

Solutions of singular semilinear elliptic equations with critical weighted Hardy–Sobolev exponents

by QI-WU DU (Chongqing and Chengdu) and
 CHUN-LEI TANG (Chongqing)

Abstract. Some solutions are obtained for a class of singular semilinear elliptic equations with critical weighted Hardy–Sobolev exponents by variational methods and some analysis techniques.

1. Introduction and main results. Consider the following semilinear elliptic problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-2a}\nabla u) - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} = \frac{|u|^{2^*(a,s)-2}}{|x|^s} u + \frac{f(x,u)}{|x|^\sigma}, & x \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is an open bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 3$) with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $0 \in \Omega$, $0 \leq a < \sqrt{\mu}$, $\bar{\mu} \triangleq (N-2)^2/4$, $0 \leq \mu < (\sqrt{\bar{\mu}} - a)^2$, $\frac{2Na}{N-2} \leq s < 2(1+a)$, $0 \leq \sigma < 2(1+a)$, $f \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, and

$$2^*(a,s) \triangleq \frac{2(N-s)}{N-2(1+a)}.$$

Note that $2^*(0,s) = \frac{2(N-s)}{N-2}$ is the Hardy–Sobolev critical exponent and

$$2^* \triangleq 2^*(0,0) = \frac{2N}{N-2}$$

is the Sobolev critical exponent. In the case $\mu = 0$, problem (1.1) is related to the well known Caffarelli–Kohn–Nirenberg inequalities (see [CKN])

$$(1.2) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-s} |u|^{2^*(a,s)} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(a,s)}} \leq C_{a,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla u|^2 dx,$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 35J60; Secondary 47J30.

Key words and phrases: weighted Hardy–Sobolev critical exponents, $(PS)_c$ condition, Mountain Pass Lemma, singularity.

for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $-\infty < a < \sqrt{\mu}$ and $\frac{2Na}{N-2} \leq s \leq 2(1+a)$. For sharp constants and extremal functions, see [CW]. If $s = 2(1+a)$ and $2^*(a, s) = 2$ in (1.2), we get the following weighted Hardy inequality (see [CW, CC]):

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} dx \leq \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\mu} - a)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If $a = 0$, (1.3) becomes the well known Hardy inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \quad \text{for all } u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

For $\mu \in [0, (\sqrt{\mu} - a)^2)$, we use $H_a = H_0^1(\Omega, |x|^{-2a})$ to denote the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(|x|^{-2a} |\nabla u|^2 - \mu \frac{u^2}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} \right) dx \right)^{1/2},$$

which is equivalent to the usual norm of $H_0^1(\Omega, |x|^{-2a})$ due to (1.3), and

$$(1.4) \quad A = A_{a,s,\mu}(\Omega) \triangleq \inf_{u \in H_a \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^2}{\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(a,s)}}}$$

is the best Hardy–Sobolev constant, which is independent of Ω (see [KLP]).

Problem (1.1) in the case $a = s = 0$ and $\sigma = 0$ has been studied by some authors (see [CH, CW, GP, T]), and some interesting results were obtained. In particular, if $\mu = 0$, the problem has been widely studied since Brezis and Nirenberg (see [ABC, BN, J]); some other authors paid much attention to the singular problem with Hardy–Sobolev critical exponents (the case $a = 0$, $s \neq 0$, $\sigma = 0$) (see [DT, GK, GY, KP1, KP2]). But there are few results dealing with the case $a \neq 0$, $s \neq 0$, $\sigma \neq 0$ and the general form $f(x, t)$. In [HWT], the authors only studied the case $\sigma = 0$ for the general form $f(x, t)$ under suitable conditions; in [K], the authors only studied the special case $a = 0$ and $f(x, t) = \lambda|t|^{q-1}t$ with suitable q . In this paper, we use a variational method to deal with problem (1.1) and generalize the results in [HWT].

Due to the lack of compactness of the embedding $H_a \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ (see [GY]), we cannot use the standard variational argument directly. The corresponding energy functional fails to satisfy the classical Palais–Smale ((PS) for short) condition in H_a . However, a local (PS) condition can be established in a suitable range. Then the existence result is obtained via constructing a minimax level within this range and using the Mountain Pass Lemma due to A. Ambrosetti and P. H. Rabinowitz (see [Ra]).

