

Existence and nonexistence of solutions for a singular elliptic problem with a nonlinear boundary condition

by ZONGHU XIU (Nanjing and Qingdao) and CAISHENG CHEN (Nanjing)

Abstract. We consider the existence and nonexistence of solutions for the following singular quasi-linear elliptic problem with concave and convex nonlinearities:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + h(x)|u|^{p-2}u = g(x)|u|^{r-2}u, & x \in \Omega, \\ |x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu} = \lambda f(x)|u|^{q-2}u, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is an exterior domain in \mathbb{R}^N , that is, $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus D$, where D is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial D (= \partial\Omega)$, and $0 \in \Omega$. Here $\lambda > 0$, $0 \leq a < (N-p)/p$, $1 < p < N$, $\partial/\partial\nu$ is the outward normal derivative on $\partial\Omega$. By the variational method, we prove the existence of multiple solutions. By the test function method, we give a sufficient condition under which the problem has no nontrivial nonnegative solutions.

1. Introduction and main results. In this paper, we consider the existence of infinitely many solutions and the nonexistence of solutions for the quasi-linear elliptic problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + h(x)|u|^{p-2}u = g(x)|u|^{r-2}u, & x \in \Omega, \\ |x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu} = \lambda f(x)|u|^{q-2}u & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is an exterior domain in \mathbb{R}^N , that is, $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus D$, where D is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial D (= \partial\Omega)$, and $0 \in \Omega$. Here $\lambda > 0$, $0 \leq a < (N-p)/p$, $1 < p < N$, and $0 \leq a < (N-p)/p$, and $\partial/\partial\nu$ is the outward normal derivative on $\partial\Omega$. Problem (1.1) arises in many diverse contexts like differential geometry (e.g., the scalar curvature problem and the Yamabe problem) [K], non-Newtonian fluid mechanics [D], glaciology [PR], mathematical biology [AW], and elsewhere.

In recent years, multiplicity of solutions for elliptic equations with the p -Laplacian operator has been widely studied (see [CCD, AICM, WT, KM,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35B38, 35J92.

Key words and phrases: singular quasilinear elliptic problem, variational methods, test function, concave and convex nonlinearities.

AB, BR, Am, P, XCH]). When $a = 0$, Averna et al. [AB] considered the Neumann problem

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + b(x)|u|^{p-2}u = \lambda f(x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\ \partial u/\partial \nu = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

on a bounded domain Ω . By a critical points theorem, they proved that problem (1.2) has at least three solutions for each λ in a certain open interval. Pflüger [P] considered the following p -Laplacian equation with a nonlinear boundary condition:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \lambda f(x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\ a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + b(x)|u|^{p-2} = g(x, u), & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an unbounded domain, $0 < a_0 < a(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $c/(1+x)^{p-1} \leq b(x) \leq C/(1+x)^{p-1}$ for some $c, C > 0$. By the variational approach, he proved the existence of three solutions.

Many authors focus on the existence of infinitely many solutions (see [FIV, Aou, AsCM, Y]). For $a = g(x) = 0, h(x) = -1$, Bonder and Rossi [BR] considered a similar problem and obtained infinitely many solutions in the subcritical case via variational and topological arguments. For $a = g(x) = 0, h(x) = 1$, Faraci et al. [FIV] studied a more general p -Laplacian equation and proved the existence of infinitely many bounded solutions.

However, to the best of our knowledge, little seems to be known about the existence of infinitely many solutions for problem (1.1) on an unbounded domain Ω with $a \neq 0$. Motivated by [AB, P, BR, Aou, FIV], we consider the existence of infinitely many solutions of (1.1) by the variational method. We give two sufficient conditions under which the problem (1.1) has infinitely many solutions. Since $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an unbounded domain, the loss of compactness of the Sobolev embedding renders the variational technique more delicate.

For the nonexistence of solutions for elliptic equations with p -Laplacian we refer to [CG, AP, PS, YL]. In the present paper, we will also consider the nonexistence for problem (1.1). Our method is based on the test function method, introduced by Mitidieri and Pohozaev [MP2]. We give a sufficient condition for problem (1.1) to have no nontrivial nonnegative solutions.

In Sections 2 and 3, we use the following assumptions:

- (A₁) $0 < a < pN/(N-p), a \leq b < a+1, d = a+1-b, p^* = pN/(N-pd), \lambda > 0;$
- (A₂) $h(x) \geq 0, g \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap L^\mu(\Omega, \omega)$ with $\omega(x) = |x|^{br\mu}, \mu = p^*/(p^*-r), g^\pm(x) = \max\{\pm g(x), 0\} \not\equiv 0;$
- (A₃) $f \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ and $f^+(x) = \max\{f(x), 0\} \not\equiv 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$.

