

## On some subspaces of Morrey–Sobolev spaces and boundedness of Riesz integrals

by MOUHAMADOU DOSSO (Abidjan), IBRAHIM FOFANA (Abidjan)  
and MOUMINE SANOGO (Bamako)

**Abstract.** For  $1 \leq q \leq \alpha \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  is a complex Banach space which is continuously included in the Wiener amalgam space  $(L^q, l^p)$  and contains the Lebesgue space  $L^\alpha$ .

We study the closure  $(L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha$  in  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  of the space  $\mathcal{D}$  of test functions (infinitely differentiable and with compact support in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ) and obtain norm inequalities for Riesz potential operators and Riesz transforms in these spaces. We also introduce the Sobolev type space  $W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha)$  (a subspace of a Morrey–Sobolev space, but a superspace of the classical Sobolev space  $W^{1,\alpha}$ ) and obtain in it Sobolev inequalities and a Kondrashov–Rellich compactness theorem.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $d$  be a fixed positive integer. The space  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is endowed with its usual scalar product  $(x, \xi) \mapsto x \cdot \xi$ , Euclidean norm  $|\cdot|$  and Lebesgue measure.

For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  we denote by  $\|\cdot\|_p$  the usual norm on the classical Lebesgue space  $L^p = L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and by  $p'$  the conjugate of  $p$  ( $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ).

Let  $I_\gamma$  ( $0 < \gamma < 1$ ) be the *Riesz potential* operator defined by

$$I_\gamma f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x - y|^{d(\gamma-1)} f(y) dy.$$

N. C. Phuc and M. Torres [P-T] have obtained a result which contains the following assertion:

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *Let  $d/(d-1) < \alpha^* < \infty$  and  $f$  be a non-negative locally integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The equation  $\operatorname{div} F = f$  has a solution  $F$  in  $(L^{\alpha^*})^d$ .*
- (ii)  *$I_{1/d} f \in L^{\alpha^*}$ .*

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 42B20, 46B50, 46E35; Secondary 35C15, 35F05.

*Key words and phrases*: amalgam space, Sobolev space, Riesz potential operator, Riesz transforms, divergence equation.

In the proposition below we recall the classical Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality (see [St]) and a result contained in [D-F-K] [see Section 2 for definition of  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ ].

**PROPOSITION 1.2.** *Let  $0 < \gamma < 1$ ,  $1/(1 - \gamma) < \alpha^* < \infty$  and  $1/\alpha = 1/\alpha^* + \gamma$ . Then*

$$L^\alpha \subset \{f \in L^1_{\text{loc}} \mid I_\gamma f \in L^{\alpha^*}\} \subset \text{closure of } L^\alpha \text{ in } (L^1, l^{\alpha^*})^\alpha.$$

The classical Sobolev spaces  $W^{m,\alpha} = W^{m,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $\alpha \in [1, \infty]$ ) have offered a fruitful framework for the study of partial differential equations (see [Br]). The density of smooth functions in  $L^\alpha$  (for  $\alpha < \infty$ ), Sobolev–Poincaré inequalities and the Kondrashov–Rellich compactness theorem are among the most important tools in this field.

In view of Propositions 1.1 and 1.2 it is worth:

- introducing Sobolev type spaces  $W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha)$  for which the spaces  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  will take the place of the Lebesgue spaces  $L^\alpha$  in the definition of  $W^{1,\alpha}$ ;
- examining the existence in these new spaces of analogues for classical tools useful in the study of partial differential equations.

The paper deals with these questions. Section 2 contains notations, definitions and some known results. In Section 3 we introduce the space  $W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha)$  and study the closure in  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  of the space  $\mathcal{C}^\infty = \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of infinitely differentiable real functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Section 4 is devoted to the boundedness of Riesz potential operators and Riesz transforms on  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ , and analogues of the Sobolev inequality and of the Kondrashov–Rellich compactness theorem in the set up of  $W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha)$ . In Section 5 we prove an existence theorem for the equation  $\text{div } F = f$  with data  $f \in (L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

**NOTATIONS 2.1.** For any subset  $E$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\chi_E$  denotes its characteristic function and  $|E|$  its Lebesgue measure.

Let  $r$  be a positive real number. We set

$$I_k^r = \prod_{j=1}^d [k_j r, (k_j + 1)r), \quad k = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

$$J_x^r = \prod_{j=1}^d (x_j - r/2, x_j + r/2), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $1 \leq q, p \leq \infty$ . For any  $f$  in  $L^1_{\text{loc}} = L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we set

$$r\|f\|_{q,p} = \begin{cases} \left[ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (\|f\chi_{I_k^r}\|_q)^p \right]^{1/p} & \text{if } p < \infty, \\ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|f\chi_{J_x^r}\|_q & \text{if } p = \infty, \end{cases}$$

and we define

$$(L^q, l^p) = \{f \in L^1_{\text{loc}} \mid \|f\|_{q,p} < \infty\}.$$

The Wiener amalgam spaces  $(L^q, l^p)$  ( $1 \leq q, p \leq \infty$ ) were introduced in 1926 by Norbert Wiener who considered the special cases  $(L^1, l^2)$ ,  $(L^2, l^\infty)$ ,  $(L^\infty, l^1)$  and  $(L^1, l^\infty)$  (see [Wi1] and [Wi2]). In 1975 Finbar Holland undertook the first systematic study of these spaces (see [Ho]). Since then, much work has been dedicated to them (see the survey paper [F-S] and the references therein) and to their generalizations introduced by Hans Feichtinger in 1980 (see [Fe1], [Fe2]).

Let us recall the following results (see [Ho] and [Fo3]).

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let  $1 \leq q, p \leq \infty$ .

- (a)  $((L^q, l^p), \|\cdot\|_{q,p})$  is a Banach space and  $(L^q, l^q) = L^q$ .
- (b) If  $q, p < \infty$  then there exist real numbers  $A$  and  $B$  such that

$$A_r \|f\|_{q,p} \leq r^{-d/p} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[ \int_{J_x^r} |f(y)|^q dy \right]^{p/q} dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq B_r \|f\|_{q,p}$$

for all  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ ,  $r > 0$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. Let  $1 \leq q \leq \alpha \leq p \leq \infty$ . For any  $f$  in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  we set

$$\|f\|_{q,p,\alpha} = \sup_{r>0} r^{d(1/\alpha-1/q)} \|f\|_{q,p},$$

$$\| \|f\| \|_{q,p,\alpha} = \sup_{r>0} r^{d(1/\alpha-1/q-1/p)} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[ \int_{J_x^r} |f(y)|^q dy \right]^{p/q} dx \right\}^{1/p} \quad \text{if } p < \infty,$$

and we define

$$(L^q, l^p)^\alpha = \{f \in L^1_{\text{loc}} \mid \|f\|_{q,p,\alpha} < \infty\}.$$

The spaces  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  were introduced in 1988 by Ibrahim Fofana (see [Fo1]–[Fo3]). Results about multipliers and Fourier multipliers between Lebesgue spaces and continuity properties of fractional maximal operators and Riesz potential operators were obtained in this framework (see [Fo3], [Fo4], [F-F-K], [D-F]). We recall some of their properties below (see [Fo3]).