Here are the main results of this paper:

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose that $0 \leq a < \sqrt{\mu}$, $0 \leq \mu < (\sqrt{\mu} - a)^2$, $\frac{2Na}{N-2} \leq s < 2(1+a)$, $0 \leq \sigma < 2(1+a)$ and*

- (f₁) $f \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$, and $f(x, t)/t \rightarrow 0$ ($t \rightarrow 0^+$), $f(x, t)/t^{r-1} \rightarrow 0$ ($t \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, where $r = 2^*$ if $0 \leq \sigma < \frac{2Na}{N-2}$, and $r = 2^*(a, \sigma)$ if $\frac{2Na}{N-2} \leq \sigma < 2(1+a)$;
- (f₂) *there exists a constant $\rho > 2$ such that $0 < \rho F(x, t) \leq f(x, t)t$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$, where $F(x, t)$ is the primitive function of $f(x, t)$ defined by $F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s) ds$.*

Assume that

$$(1.5) \quad \rho > \max \left\{ 2, \frac{N - \sigma}{\gamma}, \frac{N - \sigma - 2\beta}{\sqrt{\mu} - a} \right\},$$

where $\beta = \sqrt{(\sqrt{\mu} - a)^2 - \mu}$ and $\gamma = \sqrt{\mu} - a + \beta$. Then problem (1.1) has a positive weak solution.

COROLLARY 1.2. *Suppose that $N \geq 4(1+a)$, $0 \leq a < \sqrt{\mu}$, $0 \leq \mu < (\sqrt{\mu} - a)^2 - (1+a)^2$, $\frac{2Na}{N-2} \leq s < 2(1+a)$ and $0 \leq \sigma < 2(1+a)$. Assume that (f₁) and (f₂) hold. Then problem (1.1) has a positive solution.*

THEOREM 1.3. *Suppose that $0 \leq a < \sqrt{\mu}$, $0 \leq \mu < (\sqrt{\mu} - a)^2$, $\frac{2Na}{N-2} \leq s < 2(1+a)$, $0 \leq \sigma < 2(1+a)$ and*

- (f₃) $f \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, and $f(x, t)/t \rightarrow 0$ ($|t| \rightarrow 0$), $f(x, t)/t^{r-1} \rightarrow 0$ ($|t| \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$;
- (f₄) *there exists a constant $\rho > 2$ such that $0 < \rho F(x, t) \leq f(x, t)t$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.*

Assume that (1.5) holds. Then problem (1.1) has at least two distinct nontrivial solutions.

COROLLARY 1.4. *Suppose that $N \geq 4(1+a)$, $0 \leq a < \sqrt{\mu}$, $0 \leq \mu \leq (\sqrt{\mu} - a)^2 - (1+a)^2$, $\frac{2Na}{N-2} \leq s < 2(1+a)$ and $0 \leq \sigma < 2(1+a)$. Assume that (f₃) and (f₄) hold. Then problem (1.1) has at least two distinct nontrivial solutions.*

REMARK 1.5. Our theorems generalize the results in [HWT] where the authors only studied the case $\sigma = 0$ with general $f(x, t)$. Moreover, Theorem 1.1 also generalizes [K, Theorem 1.1] where the author only considered the special situation that $a = 0$ and $f(x, t) = \lambda|t|^{q-1}t$ with suitable q .

2. Proofs. In order to study the existence of positive solutions for problem (1.1) we shall first consider the existence of nontrivial solutions to the problem

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-2a}\nabla u) - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} = \frac{(u^+)^{2^*(a,s)-1}}{|x|^s} + \frac{f(x, u^+)}{|x|^\sigma}, & x \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $u^+ = \max\{u, 0\}$. The energy functional corresponding to problem (2.1) is given by

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(|x|^{-2a} |\nabla u|^2 - \mu \frac{u^2}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} \right) dx - \frac{1}{2^*(a,s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+)^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, u^+)}{|x|^\sigma} dx, \quad u \in H_a.$$

By the weighted Hardy–Sobolev inequality (1.3) and (f_1) , $I \in C^1(H_a, \mathbb{R})$. Now it is well known that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the weak solutions of problem (2.1) and the critical points of I on H_a . More precisely, we say that $u \in H_a$ is a weak solution of (2.1) if for any $v \in H_a$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I'(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \left(|x|^{-2a} \nabla u \nabla v - \mu \frac{uv}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} \right) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+)^{2^*(a,s)-1}}{|x|^s} v dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x, u^+)v}{|x|^\sigma} dx \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in H_a and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\{u_n\}$ is said to be a $(\text{PS})_c$ sequence in H_a if $I(u_n) \rightarrow c$, $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $(H_a)^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We say I satisfies the $(\text{PS})_c$ condition if any $(\text{PS})_c$ sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H_a$ has a convergent subsequence.