We now introduce some weighted spaces. When $1 < p < N$ and $-\infty < a < (N - p)/p$, we define $W^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})$ to be the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_a^{1,p}} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

The natural function space to study problem (1.1) is the completion X of the space of restrictions to Ω of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ functions with the norm

$$(1.4) \quad \|u\|_X = \left(\int_{\Omega} (|x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p + h(x)|u|^p) dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \geq 1$, let $L^r(\Omega, |x|^{-\alpha})$ be the set of Lebesgue measurable functions $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\|u\|_{r,\alpha} = \|u\|_{L^r(\Omega, |x|^{-\alpha})} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-\alpha} |u|^r dx \right)^{1/r} < \infty.$$

The following weighted Sobolev–Hardy inequality is called the *Caffarelli–Kohn–Nirenberg inequality* [CKN]. There is a constant $C_{a,b} > 0$ such that

$$(1.5) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-bp^*} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{1/p^*} \leq C_{a,b} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}$$

for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $-\infty < a < (N - p)/p$, $a \leq b < a + 1$, $d = a + 1 - b$, and $p^* = pN/(N - pd)$.

As a version of (1.5), for an exterior domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with smooth boundary, one has

$$(1.6) \quad \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-bp^*} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{1/p^*} \leq S_0 \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}$$

with some $S_0 > 0$ (see [B-U, GR]).

DEFINITION 1.1. A function $u \in X$ is said to be a *weak solution* of problem (1.1) if for any $\psi \in X$,

$$(1.7) \quad \int_{\Omega} (|x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \psi + h|u|^{p-2} u \psi) dx \\ - \int_{\Omega} g|u|^{r-2} u \psi dx - \lambda \int_{\partial\Omega} f|u|^{q-2} u \psi d\sigma = 0.$$

Our main results are listed below.

THEOREM 1.2. *Assume (A₁)–(A₃). If $p < r < q < p_* = p(N - 1)/(N - p)$, then problem (1.1) has infinitely many solutions u_k in X and*

$$J_\lambda(u_k) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

THEOREM 1.3. *Assume (A₁)–(A₃). If $q < p$ and $r < p$, then problem (1.1) has infinitely many solutions u_k in X such that $J_\lambda(u_k) < 0$ and*

$$J_\lambda(u_k) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

In Section 4, we assume that

$$(A_4) \quad h(x) \leq 0, f(x) \geq 0, g(x) \geq g_0 > 0;$$

$$(A_5) \quad \frac{Nr}{(a+1)(r+1)+N} < p < r, \lambda > 0.$$

THEOREM 1.4. *Assume (A₄)–(A₅). Then problem (1.1) has no nontrivial nonnegative solutions.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some basic definitions and lemmas. In Section 3, we consider the existence of multiple solutions for problem (1.1), and prove that (1.1) has infinitely many solutions. By the test function method, in Section 4, we prove that problem (1.1) has no nontrivial nonnegative weak solutions under appropriate conditions.

2. Preliminaries. In this section, we give some basic definitions and prove several important lemmas.

It is clear that problem (1.1) has a variational structure. Let $J_\lambda : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ be the corresponding Euler functional, defined by

$$(2.1) \quad J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_X^p - \frac{1}{r} \int_\Omega g(x)|u|^r dx - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\partial\Omega} \lambda f(x)|u|^q d\sigma.$$

We see that $J_\lambda \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R}^1)$ and for all $\psi \in X$,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle J'_\lambda(u), \psi \rangle &= \int_\Omega (|x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \psi + h(x)|u|^{p-2} u \psi) dx \\ &\quad - \int_\Omega g(x)|u|^{r-2} u \psi dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \lambda f(x)|u|^{q-2} u \psi d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, it follows from (2.2) that

$$(2.3) \quad \langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = \|u\|_X^p - \int_\Omega g(x)|u|^r dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \lambda f(x)|u|^q d\sigma,$$

where $\|u\|_X$ is defined in (1.4). It is well known that the weak solutions of problem (1.1) are precisely the critical points of $J_\lambda(u)$. Thus, to prove the existence of weak solutions for problem (1.1), it is sufficient to show that $J_\lambda(u)$ admits a sequence of critical points.

The following embedding theorem is an extension of the classical Rellich–Kondrashov compactness theorem (see [X]).

LEMMA 2.1. *Assume $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open bounded domain with C^1 boundary and $0 \in \Omega$, $N \geq 3$, $-\infty < a < pN/(N-p)$. Then the embed-*

ding $W^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega, |x|^{-\alpha})$ is continuous if $1 < r \leq Np/(N-p)$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq (1+a)r + N(1-r/p)$, and is compact if $1 \leq r < Np/(N-p)$ and $0 \leq \alpha < (1+a)r + N(1-r/p)$.