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let  $1 \leq q \leq \alpha \leq p \leq \infty$ .

- (a)  $((L^q, l^p)^\alpha, \|\cdot\|_{q,p,\alpha})$  is a Banach space.
- (b)  $\| \|_{q,p,\alpha}$  is a norm equivalent to  $\| \|_{q,p,\alpha}$  on  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  if  $p < \infty$ .
- (c)  $\|f\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq \|f\|_\alpha$  for  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ , and therefore  $L^\alpha \subset (L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ .

- (d)  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha = L^\alpha$  when  $\alpha \in \{q, p\}$ .
- (e) If  $q < \alpha < p$  then there exists a real number  $C$  such that

$$\|f\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq C \|f\|_{\alpha,+\infty}^*, \quad f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\alpha,+\infty}^* = \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |f(x)| > \lambda\}|^{1/\alpha}$$

and therefore the weak-Lebesgue space  $L^{\alpha,+\infty} = \{f \in L^1_{\text{loc}} \mid \|f\|_{\alpha,+\infty}^* < \infty\}$  is contained in  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ .

- (f)  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha \subset (L^{q_1}, l^{p_1})^\alpha$  if  $1 \leq q_1 < q$ , and  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha \subset (L^q, l^{p_1})^\alpha$  if  $p < p_1 \leq \infty$ .

Let us recall that the convolution product  $f * g$  of  $f, g$  in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  is given by the formula

$$f * g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - y)g(y) dy$$

at all points  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  where this integral is defined. It satisfies the following Young inequality (see [Fo3]).

**PROPOSITION 2.3.**

- (a) Let  $1 \leq q_1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q_2 \leq \alpha_2 \leq p_2 \leq \infty$ ,  $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 - 1 = 1/p \geq 0$ ,  $1/\alpha_1 + 1/\alpha_2 - 1 = 1/\alpha$  and  $1/q_1 + 1/q_2 - 1 = 1/q$ . Then for any  $f_1$  in  $(L^{q_1}, l^{p_1})^{\alpha_1}$  and  $f_2$  in  $(L^{q_2}, l^{p_2})^{\alpha_2}$ ,

$$\|f_1 * f_2\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq C \|f_1\|_{q_1,p_1,\alpha_1} \|f_2\|_{q_2,p_2,\alpha_2}$$

where  $C$  is a real number not depending on  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ .

- (b) In particular if  $1 \leq q \leq \alpha \leq p \leq \infty$  then for any  $(\varphi, f)$  in  $L^1 \times (L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ ,

$$\|\varphi * f\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq C \|\varphi\|_1 \|f\|_{q,p,\alpha}$$

where  $C$  is a real number not depending on  $f$  and  $\varphi$ .

We recall that in the theory of Sobolev spaces, approximation of an element of a Lebesgue space by elements of  $C^\infty$  is an important device based on the continuity of the convolution product (Young inequality) and of the translation operator  $\tau_u$  with translation vector  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , defined by

$$(\tau_u f)(x) = f(x - u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}.$$

It is easy to verify the following assertion.

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *Let  $1 \leq q \leq \alpha \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  is translation invariant and there is a real number  $C$  such that*

$$\|\tau_u f\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq C \|f\|_{q,p,\alpha}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d, f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}.$$

However an analogue of the following property of Lebesgue spaces:

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \|\tau_u f - f\|_\alpha = 0, \quad f \in L^\alpha, \quad 1 \leq \alpha < \infty,$$

is not true in  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  when  $1 \leq q < \alpha < p \leq \infty$ . So, I. Fofana [Fo3] has considered some special subspaces of  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  defined below.

DEFINITION 2.3. For  $1 \leq q \leq \alpha \leq p \leq \infty$  we set

$$\begin{aligned} (L^q, l^p)_c^\alpha &= \left\{ f \in (L^q, l^p)^\alpha \mid \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \|\tau_u f - f\|_{q,p,\alpha} = 0 \right\}, \\ (L^q, l^p)_0^\alpha &= \left\{ f \in (L^q, l^p)^\alpha \mid \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \|f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus J_0^R}\|_{q,p,\alpha} = 0 \right\}, \\ (L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha &= (L^q, l^p)_c^\alpha \cap (L^q, l^p)_0^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Let us fix some notations.

NOTATIONS 2.2.

- $\rho$  is a fixed element of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ , non-negative, with support included in the unit ball  $\bar{B}(0; 1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |x| \leq 1\}$  and satisfying  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x) dx = 1$ .
- $\rho_m(x) = m^d \rho(mx)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .
- $\omega$  is a fixed element of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  satisfying  $\chi_{J_0^1} \leq \omega \leq \chi_{J_0^2}$ .
- $\omega_m(x) = \omega(x/m)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

The following results are contained in [Fo3].

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let  $1 \leq q \leq \alpha \leq p \leq \infty$ .

- (a)  $(L^q, l^p)_c^\alpha$  is a closed subspace of  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ .
- (b) If  $\alpha < \infty$  then  $L^\alpha \subset (L^q, l^p)_c^\alpha$ .
- (c)  $(L^q, l^p)_c^\alpha = L^1 * (L^q, l^p)_c^\alpha = L^1 * (L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ .
- (d)  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_m * f - f\|_{q,p,\alpha} = 0$  for  $f$  in  $(L^q, l^p)_c^\alpha$ , where  $\rho_m$  is defined as in Notations 2.2.

We list below some useful properties of  $(L^q, l^p)_0^\alpha$  and  $(L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha$ .

PROPOSITION 2.6. Let  $1 \leq q \leq \alpha \leq p \leq \infty$ .

- (a)  $(L^q, l^p)_0^\alpha$  and  $(L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha$  are closed subspaces of  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ .
- (b)  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|(f \omega_m) * \rho_m - f\|_{q,p,\alpha} = 0$  for  $f \in (L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha$ , where  $\omega_m$  and  $\rho_m$  are defined as in Notations 2.2.

