

Convergence in capacity on smooth hypersurfaces of compact Kähler manifolds

by VU VIET HUNG (Son La) and HOANG NHAT QUY (Da Nang)

Abstract. We study restrictions of ω -plurisubharmonic functions to a smooth hypersurface S in a compact Kähler manifold X . The result obtained and the characterization of convergence in capacity due to S. Dinew and P. H. Hiep [to appear in Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.] are used to study convergence in capacity on S .

1. Introduction. In [BT1, BT2], Bedford and Taylor laid the foundations of the theory of the complex Monge–Ampère operator which is nowadays a central part of pluripotential theory. Convergence in capacity C_n on domains in \mathbb{C}^n was introduced in [BT2]. Initially Bedford and Taylor used this capacity to solve deep problems concerning small sets in pluripotential theory. It was soon realized, however, that capacities are very useful technical tools in solving Monge–Ampère equations with singular data. Especially, the discovery of Xing [Xi1] that the complex Monge–Ampère operator is continuous with respect to convergence in capacity attracted much interest. Recently, Kołodziej [Ko2] introduced the capacity C_X on a compact Kähler manifold X . In [GZ1], Guedj and Zeriahi proved that C_X is locally equivalent to C_n . In [DH], Dinew and Hiep gave characterizations of convergence in capacity C_X .

The main aim of the present note is to study restrictions of ω -plurisubharmonic functions to a smooth hypersurface S in a compact Kähler manifold X . The result obtained and the characterizations of convergence in capacity in [DH] are used to study convergence in capacity on the hypersurface S .

In Section 1, we introduce some definitions which can be found in [BK], [BT1]–[BT3], [Ce1], [Ce2], [De1], [De2], [GZ1], [GZ2], [HKH], [H1]–[H3], [Hö], [KH], [Kl], [Ko1]–[Ko3], [Si1], [Si2] and [Xi1], [Xi2].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 32W20; Secondary 32Q15.

Key words and phrases: complex Monge–Ampère operator, ω -plurisubharmonic functions, compact Kähler manifolds.

Our main results are the following theorems which generalize Theorem 2.4 in [H1]:

THEOREM A. *Let S be a smooth hypersurface in a compact Kähler manifold X and $u \in \mathcal{F}(X, \omega)$ be such that $\int_X |\varphi_S| \omega_u^n < \infty$ for some $\varphi_S \in \mathcal{D}(S, a)$ and $a > 0$. Then $u|_S \in \mathcal{E}_1(S, \omega_S)$.*

THEOREM B. *Let S be a smooth hypersurface in a compact Kähler manifold X and let $u_j, v_j \in \text{PSH}^-(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ and $u_0 \in \mathcal{F}(X, \omega)$ be such that $u_j - v_j \rightarrow 0$ in C_X as $j \rightarrow \infty$, $u_j, v_j \geq u_0$ for all $j \geq 1$, $\int_X |\varphi_S| \omega_u^n < \infty$ for some $\varphi_S \in \mathcal{D}(S, a)$, $a > 0$ and either*

- (i) *for each $z \in S$ there exist a neighbourhood U of z and $\psi \in \text{PSH}^-(U) \cap L^\infty(U)$, $\phi \in \mathcal{B}(U)$ such that $\omega_{u_j}^n + \omega_{v_j}^n \leq dd^c \psi \wedge (dd^c \phi)^{n-1}$ on U for all $j \geq 1$, or*
- (ii) *there exist a neighbourhood U of S and an increasing function $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that*

$$\int_1^\infty F(1/t) dt < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_E [\omega_{u_j}^n + \omega_{v_j}^n] \leq F(C_X(E)),$$

for every Borel set $E \subset U$, and all $j \geq 1$.

Then $u_j|_S - v_j|_S \rightarrow 0$ in C_S as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n . We denote by $\text{PSH}(\Omega)$ the set of plurisubharmonic (psh) functions on Ω , and by $\text{PSH}^-(\Omega)$ the subclass of negative functions.

2.2. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a fundamental form $\omega = \omega_X$ with $\int_X \omega^n = 1$. An upper semicontinuous function $\varphi : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ is called ω -plurisubharmonic (ω -psh) if $\varphi \in L^1(X)$ and $\omega + dd^c \varphi \geq 0$. We denote by $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ (resp. $\text{PSH}^-(X, \omega)$) the set of ω -psh (resp. negative ω -psh) functions on X .