Now, we give a compact embedding theorem.

LEMMA 2.2. *Assume $1 < r < p^*$. Then the embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega, g)$ is compact.*

Proof. Let $u \in X$. By (1.6) and the Hölder inequality we have

$$(2.4) \quad \|u\|_{L^r(\Omega, g)}^r = \int_{\Omega} g|u|^r dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} |x|^{-bp^*} dx \right)^{r/p^*} \left(\int_{\Omega} \omega g^{\mu} dx \right)^{1/\mu} \\ \leq S_0^r \|u\|_X^r \|g\|_{L^{\mu}(\Omega, \omega)}$$

where $\omega(x) = |x|^{br\mu}$ and $\mu = p^*/(p^* - r)$. Inequality (2.4) implies that the embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega, g)$ is continuous. In the following we prove that the embedding is compact.

Recall that $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus D$, where D is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N . We can choose $R > 0$ so large that $D \subset B_R = B_R(0)$. Then $\Omega_R = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R = \Omega \setminus B_R \subset \Omega$. For $\mathcal{O} \subset \Omega$, we define

$$(2.5) \quad X(\mathcal{O}) = \{u|_{\mathcal{O}} : u \in X\}, \quad Y(\mathcal{O}) = \{u|_{\mathcal{O}} : u \in Y\},$$

where $Y = L^r(\Omega, g)$. We divide our proof into two steps.

(i) *The embedding $X(B_R \setminus D) \hookrightarrow Y(B_R \setminus D)$ is compact.*

Assume $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $X(B_R \setminus D)$. Letting $\alpha = 0$ in Lemma 2.1, we see that there exist $u \in Y(B_R \setminus D)$ and a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$, still denoted by $\{u_n\}$, such that $\|u_n - u\|_{L^r(B_R \setminus D)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $g \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, there exists $M > 0$ such that $|g| < M$ a.e. in Ω . Thus,

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{B_R \setminus D} g(x)|u_n - u|^r dx \leq M \int_{B_R \setminus D} |u_n - u|^r dx,$$

which implies that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $Y(B_R \setminus D) = L^r(B_R \setminus D, g)$.

(ii) *If $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in X , then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R_{\varepsilon} > 0$ large enough such that $\|u_n\|_{Y(\Omega_{R_{\varepsilon}})} < \varepsilon$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$*

We claim that

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{u \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{Y(\Omega_R)}}{\|u\|_X} = 0.$$

In fact, it follows from (2.4) that

$$(2.8) \quad \|u\|_{L^r(\Omega_R, g)}^r = \int_{\Omega_R} g|u|^r dx \leq S_0^r \|u\|_X^r \|g\|_{L^{\mu}(\Omega_R, \omega)}.$$

Since $g \in L^\mu(\Omega, \omega)$ one has

$$(2.9) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \|g\|_{L^\mu(\Omega_R, \omega)} = 0.$$

Thus, we deduce from (2.8)–(2.9) that

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{\|u\|_{Y(\Omega_R)}}{\|u\|_X} \leq S_0 \|g\|_{L^\mu(\Omega, \omega)}^{1/r} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

which implies that (2.7) holds.

Since X is a reflexive Banach space and $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in X , there exists a subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_n\}$, such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X and $\|u_n\|_X < C_0$ for some constant $C_0 > 0$. Thus, we deduce from (2.7) that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $R_\varepsilon > 0$ large enough such that

$$(2.11) \quad \|u_n\|_{Y(\Omega_{R_\varepsilon})} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since the embedding $X(B_{R_\varepsilon} \setminus D) \hookrightarrow Y(B_{R_\varepsilon} \setminus D)$ is compact by (i), there exists $N_1 > 0$ such that for $n > N_1$,

$$(2.12) \quad \|u_n - u\|_{Y(B_{R_\varepsilon} \setminus D)} < \varepsilon.$$

Consequently, (2.11)–(2.12) yield

$$(2.13) \quad \|u_n - u\|_Y \leq \|u_n\|_{Y(\Omega \setminus B_{R_\varepsilon})} + \|u\|_{Y(\Omega \setminus B_{R_\varepsilon})} + \|u_n - u\|_{Y(B_{R_\varepsilon} \setminus D)} \leq 3\varepsilon,$$

which implies that $\{u_n\}$ is convergent in Y . Therefore, the embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega, g)$ is compact. ■

LEMMA 2.3. *Assume (A₁)–(A₃). If $p < r < q < p_* = p(N-1)/(N-p)$, then $J_\lambda(u)$ satisfies the (PS)_c condition in X for any $c > 0$.*

Proof. Let $c > 0$ and let $\{u_n\}$ be a (PS) sequence such that

$$(2.14) \quad J_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow c, \quad J'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } X^* \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then we can deduce from (2.14) that

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} c + \|u_n\|_X + 1 &\geq J_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{r} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \|u_n\|_X^p + \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \lambda \|u_n\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega, f)}^q \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \|u_n\|_X^p, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in X . Furthermore, since X is a reflexive Banach space, there exists $u \in X$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$.