*Proof.* (a) It is clear that  $(L^q, l^p)_0^\alpha$  and  $(L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha$  are subspaces of  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ . Suppose that  $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence of elements of  $(L^q, l^p)_0^\alpha$  converging in  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  to some  $f$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For any real  $R > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f - f \chi_{J_0^R}| &\leq |f - f_n| + |f_n - f_n \chi_{J_0^R}| + |(f - f_n) \chi_{J_0^R}| \\ &\leq 2|f - f_n| + |f_n - f_n \chi_{J_0^R}|, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

There are  $n_\varepsilon \geq 1$  and  $R_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\|f - f_{n_\varepsilon}\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq \varepsilon/3 \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_{n_\varepsilon} - f_{n_\varepsilon} \chi_{J_0^R}\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq \varepsilon/3, \quad R \geq R_\varepsilon,$$

and therefore

$$\|f - f \chi_{J_0^R}\|_{q,p,\alpha} < \varepsilon, \quad R \geq R_\varepsilon.$$

Thus  $f \in (L^q, l^p)_0^\alpha$ . This means that  $(L^q, l^p)_0^\alpha$  is closed in  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ . Furthermore, by Proposition 2.5(a),  $(L^q, l^p)_c^\alpha$  is also closed in  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ . Thus  $(L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha$  is also closed.

(b) Let  $f$  be in  $(L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha$ . We have

$$\|f - (f\omega_m) * \rho_m\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq \|f - f * \rho_m\|_{q,p,\alpha} + \|(f - f\omega_m) * \rho_m\|_{q,p,\alpha}, \quad m \geq 1,$$

and therefore, by Proposition 2.3(b),

$$\|f - (f\omega_m) * \rho_m\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq \|f - f * \rho_m\|_{q,p,\alpha} + \|f - f\omega_m\|_{q,p,\alpha}, \quad m \geq 1.$$

It is clear that

$$|f - f\omega_m| \leq |f - f \chi_{J_0^m}|, \quad m \geq 1,$$

and so

$$\|f - (f\omega_m) * \rho_m\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq \|f - f * \rho_m\|_{q,p,\alpha} + \|f - f \chi_{J_0^m}\|_{q,p,\alpha}, \quad m \geq 1,$$

which implies that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f - (f\omega_m) * \rho_m\|_{q,p,\alpha} = 0$ . ■

Notice that Propositions 2.5(d) and 2.6(b) together with Proposition 2.2(c) imply that in  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ :

- $(L^q, l^p)_c^\alpha$  is the closure of  $(L^q, l^p)_c^\alpha \cap C^\infty$ ,
- $(L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha$  is the closure of  $\mathcal{D}$  (and also of  $L^\alpha$  if  $\alpha < \infty$ ).

It is worth recalling the following extension of the well known Kolmogorov–Riesz–Tamarkin compactness theorem (see [S-F]):

**PROPOSITION 2.7.** *Let  $1 \leq q \leq \alpha \leq p \leq \infty$  with  $\alpha < \infty$ . Any closed subset  $H$  of  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i)  $\sup_{f \in H} \|f\|_{q,p,\alpha} < \infty$ ,
- (ii)  $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \sup_{f \in H} \|f - \tau_u f\|_{q,p,\alpha} = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in H} \|f - f \chi_{J_0^R}\|_{q,p,\alpha} = 0$ ,

*is compact in  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ .*

**3. Sobolev spaces.** We fix  $q, \alpha, p \in [1, \infty]$  such that  $q \leq \alpha \leq p$  and  $q < \infty$ .

**DEFINITION 3.1.** Let  $E$  be one of the spaces  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ ,  $(L^q, l^p)_c^\alpha$  or  $(L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha$ . We define

$$W^1(E) = \{f \in E \mid \partial f / \partial x_j \in E \text{ for } j \in \{1, \dots, d\}\}$$

where  $\partial f / \partial x_j = D_j f$  stands for the distributional partial derivative.

For any  $f$  in  $W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha)$  we set

$$\|f\|_{W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha)} = \|f\|_{q,p,\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^d \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{q,p,\alpha}.$$

We point out that:

- $W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha)$  is a subspace of a more general Sobolev type space introduced by Domion Douyon in his thesis ([Do]).
- $W^1((L^q, l^\infty)^\alpha)$  is the Morrey–Sobolev space  $W^{1,(q,d(1-q/\alpha))}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  considered by G. Cupini and R. Petti and used in the study of the regularity of minimizers for functionals ([C-P]) and solutions of elliptic equations ([F-L-Y]).

It is easy to verify

PROPOSITION 3.1.

- (a)  $W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha)$  is a subspace of  $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q} = \{f \in L_{\text{loc}}^q \mid f \in W^{1,q}(\Omega) \text{ for any bounded open subset } \Omega \text{ of } \mathbb{R}^d\}$ .
- (b)  $(W^1(E), \|\cdot\|_{W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha)})$  is a Banach space if  $E$  is any of the spaces  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ ,  $(L^q, l^p)_c^\alpha$  and  $(L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha$ .

Let us recall the following well known result (see [K-J-F]).

LEMMA 3.2. Suppose that  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^q$  and  $D_j f \in L_{\text{loc}}^q$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_m * f &\in \mathcal{C}^\infty, \quad D_j(\rho_m * f) = (D_j \rho_m) * f = \rho_m * (D_j f), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \\ D^\beta(\rho_m * f) &= (D^\beta \rho_m) * f, \quad (\beta, m) \in \mathbb{N}^d \times \mathbb{N}^*, \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|(\rho_m * f - f)\chi_{J_x^r}\|_q &= 0 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|[D_j(\rho_m * f) - D_j f]\chi_{J_x^r}\|_q, \\ &\quad (x, r) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho_m$  is as in Notations 2.2.

From the lemma above and the proof of Proposition IX in [Br] we readily obtain the following result.

