_η being given by (3.26). Hence, in view of (3.32) and (3.27), $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{C}_i \mathcal{T}_i$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$), and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} b_k[\mathcal{P}_n f] &= \sum_{i=0}^n \mathcal{C}_i \mathcal{T}_i b_k[\mathcal{Q}_i(z_i f)] = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathcal{C}_i \mathcal{A}_i b_k[z_i f] \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n \mathcal{C}_i \mathcal{A}_i z_i(\mathcal{X}) \right\} b_k[f] = \mathcal{L} b_k[f], \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{L} is given by (3.29). The formula (3.31) follows readily from (3.29), in view of (3.23)–(3.27). ■

4. Examples

4.1. Linearization of cubes of classical orthogonal polynomials. Given a system $\{P_k\}$ of classical orthogonal polynomials, let us construct a recurrence relation satisfied by the coefficients $c_{n,k}$ in

$$(4.1) \quad P_n^3 = \sum_{k=0}^{3n} c_{n,k} P_k \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Let us recall the following recent result of Hounkonnou *et al.* [7] (see also [21]).

LEMMA 4.1 ([7]). *Let $\{P_k(x)\}$ be any system of classical orthogonal polynomials. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the cube $w := P_n^3$ satisfies the fourth-order differential equation*

$$(4.2) \quad P_4 w \equiv \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{R}_2 w & \sigma & 0 \\ \mathbf{R}_3 w & \eta & 1 \\ \mathbf{R}_4 w & \sigma(\eta' - 3\lambda_n) - 2\tau\eta & 2\eta - \tau \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

where $\eta := \sigma' - 2\tau$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_2 &:= \sigma \mathbf{D}^2 + \tau \mathbf{D} + 3\lambda_n \mathbf{I}, & \mathbf{R}_3 &:= \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{R}_2 + 4\lambda_n \mathbf{I}), \\ \mathbf{R}_4 &:= \sigma \mathbf{D} \mathbf{R}_3 + 4\lambda_n \eta \mathbf{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the LHS of (4.2) can be written in the form

$$(4.3) \quad P_4 f \equiv \mathbf{L}^2(z_4 f) + \mathbf{L} \mathbf{U}(z_3 f) + \mathbf{L}(z_2 f) + \mathbf{U}(z_1 f) + z_0 f,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} z_4 &:= \sigma, & z_3 &:= 4\tau - 6\sigma', \\ z_2 &:= (11\sigma'' - 10\tau' + 10\lambda_n)\sigma + (2\tau - 3\sigma')(\tau - 2\sigma'), \\ z_1 &:= (4\tau - 6\sigma')(4\sigma'' - 4\tau' + 5\lambda_n), \\ z_0 &:= [(6\sigma'' - 10\tau' + 17\lambda_n)\sigma'' + (2\tau' - 3\lambda_n)^2 - 2\lambda_n\tau']\sigma \\ &\quad - (\sigma'' - \tau')(4\tau - 6\sigma')\tau + \lambda_n(3\sigma' - 2\tau)(2\sigma' + \tau). \end{aligned}$$

On applying Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $\{P_k(x)\}$ be any system of classical orthogonal polynomials. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the linearization coefficients $c_{n,k}$ in (4.1) satisfy the fourth-order recurrence relation*

$$(4.4) \quad \{\lambda_k^2 z_4(\mathcal{X}) + \lambda_k^2 \mathcal{D} z_3(\mathcal{X}) - \lambda_k z_2(\mathcal{X}) - \lambda_k \mathcal{D} z_1(\mathcal{X}) + z_0(\mathcal{X})\} (h_k c_{n,k}) = 0.$$

Let C_k^ν be the ultraspherical (Gegenbauer) polynomials,

$$C_k^\nu(x) := \frac{(2\nu)_k}{(\nu + 1/2)_k} P_k^{(\nu-1/2, \nu-1/2)}(x) \quad (\nu > -1/2, \nu \neq 0).$$