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose that $0 \leq a < \sqrt{\mu}$, $0 \leq \mu < (\sqrt{\mu} - a)^2$, $\frac{2Na}{N-2} \leq s < 2(1+a)$ and $0 \leq \sigma < 2(1+a)$. Assume (f_1) and (f_2) hold. Suppose $c \in (0, \frac{2^*(a,s)-2}{2 \cdot 2^*(a,s)} A^{\frac{2^*(a,s)}{2^*(a,s)-2}})$. Then I satisfies the $(\text{PS})_c$ condition.*

Proof. Suppose that $\{u_n\}$ is a $(\text{PS})_c$ sequence in H_a . By (f_2) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} c + 1 + o(1)\|u_n\| &\geq I(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} \langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|u_n\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{2^*(a,s)} \right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_n^+)^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, u_n^+) - \frac{1}{\theta} f(x, u_n^+) u_n}{|x|^\sigma} dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|u_n\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta = \min\{\rho, 2^*(a, s)\}$. Hence we conclude $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in H_a , $\|u_n\| \leq C_0 < \infty$. Taking a subsequence if necessary, we can get

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightarrow u & \text{weakly in } H_a, \\ u_n \rightarrow u & \text{in } L^\gamma(\Omega), \quad 1 < \gamma < 2^*, \\ u_n \rightarrow u & \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from (f_1) that there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$|f(x, t)| < t \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \delta_1] \text{ and } x \in \overline{\Omega},$$

and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta_2 > \delta_1$ such that

$$|f(x, t)| < \varepsilon t^{r-1} \quad \text{for all } t > \delta_2 \text{ and } x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

Moreover, there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$|f(x, t)| \leq M \quad \text{for all } x \in \overline{\Omega} \text{ and } t \in [\delta_1, \delta_2].$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$|f(x, t)| \leq t + \varepsilon t^{r-1} + M \leq \varepsilon t^{r-1} + (1 + M\delta_1^{-1})t$$

for all $t > 0$ and all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $a(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$|f(x, t)t| \leq \varepsilon |t|^r + a(\varepsilon) |t|^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in \overline{\Omega} \text{ and } t > 0.$$

By the weighted Hardy–Sobolev inequality (1.3), there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^\sigma} dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} |x|^{2(1+a)-\sigma} dx \leq C \|u\|^2$$

for all $u \in H_a$. Therefore, there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\int_E \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^\sigma} dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{a(\varepsilon)}$$

for any subset $E \subseteq \Omega$ with $\text{meas}(E) < \delta$, where $\text{meas}(\cdot)$ denotes the usual Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^N .

In addition, there also exist constants $C_2 > C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*}}{|x|^\sigma} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*}}{|x|^{\frac{2Na}{N-2}}} |x|^{\frac{2Na}{N-2}-\sigma} dx \leq C_1 \|u\|^{2^*}$$

for $0 \leq \sigma < \frac{2Na}{N-2}$ and all $u \in H_a$; and

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(a,\sigma)}}{|x|^\sigma} dx \leq C_2 \|u\|^{2^*(a,\sigma)}$$

for $\frac{2Na}{N-2} \leq \sigma < 2(1+a)$ and all $u \in H_a$. So we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^r}{|x|^{\sigma}} dx \leq C_2 \|u\|^r$$

for $0 \leq \sigma < 2(1 + a)$ and all $u \in H_a$. Now, set $\delta_0 = \min\{\delta, \varepsilon/a(\varepsilon)\}$; when $E \subset \Omega$ with $\text{meas}(E) < \delta_0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_E \frac{f(x, u_n^+) u_n}{|x|^{\sigma}} dx \right| &\leq \int_E \frac{|f(x, u_n^+) u_n|}{|x|^{\sigma}} dx \leq a(\varepsilon) \int_E \frac{|u_n^2|}{|x|^{\sigma}} dx + \varepsilon \int_E \frac{|u_n|^r}{|x|^{\sigma}} dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon C_2 C_0^r. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\left\{ \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x, u_n^+) u_n}{|x|^{\sigma}} dx : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ is equi-absolutely-continuous. According to the Vitali convergence theorem (see [Ru]), we deduce that