In view of (2.2), a direct computation implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.16) \quad & \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} (|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_m|^{p-2} \nabla u_m) \cdot \nabla (u_n - u_m) dx \\
 & + \int_{\Omega} h(x) (|u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |u_m|^{p-2} u_m) (u_n - u_m) dx \\
 & = \int_{\partial\Omega} f(x) (|u_n|^{q-2} u_n - |u_m|^{q-2} u_m) (u_n - u_m) d\sigma \\
 & + \int_{\Omega} g(x) (|u_n|^{r-2} u_n - |u_m|^{r-2} u_m) (u_n - u_m) dx \\
 & + \langle J'_\lambda(u_n) - J'_\lambda(u_m), u_n - u_m \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the inequalities

$$(2.17) \quad \langle |\xi|^{p-2} \xi - |\zeta|^{p-2} \zeta, \xi - \zeta \rangle \geq \begin{cases} c|\xi - \zeta|^p & \text{for } p \geq 2, \\ c|\xi - \zeta|^2 (|\xi| + |\zeta|)^{p-2} & \text{for } 1 < p < 2, \end{cases}$$

which are a modification of the inequalities in [D], we get

$$(2.18) \quad A_{mn} \geq \begin{cases} c_1 \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla (u_n - u_m)|^p dx & \text{for } p \geq 2, \\ c_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla (u_n - u_m)|^p dx \right)^{2/p} & \text{for } 1 < p < 2, \end{cases}$$

with some constant $c_1 > 0$, independent of n and m , and

$$(2.19) \quad A_{mn} \triangleq \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} (|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_m|^{p-2} \nabla u_m) \cdot \nabla (u_n - u_m) dx.$$

In the following, we will prove that $\{u_n\}$ has a subsequence that converges to u strongly in X . We only give the proof for $1 < p < 2$, as the argument for $p \geq 2$ is similar but simpler. In fact, when $1 < p < 2$, it follows from (2.17) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.20) \quad & \langle |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_m|^{p-2} \nabla u_m, \nabla (u_n - u_m) \rangle \\
 & \geq c |\nabla (u_n - u_m)|^2 (|\nabla u_n| + |\nabla u_m|)^{p-2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.21) \quad & |\nabla (u_n - u_m)|^p \leq c (|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_m|^{p-2} \nabla u_m, \nabla (u_n - u_m))^{p/2} \\
 & \times (|\nabla u_n| + |\nabla u_m|)^{p(2-p)/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiply (2.21) by $|x|^{-ap}$, integrate, and use the Hölder inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla(u_n - u_m)|^p dx \\
& \leq c \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} \langle |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_m|^{p-2} \nabla u_m, \nabla(u_n - u_m) \rangle^{p/2} \\
& \quad \times (|\nabla u_n| + |\nabla u_m|)^{p(2-p)/2} dx \\
& \leq c \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} \langle |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_m|^{p-2} \nabla u_m, \nabla(u_n - u_m) \rangle dx \right)^{p/2} \\
& \quad \times \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} (|\nabla u_n| + |\nabla u_m|)^p dx \right)^{(2-p)/2},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that there exists some constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} (|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_m|^{p-2} \nabla u_m) \cdot \nabla(u_n - u_m) dx \\
& \geq c_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla(u_n - u_m)|^p dx \right)^{2/p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.22) \quad & \int_{\Omega} h(x) (|u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |u_m|^{p-2} u_m) (u_n - u_m) dx \\
& \geq \begin{cases} c_2 \int_{\Omega} h(x) |u_n - u_m|^p dx & \text{for } p \geq 2, \\ c_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} h(x) |u_n - u_m|^p dx \right)^{2/p} & \text{for } 1 < p < 2, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c_2 > 0$, independent of n and m .