LEMMA 3.3. Suppose that  $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{J_x^r} |\tau_u f(y) - f(y)|^q dy \\ &\leq |u|^q \int_0^1 \int_{J_x^r} |\nabla f(y - tu)|^q dy dt, \quad (u, x, r) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma above leads to the following property of our Sobolev type space.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *There exists a real number  $C$  such that*

$$\|\tau_u f - f\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq C|u| \|\nabla f\|_{q,p,\alpha}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d, f \in W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha),$$

and therefore  $W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha) \subset (L^q, l^p)_c^\alpha$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $p < \infty$ ,  $f \in W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha)$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $r \in (0, \infty)$ . From Lemma 3.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[ \int_{J_x^r} |\tau_u f(y) - f(y)|^q dy \right]^{p/q} dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq |u| \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[ \int_0^1 \int_{J_x^r} |\nabla f(y - tu)|^q dy dt \right]^{p/q} dx \right\}^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Minkowski inequality for integrals (see [St, p. 271])

$$I \leq |u| \left\{ \int_0^1 \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_{J_x^r} |\nabla f(y - tu)|^q dy \right)^{p/q} dx \right]^{q/p} dt \right\}^{1/q}.$$

From the inequality above and Proposition 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq C_1 |u| \left\{ \int_0^1 [ \|\nabla f\|_{q,p,\alpha} r^{d(1/q+1/p-1/\alpha)} ]^q dt \right\}^{1/q} \\ &= C_1 |u| \|\nabla f\|_{q,p,\alpha} r^{d(1/q+1/p-1/\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1$  is a real number not depending on  $f$ ,  $u$  and  $r$ . Therefore, by Proposition 2.2(b) we have

$$\|\tau_u f - f\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq C|u| \|\nabla f\|_{q,p,\alpha}$$

where  $C$  is a real number not depending on  $f$  and  $u$ .

In the case  $p = \infty$  a similar proof works. ■

From Propositions 2.5 and 3.2 we deduce the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Suppose that  $q < \infty$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f \in (L^q, l^p)_c^\alpha$ .
- (ii)  $f = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \rho_m * f$  in  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  where  $\rho_m$  is as in Notations 2.2.
- (iii)  $f$  belongs to the closure in  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  of

$$\mathcal{C}_{(L^q, l^p)^\alpha}^\infty = \{g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty \mid D^\beta g \in (L^q, l^p)^\alpha \text{ for all } \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d\}.$$

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) by Proposition 2.5(d).

Suppose that (ii) is true. Fix a positive integer  $m$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ . By Lemma 3.2,  $\rho_m * f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$  and  $D^\beta(\rho_m * f) = (D^\beta \rho_m) * f$ . As  $D^\beta \rho_m \in L^1$ , Proposition 2.3(b) shows that  $D^\beta(\rho_m * f) \in (L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ . Therefore  $\rho_m * f \in \mathcal{C}_{(L^q, l^p)^\alpha}^\infty$ . Furthermore  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_m * f - f\|_{q,p,\alpha} = 0$  (Proposition 2.5(d)). Thus (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

Suppose that (iii) is true: there exists a sequence  $(g_m)_{m \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{C}_{(L^q, l^p)^\alpha}^\infty$  converging to  $f$  in  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ . It is clear that any  $g_m$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ) belongs to  $W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha)$ . Therefore, from Proposition 3.2 we have

$$g_m \in (L^q, l^p)_c^\alpha, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

$(L^q, l^p)_c^\alpha$  being closed in  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  (Proposition 2.5(a)),  $f$  clearly belongs to  $(L^q, l^p)_c^\alpha$ . Thus (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). ■

Proposition 3.2 leads to the following characterization of  $W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Suppose that  $f \in (L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f \in W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha)$ .
- (ii) There exists a real number  $C$  such that

$$\|\tau_u f - f\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq C|u|, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

*Proof.* The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) follows readily from Proposition 3.2.

Conversely, suppose that (ii) is true. Denote by  $\{e_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq d\}$  the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

(a) Let  $\Omega$  be any bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $Q$  a closed and bounded cube in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\Omega \subset Q$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\tau_u f - f)\chi_\Omega\|_q &\leq \|(\tau_u f - f)\chi_Q\|_q \leq 2^{d/p'} |Q|^{1/q-1/\alpha} \|\tau_u f - f\|_{q,p,\alpha} \\ &\leq 2^{d/p'} C |Q|^{1/q-1/\alpha} |u|, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|s^{-1}(\tau_{se_j} f - f)\chi_\Omega\|_q \leq 2^{d/p'} |Q|^{1/q-1/\alpha} C, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, d\}, s \in (0, \infty).$$

Hence  $\{s^{-1}(\tau_{se_j} f - f)\chi_\Omega \mid s \in (0, \infty), j \in \{1, \dots, d\}\}$  is a bounded subset of  $L^q$ . Therefore there exists a sequence  $(s_m)_{m \geq 1}$  in  $(0, \infty)$  such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} s_m = 0, \\ \text{for any } j \in \{1, \dots, d\}, (s_m^{-1}(\tau_{s_m e_j} f - f)\chi_\Omega)_{m \geq 1} \text{ weakly converges} \\ \text{in } L^q \text{ to some } g_j. \end{array} \right.$$

Notice that, for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  and any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$  with support in  $\Omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) g_j(x) dx &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) s_m^{-1} [f(x - s_m e_j) - f(x)] dx \\ &= - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} s_m^{-1} [\varphi(x + s_m e_j) - \varphi(x)] f(x) dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} f(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $g_j = \partial f / \partial x_j$  in  $\Omega$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . Therefore  $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q}$ .

(b) Suppose that  $p = \infty$ . Let  $R$  be a bounded and closed cube,  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ ,  $Q = (1 + \epsilon)R$  the cube with side length  $(1 + \epsilon)|R|^{1/d}$  and the same center as  $R$ , and  $\Omega = \dot{Q}$  the interior of  $Q$ .

Using the notations in (a) we have, for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  and any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$  with support in  $\Omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x) \varphi(x) dx \right| &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} s_m^{-1} [f(x - s_m e_j) - f(x)] \varphi(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|(\tau_{s_m e_j} f - f) \chi_Q\|_q \|\varphi\|_{q'} s_m^{-1} \\ &\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\tau_{s_m e_j} f - f\|_{q, \infty, \alpha} |Q|^{1/q-1/\alpha} \|\varphi\|_{q'} s_m^{-1} \\ &\leq C |Q|^{1/q-1/\alpha} \|\varphi\|_{q'}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \chi_R \right\|_q \leq \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \chi_\Omega \right\|_q \leq C |Q|^{1/q-1/\alpha} = (1 + \epsilon)^{d(1/q-1/\alpha)} C |R|^{1/q-1/\alpha}.$$

Letting  $\epsilon$  go to zero, we get, for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ ,

$$\left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \chi_R \right\|_q \leq C |R|^{1/q-1/\alpha}.$$

Thus  $\|\partial f / \partial x_j\|_{q, \infty, \alpha} \leq C$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ .

(c) Suppose that  $p < \infty$ . Let  $(r, m)$  be any element of  $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{N}^*$ . Set  $K_n = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid |k| \leq n\}$ ,

$$Q = \{x = (x_j)_{1 \leq j \leq d} \mid -(n+1)r \leq x_j \leq (n+2)r \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq d\},$$

and  $\Omega = \dot{Q}$ . For any  $k \in K_n$ , let  $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty$  with support in  $I_k^r$  and  $\|\varphi_k\|_{q'} \leq 1$ . Using the notations in (a) we have, for  $|s_n| < \min_{k \in K_n} d(\text{supp } \varphi_k, \partial I_k^r)$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \sum_{k \in K_n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} s_m^{-1} (\tau_{s_m e_j} f - f)(x) \varphi_k(x) dx \right|^p \right]^{1/p} \\ \leq \left[ \sum_{k \in K_n} (s_m^{-1} \|(\tau_{s_m e_j} f - f) \chi_{I_k^r}\|_q \|\varphi_k\|_{q'})^p \right]^{1/p} \\ \leq s_m^{-1} \left[ \sum_{k \in K_n} \|(\tau_{s_m e_j} f - f) \chi_{I_k^r}\|_q^p \right]^{1/p} \\ \leq s_m^{-1} \|\tau_{s_m e_j} f - f\|_{q, p, \alpha} r^{d(1/q-1/\alpha)} \leq C r^{d(1/q-1/\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\left[ \sum_{k \in K_n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \varphi_k(x) dx \right|^p \right]^{1/p} \leq C r^{d(1/q-1/\alpha)}.$$

Thus

$$\left[ \sum_{k \in K_n} \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \chi_{I_k^r} \right\|_q^p \right]^{1/p} \leq Cr^{d(1/q-1/\alpha)}.$$

Letting  $n$  go to infinity, we obtain

$$r \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{q,p} \leq Cr^{d(1/q-1/\alpha)}.$$

Finally,

$$\left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq C, \quad j = \{1, \dots, d\}. \blacksquare$$

$W^1((L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha)$  has the following approximation property.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *We have*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f - (f\omega_m) * \rho_m\|_{W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha)} = 0, \quad f \in W^1((L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha)$$

where  $\rho_m$  and  $\omega_m$  are as in Notations 2.2.

*Proof.* Let  $f \in W^1((L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha)$ . By Proposition 2.6(b),  $((f\omega_m) * \rho_m)_{m \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  in  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ . For any  $(j, m) \in \{1, \dots, d\} \times \mathbb{N}^*$  we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}((f\omega_m) * \rho_m) = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \omega_m \right) * \rho_m + \left( f \frac{\partial \omega_m}{\partial x_j} \right) * \rho_m,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}((f\omega_m) * \rho_m) \right\|_{q,p,\alpha} \\ & \leq \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} * \rho_m \right\|_{q,p,\alpha} + \left\| \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \omega_m \right) * \rho_m \right\|_{q,p,\alpha} \\ & \quad + \left\| \left( f \frac{\partial \omega_m}{\partial x_j} \right) * \rho_m \right\|_{q,p,\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, by Proposition 2.3(b),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}((f\omega_m) * \rho_m) \right\|_{q,p,\alpha} \\ & \leq \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} * \rho_m \right\|_{q,p,\alpha} + \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus J_0^m} \right\|_{q,p,\alpha} + \frac{1}{m} \left\| \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_j} \right\|_\infty \|f \chi_{J_0^{2m} \setminus J_0^m}\|_{q,p,\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}((f\omega_m) * \rho_m) \right\|_{q,p,\alpha} = 0. \blacksquare$$

Notice that, by the result above,  $W^1((L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha)$  is the closure in  $W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha)$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  and therefore of  $W^{1,\alpha}$  if  $\alpha < \infty$ .

**4. Boundedness of singular integrals.** In [F-L-Y] several results on the boundedness of singular integrals in Morrey spaces were given. In this section we shall establish an analogous result in  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  for Riesz potential operators and deduce from it Sobolev type inequalities.

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *Suppose that  $1 < q \leq \alpha < p \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1/\alpha - 1/p$ ,  $1/q^* = 1/q - \gamma$  and  $1/\alpha^* = 1/\alpha - \gamma$ . Then, for any  $f$  in  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ ,  $I_\gamma f$  belongs to  $(L^{q^*}, l^p)^{\alpha^*}$  and  $\|I_\gamma f\|_{q^*, p, \alpha^*} \leq C \|f\|_{q, p, \alpha}$  where  $C$  is a real number not depending on  $f$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Let  $f \in (L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  be non-negative and  $(x, r) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty)$ . We have

$$f = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_{x,r,n}$$

where

$f_{x,r,0} = f \chi_{J_x^{2r}}$ ,  $f_{x,r,n} = f \chi_{T_{x,r,n}}$  with  $T_{x,r,n} = J_x^{2^{n+1}r} \setminus J_x^{2^n r}$  for  $n \geq 1$ .  $f$  being non-negative, the monotone convergence theorem gives

$$I_\gamma f = \sum_{n \geq 0} I_\gamma f_{x,r,n}.$$

By the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev theorem for fractional integration there is a real number  $A$  not depending on  $f$  or  $r$  such that

$$\|I_\gamma f_{x,r,0}\|_{q^*} \leq A \|f_{x,r,0}\|_q = A \|f \chi_{J_x^{2r}}\|_q.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I_\gamma f) \chi_{J_x^r}\|_{q^*} &\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \|(I_\gamma f_{x,r,n}) \chi_{J_x^r}\|_{q^*} \\ &\leq A \|f \chi_{J_x^{2r}}\|_q + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left[ \int_{J_x^r} \left( \int_{T_{x,r,n}} \frac{f(y)}{|z-y|^{d(1-\gamma)}} dy \right)^{q^*} dz \right]^{1/q^*} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $z \in J_x^r$  and  $y \in J_x^{2^{n+1}r} \setminus J_x^{2^n r}$ , we have

$$|z - y| \geq \frac{2^n r}{2} - \frac{r}{2} = \frac{(2^n - 1)r}{2} \geq \frac{2^{n-1}r}{2}.$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I_\gamma f) \chi_{J_x^r}\|_{q^*} &\leq A \|f \chi_{J_x^{2r}}\|_q + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2^{d(1-\gamma)} r^{d/q^*}}{(2^{n-1}r)^{d(1-\gamma)}} \int_{T_{x,r,n}} f(y) dy \\ &\leq A \|f \chi_{J_x^{2r}}\|_q + 2^{2d(1-\gamma)} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(2^d - 1)^{1-1/q}}{2^{nd(1/q-\gamma)}} \|f \chi_{J_x^{2^{n+1}r}}\|_q \\ &\leq B_{q,\infty,\alpha} \|f\|_{q,\infty,\alpha} r^{d(1/q-1/\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$B_{q,\infty,\alpha} = \left[ A + 2^{2d(1-\gamma)} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(2^d - 1)}{2^{nd(1/\alpha - \gamma)}} \right] 2^{d(1/q - 1/\alpha)} < \infty$$

because  $1/\alpha - \gamma \geq 1/\alpha - \gamma - 1/p > 0$ .

