

Existence of positive solutions for a fourth-order differential system

by RAVI P. AGARWAL (Kingsville, TX), B. KOVACS (Miskolc)
and D. O'REGAN (Galway)

Abstract. This paper investigates the existence of positive solutions for a fourth-order differential system using a fixed point theorem of cone expansion and compression type.

1. Introduction. It is well known that the bending of an elastic beam can be described by using fourth-order boundary value problems. An elastic beam with its two ends simply supported can be described by the fourth-order boundary value problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u^{(4)}(t) &= f(t, u(t), u''(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) &= u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Existence of solutions for problem (1.1) was established for example by Gupta [G], Liu [L], Ma [M], Ma et al. [MZF], Ma and Wang [MW], Aftabizadeh [A], Yang [Y] and del Pino and Manásevich [DM] (see also the references therein). All of those results are based on the Leray–Schauder continuation method, topological degree and the method of lower and upper solutions.

Recently, Wang and An [WA] studied the existence of positive solutions for the second-order boundary value problem

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} -u'' + \lambda u &= u\varphi + f(t, u), & 0 < t < 1, \\ -\varphi'' &= \mu u, & 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) &= u(1) = 0, \\ \varphi(0) &= \varphi(1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 34Bxx; Secondary 34Lxx.
Key words and phrases: positive solution, boundary value problem, existence.

where $\lambda > -\pi^2$, μ is a positive parameter, and $f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous.

In this paper we shall discuss the existence of positive solutions for the fourth-order boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.3) \quad & u^{(4)} = \varphi u + f(t, u, u''), \quad 0 < t < 1, \\
 & -\varphi'' = \mu u, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\
 & u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0, \\
 & \varphi(0) = \varphi(1) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where μ is a positive parameter and $f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous. In fact, as we will see below, in Sections 2 and 3 one could consider $f(t, u, v) = g(t)h(t, u, v)$ with $h : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ continuous and $g \in (C(0, 1), \mathbb{R}^+)$ provided

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau)K(\tau, s)g(s) ds d\tau < \infty;$$

here K is as defined in Section 2.

2. Preliminaries. Let $Y = C[0, 1]$ and $Y_+ = \{u \in Y : u(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, 1]\}$. It is well known that Y is a Banach space equipped with the norm $\|u\|_0 = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |u(t)|$. We denote the norm $\|u\|_2$ by

$$\|u\|_2 = \max\{\|u\|_0, \|u''\|_0\}.$$

It is easy to show that $C^2[0, 1]$ is complete with the norm $\|u\|_2$, and $\|u\|_2 \leq \|u\|_0 + \|u''\|_0 \leq 2\|u\|_2$.

Suppose that $K(t, s)$ is the Green function associated with

$$(2.1) \quad -u'' = f(t), \quad u(0) = u(1) = 0,$$

which is explicitly expressed by

$$K(t, s) = \begin{cases} t(1-s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\ s(1-t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

We need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. $K(t, s)$ has the following properties:

- (i) $K(t, s) > 0$ for all $t, s \in (0, 1)$;
- (ii) $K(t, s) \leq K(s, s)$ for all $t, s \in [0, 1]$;
- (iii) $K(t, s) \geq K(t, t)K(s, s)$ for all $t, s \in [0, 1]$;
- (iv) $|K(t_1, s) - K(t_2, s)| \leq |t_1 - t_2|$ for all $t_1, t_2, s \in [0, 1]$.

LEMMA 2.2 ([GL]). Let E be a real Banach space and let $P \subset E$ be a cone in E . Assume Ω_1, Ω_2 are open subsets of E with $\theta \in \Omega_1, \overline{\Omega_1} \subset \Omega_2$,

and let $Q : P \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow P$ be a completely continuous operator such that either

- (i) $\|Qu\| \leq \|u\|$, $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $\|Qu\| \geq \|u\|$, $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$; or
- (ii) $\|Qu\| \geq \|u\|$, $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $\|Qu\| \leq \|u\|$, $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$.

Then Q has a fixed point in $P \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$.