Since $0 \notin \partial\Omega$, the compact trace embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^q(\partial\Omega, f)$ ($q < p_*$) [F] and Hölder's inequality yield

$$(2.23) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} f(x) (|u_n|^{q-2} u_n - |u_m|^{q-2} u_m) (u_n - u_m) d\sigma \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n, m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lemma 2.2 and the Hölder inequality imply that

$$(2.24) \quad \int_{\Omega} g(x) (|u_n|^{r-2} u_n - |u_m|^{r-2} u_m) (u_n - u_m) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n, m \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows from (2.14) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.25) \quad & \langle J'_\lambda(u_n) - J'_\lambda(u_m), u_n - u_m \rangle \\
& \leq (\|J'_\lambda(u_n)\|_{X^*} + \|J'_\lambda(u_m)\|_{X^*}) \|u_n - u_m\|_X \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, it follows from (2.16), (2.18) and (2.22)–(2.25) that

$$(2.26) \quad \|u_n - u_m\|_X \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n, m \rightarrow \infty,$$

so $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Thus, there exists $u \in X$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in X . ■

Now, we introduce the Fountain Theorem, which will be used to prove multiplicity results for problem (1.1).

Let X be a reflexive and separable Banach space. It is well known that there exist $e_j \in X$ and $e_j^* \in X^*$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) such that

- $\langle e_i, e_j^* \rangle = \delta_{ij}$, where $\delta_{ij} = 1$ for $i = j$ and $\delta_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$;
- $X = \overline{\text{span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}}$, $X^* = \overline{\text{span}\{e_1^*, e_2^*, \dots\}}$.

We write

$$(2.27) \quad X_j = \text{span}\{e_j\}, \quad Y_k = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k X_j, \quad Z_k = \overline{\bigoplus_{j=k}^{\infty} X_j}, \quad j, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

LEMMA 2.4 (Fountain Theorem, [B]). *Assume $J_\lambda \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, $J_\lambda(u) = J_\lambda(-u)$. Suppose that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $\rho_k > \gamma_k > 0$ such that*

- (A₁) $a_k = \inf_{u \in Z_k, \|u\|_X = \gamma_k} J_\lambda(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$,
- (A₂) $b_k = \sup_{u \in Y_k, \|u\|_X = \rho_k} J_\lambda(u) \leq 0$,
- (A₃) $J_\lambda(u)$ satisfies the (PS)_c condition for every $c > 0$.

Then J_λ has a sequence $\{u_k\}$ of critical points such that $J_\lambda(u_k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Existence of solutions. In this section, we prove the existence of multiple solutions for problem (1.1). The argument is based on the Fountain Theorem of Lemma 2.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Our purpose is to verify the assumptions (A₁)–(A₃) in Lemma 2.4. Let

$$(3.1) \quad \beta_k = \sup_{u \in Z_k, u \neq 0} \frac{\|u\|_{L^r(\Omega, g)}}{\|u\|_X} = \sup_{u \in Z_k, \|u\|_X = 1} \|u\|_{L^r(\Omega, g)},$$

$$(3.2) \quad \sigma_k = \sup_{u \in Z_k, u \neq 0} \frac{\|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega, f)}}{\|u\|_X} = \sup_{u \in Z_k, \|u\|_X = 1} \|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega, f)}.$$

Then

$$(3.3) \quad \|u\|_{L^r(\Omega, g)} \leq \beta_k \|u\|_X, \quad \|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega, f)} \leq \sigma_k, \quad \forall u \in Z_k.$$

Furthermore, we claim that

$$\beta_k \rightarrow 0, \quad \sigma_k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

In fact, it is easy to check that $0 < \beta_{k+1} \leq \beta_k$. Hence there exists $\beta_0 \geq 0$ such that $\beta_k \rightarrow \beta_0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In the following, we prove that $\beta_0 = 0$.

Indeed, the definition of β_k means that there exists $u_k \in Z_k$ with $\|u_k\|_X = 1$ such that $-1/k \leq \beta_k - \|u_k\|_{L^r(\Omega, g)} \leq 1/k$ for all $k \geq 1$. Then there exists a subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_k\}$, such that $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ in X , and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle u_k, e_j^* \rangle = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$. Thus, $u = 0$ and $u_k \rightarrow 0$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $u_k \rightarrow 0$ in $L^r(\Omega, g)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, so $\beta_0 = 0$.

Similarly, we find that $\sigma_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

It follows from (2.1) and (3.3) that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_X^p - \frac{\lambda}{q} \|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega, f)}^q - \frac{1}{r} \|u\|_{L^r(\Omega, g)}^r \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_X^p - \frac{\lambda}{q} \sigma_k^q \|u\|_X^q - \frac{1}{r} \beta_k^r \|u\|_X^r \\ &= \frac{1}{2p} \|u\|_X^p + \left(\frac{1}{4p} \|u\|_X^p - \frac{\lambda}{q} \sigma_k^q \|u\|_X^q \right) + \left(\frac{1}{4p} \|u\|_X^p - \frac{1}{r} \beta_k^r \|u\|_X^r \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(3.5) \quad \gamma_k = \min \left\{ \left(\frac{q}{4p\lambda\sigma_k^q} \right)^{1/(q-p)}, \left(\frac{r}{4p\beta_k^r} \right)^{1/(r-p)} \right\}.$$

Since $\beta_k \rightarrow 0$ and $\sigma_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \gamma_k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, taking $\|u\|_X = \gamma_k$, we deduce from (3.4) and (3.6) that

$$(3.7) \quad J_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{2p} \|u\|_X^p = \frac{1}{2p} \gamma_k^p \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

which implies that (A₁) holds.