2.3. In [Ko2], Kołodziej introduced the capacity $C_{X, \omega}$ on X by

$$C_X(E) = C_{X, \omega}(E) = \sup \left\{ \int_E \omega_\varphi^n : \varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega), -1 \leq \varphi \leq 0 \right\}$$

where $\omega_\varphi^n = (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n$ and $n = \dim X$. In [GZ1], Guedj and Zeriahi proved that C_X is a Choquet capacity on X and

$$C_X(E) = \int_X (-h_{E, \omega}^*) \omega_{h_{E, \omega}^*}^n$$

where $h_{E,\omega}^*$ denotes the upper semicontinuous regularization of $h_{E,\omega}$ given by

$$h_{E,\omega}(z) = \sup\{\varphi(z) : \varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(X, \omega), \varphi|_E \leq -1\}.$$

2.4. Let $u_j, v_j \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$. We say that $u_j - v_j$ converges to 0 in C_X if

$$C_X(\{|u_j - v_j| > \delta\}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty$$

for all $\delta > 0$.

2.5. A family $\{\mu_i\}_{i \in I}$ of positive measures on X is said to be *uniformly absolutely continuous with respect to capacity C_X* if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for each Borel subset $E \subset X$ with $C_X(E) < \delta$ the inequality $\mu_i(E) < \epsilon$ holds for all $i \in I$. We then write $\mu_i \ll C_X$ uniformly for $i \in I$.

2.6. Let Ω be a bounded hyperconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n . In [De2], Demailly introduced the class of psh functions which are bounded near the boundary:

$$\mathcal{B}(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in \text{PSH}(\Omega) : \exists K \subset\subset \Omega \text{ such that } \varphi \in L^\infty(\Omega \setminus K)\}.$$

In [De2], he proved that $dd^c\varphi \wedge T$ is well-defined for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ and every non-negative closed current T on Ω .

The following classes of psh functions were introduced by Cegrell in [Ce1] and [Ce2]:

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) = \left\{ \varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) : \lim_{z \rightarrow \partial\Omega} \varphi(z) = 0, \int_{\Omega} (dd^c\varphi)^n < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\Omega) = \left\{ \varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(\Omega) : \exists \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \ni \varphi_j \searrow \varphi, \sup_{j \geq 1} \int_{\Omega} (dd^c\varphi_j)^n < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(\Omega) = \left\{ \varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(\Omega) : \exists \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \ni \varphi_K = \varphi \text{ on } K, \forall K \subset\subset \Omega \right\},$$

In [Ce2], he proved that the complex Monge–Ampère operator is well-defined on $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ and this is the largest possible domain of definition.

2.7. We define the class of ω -psh functions on which the complex Monge–Ampère operator is well-defined in every local coordinate:

$$\text{DMA}_{\text{loc}}(X, \omega) = \{\varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(X, \omega) : \forall z \in X, \text{ there is a neighbourhood } U \text{ of } z \text{ and a potential } \theta \text{ of } \omega \text{ on } U \text{ such that } \varphi + \theta \in \mathcal{E}(U)\}.$$

2.8. In [GZ2], Guedj and Zeriahi introduced the classes of ω -psh functions

$$\mathcal{E}(X, \omega) = \left\{ \varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(X, \omega) : \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{\varphi > -j\}} \omega_{\max(\varphi, -j)}^n = 1 \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{E}_p(X, \omega) = \left\{ \varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(X, \omega) : \exists \{\varphi_j\}_{j \geq 1} \subset \text{PSH} \cap L^\infty(X, \omega) \text{ with} \right. \\ \left. \varphi_j \searrow \varphi \text{ and } \sup_{j \geq 1} \int_X |\varphi_j|^p \omega_{\varphi_j}^n < \infty \right\}.$$

They proved that the complex Monge–Ampère operator is well-defined on $\mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$ by

$$\omega_\varphi^n := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} 1_{\{\varphi > -j\}} \omega_{\max(\varphi, -j)}^n.$$

They showed that the complex Monge–Ampère operator is continuous under decreasing limits (see Theorem 1.9 in [GZ2] or Proposition 2.8 in [H3]). They also showed that

$$\mathcal{E}_p(X, \omega) = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega) : \int_X |\varphi|^p \omega_\varphi^n < \infty \right\}.$$