(b) Let  $f \in (L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ . By Proposition 2.2(f),  $f \in (L^q, l^\infty)^\alpha$ , that is,  $\|f\|_{q,\infty,\alpha} < \infty$ .

Since  $|f|$  is a non-negative element of  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ , by the results in (a) we have

$$\|(I_\gamma(|f|)\chi_{J_x^r})\|_q \leq B_{q,\infty,\alpha} \|f\|_{q,\infty,\alpha} r^{d(1/q - 1/\alpha)} < \infty, \quad (x, r) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty).$$

This implies that for almost every  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $I_\gamma(|f|)(z) < \infty$  and therefore  $I_\gamma f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{f(y)}{|z-y|^{d(1-\gamma)}} dy$  converges and satisfies  $|I_\gamma f(z)| \leq I_\gamma(|f|)(z)$ .

Consequently, for any  $(x, r) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty)$  we have

$$(\star) \quad \|(I_\gamma f)\chi_{J_x^r}\|_{q^*} \leq A \|f\chi_{J_x^{2r}}\|_q + 2^{2d(1-\gamma)} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(2^d - 1)^{1-1/q}}{2^{nd(1/q - \gamma)}} \|f\chi_{J_x^{2^{n+1}r}}\|_q,$$

$$(\star\star) \quad \|(I_\gamma f)\chi_{J_x^r}\|_{q^*} \leq B_{q,\infty,\alpha} \|f\|_{q,\infty,\alpha} r^{d(1/q - 1/\alpha)}.$$

Now,  $(\star\star)$  ends the proof for  $p = \infty$ . In the case  $p < \infty$ ,  $(\star)$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [ \|(I_\gamma f)\chi_{J_x^r}\|_{q^*} ]^p dx \right)^{1/p} &\leq A \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [ \|f\chi_{J_x^{2r}}\|_q ]^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad + 2^{2d(1-\gamma)} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(2^d - 1)^{1-1/q}}{2^{nd(1/q - \gamma)}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [ \|f\chi_{J_x^{2^{n+1}r}}\|_q ]^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq B_{q,p,\alpha} \|f\|_{q,p,\alpha} r^{d(1/q + 1/p - 1/\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$B_{q,p,\alpha} = \left[ A + 2^{2d(1-\gamma)} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(2^d - 1)^{1-1/q}}{2^{nd(1/\alpha - 1/p - \gamma)}} \right] 2^{d(1/q + 1/p - 1/\alpha)} < \infty$$

because  $1/\alpha - 1/p - \gamma > 0$ .

Thus, by Proposition 2.2(b),

$$\|I_\gamma f\|_{q^*,p,\alpha^*} \leq C \|f\|_{q,p,\alpha}$$

where  $C$  is a real number not depending on  $f$ . ■

The proposition above has the following consequence.

**COROLLARY 4.1.** *Suppose that  $1 < q \leq \alpha < p \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1/\alpha - 1/p$ ,  $1/q^* = 1/q - \gamma$  and  $1/\alpha^* = 1/\alpha - \gamma$ . Then for any  $f$  in  $(L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha$ ,  $I_\gamma f$  belongs to  $(L^{q^*}, l^p)_{c,0}^{\alpha^*}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in (L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha$ . There is a sequence  $(g_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset L^\alpha$  converging to  $f$  in  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ . By the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality and Proposition 4.1, we have

$$I_\gamma g_n \in L^{\alpha^*}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|I_\gamma(g_n - f)\|_{q^*, p, \alpha^*} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|I_\gamma g_n - I_\gamma f\|_{q^*, p, \alpha^*}.$$

Therefore  $I_\gamma f \in (L^{q^*}, l^p)_{c,0}^{\alpha^*}$ . ■

The results above give the following Sobolev inequality.

**PROPOSITION 4.2.** *Suppose that  $1 < q \leq \alpha < p \leq \infty$ ,  $1/d < 1/\alpha - 1/p$ ,  $1/q^* = 1/q - 1/d$  and  $1/\alpha^* = 1/\alpha - 1/d$ . Then  $W^1((L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha) \subset (L^{q^*}, l^p)_{c,0}^{\alpha^*}$  and there is a real number  $C$  such that*

$$\|f\|_{q^*, p, \alpha^*} \leq C \|\nabla f\|_{q, p, \alpha}, \quad f \in W^1((L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha).$$

*Proof.* (a) Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ . It is known (see [St]) that

$$|\varphi| \leq A \sum_{j=1}^d I_{1/d} \left( \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \right| \right)$$

where  $A$  is a real number not depending on  $\varphi$ . Therefore, by Proposition 4.1,

$$\|\varphi\|_{q^*, p, \alpha^*} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^d \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{q, p, \alpha}$$

where  $C$  is a real number not depending on  $\varphi$ .

(b) Let  $f \in W^1((L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha)$ . For any integer  $m \geq 1$ , we set  $\varphi_m = (f\omega_m) * \rho_m$  where  $\rho_m$  and  $\omega_m$  are defined as in Notations 2.2. Then  $(\varphi_m)_{m \geq 1}$  is a sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{D}$  which converges to  $f$  in  $W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha)$  (see Proposition 3.5) and therefore is a Cauchy sequence. Furthermore, by the result in (a) we have

$$\|\varphi_m - \varphi_n\|_{q^*, p, \alpha^*} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^d \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi_m}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial \varphi_n}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{q, p, \alpha}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Thus  $(\varphi_m)_{m \geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence and therefore converges in  $(L^{q^*}, l^p)^{\alpha^*}$  to an element which is nothing other than  $f$ . So  $f \in (L^{q^*}, l^p)^{\alpha^*}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{q^*, p, \alpha^*} &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_m\|_{q^*, p, \alpha^*} \leq C \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^d \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi_m}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{q, p, \alpha} \\ &= C \sum_{j=1}^d \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{q, p, \alpha}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

As in the classical case, from the above Sobolev inequality we may deduce a Kondrashov–Rellich compactness theorem in  $W^1((L^q, l^p)^\alpha)$ . For its proof we shall need the following results.