The boundary value problem

$$-\varphi'' = \mu u, \quad \varphi(0) = \varphi(1) = 0,$$

can be solved by using the Green function, namely,

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi(t) = \mu \int_0^1 K(t, s)u(s) ds, \quad 0 < t < 1.$$

Inserting (2.2) into the first equation of (1.3), we have

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} u^{(4)} &= \mu u(t) \int_0^1 K(t, s)u(s) ds + f(t, u, u''), \\ u(0) &= u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the existence of a positive solution of (2.3). A function $u \in C^4(0, 1) \cap C^2[0, 1]$ satisfying (2.3) is a *positive solution* of (2.3) if $u(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, and $u \neq 0$.

Then the solution of (2.3) can be expressed as

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} u(t) &= \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau)K(\tau, s)u(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v)u(v) dv ds d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau)K(\tau, s)f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds d\tau \end{aligned}$$

and the second-order derivative u'' can be expressed by

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} u''(t) &= -\mu \int_0^1 K(t, s)u(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v)u(v) dv ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 K(t, s)f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$P = \{u \in C^2[0, 1] : u(0) = u(1) = 0, u \geq 0, u'' \leq 0 \text{ on } [0, 1], \\ u(t) \geq \sigma \|u\|_0 \text{ and } -u''(t) \geq \sigma \|u''\|_0 \text{ for } t \in [1/4, 3/4]\},$$

where $\sigma = \min_{t \in [1/4, 3/4]} K(t, t) = 3/16$.

Note that P is a cone in $C^2[0, 1]$. For $R > 0$, write $B_R = \{u \in C^2[0, 1] : \|u\|_2 < R\}$.

We now define a mapping $T : P \rightarrow C^2[0, 1]$ by

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} Tw(t) = & \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) u(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v) u(v) dv ds d\tau \\ & + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $w \in P$. Then the following relations hold:*

- (a) $(Tw)(t) \geq K(t, t)\|Tw\|_0$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, and
- (b) $-(Tw)''(t) \geq K(t, t)\|Tw''\|_0$ for $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. For simplicity we denote

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) w(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v) w(v) dv ds d\tau \\ & \quad + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) h(s) ds d\tau, \\ J &= \mu \int_0^1 K(s, s) w(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v) w(v) dv ds + \int_0^1 K(s, s) h(s) ds, \\ h(s) &= f(s, w(s), w''(s)). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.1 it is easy to see that

$$(2.7) \quad K(t, t)I \leq Tw(t) \leq I, \quad K(t, t)J \leq -(Tw)''(t) \leq J, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Using (2.7), we have $\|Tw\|_0 \leq I$ and $\|-(Tw)''\|_0 \leq J$, hence

$$(Tw)(t) \geq K(t, t)\|Tw\|_0, \quad -(Tw)''(t) \geq K(t, t)\|Tw''\|_0, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \blacksquare$$

Throughout this paper, we assume additionally that the function f satisfies

$$(H1) \quad f(t, u, v) \leq f_1(t)f_2(|u| + |v|), \quad t \in (0, 1), u \in \mathbb{R}^+, v \in \mathbb{R}^-,$$

where $f_1 \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$, $f_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$.

Let us introduce the following constants:

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) ds d\tau, & D_2 &= \int_0^1 K(s, s) f_1(s) ds, \\ D_3 &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) K(s, v) dv ds d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

$$D_4 = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) f_1(s) \, ds \, d\tau, \quad D_5 = \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} K(1/2, \tau) K(\tau, s) \, ds \, d\tau.$$

LEMMA 2.4. *Let (H1) hold. Then for all $u \in C^2[0, 1]$ such that $u(0) = u(1) = 0$, $u \geq 0$, and $u'' \leq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(t) &\leq \mu D_1 \|u\|_0^2 + D_2 \sup_{s \in (0,1)} f_2(|u(s)| + |u''(s)|), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ -(Tu)''(t) &\leq \mu D_1 \|u\|_0^2 + D_2 \sup_{s \in (0,1)} f_2(|u(s)| + |u''(s)|), \quad t \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $D_3 \leq D_1$ and $D_4 \leq D_2$. By Lemma 2.1 and (H1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &\leq \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) K(s, v) \, dv \, ds \, d\tau \|u\|_0^2 \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) f_1(s) \, ds \, d\tau \sup_{s \in (0,1)} f_2(|u(s)| + |u''(s)|) \\ &\leq \mu D_1 \|u\|_0^2 + D_2 \sup_{s \in (0,1)} f_2(|u(s)| + |u''(s)|), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} -(Tu)''(t) &\leq \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) \, ds \, d\tau \|u\|_0^2 \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 K(s, s) f_1(s) \, ds \, d\tau \sup_{s \in (0,1)} f_2(|u(s)| + |u''(s)|) \\ &\leq \mu D_1 \|u\|_0^2 + D_2 \sup_{s \in (0,1)} f_2(|u(s)| + |u''(s)|). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.5. *$T(P) \subset P$ and $T : P \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous.*