2.9. In [Xi2], Xing introduced the following class of ω -psh functions:

$$\mathcal{F}(X, \omega) = \{ \varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(X, \omega) : \varphi_j \omega_{\varphi_j}^{n-1} \wedge \omega \ll C_X \text{ uniformly for } j \geq 1 \}$$

where $\varphi_j = \max(\varphi, -j)$, $j \geq 1$. He proved that the complex Monge–Ampère operator is well-defined on $\mathcal{F}(X, \omega)$ (see Proposition 1 and Theorem 5 in [Xi2]) by

$$\omega_\varphi^n := \omega \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-1} + dd^c(\varphi \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-1}).$$

He also proved that $\text{DMA}_{\text{loc}}(X, \omega) \subset \mathcal{F}(X, \omega)$ (see Theorem 2 in [Xi2]).

For $\mathcal{K}(X, \omega) \in \{ \text{DMA}_{\text{loc}}(X, \omega), \mathcal{F}(X, \omega) \}$ we set

$$\mathcal{K}^a(X, \omega) = \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{K}(X, \omega) : \omega_\varphi^n \ll C_X \}.$$

It is known that $\mathcal{E}_1(X, \omega) \subset \mathcal{F}^a(X, \omega)$.

2.10. Let S be a hypersurface in a compact Kähler manifold X . For each $a > 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{D}(S, a)$ the family of ω -psh functions $\varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(X, \omega) \cap C(X \setminus S)$ such that for every $z \in S$, there exist a neighbourhood U of z and a holomorphic function f on U with $S \cap U = \{w \in U : f(w) = 0\}$, $\varphi - a \log |f| \in L^\infty(U \setminus S)$ and $f'(w) \neq 0$ for all $w \in \text{Reg}(S) \cap U$. In Proposition 3.1 we show that if $a > 0$ is small enough then $\mathcal{D}(S, a) \neq \emptyset$.

2.11. Let S be a smooth hypersurface in a compact Kähler manifold X . For each $z \in S$ we can find a neighbourhood U of z and a strictly psh function θ on U such that $\omega = dd^c \theta$. Define $\omega_S = dd^c \theta|_S$ on $U \cap S$. Then ω_S is a fundamental form on S . Obviously if $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ is such that $u|_S \not\equiv -\infty$ then $u|_S \in \text{PSH}(S, \omega_S)$.

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold and $u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$, $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$. We can define the wedge product $\omega_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_{n-1}} \wedge \omega_\varphi$ and it is continuous under decreasing limits (see Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.2 in [BT3]). We prove that the integration by parts formula holds for these products.

2.12. Integration by parts formula

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold and $u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, v \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$, $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$. Then*

$$\int_X v \omega_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_{n-1}} \wedge \omega_\varphi = \int_X v \omega_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_{n-1}} \wedge \omega + \int_X \varphi \omega_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_{n-1}} \wedge dd^c v.$$

Proof. First, we assume that $v \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap C^\infty(X)$. Set $\varphi^j = \max(\varphi, -j)$. By integration by parts we have

$$\int_X v \omega_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_{n-1}} \wedge \omega_{\varphi^j} = \int_X v \omega_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_{n-1}} \wedge \omega + \int_X \varphi^j \omega_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_{n-1}} \wedge dd^c v.$$

Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$, from $\omega_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_{n-1}} \wedge \omega_{\varphi^j} \rightarrow \omega_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_{n-1}} \wedge \omega_\varphi$ weakly and from Lebesgue’s convergence theorem we get

$$\int_X v \omega_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_{n-1}} \wedge \omega_\varphi = \int_X v \omega_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_{n-1}} \wedge \omega + \int_X \varphi \omega_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_{n-1}} \wedge dd^c v.$$

In the general case, by Theorem 1 in [BK], we can choose $v_j \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap C^\infty(X)$ such that $v_j \searrow v$ (see also [De1]). By the first case we have

$$\int_X v_j \omega_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_{n-1}} \wedge \omega_\varphi = \int_X v_j \omega_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_{n-1}} \wedge \omega + \int_X \varphi \omega_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_{n-1}} \wedge dd^c v_j.$$

Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$, by Lebesgue’s convergence theorem and by Corollary 5.2 in [Ce2] we get

$$\int_X v \omega_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_{n-1}} \wedge \omega_\varphi = \int_X v \omega_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_{n-1}} \wedge \omega + \int_X \varphi \omega_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_{n-1}} \wedge dd^c v. \blacksquare$$