Theorem 4.2 implies that the linearization coefficients $c_{n,k}$ in

$$(C_n^\nu)^3 = \sum_{k=0}^{3n} c_{n,k} C_k^\nu$$

satisfy the three-term recurrence relation

$$A_0(k)c_{n,k-2} + A_1(k)c_{n,k} + A_2(k)c_{n,k+2} = 0 \quad (2 \leq k \leq 3n+1)$$

where $c_{n,3n} = 1$, $c_{n,m} = 0$ for $m > 3n$, and

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(k) &:= 16(k+\nu+1)(k+\nu-1)_4(k-3n-2) \\ &\quad \times (k+n+4\nu-2)(k-n+2\nu-2)(k+3n+6\nu-2), \\ A_1(k) &:= 8(k+\nu)_3 \{ 4KN[K+(2\nu-1)(3\nu+1)] \\ &\quad + (3N-K)(8\nu^2[K+(\nu-1)(6\nu-1)] \\ &\quad + [8\nu(1-3\nu)-3N+K][K+(\nu-1)(2\nu+1)]) \}, \\ A_2(k) &:= (k+1)_2(k+\nu-1)(k+2\nu)_2(k+n+2) \\ &\quad \times (k-n-2\nu+2)(k+3n+2\nu+2)(k-3n-4\nu+2). \end{aligned}$$

Here $K := k(k+2\nu)$, $N := n(n+2\nu)$.

The linearization coefficients $c_{n,k}$ in

$$H_n^3 = \sum_{k=0}^{3n} c_{n,k} H_k,$$

H_k being the Hermite polynomials, satisfy the recurrence relation

$$D_0(k)c_{n,k-2} + D_1(k)c_{n,k} + D_2(k)c_{n,k+2} = 0 \quad (2 \leq k \leq 3n+1),$$

where $c_{n,3n} = 1$, $c_{n,m} = 0$ for $m > 3n$, and

$$\begin{aligned} D_0(k) &:= 12(3n-k+2), & D_1(k) &:= 3n(3n+2k+4) - k(7k+4), \\ D_2(k) &:= -(k+1)_2(n+k+2). \end{aligned}$$

4.2. Parameter derivative representation for classical orthogonal polynomials. Let $P_k(x) = P_k(x; \mathbf{c})$ ($k \geq 0$), be any sequence of classical orthogonal polynomials, depending on parameters, i.e., Jacobi, Laguerre or Bessel polynomials. Here $\mathbf{c} = [c_1, \dots, c_p]$ is a parameter vector. In what follows, c is a generic symbol for any member of \mathbf{c} . Given a function $f(x) = f(x; \mathbf{c})$, we use the notation

$$f^{[r]}(x; \mathbf{c}) := \frac{\partial^r}{\partial \mathbf{c}^r} f(x; \mathbf{c}) \quad (r \geq 0).$$

Let us look for recurrences for the coefficients $C_k^{[r]}$ in the expansion

$$(4.5) \quad P_n^{[r]}(x; \mathbf{c}) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k^{[r]} P_k(x; \mathbf{c}) \quad (r \geq 1).$$

A partial solution to the problem might be a recurrence linking $C_k^{[r]}$ and $C_k^{[r-1]}$ ($r \geq 1$).

We start from the equality [23]

$$(4.6) \quad \mathbf{L}_n P_n^{[r]} = -r \mathbf{M}_n^{(c)} P_n^{[r-1]},$$

where $\mathbf{L}_n = \mathbf{L} + \lambda_n \mathbf{I}$, and

$$\mathbf{M}_n^{(c)} := \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial c} \mathbf{D}^2 + \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial c} \mathbf{D} + \frac{\partial \lambda_n}{\partial c} \mathbf{I}.$$

Let us apply the theory of §3 to

$$f(x) := P_n^{[r-1]}(x), \quad \mathbf{P}_2 := -r \mathbf{M}_n^{(c)}, \quad g(x) := \mathbf{L}_n P_n^{[r]}(x).$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and (2.8), we obtain

$$b_k[g] = (\lambda_n - \lambda_k) b_k[P_n^{[r]}] = (\lambda_n - \lambda_k) h_k C_k^{[r]}.$$