Since all norms are equivalent on the finite-dimensional space Y_k , we easily infer from the assumption $p < r < q$ that (A₂) holds for large $\rho_k > 0$ with $\|u\|_X = \rho_k$. It is obvious that (A₃) holds by Lemma 2.3. Thus, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. ■

To prove Theorem 1.2, we introduce the following lemma (see [W]).

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $J_\lambda \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, where X is a Banach space. Assume J_λ satisfies the (PS)_c condition for every $c > 0$, $J_\lambda(-u) = J_\lambda(u)$, $J_\lambda(0) = 0$, and J_λ is bounded from below on X . If for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a k -dimensional subspace Y_k and $\rho_k > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{u \in Y_k, \|u\|_X = \rho_k} J_\lambda(u) < 0,$$

then $J_\lambda(u)$ has a sequence of critical values $c_k < 0$ satisfying $c_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The trace embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^q(\partial\Omega, f)$ and Lemma 2.2 mean that there exist constants $c_3, c_4 > 0$ such that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_X^p - \frac{\lambda}{q} \|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega, f)}^q - \frac{1}{r} \|u\|_{L^r(\Omega, g)}^r \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_X^p - \frac{\lambda}{q} c_3 \|u\|_X^q - \frac{1}{r} c_4 \|u\|_X^r. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$h(t) = \frac{1}{p} t^p - \frac{\lambda}{q} c_3 t^q - \frac{1}{r} c_4 t^r, \quad t \geq 0.$$

It is not difficult to check that

$$h(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+ \quad \text{and} \quad h(t) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

This together with the assumption $q < p, r < p$ implies that $h(t)$ attains its global minimum at some point $t_0 > 0$, and $h(t_0) < 0$. Thus, J_λ is bounded below. Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.3, we can prove that J_λ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for every $c > 0$.

Let Y_k be defined as in (2.27). Since $r < p$ and $q < p$, and all norms on the finite-dimensional space Y_k are equivalent, we can choose ρ_k small enough such that

$$\sup_{u \in Y_k, \|u\|_X = \rho_k} J_\lambda(u) < 0.$$

Thus, Lemma 3.1 shows that problem (1.1) has a sequence of solutions u_k . Moreover, $J_\lambda(u_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. ■

4. Nonexistence of solutions. In this section, we prove the nonexistence of nontrivial nonnegative solutions for problem (1.1) by the test function method of Mitidieri and Pohozaev [MP2]. The approach is essentially based on a priori estimates by a careful choice of test functions without using comparison or maximum principle arguments.

For other references on this method, see [OT, LT, MP1] and the references therein.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Define

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi_0(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq 1, \\ (n-k)^{-1}(n(2-s)^k - k(2-s)^n) & \text{for } 1 \leq s \leq 2, \\ 0 & \text{for } s \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

where $n > k > 2$. From (4.1) we deduce by a direct computation that

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \leq \varphi_0(s) \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq |\varphi_0'(s)| \leq \beta_0 \varphi_0^{1-1/k}(s), \quad \beta_0 = k \left(\frac{n}{n-k} \right)^{1/k}.$$

Let $\varphi(x) = \varphi_0(|x|/R)$. Take $\delta < 0$ with $|\delta|$ small enough, multiply (1.1) by $u^\delta \varphi$ and integrate to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} g(x)u^{r+\delta-1}\varphi \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\nabla(u^\delta\varphi) \, dx \\
 &\quad + \int_{\Omega} h(x)u^{p+\delta-1}\varphi \, dx - \lambda \int_{\partial\Omega} f(x)u^{q+\delta-1}\varphi \, d\sigma \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\nabla\varphi u^\delta \, dx + \delta \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^p u^{\delta-1}\varphi \, dx \\
 &\quad + \int_{\Omega} h(x)u^{p+\delta-1}\varphi \, dx - \lambda \int_{\partial\Omega} f(x)u^{q+\delta-1}\varphi \, d\sigma.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta < 0$, $f(x) > 0$ and $h(x) < 0$, (4.3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} g(x)u^{r+\delta-1}\varphi \, dx + |\delta| \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^p u^{\delta-1}\varphi \, dx \\
 \leq \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\nabla\varphi u^\delta \, dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the Young inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\nabla\varphi u^\delta \, dx &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^p \varphi u^{\delta-1} \, dx \\
 &\quad + c(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap}u^{p+\delta-1}|\nabla\varphi|^p \varphi^{-(p-1)} \, dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the Young inequality again, we see that for any $\eta > 0$,