3. Proof of main results. First, we state some results that will be used in the proofs of our main results. These are simple modifications of already known results, but we shall sketch some of the proofs for the sake of completeness:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let S be a hypersurface in a compact Kähler manifold X . Then $\mathcal{D}(S, a) \neq \emptyset$ for some $a > 0$.*

Proof. We can find finite open covers $W_i \subset\subset V_i \subset\subset U_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, of X and holomorphic functions f_i on U_i such that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m W_i$, $S \cap U_i = \{z \in U_i : f_i(z) = 0\}$, $f'_i(z) \neq 0$, for all $z \in \text{Reg}(S) \cap U_i$ and $\|f_i\|_{L^\infty(U_i)} \leq 1$. Set

$$M = \sup_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \sup \left\{ \left| \log \frac{|f_i(z)|}{|f_j(z)|} \right| : z \in V_i \cap V_j \right\}.$$

We choose $h_i \in C_0^\infty(X)$ with $0 \leq h_i \leq 1$, $h_i|_{W_i} = 1$ and $\text{supp } h_i \subset\subset V_i$. We can find $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\epsilon_0 dd^c h_i + \omega \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Set

$$\varphi_S(z) = \frac{\epsilon_0}{M} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{ \log |f_i(z)| + M h_i(z) : z \in V_i \} - \epsilon_0.$$

We can check that

$$\varphi_S(z) = \frac{\epsilon_0}{M} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{ \log |f_i(z)| + M h_i(z) : z \in \text{supp } h_i \} - \epsilon_0.$$

Hence $\varphi_S \in \text{PSH}^-(X, \omega) \cap C(X \setminus S)$. It is also easy to show that $\varphi_S \in \mathcal{D}(S, a)$ for $a = \epsilon_0/M > 0$. ■

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let S be a smooth hypersurface in a compact Kähler manifold X . Then $a\omega_u^{n-1}|_S \leq \omega_u^{n-1} \wedge \omega_\varphi$ for all $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ and all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(S, a)$.*

Proof. Take $z \in S$. We can find a neighbourhood U of z , a holomorphic function f on U and a bounded psh function θ on U such that $S \cap U = \{w \in U : f(w) = 0\}$, $f'(w) \neq 0$ for all $w \in U$, $\varphi - a \log |f| \in L^\infty(U \setminus S)$ and $\omega = dd^c \theta$. Since $\varphi + \theta - a \log |f| \in \text{PSH}(U \setminus S) \cap L^\infty(U \setminus S)$ we can extend it to a psh function h on U . By Corollary 4.2 in [BT3], we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_u^{n-1} \wedge \omega_\varphi &= [dd^c(u + \theta)]^{n-1} \wedge dd^c(\varphi + \theta) \\ &= [dd^c(u + \theta)]^{n-1} \wedge dd^c(a \log |f| + h) \\ &\geq a[dd^c(u + \theta)]^{n-1} \wedge dd^c \log |f| = a[dd^c(u + \theta)]^{n-1}|_{S \cap U} \\ &= a\omega_u^{n-1}|_{S \cap U}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. For each hypersurface S in a compact Kähler manifold X we set

$$m(S, X, \omega) = \sup\{a > 0 : \mathcal{D}(S, a) \neq \emptyset\}$$

By Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 we obtain

$$0 < m(S, X, \omega) \leq \frac{\int_X \omega^n}{\int_S \omega_S^{n-1}}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let $u_j^1, \dots, u_j^n, v_j^1, \dots, v_j^n, u_0 \in \mathcal{F}(X, \omega)$ be such that $u_j^k, v_j^k \geq u_0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $j \geq 1$ and $u_j^k - v_j^k \rightarrow 0$ in C_X as $j \rightarrow \infty$, for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X [f \omega_{u_j^1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_j^n} - f \omega_{v_j^1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{v_j^n}] = 0, \quad \forall f \in C(X).$$