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x, u_n^+) u_n}{|x|^{\sigma}} dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x, u^+) u}{|x|^{\sigma}} dx$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, u_n^+)}{|x|^{\sigma}} dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, u^+)}{|x|^{\sigma}} dx$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $v_n = u_n - u$. Since $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $(H_a)^*$, we obtain

$$\|u_n\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_n^+)^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x, u_n^+) u_n}{|x|^{\sigma}} dx = o(1).$$

From the Brezis–Lieb lemma (see [HL]), we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^2}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n - u|^2}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} dx, \\ \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n - u|^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} dx, \\ \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{|x|^{2a}} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n - \nabla u|^2}{|x|^{2a}} dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{|x|^{2a}} dx, \\ \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^{2^*(a,s)-2}}{|x|^s} u_n v dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(a,s)-2}}{|x|^s} uv dx, \quad v \in H_a, \end{cases}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (2.3) and (2.5), we get

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} O(1) &= \|v_n\|^2 + \|u\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} \frac{(v_n^+)^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+)^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x, u^+) u}{|x|^{\sigma}} dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle I'(u_n), u \rangle &= \langle I'(u), u \rangle \\ &= \|u\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+)^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x, u^+)u}{|x|^\sigma} dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= I(u) - \frac{1}{2} \langle I'(u), u \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*(a,s)} \right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+)^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x, u^+)u}{|x|^\sigma} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, u^+)}{|x|^\sigma} dx. \end{aligned}$$

From (f₂), we conclude that

$$(2.8) \quad I(u) \geq 0.$$

Since $I(u_n) \rightarrow c$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), combining (2.4) with (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I(u_n) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|^2 - \frac{1}{2^*(a,s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_n^+)^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, u_n^+)}{|x|^\sigma} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|v_n\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2^*(a,s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(v_n^+)^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2^*(a,s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+)^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, u^+)}{|x|^\sigma} dx + o(1) \\ &= I(u) + \frac{1}{2} \|v_n\|^2 - \frac{1}{2^*(a,s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(v_n^+)^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} dx + o(1) \\ &= c + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(2.9) \quad I(u) + \frac{1}{2} \|v_n\|^2 - \frac{1}{2^*(a,s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(v_n^+)^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} dx = c + o(1).$$

From (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\|v_n\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} \frac{(v_n^+)^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} dx = o(1).$$

Then $\|v_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, otherwise there exists a subsequence, still denoted by v_n , such that

$$(2.10) \quad \|v_n\|^2 \rightarrow k \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{(v_n^+)^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} dx \rightarrow k \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where k is a positive constant. By (1.4), we deduce that

$$\|v_n\|^2 \geq A \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{(v_n^+)^{2^*(a,s)}}{|x|^s} \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(a,s)}} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N};$$

hence $k \geq Ak^{\frac{2}{2^*(a,s)}}$, i.e., $k \geq A^{\frac{2}{2^*(a,s)-2}}$, which, together with (2.9) and (2.10), shows that

$$I(u) = c - \frac{1}{2}k + \frac{1}{2^*(a,s)}k \leq c - \frac{2^*(a,s) - 2}{2 \cdot 2^*(a,s)} A^{\frac{2^*(a,s)}{2^*(a,s)-2}} < 0.$$

This contradicts (2.8).

Therefore, $\|v_n\|^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in H_a as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From the discussion above, I satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition. ■

Recently, the authors of [KLP] proved that, for $0 \leq a < \sqrt{\mu}$, $0 \leq \mu < (\sqrt{\mu} - a)^2$, $\frac{2Na}{N-2} \leq s < 2(1+a)$ and $\beta = \sqrt{(\sqrt{\mu} - a)^2 - \mu}$, A is attained when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ by the functions

$$y_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{(2\varepsilon \cdot 2^*(a,s)\beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2^*(a,s)-2}}}{|x|^{\gamma'}(\varepsilon + |x|^{(2^*(a,s)-2)\beta})^{\frac{2}{2^*(a,s)-2}}}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$, where $\gamma' = \sqrt{\mu} - a - \beta$. Moreover, the functions $y_{\varepsilon}(x)$ solve the equation

$$-\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-2a}\nabla u) - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} = \frac{|u|^{2^*(a,s)-2}}{|x|^s} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\},$$

and satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-2a} |\nabla y_{\varepsilon}(x)|^2 - \mu \frac{y_{\varepsilon}^2(x)}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} \right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{y_{\varepsilon}^{2^*(a,s)}(x)}{|x|^s} dx = A^{\frac{2^*(a,s)}{2^*(a,s)-2}}.$$