Now, observe that for the Jacobi and Bessel polynomials we have

$$\mathbf{M}_n^{(c)} = A_c \mathbf{Z}_\zeta + \frac{\partial \lambda_n}{\partial c} \mathbf{I},$$

where ζ is a root of σ , and $A_c = \text{const}$. Thus, by Theorem 3.1,

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta(h_k a_k[g]) = -r \{A_c \mathcal{Q}_\zeta - n \mathcal{P}_\zeta\} (h_k a_k [P_n^{[r-1]}]),$$

hence

$$(4.7) \quad \mathcal{P}_\zeta\{(\lambda_n - \lambda_k) h_k C_k^{[r]}\} = -r (A_c \mathcal{Q}_\zeta - n \mathcal{P}_\zeta) \{h_k C_k^{[r-1]}\}.$$

For instance, in the monic Jacobi case,

$$\frac{\partial^r}{\partial \alpha^r} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_k^{[r]} P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x),$$

formula (4.7) takes the form

$$(4.8) \quad C_k^{[r]} - (n - k - 1) A(k) C_{k+1}^{[r]} = -\frac{r}{n + k + \omega} C_k^{[r-1]} + r A(k) C_{k+1}^{[r-1]},$$

where $r \geq 1$, $\omega := \alpha + \beta + 1$, and

$$A(k) := 2 \frac{(k+1)(k+\beta+1)(n+k+\omega+1)}{(n-k)(n+k+\omega)(2k+\omega+1)_2}.$$

Observing that $C_k^{[0]} = \delta_{nk}$, we deduce the formula

$$C_k^{[1]} = \frac{2^{n-k}}{n-k} \cdot \frac{(k+1)_{n-k} (k+\beta+1)_{n-k}}{(n+k+\omega)(2k+\omega+1)_{2n-2k-1}},$$

equivalent to the one given in [4] (see also [9]). For general r , the formula

$$C_k^{[r]} = u_k^{[r]} C_k^{[1]}$$

can be obtained, where the auxiliary sequence $u_k^{[r]}$ satisfies the recurrence

$$u_{k+1}^{[r]} - u_k^{[r]} = \frac{r}{n-k-1} u_{k+1}^{[r-1]} - \frac{r}{n+k+\omega} u_k^{[r-1]}$$

with the initial condition $u_{n-1}^{[r]} = \frac{r}{2n+\omega-1} u_{n-1}^{[r-1]}$. For instance,

$$u_k^{[2]} = 2\{\psi(2n+\omega) - \psi(n+k+\omega) - \psi(n-k) - \gamma\},$$

where γ is Euler's constant, and $\psi(z) = \Gamma'(z)/\Gamma(z)$. Notice that in [23, §3.2], a nonhomogeneous second-order recurrence relation for $C_k^{[2]}$ is obtained.

4.3. Connection between Laguerre–Sobolev and Laguerre polynomials.

The monic Laguerre–Sobolev polynomials $\{Q_m^{(\alpha)}\}$ are orthogonal with respect to the inner product

$$(f, g)_S := \int_0^\infty \varrho^{(\alpha)}(x) f(x) g(x) dx + \lambda \int_0^\infty \varrho^{(\alpha)}(x) f'(x) g'(x) dx,$$

where $\alpha > -1$, $\lambda \geq 0$, and $\varrho^{(\alpha)}(x) := x^\alpha e^{-x}$ is the classical Laguerre weight, associated with the monic Laguerre polynomials $\{L_k^{(\alpha)}\}$. See [16]. For convenience, let us add the superscript α on the related symbols, thus, $\sigma^{(\alpha)}(x) \equiv \sigma(x)$, $\tau^{(\alpha)}(x) \equiv \tau(x)$, $h_k^{(\alpha)} \equiv h_k$, $\mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)} \equiv \mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{X}^{(\alpha)} \equiv \mathcal{X}$, $\mathbf{L}^{(\alpha)} \equiv \mathbf{L}$ etc.

We show that the method of Section 3 provides a third-order recurrence relation for the connection coefficients $S_{n,k}$ in

$$Q_n^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{k=0}^n S_{n,k} L_k^{(\alpha)} \quad (\alpha > -1),$$

as well as a second-order recurrence for the coefficients $S_{n,k}^*$ in

$$Q_n^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{k=0}^n S_{n,k}^* L_k^{(\alpha-1)} \quad (\alpha > 0).$$

In view of the well-known identity

$$(4.9) \quad L_k^{(\alpha-1)} = L_k^{(\alpha)} + kL_{k-1}^{(\alpha)},$$

we have

$$S_{n,k} = S_{n,k}^* + (k+1)S_{n,k+1}^* \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n; S_{n,n+1}^* := 0),$$

provided all the quantities are well-defined.