Proof. We only prove the equality in the case $f \in C^\infty(X)$. We choose $A > 0$ such that $A\omega + dd^c f \geq 0$ and $A\omega - dd^c f \geq 0$. By decomposing $\omega_{u_j^1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_j^n} - \omega_{v_j^1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{v_j^n}$ as a sum of n terms, we can assume that $u_j^k = v_j^k$ for all $2 \leq k \leq n$. To simplify the notation we set

$$T_j = \omega_{u_j^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{u_j^n}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_X f[\omega_{u_j^1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{u_j^n} - \omega_{v_j^1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{v_j^n}] \right| &= \left| \int_X f dd^c(u_j^1 - v_j^1) \wedge T_j \right| \\
 &= \left| \int_X (u_j^1 - v_j^1) dd^c f \wedge T_j \right| \\
 &\leq 3A \int_X |u_j^1 - v_j^1| \omega \wedge T_j \\
 &\leq 3A \left[\epsilon + \int_{\{|u_j^1 - v_j^1| > \epsilon\}} -u_0 \omega \wedge T_j \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$. From $C_X(\{|u_j^1 - v_j^1| > \epsilon\}) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and from Corollary 4 in [Xi2], we get

$$\overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_X f[\omega_{u_j^1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{u_j^n} - \omega_{v_j^1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{v_j^n}] \right| \leq 3A\epsilon$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$. Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f[\omega_{u_j^1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{u_j^n} - \omega_{v_j^1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{v_j^n}] = 0. \blacksquare$$

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n and $\psi \in \text{PSH}^-(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, $\phi \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$. Then $\text{PSH}(\Omega) \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(dd^c\psi \wedge (dd^c\phi)^{n-1})$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $-1 \leq \psi \leq 0$ on Ω and $-1 \leq \phi \leq 0$ on $\Omega \setminus \Omega''$ for some $\Omega'' \subset \subset \Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$. Set $\tilde{\phi} = \max(\phi, -1)$. Take $\varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(\Omega)$ and $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with $0 \leq f \leq 1$, $f|_{\Omega'} = 1$. We choose $A > 0$ such that $A dd^c|z|^2 + dd^c f \geq 0$. Since $\text{supp } df \subset \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega''}$ and $\phi = \tilde{\phi}$ on $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega''}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_\Omega -f\varphi dd^c\psi \wedge (dd^c\phi)^{n-1} &= \int_\Omega -\psi dd^c(f\varphi) \wedge (dd^c\phi)^{n-1} \\
 &= \int_\Omega -\psi f dd^c\varphi \wedge (dd^c\phi)^{n-1} + 2 \int_\Omega -\psi df \wedge d^c\varphi \wedge (dd^c\phi)^{n-1} \\
 &\quad + \int_\Omega -\psi\varphi dd^c f \wedge (dd^c\phi)^{n-1} \\
 &\leq \int_\Omega f dd^c\varphi \wedge (dd^c\phi)^{n-1} + 2 \int_\Omega -\psi df \wedge d^c\varphi \wedge (dd^c\tilde{\phi})^{n-1} \\
 &\quad + \int_\Omega -\psi\varphi dd^c f \wedge (dd^c\tilde{\phi})^{n-1} \\
 &= \int_\Omega \varphi dd^c f \wedge (dd^c\phi)^{n-1} + 2 \int_\Omega -\psi df \wedge d^c\varphi \wedge (dd^c\tilde{\phi})^{n-1} \\
 &\quad + \int_\Omega -\psi\varphi dd^c f \wedge (dd^c\tilde{\phi})^{n-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\Omega} \varphi dd^c f \wedge (dd^c \tilde{\phi})^{n-1} + 2 \int_{\Omega} -\psi df \wedge d^c \varphi \wedge (dd^c \tilde{\phi})^{n-1} \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} -\psi \varphi dd^c f \wedge (dd^c \tilde{\phi})^{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} -f \varphi dd^c \psi \wedge (dd^c \tilde{\phi})^{n-1} \\
&= \int_{\Omega} -\psi dd^c (f \varphi) \wedge (dd^c \tilde{\phi})^{n-1} \\
&= \int_{\Omega} -\psi f dd^c \varphi \wedge (dd^c \tilde{\phi})^{n-1} + 2 \int_{\Omega} -\psi df \wedge d^c \varphi \wedge (dd^c \tilde{\phi})^{n-1} \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} -\psi \varphi dd^c f \wedge (dd^c \tilde{\phi})^{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} -f \varphi dd^c \psi \wedge (dd^c \tilde{\phi})^{n-1} \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi dd^c f \wedge (dd^c \tilde{\phi})^{n-1} + \int_{\Omega} \psi f dd^c \varphi \wedge (dd^c \tilde{\phi})^{n-1} \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} -f \varphi dd^c \psi \wedge (dd^c \tilde{\phi})^{n-1} \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi dd^c f \wedge (dd^c \tilde{\phi})^{n-1} + \int_{\Omega} -f \varphi dd^c \psi \wedge (dd^c \tilde{\phi})^{n-1} \\
&\leq A \int_{\text{supp } f} -\varphi dd^c |z|^2 \wedge (dd^c \tilde{\phi})^{n-1} + \int_{\text{supp } f} -\varphi dd^c \psi \wedge (dd^c \tilde{\phi})^{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1 in [BT3] (also see Proposition 1.11 in [De2]) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} -f \varphi dd^c \psi \wedge (dd^c \tilde{\phi})^{n-1} \\
&\leq C_{K, \text{supp } f} (A \sup\{|z|^2 : z \in K\} + 1) \int_K -\varphi dV_{2n} < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