Let

$$C_{\varepsilon} = (2\varepsilon \cdot 2^*(a,s)\beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2^*(a,s)-2}}, \quad U_{\varepsilon}(x) = y_{\varepsilon}(x)/C_{\varepsilon}.$$

Choose a cut-off function $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq R$, $\varphi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2R$ and $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$, where $B_{2R}(0) \subset \Omega$. Set $u_{\varepsilon}(x) = \varphi(x)U_{\varepsilon}(x)$, $v_{\varepsilon}(x) = u_{\varepsilon}(x)/(\int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{2^*(a,s)}|x|^{-s} dx)^{1/2^*(a,s)}$, so that $\int_{\Omega} |v_{\varepsilon}|^{2^*(a,s)}|x|^{-s} dx = 1$. Then we can get the following results by the methods used in [GY]:

$$(2.11) \quad A + C_3\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{2^*(a,s)-2}} \leq \|v_{\varepsilon}\|^2 \leq A + C_4\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{2^*(a,s)-2}},$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{cases} C_5 \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2^*(a,s)-2}} \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^q}{|x|^\sigma} dx \leq C_6 \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2^*(a,s)-2}}, & 1 \leq q < (N - \sigma)/\gamma, \\ C_5 \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2^*(a,s)-2}} |\ln \varepsilon| \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^q}{|x|^\sigma} dx \leq C_6 \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2^*(a,s)-2}} |\ln \varepsilon|, & q = (N - \sigma)/\gamma, \\ C_5 \varepsilon^{\frac{N-\sigma-q(\sqrt{\mu}-a)}{(2^*(a,s)-2)\beta}} \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^q}{|x|^\sigma} dx \leq C_6 \varepsilon^{\frac{N-\sigma-q(\sqrt{\mu}-a)}{(2^*(a,s)-2)\beta}}, & q > (N - \sigma)/\gamma. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^r}{|x|^\sigma} dx \leq C_2 (2A)^{r/2} \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+.$$

In fact, using the Hardy–Sobolev inequality and (2.11), one deduces

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^r}{|x|^\sigma} dx \leq C_2 \|v_\varepsilon\|^r \leq C_2 (A + C_4 \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{2^*(a,s)-2}})^{r/2} \leq C_2 (2A)^{r/2} \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+.$$

LEMMA 2.2. *Suppose that $0 \leq a < \sqrt{\mu}$, $0 \leq \mu < (\sqrt{\mu} - a)^2$, $\frac{2Na}{N-2} \leq s < 2(1+a)$ and $0 \leq \sigma < 2(1+a)$. Assume that (f_1) , (f_2) and (1.5) hold. Then there exists $u_0 \in H_a$, $u_0 \neq 0$, such that*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} I(tu_0) < \frac{2^*(a,s) - 2}{2 \cdot 2^*(a,s)} A^{\frac{2^*(a,s)}{2^*(a,s)-2}}.$$

Proof. We consider the functions

$$g(t) = I(tv_\varepsilon) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|v_\varepsilon\|^2 - \frac{t^{2^*(a,s)}}{2^*(a,s)} - \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, tv_\varepsilon)}{|x|^\sigma} dx,$$

$$\tilde{g}(t) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|v_\varepsilon\|^2 - \frac{t^{2^*(a,s)}}{2^*(a,s)}.$$

Note that $g(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $g(0) = 0$, $g(t) > 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, so $\sup_{t \geq 0} g(t)$ is attained for some $t_0 > 0$. Since

$$0 = g'(t_0) = t_0 \left(\|v_\varepsilon\|^2 - t_0^{2^*(a,s)-2} - \frac{1}{t_0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x, t_0 v_\varepsilon) v_\varepsilon}{|x|^\sigma} dx \right),$$

we have

$$\|v_\varepsilon\|^2 = t_0^{2^*(a,s)-2} + \frac{1}{t_0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x, t_0 v_\varepsilon) v_\varepsilon}{|x|^\sigma} dx \geq t_0^{2^*(a,s)-2},$$

which, together with (2.11), shows that

$$t_0 \leq \|v_\varepsilon\|^{\frac{2}{2^*(a,s)-2}} \triangleq t^0 \leq (2A)^{\frac{1}{2^*(a,s)-2}}.$$