In [16], the following differential equation satisfied by $Q_n^{(\alpha)}$ was given:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}Q_n^{(\alpha)}(x) &\equiv \{\sigma^{(\alpha)}\mathbf{I} - \lambda(\tau^{(\alpha)} - \sigma^{(\alpha)'})\mathbf{D} - \lambda\sigma^{(\alpha)}\mathbf{D}^2\}Q_n^{(\alpha)}(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=-1}^1 b_{n+i}(n)L_{n+i}^{(\alpha)}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $b_{n-1}(n) := nc_n$, $b_n(n) := n+1+c_n$, $b_{n+1}(n) := 1$, c_n being a constant. Writing the differential operator \mathbf{F} in the form

$$\mathbf{F} = \sigma^{(\alpha)}\mathbf{I} + \lambda\sigma^{(\alpha)'}\mathbf{D} - \lambda\mathbf{L}^{(\alpha)},$$

applying the method of Section 3 to $f := Q_n^{(\alpha)}$ and $P_k := L_k^{(\alpha)}$, and using the data of Table 2, we conclude that the $S_{n,k}$'s satisfy the recurrence relation

$$\{\mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(\mathcal{X}^{(\alpha)} + \lambda\lambda_k^{(\alpha)}\mathcal{J}) + \lambda\mathcal{J}\}(h_k^{(\alpha)}S_{n,k}) = \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(h_k^{(\alpha)}b_k(n)),$$

where $b_k(n) := 0$ for $k < n - 1$. The scalar form of the recurrence is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,k-2} + [(\lambda + 3)k + \alpha - \lambda - 1]S_{n,k-1} + k[(\lambda + 3)k + 2\alpha + \lambda + 1]S_{n,k} \\ + (k)_2(k + \alpha + 1)S_{n,k+1} = b_{k-1}(n) + kb_k(n) \\ (2 \leq k \leq n + 1; b_m(n) := 0 \text{ for } m < n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

The starting values are $S_{n,n} := 1$, $S_{n,n+1} := S_{n,n+2} := 0$.

If $\alpha > 0$, we can write the right-hand side of (4.10) as (cf. (4.9))

$$c_n L_n^{(\alpha-1)}(x) + L_{n+1}^{(\alpha-1)}(x).$$

Now, writing the operator \mathbf{F} in the form

$$\mathbf{F} = \sigma^{(\alpha-1)}\mathbf{I} - \lambda\mathbf{L}^{(\alpha-1)},$$

and applying Theorem 3.1 to $f := Q_n^{(\alpha)}$ and $P_k := L_k^{(\alpha-1)}$, we obtain the following recurrence relation for the $S_{n,k}^*$'s:

$$\{\mathcal{X}^{(\alpha-1)} + \lambda\lambda_k^{(\alpha-1)}\mathcal{J}\}(h_k^{(\alpha-1)}S_{n,k}^*) = h_k^{(\alpha-1)}\delta_{kn}c_n,$$

or, in scalar form,

$$S_{n,k-1}^* + [(\lambda + 2)k + \alpha]S_{n,k}^* + (k + 1)(k + \alpha)S_{n,k+1}^* = 0 \quad (1 \leq k \leq n - 1).$$

The starting values are $S_{n,n}^* = 1$, $S_{n,n-1}^* = c_n - (\lambda + 2)n - \alpha$.

Appendix. In the tables below, we collect some relevant data for the classical orthogonal polynomials.

Table 1. Hypergeometric series representations of the classical monic orthogonal polynomials

Family	Hypergeometric series
Jacobi	$P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = (-1)^k \binom{k+\beta}{k} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -k, k+\alpha+\beta+1 \\ \beta+1 \end{matrix} \middle \frac{1+x}{2}\right)$
Laguerre	$L_k^\alpha(x) = (\alpha+1)_k (-1)^k {}_1F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -k \\ \alpha+1 \end{matrix} \middle x\right)$
Bessel	$Y_k^\alpha(x) = \frac{2^k}{(k+\alpha+1)_k} {}_2F_0\left(\begin{matrix} -k, k+\alpha+1 \\ - \end{matrix} \middle -\frac{x}{2}\right)$
Hermite	$H_k(x) = x^k {}_2F_0\left(\begin{matrix} -k/2, -k/2+1/2 \\ - \end{matrix} \middle -\frac{1}{x^2}\right)$