where $\text{supp } f \subset\subset K \subset\subset \Omega$, $C_{K, \text{supp } f} > 0$ is a constant and dV_{2n} is the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{C}^n . ■

3.1. Proof of Theorem A. Set $u^j = \max(u, -j)$ and $\varphi^j = \max(\varphi, -j)$. By Proposition 3.1 we choose $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(S, a)$ for some $a > 0$. By Propositions 2.1 and 3.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 a \int_S -u^j |S\omega_{u^j}^{n-1}|_S &\leq \int_X -u^j \omega_{u^j}^{n-1} \wedge \omega_\varphi \\
 &= \int_X -u^j \omega_{u^j}^{n-1} \wedge \omega + \int_X -\varphi \omega_{u^j}^{n-1} \wedge dd^c u^j \\
 &\leq \int_X -u^j \omega_{u^j}^{n-1} \wedge \omega + \int_X -\varphi \omega_{u^j}^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lebesgue's convergence theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_X -\varphi \omega_{u^j}^n &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_X -\varphi^m \omega_{u^j}^n \\
 &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_X -\varphi^m \omega_u^n + \int_X -\varphi^m dd^c(u^j - u) \wedge \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega_{u^j}^k \wedge \omega_u^{n-k-1} \right] \\
 &= \int_X -\varphi \omega_u^n + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_X (u - u^j) dd^c \varphi^m \wedge \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega_{u^j}^k \wedge \omega_u^{n-k-1} \\
 &= \int_X -\varphi \omega_u^n + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_X (u - u^j) \omega_{\varphi^m} \wedge \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega_{u^j}^k \wedge \omega_u^{n-k-1} \\
 &\quad + \int_X (u^j - u) \omega \wedge \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega_{u^j}^k \wedge \omega_u^{n-k-1} \\
 &\leq \int_X -\varphi \omega_u^n + \int_X -u\omega \wedge \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega_{u^j}^k \wedge \omega_u^{n-k-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$a \int_S -u^j |S\omega_{u^j}^{n-1}|_S \leq \int_X -u^j \omega_{u^j}^{n-1} \wedge \omega + \int_X -u\omega \wedge \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega_{u^j}^k \wedge \omega_u^{n-k-1} + \int_X -\varphi \omega_u^n.$$

To end the proof, we need to prove that the right hand side of the last inequality is finite. This is equivalent to

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} \left[\int_X -u^j \omega_{u^j}^{n-1} \wedge \omega + \int_X -u\omega \wedge \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega_{u^j}^k \wedge \omega_u^{n-k-1} \right] < \infty.$$

Indeed, by Corollary 4 in [Xi2] we get $-u\omega \wedge \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega_{u^j}^k \wedge \omega_u^{n-k-1} \ll C_X$ uniformly for all $j \geq 1$. So we can find $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\int_E -u^j \omega_{u^j}^{n-1} \wedge \omega + \int_E -u\omega \wedge \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega_{u^j}^k \wedge \omega_u^{n-k-1} < 1$$

for all Borel sets $E \subset X$ with $C_X(E) < \delta$ and for all $j \geq 1$. For each $z \in X$ we choose a neighbourhood U_z such that $C_X(U_z) < \delta$. From the compactness of X we find a finite cover $\{U_t\}_{t=1}^m$ of X with $C_X(U_t) < \delta$ for all $t = 1, \dots, m$. We have

$$\int_X -u^j \omega_{u_j}^{n-1} \wedge \omega + \int_X -u\omega \wedge \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega_{u_j}^k \wedge \omega_u^{n-k-1} < m$$

for all $j \geq 1$. So we have

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} \int_S -u^j|_S \omega_{u_j}^{n-1}|_S < \infty.$$