By (f_1) , for any $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$, there exists $a(\tilde{\varepsilon}) > 0$ such that

$$|f(x, t)| \leq \tilde{\varepsilon} |t|^{r-1} + a(\tilde{\varepsilon}) |t| \quad \text{for all } x \in \bar{\Omega} \text{ and } t > 0.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\|v_\varepsilon\|^2 \leq t_0^{2^*(a,s)-2} + \tilde{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|t_0|^{r-2} |v_\varepsilon|^r}{|x|^\sigma} dx + a(\tilde{\varepsilon}) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^2}{|x|^\sigma} dx.$$

Set $\tilde{\varepsilon} = (4C_2(2A)^{\frac{r-2}{2^*(a,s)-2}}(2A)^{r/2})^{-1}A$. By (2.11)–(2.13), for ε small,

$$A \leq \|v_\varepsilon\|^2 \leq t_0^{2^*(a,s)-2} + \tilde{\varepsilon} C_2(2A)^{\frac{r-2}{2^*(a,s)-2}}(2A)^{r/2} + \frac{1}{4}A = t_0^{2^*(a,s)-2} + \frac{1}{2}A,$$

that is,

$$(2.14) \quad t_0^{2^*(a,s)-2} \geq A/2.$$

On the one hand, from (2.11) we will deduce that

$$(2.15) \quad \|v_\varepsilon\|^{\frac{2 \cdot 2^*(a,s)}{2^*(a,s)-2}} \leq A^{\frac{2^*(a,s)}{2^*(a,s)-2}} + C_7 \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{2^*(a,s)-2}}.$$

In order to prove this, we first prove the following inequality:

$$(2.16) \quad (a+b)^\lambda \leq a^\lambda + \lambda(a+1)^{\lambda-1}b, \quad a > 0, 0 \leq b \leq 1, \lambda \geq 1.$$

In fact, set

$$h(x) = (a+x)^\lambda - a^\lambda - \lambda(a+1)^{\lambda-1}x, \quad a > 0, 0 \leq x \leq 1, \lambda \geq 1.$$

Clearly, $h'(x) < 0$, $x \in (0, 1)$, so $h(b) \leq h(0) = 0$; then (2.16) holds. Let $a = A$, $b = C_3 \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{2^*(a,s)-2}}$, $\lambda = \frac{2^*(a,s)}{2^*(a,s)-2}$; then (2.15) holds.

On the other hand, the function $\tilde{g}(t)$ attains its maximum at t^0 , and is increasing in the interval $[0, t^0]$; together with (2.11), (2.14), (2.15) and $F(x, t) \geq C_8 |t|^\rho$ which is directly derived from (f₂), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} g(t_0) &\leq \tilde{g}(t^0) - \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, t_0 v_\varepsilon)}{|x|^\sigma} dx \\ &= \frac{2^*(a,s) - 2}{2 \cdot 2^*(a,s)} \|v_\varepsilon\|^{\frac{2 \cdot 2^*(a,s)}{2^*(a,s)-2}} - \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, t_0 v_\varepsilon)}{|x|^\sigma} dx \\ &\leq \frac{2^*(a,s) - 2}{2 \cdot 2^*(a,s)} A^{\frac{2^*(a,s)}{2^*(a,s)-2}} + C_9 \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{2^*(a,s)-2}} - \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, t_0 v_\varepsilon)}{|x|^\sigma} dx \\ &\leq \frac{2^*(a,s) - 2}{2 \cdot 2^*(a,s)} A^{\frac{2^*(a,s)}{2^*(a,s)-2}} + C_9 \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{2^*(a,s)-2}} - C_8 \int_{\Omega} \frac{t_0^\rho |v_\varepsilon|^\rho}{|x|^\sigma} dx \\ &\leq \frac{2^*(a,s) - 2}{2 \cdot 2^*(a,s)} A^{\frac{2^*(a,s)}{2^*(a,s)-2}} + C_9 \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{2^*(a,s)-2}} - C_8 \left(\frac{A}{2}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{2^*(a,s)-2}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^\rho}{|x|^\sigma} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_9 = C_7 \frac{2^*(a,s)-2}{2 \cdot 2^*(a,s)}$. Furthermore, from (2.12), we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^\rho}{|x|^\sigma} dx \geq C_5 \varepsilon^{\frac{N-\sigma-\rho(\sqrt{\mu}-a)}{(2^*(a,s)-2)\beta}}.$$