Proof. Let $u \in P$. From (2.6), it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)''(t) &= -\mu \int_0^1 K(t, s) u(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v) u(v) \, dv \, ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 K(t, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) \, ds \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &\geq K(t, t) \|Tu\|_0 \geq \sigma \|Tu\|_0, \quad t \in [1/4, 3/4], \\ -(Tu)''(t) &\geq K(t, t) \|(Tu)''\|_0 \geq \sigma \|(Tu)''\|_0, \quad t \in [1/4, 3/4]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T(P) \subset P$.

Let $V \subset P$ be a bounded set. Then $\sup\{\|u\|_2 : u \in V\} =: d > 0$.

First we prove $T(V)$ is bounded. Since $\|u\|_2 = \max\{\|u\|_0, \|u''\|_0\}$, we have $|u(t)| + |u''(t)| \leq \|u\|_0 + \|u''\|_0 \leq 2d$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Let $M_d = \sup\{f_2(w) : w \in [0, 2d]\}$. From Lemma 2.4 for any $u \in V$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tu(t))| &= \left| \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) u(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v) u(v) dv ds d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \mu D_1 \|u\|_0^2 + D_2 \sup_{s \in (0,1)} f_2(|u(s)| + |u''(s)|) \leq \mu D_1 d^2 + M_d D_2. \end{aligned}$$

We have a similar inequality for $|(Tu)''(t)|$. Therefore $T(V)$ is bounded.

Next we prove that $T(V)$ is equicontinuous. From Lemma 2.4 for any $u \in V$ and any $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tu)(t_1) - (Tu)(t_2)| &\leq \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |K(t_1, \tau) - K(t_2, \tau)| K(\tau, s) u(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v) u(v) dv ds d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |K(t_1, \tau) - K(t_2, \tau)| K(\tau, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds d\tau \\ &\leq \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |K(t_1, \tau) - K(t_2, \tau)| K(\tau, s) K(s, v) dv ds d\tau \|u\|_0^2 \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |K(t_1, \tau) - K(t_2, \tau)| K(\tau, s) f_1(s) f_2(|u(s)| + |u''(s)|) ds d\tau \\ &\leq \mu |t_1 - t_2| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(s, s) K(s, v) dv ds \|u\|_0^2 \\ &\quad + M_d |t_1 - t_2| \int_0^1 K(s, s) f_1(s) ds \\ &\leq (\mu D_1 d^2 + M_d D_3) |t_1 - t_2|. \end{aligned}$$

We have a similar inequality for $|(Tu)''(t_1) - (Tu)''(t_2)|$. Therefore $T(V)$ is equicontinuous.

Next we prove that T is continuous. Suppose $u_n, u \in P$ and $\|u_n - u\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, which implies that $u_n(t) \rightarrow u(t), u_n''(t) \rightarrow u''(t)$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$ and similarly for $f(t, u, v) = g(t)h(t, u, v), h(t, u_n(t), u_n''(t)) \rightarrow h(t, u(t), u''(t))$

uniformly on $[0, 1]$. The assertion follows from the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |Tu_n(t) - Tu(t)| \\
 & \leq \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) |u_n(s) - u(s)| \int_0^1 K(s, v) |u_n(v) - u(v)| dv ds d\tau \\
 & \quad + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) |g(s)| |h(s, u_n(s), u_n''(s)) - h(t, u(s), u''(s))| ds d\tau
 \end{aligned}$$

and a similar estimate for $|(Tu_n)''(t) - (Tu)''(t)|$ by an application of a standard theorem on convergence of integrals. ■

LEMMA 2.6. *If $u(0) = u(1) = 0$ and $u \in C^2[0, 1]$, then $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$, and so $\|u\|_2 = \|u''\|_0$.*