Hence $u|_S \in \mathcal{E}_1(S, \omega_S)$. ■

3.2. Proof of Theorem B. By Theorem A and by Proposition 2.10 in [DH] we have $u_j|_S, v_j|_S, u_0|_S \in \mathcal{E}_1(S, \omega_S)$ for all $j \geq 1$, and $\omega_{u_j}^{n-1}|_S + \omega_{v_j}^{n-1}|_S \ll C_S$ uniformly for $j \geq 1$. We prove that

$$(1) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{u_j|_S < v_j|_S - \delta\}} \omega_{u_j}^{n-1}|_S = 0$$

for all $\delta > 0$. Indeed, by Proposition 3.1 we pick $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(S, a)$ for some $a > 0$. Set

$$w_j = \max(u_j, v_j), \quad j \geq 1.$$

By the proof of Theorem A we get

$$(2) \quad \int_{\{u_j|_S < v_j|_S - \delta\}} \omega_{u_j}^{n-1}|_S \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_S (w_j|_S - u_j|_S) \omega_{u_j}^{n-1}|_S \\ \leq \frac{1}{a\delta} \left[\int_X (w_j - u_j) \omega_{u_j}^{n-1} \wedge \omega + \int_X -\varphi \omega_{u_j}^{n-1} \wedge (\omega_{u_j} - \omega_{w_j}) \right].$$

Since $w_j - u_j \rightarrow 0$ in C_X as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and $-u_0 \omega_{u_j}^{n-1} \wedge \omega \ll C_X$ uniformly for $j \geq 1$ (Corollary 4 in [Xi2]), we get

$$(3) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X (w_j - u_j) \omega_{u_j}^{n-1} \wedge \omega = 0.$$

Next we show that

$$(4) \quad \overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X -\varphi \omega_{u_j}^{n-1} \wedge (\omega_{u_j} - \omega_{w_j}) \leq 0.$$

Indeed, setting $\varphi^t = \max(\varphi, -t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X -\varphi \omega_{u_j}^{n-1} \wedge (\omega_{u_j} - \omega_{w_j}) \\ &= \int_X (\varphi^t - \varphi) \omega_{u_j}^{n-1} \wedge (\omega_{u_j} - \omega_{w_j}) + \int_X -\varphi^t \omega_{u_j}^{n-1} \wedge (\omega_{u_j} - \omega_{w_j}) \\ &\leq \int_X (\varphi^t - \varphi) \omega_{u_j}^n + \int_X -\varphi^t \omega_{u_j}^{n-1} \wedge (\omega_{u_j} - \omega_{w_j}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t > 0$. Moreover, by Proposition 3.3 we get

$$\overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X -\varphi \omega_{u_j}^{n-1} \wedge (\omega_{u_j} - \omega_{w_j}) \leq \overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X (\varphi^t - \varphi) \omega_{u_j}^n$$

for all $t > 0$. This implies that to prove (4) we only need to show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X (\varphi^t - \varphi) \omega_{u_j}^n = 0.$$

Case of hypothesis (i): We can find finite open covers $V_i \subset\subset U_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, of X and $\psi_i \in \text{PSH}^-(U_i) \cap L^\infty(U_i)$, $\phi_i \in \mathcal{B}(U_i)$ such that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m V_i$ and $\omega_{u_j}^n + \omega_{v_j}^n \leq dd^c \psi_i \wedge (dd^c \phi_i)^{n-1}$ on U_i , for all $j \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$. By Proposition 3.4 and Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X (\varphi^t - \varphi) \omega_{u_j}^n &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{V_i} (\varphi^t - \varphi) \omega_{u_j}^n \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{V_i} (\varphi^t - \varphi) dd^c \psi_i \wedge (dd^c \phi_i)^{n-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case of hypothesis (ii): We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X (\varphi^t - \varphi) \omega_{u_j}^n &= \int_t^\infty \int_{\{\varphi < -r\}} \omega_{u_j}^n dr \\ &\leq \int_t^\infty F(C_X(\{\varphi < -r\})) dr \leq \int_t^{+\infty} F\left(\frac{\sup_X |\varphi| + c}{r}\right) dr, \end{aligned}$$

where c is a positive constant. Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X (\varphi^t - \varphi) \omega_{u_j}^n = 0.$$