By (1.5), we obtain

$$\frac{2}{2^*(a,s) - 2} > \frac{N - \sigma - \rho(\sqrt{\mu} - a)}{(2^*(a,s) - 2)\beta}.$$

Choosing ε small enough, we have

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} I(tv_\varepsilon) = g(t_0) < \frac{2^*(a, s) - 2}{2 \cdot 2^*(a, s)} A^{\frac{2^*(a, s)}{2^*(a, s) - 2}}. \blacksquare$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By (f_1) , for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $b(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x, t)| &\leq \varepsilon|t| + b(\varepsilon)|t|^{r-1} && \text{for } (x, t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times (0, \infty), \\ |F(x, t)| &\leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon|t|^2 + \frac{b(\varepsilon)}{r}|t|^r && \text{for } (x, t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times (0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the Hardy–Sobolev inequality, (1.2) and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2^*(a, s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+)^{2^*(a, s)}}{|x|^s} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, u^+)}{|x|^\sigma} dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{(C_{a, s})^{2^*(a, s)/2}}{2^*(a, s)} \|u^+\|^{2^*(a, s)} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^\sigma} dx - \frac{b(\varepsilon)}{r} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^r}{|x|^\sigma} dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{(C_{a, s})^{2^*(a, s)/2}}{2^*(a, s)} \|u^+\|^{2^*(a, s)} - \frac{C\varepsilon}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{C_2 b(\varepsilon)}{r} \|u\|^r \end{aligned}$$

for ε small enough. So there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $I(u) \geq \alpha$ for all $u \in \partial B_R = \{u \in H_a : \|u\| = R\}$, where $R > 0$ is small enough. By Lemma 2.2, there exists $u_0 \in H_a$ such that $u_0 \not\equiv 0$ and

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} I(tu_0) < \frac{2^*(a, s) - 2}{2 \cdot 2^*(a, s)} A^{\frac{2^*(a, s)}{2^*(a, s) - 2}}.$$

From the nonnegativity of $F(x, t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I(tu_0) &= \frac{1}{2}t^2\|u_0\|^2 - \frac{t^{2^*(a, s)}}{2^*(a, s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_0^+)^{2^*(a, s)}}{|x|^s} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, tu_0^+)}{|x|^\sigma} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}t^2\|u_0\|^2 - \frac{t^{2^*(a, s)}}{2^*(a, s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_0^+)^{2^*(a, s)}}{|x|^s} dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $I(tu_0) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence we can choose $t_1 > 0$ such that $\|t_1 u_0\| > R$ and $I(t_1 u_0) \leq 0$. Applying the Mountain Pass Lemma of [Ra], there is a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H_a$ satisfying

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow c \geq \alpha, \quad I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$c = \inf_{h \in \tau} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I(h(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = \{h \in C([0, 1], H_a) : h(0) = 0, h(1) = t_1 u_0\}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \alpha \leq c &= \inf_{h \in \tau} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I(h(t)) \leq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I(tt_1 u_0) \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} I(tu_0) \\ &< \frac{2^*(a, s) - 2}{2 \cdot 2^*(a, s)} A^{\frac{2^*(a, s)}{2^*(a, s) - 2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now Lemma 2.1 suggests $\{u_n\} \subset H_a$ has a convergent subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_n\}$. Assume that $\{u_n\}$ converges to some $u \in H_a$. From the continuity of I' , we know that u is a weak solution of problem (2.1). Hence $u \geq 0$ from $\langle I'(u), u^- \rangle = 0$, where $u^- = \min\{u, 0\}$.

Moreover, suppose $u \equiv 0$. By (2.9) and (2.10), if $k = 0$, we get $c = I(0) = 0$, which contradicts $c > 0$; if $k > 0$, we obtain

$$c = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*(a, s)} \right) k \geq \frac{2^*(a, s) - 2}{2 \cdot 2^*(a, s)} A^{\frac{2^*(a, s)}{2^*(a, s) - 2}},$$

which contradicts $c < \frac{2^*(a, s) - 2}{2 \cdot 2^*(a, s)} A^{\frac{2^*(a, s)}{2^*(a, s) - 2}}$.