Proof. Since $u(0) = u(1)$, there is a $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $u'(\alpha) = 0$, and so $u'(t) = \int_\alpha^t u''(s) ds$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Hence $|u'(t)| \leq \int_\alpha^t |u''(s)| ds \leq \int_0^1 |u''(s)| ds \leq \|u''\|_0$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Thus $\|u'\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$. Since $u(0) = 0$, we have $u(t) = \int_0^t u'(s) ds$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, and so $|u(t)| \leq \int_0^1 |u'(s)| ds \leq \|u'\|_0$. Thus $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u'\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$. Since $\|u\|_2 = \max\{\|u\|_0, \|u''\|_0\}$ and $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$, we conclude that $\|u\|_2 = \|u''\|_0$. ■

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let $r > 0$ and $u \in \partial B_r \cap P$. Then $\|u\|_2 = \|u''\|_0 = r$.*

3. Main results

THEOREM 3.1. *Let (H1) hold. Assume that*

$$\text{(H2)} \quad \limsup_{w \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f_2(w)}{w} = 0, \quad \liminf_{|v| \rightarrow \infty} \min_{t \in [1/4, 3/4]} \inf_{u \in [0, \infty)} \frac{f(t, u, v)}{|v|} = \infty.$$

If $\mu \in (0, 1/(4D_1))$, then problem (1.3) has at least one positive solution.

Proof. Let us choose $0 < c_1 \leq 1/(8D_2)$. Then from (H2), there exists $0 < r < 1/2$ such that

$$f_2(|u| + |v|) \leq c_1(|u| + |v|), \quad 0 \leq |u| + |v| \leq 2r.$$

Let $u \in \partial B_r \cap P$. Then by Corollary 2.7, $\|u\|_2 = \|u''\|_0 = r$ and $u(0) = u(1) = 0$. Also since $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$ we have $u(t) \leq \|u\|_0 \leq r$ and $|u''(t)| \leq \|u''\|_0 = r$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Thus $0 \leq |u(t)| + |u''(t)| \leq 2r$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Hence, by Lemma 2.4, (H1) and (H2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Tu)(t) & \leq \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) K(s, v) dv ds d\tau \|u\|_0^2 \\
 & \quad + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) f_1(s) f_2(|u| + |u''|) ds d\tau
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \mu D_3 \|u\|_0^2 + c_1 D_4 (\|u\|_0 + \|u''\|_0) \\
 &\leq \mu D_1 \|u\|_0^2 + c_1 D_2 (\|u\|_0 + \|u''\|_0) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2 \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P, t \in [0, 1].
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$(3.1) \quad \|Tu\|_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P.$$

Similarly we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Tu)''(t) &= -\mu \int_0^1 K(t, s)u(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v)u(v) dv ds d\tau \\
 &\quad - \int_0^1 K(t, s)f(t, u(s), u''(s)) ds d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(Tu)''(t)| &\leq \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(s, s)K(s, \tau) ds d\tau \|u\|_0^2 + \int_0^1 K(s, s)f_1(s)f_2(|u| + |u''|) ds \\
 &\leq \mu D_1 \|u\|_0^2 + c_1 D_2 (\|u\|_0 + \|u''\|_0) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P, t \in [0, 1].
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$(3.2) \quad \|(Tu)''\|_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P.$$

Using (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$(3.3) \quad \|Tu\|_2 \leq \|Tu\|_0 + \|(Tu)''\|_0 \leq \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P.$$

Let us choose $c_2 \geq 1/(\sigma D_5)$. Then from condition (H2), there exists $R_1 > 0$ such that

$$f(t, u, v) \geq c_2 |v|, \quad \forall u \in R^+, \forall |v| \geq R_1, t \in [1/4, 3/4].$$