From (2)–(4) we obtain (1). Similarly we get

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{v_j|_S < u_j|_S - \delta\}} \omega_{v_j}^{n-1}|_S = 0,$$

for all $\delta > 0$. Using Theorem 3.2 in [DH] we obtain $u_j|_S - v_j|_S \rightarrow 0$ in C_S as $j \rightarrow \infty$. ■

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Pham Hoang Hiep for helpful discussions during the preparation of this work. They are also indebted to the referee for his useful comments that helped to improve the paper.

References

- [BT1] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, *The Dirichlet problem for the complex Monge–Ampère operator*, Invent. Math. 37 (1976), 1–44.
- [BT2] —, —, *A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions*, Acta Math. 149 (1982), 1–40.
- [BT3] —, —, *Plurisubharmonic functions with logarithmic singularities*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 38 (1988), 133–171.
- [BK] Z. Błocki and S. Kolodziej, *On regularization of plurisubharmonic functions on manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007), 2089–2093.
- [Ce1] U. Cegrell, *Pluricomplex energy*, Acta Math. 180 (1998), 187–217.
- [Ce2] —, *The general definition of the complex Monge–Ampère operator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 54 (2004), 159–179.
- [De1] J.-P. Demailly, *Regularization of closed positive currents and intersection theory*, J. Algebraic Geom. 1 (1992), 361–409.
- [De2] —, *Monge–Ampère operators, Lelong numbers and intersection theory*, in: Complex Analysis and Geometry, Univ. Ser. Math., Plenum, New York, 1993, 115–193.
- [DH] S. Dinew and P. H. Hiep, *Convergence in capacity on compact Kähler manifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci., to appear.
- [GZ1] V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi, *Intrinsic capacities on compact Kähler manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. 15 (2005), 607–639.
- [GZ2] —, —, *The weighted Monge–Ampère energy of quasisubharmonic functions*, J. Funct. Anal. 250 (2007), 442–482.
- [HKH] L. M. Hai, N. V. Khue and P. H. Hiep, *ω -pluripolar sets and subextension of ω -plurisubharmonic functions on compact Kähler manifolds*, Ann. Polon. Math. 91 (2007), 25–41.
- [H1] P. H. Hiep, *On the convergence in capacity on compact Kähler manifolds and its applications*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), 2007–2018.
- [H2] —, *Convergence in capacity*, Ann. Polon. Math. 93 (2008), 91–99.
- [H3] —, *Convergence in capacity and applications*, Math. Scand. 107 (2010), 90–102.
- [Hö] L. Hörmander, *Notion of Convexity*, Progr. Math. 127, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994.
- [KH] N. K. Khue and P. H. Hiep, *A comparison principle for the complex Monge–Ampère operator in Cegrell’s classes and applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 361 (2009), 5539–5554.
- [Kl] M. Klimek, *Pluripotential Theory*, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1991.
- [Ko1] S. Kolodziej, *The Monge–Ampère equation*, Acta Math. 180 (1998), 69–117.
- [Ko2] —, *The Monge–Ampère equation on compact Kähler manifolds*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 52 (2003), 667–686.
- [Ko3] —, *The complex Monge–Ampère equation and pluripotential theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 178 (2005).
- [Si1] J. Siciak, *On some extremal functions and their applications in the theory of analytic functions of several complex variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 105 (1962), 322–357.

- [Si2] J. Siciak, *Extremal plurisubharmonic functions and capacities in \mathbb{C}^n* , Sophia Univ., Tokyo, 1982.
- [Xi1] Y. Xing, *Continuity of the complex Monge–Ampère operator*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), 457–467.
- [Xi2] —, *The general definition of the complex Monge–Ampère operator on compact Kähler manifolds*, Canad. J. Math. 62 (2010), 218–239.

Vu Viet Hung
Department of Mathematics,
Physics and Informatics
Tay Bac University
Son La, Viet Nam
E-mail: viethungtbu@gmail.com

Hoang Nhat Quy
Faculty of Fundamentals
Vietnam-Korea Friendship IT College
Da Nang, Viet Nam
E-mail: hoangquyrua@yahoo.com

*Received 25.1.2011
and in final form 6.6.2011*

(2370)