Therefore, $u \not\equiv 0$ and u is a nontrivial solution of problem (1.1). By the Strong Maximum Principle, u is a positive solution of problem (1.1), so Theorem 1.1 holds. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Theorem 1.1 problem (1.1) has a positive solution u_1 . Set $g(x, t) = -f(x, -t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that the equation

$$-\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-2a} \nabla u) - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} = \frac{|u|^{2^*(a, s) - 2}}{|x|^s} u + \frac{g(x, u)}{|x|^\sigma}$$

has a positive solution v . Let $u_2 = -v$. Then u_2 is a solution of the equation

$$-\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-2a} \nabla u) - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} = \frac{|u|^{2^*(a, s) - 2}}{|x|^s} u + \frac{f(x, u)}{|x|^\sigma}.$$

It is obvious that $u_1 \neq 0$, $u_2 \neq 0$ and $u_1 \neq u_2$. So problem (1.1) has at least two nontrivial solutions. Therefore, Theorem 1.3 holds. ■

Acknowledgements. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11071198). The authors would like to thank the editor and the referee for valuable suggestions.

References

- [ABC] A. Ambrosetti, H. Brezis and G. Cerami, *Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems*, J. Funct. Anal. 122 (1994), 519–543.
- [HL] H. Brezis and E. Lieb, *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1983), 486–490.
- [BN] H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 36 (1983), 437–477.
- [CKN] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn and L. Nirenberg, *First order interpolation inequality with weights*, Compos. Math. 53 (1984), 259–275.
- [CH] D. M. Cao and P. G. Han, *Solutions for semilinear elliptic equations with critical exponents and Hardy potential*, J. Differential Equations 205 (2004), 521–537.

- [CW] F. Catrina and Z. Wang, *On the Caffarelli–Kohn–Nirenberg inequalities: Sharp constants, existence (and nonexistence), and symmetry of external functions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 54 (2001), 229–258.
- [CC] K. Chou and C. Chu, *On the best constant for a weighted Sobolev–Hardy inequality*, J. London Math. Soc. 48 (1993), 137–151.
- [DT] L. Ding and C. L. Tang, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for semilinear elliptic equations with Hardy terms and Hardy–Sobolev critical exponents*, Appl. Math. Lett. 20 (2007), 1175–1183.
- [GP] J. P. García Azorero and I. Peral Alonso, *Hardy inequalities and some critical elliptic and parabolic problems*, J. Differential Equations 144 (1998), 441–476.
- [GK] N. Ghoussoub and X. S. Kang, *Hardy–Sobolev critical elliptic equations with boundary singularities*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 21 (2004), 767–793.
- [GY] N. Ghoussoub and C. Yuan, *Multiple solutions for quasi-linear PDEs involving the critical Sobolev and Hardy exponents*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), 5703–5743.
- [HWT] L. Huang, X. P. Wu and C. L. Tang, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for semilinear elliptic equations with critical weighted Hardy–Sobolev exponents*, Nonlinear Anal. 71 (2009), 1916–1924.
- [J] E. Jannelli, *The role played by space dimension in elliptic critical problems*, J. Differential Equations 156 (1999), 407–426.
- [K] D. S. Kang, *On the quasilinear elliptic problems with critical Sobolev–Hardy exponents and Hardy terms*, Nonlinear Anal. 68 (2008), 1973–1985.
- [KLP] D. Kang, G. Li and S. Peng, *Positive solutions and critical dimensions for the elliptic problems involving the Caffarelli–Kohn–Nirenberg inequalities*, preprint.
- [KP1] D. S. Kang and S. J. Peng, *Positive solutions for singular critical elliptic problems*, Appl. Math. Lett. 17 (2004), 411–416.
- [KP2] D. S. Kang and S. J. Peng, *Solutions for semi-linear elliptic problems with critical Sobolev–Hardy exponents and Hardy potential*, Appl. Math. Lett. 18 (2005), 1094–1100.
- [Ra] P. H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. 65, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [Ru] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987.
- [T] S. Terracini, *On positive entire solutions to a class of equations with a singular coefficient and critical exponent*, Adv. Differential Equations 1 (1996), 241–264.

Qi-Wu Du
 School of Mathematics and Statistics
 Southwest University
 Chongqing 400715, P.R. China
 and
 Chengdu Jiaxiang Foreign Languages School
 Pixian campus
 Chengdu 611730, P.R. China
 E-mail: duqiwu@126.com

Chun-Lei Tang (corresponding author)
 School of Mathematics and Statistics
 Southwest University
 Chongqing 400715, P.R. China
 E-mail: tangcl@swu.edu.cn