Let $R > \max\{R_1/\sigma, r\}$. Let $u \in \partial B_R \cap P$. Then $\|u''\|_0 = R$. Thus we have

$$\min_{t \in [1/4, 3/4]} |u''| \geq \sigma \|u''\|_0 = \sigma R > R_1, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R \cap P.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1, (H1) and (H2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Tu)(1/2) &\geq \mu \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} K(1/2, \tau)K(\tau, s)u(s)K(s, v)u(v) dv ds d\tau \\
 &\quad + \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} K(1/2, \tau)K(\tau, s)f(t, u(s), u''(s)) ds d\tau
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq c_2 \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} K(1/2, \tau)K(\tau, s)|u''(s)| ds d\tau \\ &\geq c_2\sigma \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} K(1/2, \tau)K(\tau, s) ds d\tau \|u''\|_0 \geq \|u''\|_0, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(Tu)(1/2) \geq \|u''\|_0 = \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R \cap P.$$

Consequently,

$$\|u\|_2 \leq \|Tu\|_0 \leq \|Tu\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R \cap P.$$

Finally, from Lemma 2.2, by (3.3) and the above inequality we see that the problem (1.3) has a positive solution. ■

THEOREM 3.2. *Let (H1) hold. Assume that*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(H3)} \quad &\liminf_{|u|+|v| \rightarrow 0^+} \min_{t \in [1/4, 3/4]} \frac{f(t, u, v)}{|u| + |v|} = \infty, \\ &\liminf_{|v| \rightarrow \infty} \min_{t \in [1/4, 3/4]} \inf_{u \in [0, \infty)} \frac{f(t, u, v)}{|v|} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(H4) *there exists $0 < \varrho < 1/2$ such that*

$$\text{(3.4)} \quad \sup_{w \in [0, 1]} f_2(w) \leq \frac{\varrho}{4D_2}.$$

If $\mu \in (0, 1/(4D_1))$, then problem (1.3) has at least two positive solutions.

We note for the argument below that $D_4 \leq D_2$.

Proof. By condition (H4) there exists $0 < \varrho < 1/2$ such that (3.4) is fulfilled. Let $u \in \partial B_\varrho \cap P$. Then from Corollary 2.7, $\|u''\|_0 = \varrho$ and $u(0) = u(1) = 0$. Also since $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$ we have $u(t) \leq \|u\|_0 \leq \varrho$ and $|u''(t)| \leq \|u''\|_0 = \varrho$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Thus $0 \leq |u(t)| + |u''(t)| < 1$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. By condition (H4), for all $u \in \partial B_\varrho \cap P$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(t) &\leq \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau)K(\tau, s)K(s, v) dv ds d\tau \|u\|_0^2 \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau)K(\tau, s)f_1(s)f_2(|u| + |u''|) ds d\tau \\ &\leq \mu D_3 \|u\|_0^2 + \frac{\varrho}{4D_2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau)K(\tau, s)f_1(s) ds d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \mu D_1 \|u\|_0^2 + \frac{\rho}{4D_4} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) f_1(s) ds d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} \rho = \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|u''\|_0 = \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_\rho \cap P, t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get

$$(3.5) \quad \|Tu\|_0 \leq \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_\rho \cap P.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)''(t) &= -\mu \int_0^1 K(t, s) u(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v) u(v) dv ds d\tau \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 K(t, s) f(t, u(s), u''(s)) ds d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tu)''(t)| &\leq \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(s, s) K(s, \tau) ds d\tau \|u\|_0^2 + \int_0^1 K(s, s) f_1(s) f_2(|u| + |u''|) ds \\ &\leq \mu D_1 \|u\|_0^2 + \frac{\rho}{4D_2} \int_0^1 K(s, s) f_1(s) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} \rho = \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_\rho \cap P, t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$(3.6) \quad \|(Tu)''\|_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_\rho \cap P.$$

Using (3.5) and (3.6) we have

$$(3.7) \quad \|Tu\|_2 \leq \|Tu\|_0 + \|(Tu)''\|_0 \leq \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_\rho \cap P.$$

Let us choose $c_3 \geq 1/(\sigma D_5)$. Then from condition (H3), there exists $0 < r < \rho$ such that

$$f(t, u, v) \geq c_3(|u| + |v|), \quad \forall u \in [0, r], \forall |v| \in [0, r], t \in [1/4, 3/4].$$

Let $u \in \partial B_r \cap P$. By Corollary 2.7, $\|u''\|_0 = r$ and $u(0) = u(1) = 0$. Also since $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$ we have

$$0 \leq u(t) \leq \|u\|_0 \leq r, \quad 0 \leq |u''(t)| \leq \|u''\|_0 = \|u\|_2 = r, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P.$$