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap}u^{p+\delta-1}|\nabla\varphi|^p \varphi^{-(p-1)} \, dx \leq c(\eta)B + \eta \int_{\Omega} g(x)u^{r+\delta-1}\varphi \, dx,$$

where

$$(4.7) \quad B = \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\frac{-ap(r+\delta-1)}{r-p}} g_0^{\frac{-p+\delta-1}{r-p}} |\nabla\varphi|^{\frac{p(r+\delta-1)}{r-p}} \varphi^{\frac{r-pr-p\delta}{r-p}} \, dx$$

and g_0 is given in (A₄). Let $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$ be small enough such that $\eta c(\varepsilon) < 1/2$ and $\varepsilon < |\delta|/2$. Then it follows from (4.4)–(4.6) that

$$(4.8) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} g(x)u^{r+\delta-1}\varphi \, dx + \frac{|\delta|}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^p u^{\delta-1}\varphi \, dx \leq c(\varepsilon)c(\eta)B.$$

Let $x = R\xi$. Noting that $\varphi(x) = \varphi_0(|x|/R)$, we obtain

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_j} = \varphi'_0(|\xi|) \cdot \frac{1}{R} \cdot \frac{x_j}{|x|}, \quad |\nabla\varphi| \leq \frac{N}{R} |\varphi'_0(|\xi|)| \leq \frac{N}{R} \varphi_0^{1-1/k}(|\xi|)\beta_0,$$

where β_0 is defined in (4.2). Since $r > p > 1$ and $\delta < 0$, we can choose k large enough such that $kr - kp - pr > 0$. Therefore, it follows from (4.2)

and (4.9) that

$$(4.10) \quad c(\varepsilon)c(\eta)B \leq c_5 \int_{1 \leq |\xi| \leq 2} R^{N - \frac{p(a+1)(r+\delta-1)}{r-p}} |\xi|^{\frac{-ap(r+\delta-1)}{r-p}} \varphi_0^{\frac{kr-kp-pr-p\delta+p}{k(r-p)}} (|\xi|) d\xi \\ \leq c_6 R^{N - \frac{p(a+1)(r+\delta-1)}{r-p}}$$

for some constants $c_5, c_6 > 0$, with B defined in (4.7). Then (4.8) and (4.10) yield

$$(4.11) \quad \int_{\Omega} g(x)u^{r+\delta-1} \varphi dx \leq c_6 R^{N - \frac{p(a+1)(r+\delta-1)}{r-p}}.$$

On the other hand, the assumption $\frac{Nr}{(a+1)(r+1)+N} < p$ implies that there exists $\delta < 0$ with $|\delta|$ small such that

$$N - \frac{p(a+1)(r+\delta-1)}{r-p} < 0.$$

Therefore, by virtue of (4.11), we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} g(x)u^{r+\delta-1} = 0,$$

that is, $u = 0$ a.e. in Ω . ■

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the anonymous reviewers for valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [AP] B. Abdellaoui and I. Peral, *Existence and nonexistence results for quasilinear elliptic equations involving the p -Laplacian with a critical potential*, Ann. Mat. 182 (2003), 247–270.
- [AICM] C. O. Alves, P. C. Carrião and O. H. Miyagaki, *Existence and multiplicity results for a class of resonant quasilinear elliptic problems on \mathbb{R}^N* , Nonlinear Anal. 39 (2000), 99–110.
- [Am] A. Ambrosetti, *Multiplicity results for some nonlinear elliptic equations*, J. Funct. Anal. 137 (1996), 219–242.
- [Aou] S. Aouaoui, *On some degenerate equasilinear equations involving variable exponents*, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (2012), 1843–1858.
- [AW] D. G. Aronson and H. F. Weinberger, *Multidimensional nonlinear diffusion arising in population genetics*, Adv. Math. 30 (1978), 33–76.
- [AsCM] R. B. Assunção, P. C. Carrião and O. H. Miyagaki, *Multiplicity of solutions for critical singular problems*, Appl. Math. Lett. 19 (2006), 741–746.
- [AB] D. Averna and G. Bonanno, *Three solutions for a Neumann boundary value problem involving the p -Laplacian*, Matematiche (Catania) 60 (2005), 81–91.
- [B] T. Bartsch, *Infinitely many solutions of a symmetric Dirichlet problem*, Nonlinear Anal. 20 (1993), 1205–1216.