Table 2. Data for the monic Laguerre and Hermite polynomials

	Laguerre	Hermite
$\sigma(x)$	x	1
$\tau(x)$	$1 + \alpha - x$	$-2x$
λ_k	k	$2k$
h_k	$k! \Gamma(k + \alpha + 1)$	$\sqrt{\pi} 2^{-k} k!$
$\xi_0(k)$	$k(k + \alpha)$	$k/2$
$\xi_1(k)$	$2k + \alpha + 1$	0
$\xi_2(k)$	1	1
$\delta_0(k)$	$k + \alpha$	$1/2$
$\delta_1(k)$	1	0
$\delta_2(k)$	0	0

Table 3. Data for the monic Jacobi and Bessel polynomials

	Jacobi	Bessel
$\sigma(x)$	$x^2 - 1$	x^2
$\tau(x)$	$(\gamma + 1)x + \delta$	$(\alpha + 2)x + 2$
λ_k	$-k(k + \gamma)$	$-k(k + \alpha + 1)$
h_k	$2^{2k+\gamma} \frac{k! \Gamma(k + \alpha + 1) \Gamma(k + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(2k + \gamma + 1)(k + \gamma)_k}$	$\frac{(-1)^{k+1} 2^{2k} k!}{(\alpha + 1)_{2k+1} (k + \alpha + 1)_k}$
$\xi_0(k)$	$\frac{4k(k + \alpha)(k + \beta)(k + \gamma - 1)}{(2k + \gamma - 2)_3 (2k + \gamma - 1)}$	$\frac{-4k(k + \alpha)}{(2k + \alpha)(2k + \alpha - 1)_3}$
$\xi_1(k)$	$-\frac{(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - 1)}{(2k + \gamma - 1)(2k + \gamma + 1)}$	$-\frac{2\alpha}{(2k + \alpha)(2k + \alpha + 2)}$
$\xi_2(k)$	1	1
$\delta_0(k)$	$\frac{4(k + \alpha)(k + \beta)(k + \gamma - 1)}{(2k + \gamma - 2)_3 (2k + \gamma - 1)}$	$-\frac{4(k + \alpha)}{(2k + \alpha)(2k + \alpha - 1)_3}$
$\delta_1(k)$	$\frac{2(\alpha - \beta)}{(2k + \gamma - 1)(2k + \gamma + 1)}$	$\frac{4}{(2k + \alpha)(2k + \alpha + 2)}$
$\delta_2(k)$	$-\frac{1}{k + \gamma}$	$-\frac{1}{k + \alpha + 1}$

Note: $\gamma := \alpha + \beta + 1$.