Moreover,

$$\min_{t \in [1/4, 3/4]} |u''| \geq \sigma \|u''\|_0 = \sigma r, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P.$$

The estimate for $(Tu)(1/2)$ is similar to that in the proof of Theorem 3.1: from Lemma 2.1 and (H1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(1/2) &\geq c_3 \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} K(1/2, \tau) K(\tau, s) (|u(s)| + |u''(s)|) ds d\tau \\ &\geq c_3 \sigma \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} K(1/2, \tau) K(\tau, s) ds d\tau \|u''\|_0 \geq \|u''\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(Tu)(1/2) \geq \|u''\|_0 = \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P.$$

Consequently,

$$\|u\|_2 \leq \|Tu\|_0 \leq \|Tu\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P.$$

Finally, we show that for sufficiently large $R > 1/2$, we have

$$\|Tu\|_2 \geq \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R \cap P.$$

To see this we choose $c_2 \geq 1/(\sigma D_5)$. Then from condition (H4), there exist $R_1 > 0$ such that

$$f(t, u, v) \geq c_2 |v|, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall |v| \geq R_1, t \in [1/4, 3/4].$$

Let $R > \max\{R_1/\sigma, 1/2\}$. Let $u \in \partial B_R \cap P$. Then from Corollary 2.7, $\|u''\|_0 = R$. Thus we have

$$\min_{t \in [1/4, 3/4]} |u''| \geq \sigma \|u''\|_0 = \sigma R > R_1, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R \cap P.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1, (H1) and (H4),

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(1/2) &\geq c_2 \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} K(1/2, \tau) K(\tau, s) |u''(s)| ds d\tau \\ &\geq c_2 \sigma \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} K(1/2, \tau) K(\tau, s) ds d\tau \|u''\|_0 \geq \|u''\|_0, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(Tu)(1/2) \geq \|u''\|_0 = \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R \cap P.$$

Consequently,

$$\|u\|_2 \leq \|Tu\|_0 \leq \|Tu\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R \cap P.$$

Then by Lemma 2.2, we know that T has at least two fixed points in $(\overline{B_R} \setminus B_\rho) \cap P$ and $(\overline{B_\rho} \setminus B_r) \cap P$, i.e. problem (1.3) has at least two positive solutions. ■

References

- [A] A. R. Aftabzadeh, *Existence and uniqueness theorems for fourth-order boundary problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 116 (1986), 415–426.
- [DM] M. A. del Pino and R. F. Manásevich, *Existence for a fourth-order boundary problem under a two-parameter nonresonance condition*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (1991), 81–86.
- [GL] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [G] C. P. Gupta, *Existence and uniqueness theorems for a bending of an elastic beam equation*, Appl. Anal. 26 (1988), 289–304.
- [L] B. Liu, *Positive solutions of fourth-order two point boundary value problems*, Appl. Math. Comput. 148 (2004), 407–420.
- [M] R. Ma, *Positive solutions of fourth-order two point boundary value problems*, Ann. Differential Equations 15 (1999), 305–313.
- [MW] R. Ma and H. Wang, *On the existence of positive solutions of fourth-order ordinary differential equations*, Appl. Anal. 59 (1995), 225–231.
- [MZF] R. Ma, J. Zhang and S. Fu, *The method of lower and upper solutions for fourth-order two point boundary value problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 215 (1997), 415–422.
- [WA] F. Wang and Y. An, *Positive solutions for a second-order differential systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 373 (2011), 370–375.
- [Y] Y. Yang, *Fourth-order two-point boundary value problems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1988), 175–180.

Ravi P. Agarwal
 Department of Mathematics
 Texas A&M University–Kingsville
 700 University Blvd.
 Kingsville, TX 78363-8202, U.S.A.
 E-mail: Ravi.Agarwal@tamuk.edu

B. Kovacs
 Department of Analysis
 3515 University of Miskolc
 Egyetemvaros, Hungary
 E-mail: matmn@uni-miskolc.hu

D. O'Regan
 School of Mathematics, Statistics and Applied Mathematics
 National University of Ireland
 Galway, Ireland
 E-mail: donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie

Received 8.4.2013
 and in final form 21.1.2014

(3080)