- [BR] J. F. Bonder and J. D. Rossi, *Existence results for the p -Laplacian boundary conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 263 (2001), 195–223.
- [B-U] F. Brock, L. Iturriaga, J. Sánchez and P. Ubilla, *Existence of positive solutions for p -Laplacian problems with weights*, Comm. Pure Appl. Anal. 5 (2006), 941–952.
- [CKN] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn and L. Nirenberg, *First order interpolation inequalities with weights*, Compos. Math. 53 (1984), 437–477.
- [CCD] F. Cammaroto, A. Chinnì and B. Di Bella, *Some multiplicity results for quasilinear Neumann problems*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 86 (2006), 154–162.
- [CG] M. Chhetri and P. Girg, *Nonexistence of nonnegative solutions for a class of $(p-1)$ -superhomogeneous semipositone problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 322 (2006), 957–963.
- [D] J. I. Díaz, *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Free Boundaries, Vol. I, Elliptic Equations*, Res. Notes Math. 106, Pitman, Boston, MA, 1985.
- [F] X. L. Fan, *Boundary trace embedding theorems for variable exponent Sobolev space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 339 (2008), 1395–1412.
- [FIV] F. Faraci, A. Iannizzotto and C. Varga, *Infinitely many bounded solutions for the p -Laplacian with nonlinear boundary conditions*, Monatsh. Math. 163 (2011), 25–38.
- [GR] M. Ghergu and V. Rădulescu, *Singular elliptic problems with lack of compactness*, Ann. Mat. 185 (2006), 63–79.
- [K] J. L. Kazdan, *Prescribing the Curvature of a Riemannian Manifold*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. 57, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1985.
- [KM] A. Kristály and W. Marzantowicz, *Multiplicity of symmetrically distinct sequences of solutions for a quasilinear problem in \mathbb{R}^N* , Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 15 (2008), 209–226.
- [LT] Y. Laskri and N. Tatar, *The critical exponent for an ordinary fractional differential problem*, Comput. Math. Appl. 59 (2010), 1266–1270.
- [MP1] E. Mitidieri and S. I. Pohozaev, *Towards a unified approach to nonexistence of solutions for a class of differential inequalities*, Milan J. Math. 72 (2004), 129–162.
- [MP2] E. Mitidieri and S. I. Pohozaev, *Nonexistence of weak solutions for some degenerate elliptic and parabolic problems on \mathbb{R}^n* , J. Evol. Equations 1 (2001), 189–220.
- [OT] T. Ogawa and H. Takeda, *Non-existence of weak solutions to nonlinear damped wave equations in exterior domains*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 379 (2011), 8–14.
- [PR] M.-C. Pélissier et L. Reynaud, *Étude d'un modèle mathématique d'écoulement de glacier*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 279 (1974), 531–534.
- [P] K. Pflüger, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions to a p -Laplacian equation with nonlinear boundary condition*, Electron. J. Differential Equations 1998, no. 10, 13 pp.
- [PS] P. Pucci and R. Servadei, *Existence, non-existence and regularity of radial ground states for p -Laplacian equations with singular weights*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 25 (2008), 505–537.
- [W] Z. Q. Wang, *Nonlinear boundary value problems with concave nonlinearities near the origin*, Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 8 (2001), 15–33.
- [WT] X. Wu and K.-K. Tan, *On existence and multiplicity of solutions of Neumann boundary value problems for quasi-linear elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. 65 (2006), 1334–1347.
- [XCH] Z. H. Xiu, C. S. Chen and J. C. Huang, *Existence of multiple solutions for an elliptic system with sign-changing weight functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 395 (2012), 531–541.
- [X] B. J. Xuan, *The solvability of quasilinear Brezis–Nirenberg-type problems with singular weights*, Nonlinear Anal. 62 (2005), 703–725.

- [Y] Z. D. Yang, *Existence of positive bounded entire solutions for quasilinear elliptic equations*, Appl. Math. Comput. 156 (2004), 743–754.
- [YL] Z. D. Yang and Q. S. Lu, *Non-existence of positive radial solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic system*, Comm. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 5 (2000), 184–187.

Zonghu Xiu
College of Science
Hohai University
Nanjing 210098, P.R. China
and
Science and Information College
Qingdao Agricultural University
Qingdao 266109, P.R. China
E-mail: qingda@163.com

Caisheng Chen
College of Science
Hohai University
Nanjing 210098, P.R. China
E-mail: cshengchen@hhu.edu.cn

Received 14.10.2012
and in final form 2.4.2013

(2928)