References

- [1] P. L. Artés, J. S. Dehesa, A. Martínez-Finkelshtein and J. Sánchez-Ruiz, *Linearization and connection coefficients for hypergeometric-type polynomials*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 99 (1998), 15–26.
- [2] R. Askey, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions*, Regional Conf. Ser. Appl. Math. 21, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1975.
- [3] T. S. Chihara, *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978.
- [4] J. Froehlich, *Parameter derivatives of the Jacobi polynomials and Gaussian hypergeometric function*, Int. Trans. Spec. Func. 2 (1994), 252–266.
- [5] E. Godoy, A. Ronveaux, A. Zarzo and I. Area, *Minimal recurrence relations for connection coefficients between classical orthogonal polynomials: continuous case*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 84 (1997), 257–275.
- [6] —, —, —, —, *Connection problems for polynomial solutions of non-homogeneous differential and difference equations*, ibid. 99 (1998), 177–187.
- [7] M. N. Hounkonnou, S. Belmehdi and A. Ronveaux, *Linearization of arbitrary products of classical orthogonal polynomials*, Appl. Math. (Warsaw) 27 (2000), 187–196.
- [8] W. Koepf and D. Schmiersau, *Algorithms for classical orthogonal polynomials*, Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum Berlin, preprint SC 96-23, 1996.
- [9] —, —, *Representations of orthogonal polynomials*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 90 (1998), 57–94.
- [10] S. Lewanowicz, *Recurrence relations for hypergeometric functions of unit argument*, Math. Comp. 45 (1985), 521–535; Errata, ibid. 48 (1987), 853.
- [11] —, *A new approach to the problem of constructing recurrence relations for the Jacobi coefficients*, Appl. Math. (Warsaw) 21 (1991), 303–326.
- [12] —, *Results on the associated classical orthogonal polynomials*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 65 (1995), 215–231.
- [13] —, *Second-order recurrence relation for the linearization coefficients of the classical orthogonal polynomials*, ibid. 69 (1996), 159–170.
- [14] S. Lewanowicz and P. Woźny, *Algorithms for construction of recurrence relations for the coefficients of expansions in series of classical orthogonal polynomials*, techn. rep., Inst. Computer Sci., Univ. of Wrocław, 2001. See <http://www.ii.uni.wroc.pl/~sle/publ.html>.
- [15] Y. L. Luke, *The Special Functions and their Approximations*, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
- [16] F. Marcellán, T. E. Perez and M. A. Piñar, *Laguerre–Sobolev orthogonal polynomials*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 71 (1996), 245–265.
- [17] A. F. Nikiforov and V. B. Uvarov, *Special Functions of Mathematical Physics*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1988.
- [18] S. Paszkowski, *Numerical Applications of Chebyshev Polynomials and Series*, PWN, Warszawa, 1975 (in Polish).
- [19] M. Rahman, *A non-negative representation of the linearization coefficients of the product of Jacobi polynomials*, Canad. J. Math. 33 (1981), 915–928.
- [20] A. Ronveaux, *Orthogonal polynomials: connection and linearization coefficients*, in: M. Alfaro et al. (eds.), *Internat. Workshop on Orthogonal Polynomials in Math. Phys.* (Leganés, 1996), Univ. Carlos III Madrid, 1997, 131–142.
- [21] A. Ronveaux, I. Area, E. Godoy and A. Zarzo, *Recursive approach to connection and linearization coefficients between polynomials*, in: *Special Functions and Differential Equations* (Madras, 1997), Allied Publ., New Delhi, 1998, 83–101.

- [22] A. Ronveaux, S. Houkonnou and S. Belmehdi, *Generalized linearization problems*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 (1995), 4423–4430
- [23] A. Ronveaux, A. Zarzo, I. Area and E. Godoy, *Classical orthogonal polynomials: Dependence of parameters*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 121 (2000), 95–112.
- [24] A. Ronveaux, A. Zarzo and E. Godoy, *Recurrence relation for connection coefficients between two families of orthogonal polynomials*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 62 (1995), 67–73.
- [25] J. Sánchez-Ruiz, P. Artés, A. Martínez-Finkelshtein and J. S. Dehesa, *General linearization formulae for products of continuous hypergeometric-type polynomials*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 (1999), 1–22.
- [26] J. Sánchez-Ruiz and J. S. Dehesa, *Expansions in series of orthogonal hypergeometric polynomials*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 89 (1998), 155–170.
- [27] R. Szwarz, *Linearization and connection coefficients of orthogonal polynomials*, Monatsh. Math. 113 (1992), 319–329.
- [28] —, *Connection coefficients of orthogonal polynomials*, Canad. Math. Bull. 35 (1992), 548–556.
- [29] J. Wimp, *Recursion formulae for hypergeometric functions*, Math. Comp. 22 (1968), 363–373.
- [30] —, *Computation with Recurrence Relations*, Pitman, Boston, 1984.
- [31] R. J. Yáñez, J. S. Dehesa and A. F. Nikiforov, *The three-term recurrence relations and differential formulas for hypergeometric-type functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 188 (1994), 855–866.
- [32] R. J. Yáñez, J. S. Dehesa and A. Zarzo, *Four-term recurrence relations of hypergeometric-type polynomials*, Nuovo Cimento 109 (1994), 725–733.
- [33] A. Zarzo, I. Area, E. Godoy and A. Ronveaux, *Results for some inversion problems for classical continuous and discrete orthogonal polynomials*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 30 (1997), L35–L50.

Institute of Computer Science
University of Wrocław
Przesmyckiego 20
51-151 Wrocław, Poland
E-mail: Stanislaw.Lewanowicz@ii.uni.wroc.pl

Received on 22.11.2001

(1606)