LEMMA 4.2.

(a) Suppose that  $1 \leq q \leq \alpha \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q^* \leq \alpha^* \leq p^* \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} = \frac{1-t}{q} + \frac{t}{q^*}, \quad \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}} = \frac{1-t}{\alpha} + \frac{t}{\alpha^*}, \quad \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} = \frac{1-t}{p} + \frac{t}{p^*}.$$

Then there exists a real  $C$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{\alpha}} \leq C \|f\|_{q, p, \alpha}^{1-t} \|f\|_{q^*, p^*, \alpha^*}^t, \quad f \in L^0.$$

(b) Suppose that  $1 < q \leq \alpha < p \leq \infty$ ,  $1/q^* = 1/q - 1/d > 0$ ,  $1/\alpha^* = 1/\alpha - 1/d$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} = \frac{1-t}{q} + \frac{t}{q^*} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}} = \frac{1-t}{\alpha} + \frac{t}{\alpha^*}.$$

Then there exists a real number  $C$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{q}, p, \tilde{\alpha}} \leq C \|f\|_{q, p, \alpha}^{1-t} \|\nabla f\|_{q, p, \alpha}^t, \quad f \in W^1((L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha).$$

*Proof.* (a) Let  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1$ .

(i) From the Hölder inequality we obtain, for any  $(x, r) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty)$ ,

$$\|f\chi_{J_x^r}\|_{\tilde{q}} \leq \|f\chi_{J_x^r}\|_q^{1-t} \|f\chi_{J_x^r}\|_{q^*}^t$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} r^{d(1/\tilde{\alpha}-1/\tilde{q}-1/\tilde{p})} \|f\chi_{J_x^r}\|_{\tilde{q}} \\ \leq [r^{d(1/\alpha-1/q-1/p)} \|f\chi_{J_x^r}\|_q]^{1-t} [r^{d(1/\alpha^*-1/q^*-1/p^*)} \|f\chi_{J_x^r}\|_{q^*}]^t. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *First case:*  $p = p^* = \infty$ . The result in (i) immediately yields

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{q}, \infty, \tilde{\alpha}} \leq \|f\|_{q, \infty, \alpha}^{1-t} \|f\|_{q^*, \infty, \alpha^*}^t,$$

that is,

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{\alpha}} \leq \|f\|_{q, p, \alpha}^{1-t} \|f\|_{q^*, p^*, \alpha^*}^t.$$

(iii) *Second case:*  $p^* < \infty = p$ . Using the result obtained in (i) we get

$$\begin{aligned} r^{d(1/\tilde{\alpha}-1/\tilde{q}-1/\tilde{p})} \|f\chi_{J_x^r}\|_{\tilde{q}} \\ \leq \|f\|_{q, \infty, \alpha}^{1-t} [r^{d(1/\alpha^*-1/q^*-1/p^*)} \|f\chi_{J_x^r}\|_{q^*}]^t, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, r > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, as  $\tilde{p} = p^* t^{-1} < \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} r^{d(1/\tilde{\alpha}-1/\tilde{q}-1/\tilde{p})} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|f\chi_{J_x^r}\|_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{p}} dx \right\}^{1/\tilde{p}} \\ \leq \|f\|_{q, \infty, \alpha}^{1-t} r^{d(1/\alpha^*-1/q^*-1/p^*)t} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|f\chi_{J_x^r}\|_{q^*}^{t\tilde{p}} dx \right\}^{1/\tilde{p}}, \quad r > 0, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & r^{d(1/\tilde{\alpha}-1/\tilde{q}-1/\tilde{p})} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|f \chi_{J_x^r}\|_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{p}} dx \right\}^{1/\tilde{p}} \\
 & \leq \|f\|_{q,\infty,\alpha}^{1-t} r^{d(1/\alpha^*-1/q^*-1/p^*)t} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|f \chi_{J_x^r}\|_{q^*}^{p^*} dx \right\}^{t/p^*}, \quad r > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum with respect to  $r > 0$ , we obtain

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{q},\tilde{p},\tilde{\alpha}} \leq \|f\|_{q,p,\alpha}^{1-t} \|f\|_{q^*,p^*,\alpha^*}^t.$$

In the case  $p < \infty = p^*$ , the inequality above is obtained by a similar argument.

(iv) *Third case:*  $p < \infty$  and  $p^* < \infty$ . By the result in (i) and the Hölder inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & r^{d(1/\tilde{\alpha}-1/\tilde{q}-1/\tilde{p})} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|f \chi_{J_x^r}\|_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{p}} dx \right\}^{1/\tilde{p}} \\
 & \leq \left\{ r^{d(1/\alpha-1/q-1/p)p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|f \chi_{J_x^r}\|_q^p dx \right\}^{(1-t)/p} \\
 & \quad \times r^{d(1/\alpha^*-1/q^*-1/p^*)t} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|f \chi_{J_x^r}\|_{q^*}^{p^*} dx \right\}^{t/p^*}, \quad r > 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{q},\tilde{p},\tilde{\alpha}} \leq \|f\|_{q,p,\alpha}^{1-t} \|f\|_{q^*,p^*,\alpha^*}^t.$$

An application of Proposition 2.2(b) ends the proof.

(b) is an immediate consequence of (a) and Proposition 4.2. ■

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Suppose that  $1 < q \leq \alpha < p \leq \infty$ ,  $1/d < 1/\alpha - 1/p$ ,  $1/q^* = 1/q - 1/d$ ,  $1/\alpha^* = 1/\alpha - 1/d$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ ,*

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} = \frac{1-t}{q} + \frac{t}{q^*}, \quad \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}} = \frac{1-t}{\alpha} + \frac{t}{\alpha^*}$$

and  $H$  is a bounded subset of  $W^1((L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha)$  satisfying

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in H} \|f - f \chi_{J_0^\rho}\|_{q,p,\alpha} = 0.$$

Then  $H$  is a relatively compact subset of  $(L^{\tilde{q}}, l^p)^{\tilde{\alpha}}$ .

*Proof.* (a) By Lemma 4.2(b) there is a real number  $C$  such that for any  $f \in H$ ,

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{q},p,\tilde{\alpha}} \leq C \|f\|_{q,p,\alpha}^{1-t} \|\nabla f\|_{q,p,\alpha}^t.$$

Therefore

$$\sup_{f \in H} \|f\|_{\tilde{q}, p, \tilde{\alpha}} \leq C \sup_{f \in H} \left[ \|f\|_{q, p, \alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^d \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{q, p, \alpha} \right] < \infty.$$

Thus  $H$  is a bounded subset of  $(L^{\tilde{q}}, l^p)_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ .

(b) It is clear that  $\tau_u f - f \in W^1((L^q, l^p)_{C, 0}^\alpha)$  for any  $(u, f)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d \times H$ . Therefore, by Lemma 4.2(a), Proposition 3.2, Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 2.4, there are  $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 > 0$  such that, for any  $(u, f) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times H$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau_u f - f\|_{\tilde{q}, p, \tilde{\alpha}} &\leq C_1 \|\tau_u f - f\|_{q, p, \alpha}^{1-t} \|\tau_u f - f\|_{q^*, p, \alpha}^t \\ &\leq C_2 |u|^{1-t} \|\nabla f\|_{q, p, \alpha}^{1-t} \|\nabla(\tau_u f - f)\|_{q, p, \alpha}^t \\ &\leq C_3 |u|^{1-t} \|\nabla f\|_{q, p, \alpha} \\ &\leq C_4 |u|^{1-t} \left[ \|f\|_{q, p, \alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^d \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{q, p, \alpha} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sup_{f \in H} \|\tau_u f - f\|_{\tilde{q}, p, \tilde{\alpha}} \leq C_4 |u|^{1-t} \sup_{f \in H} \left[ \|f\|_{q, p, \alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^d \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{q, p, \alpha} \right]$$

and

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow 0} \sup_{f \in H} \|\tau_u f - f\|_{\tilde{q}, p, \tilde{\alpha}} = 0.$$

(c) Let  $\theta \in C^\infty$  satisfy  $\chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus J_0^1} \leq \theta \leq \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus J_0^{1/2}}$  and

$$\theta_R(x) = \theta(x/R), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad R > 0.$$

It is clear that, for any  $(f, R) \in H \times (0, \infty)$ ,

$$|f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus J_0^R}| \leq |f \theta_R| \leq |f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus J_0^{R/2}}|$$

and therefore, by Lemma 4.2(a) and Proposition 4.2, there are  $C_1, C_2, C_3 > 0$  not depending on  $(f, R)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus J_0^R}\|_{\tilde{q}, p, \tilde{\alpha}} &\leq C_1 \|f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus J_0^{R/2}}\|_{q, p, \alpha}^{1-t} \|f \theta_R\|_{q^*, p, \alpha}^t \\ &\leq C_1 \|f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus J_0^{R/2}}\|_{q, p, \alpha}^{1-t} \|f\|_{q^*, p, \alpha}^t \\ &\leq C_2 \|f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus J_0^{R/2}}\|_{q, p, \alpha}^{1-t} \|\nabla f\|_{q, p, \alpha}^t \\ &\leq C_3 \|f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus J_0^{R/2}}\|_{q, p, \alpha}^{1-t} \left[ \|f\|_{q, p, \alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^d \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{q, p, \alpha} \right]^t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{f \in H} \|f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus J_0^R}\|_{\tilde{q}, p, \tilde{\alpha}} \\ & \leq C_3 \sup_{f \in H} \|f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus J_0^{R/2}}\|_{q, p, \alpha}^{1-t} \sup_{f \in H} \left[ \|f\|_{q, p, \alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^d \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{q, p, \alpha} \right]^t \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in H} \|f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus J_0^R}\|_{\tilde{q}, p, \tilde{\alpha}} = 0.$$

An application of Proposition 2.7 ends the proof. ■

In the case where  $q = \alpha$ , the proposition above is read as follows.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Suppose that  $1 < \alpha < \infty$ ,  $1/\alpha^* = 1/\alpha - 1/d$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ ,  $1/\tilde{\alpha} = (1 - t)/\alpha + t/\alpha^*$  and  $H$  is a bounded subset of  $W^{1, \alpha}$  satisfying*

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in H} \|f - f \chi_{J_0^\rho}\|_\alpha = 0.$$

*Then  $H$  is a relatively compact subset of  $L^{\tilde{\alpha}}$ .*

This result improves on Theorem 10 of [H-H] because it does not use the hypothesis  $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in H} \|\nabla f|_{\chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus J_0^R}}\|_\alpha = 0$ .

Proposition 4.1 has the following generalization.

PROPOSITION 4.5. *Suppose that*

- $1 \leq q \leq \alpha < p \leq \infty$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma < 1/\alpha - 1/p$ ,  $1/q^* = 1/q - \gamma$ ,  $1/\alpha^* = 1/\alpha - \gamma$ .
- $T$  is a bounded linear map of  $L^q$  into  $L^{q^*}$  such that, for any  $f$  in  $L^q$  with compact support  $K$  and any  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus K$ ,

$$|Tf(x)| \leq A \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x - y|^{d(1-\gamma)}} dy$$

where  $A$  is a real number not depending on  $f$  and  $x$ .

Then  $T$  admits a unique bounded linear extension defined on  $(L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha$ .

*Proof.* (a) Let  $f \in L^q \cap L^\alpha$ . Using the notations in the proof of Proposition 4.1, for any  $(x, r)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{n \geq 0} f_{x,r,n} && \text{in } L^q, \\ Tf &= \sum_{n \geq 0} Tf_{x,r,n} && \text{in } L^{q^*}; \end{aligned}$$

furthermore,

$$\|Tf_{x,r,n}\|_{q^*} \leq A \left\{ \int_{J_x^r} \left[ \int_{T_{x,r,n}} \frac{|f(y)|}{|z-y|^{d(1-\gamma)}} dy \right]^{q^*} dz \right\}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

$$\|(Tf_{x,r,0})\chi_{J_x^r}\|_{q^*} \leq B \|f\chi_{J_x^{2r}}\|_q$$

where  $B$  is a real number not depending on  $(f, x, r)$ . An argument similar to the proof of Proposition 4.1 leads easily to

$$\|Tf\|_{q^*,p;\alpha^*} \leq C \|f\|_{q,p;\alpha}$$

where  $C$  is a real number not depending on  $f$ .

(b) Notice that  $(L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha$  is the closure of  $L^q \cap L^\alpha$  in  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$ . Therefore the result follows from (a). ■

REMARK 4.3. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the Schwartz space of test functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . It is well known (see [Gr]) that the Riesz transform  $R_j$  defined by

$$R_j f(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(d+1)/2}} \int_{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon} f(y) \frac{x_j - y_j}{|x-y|^{d+1}} dy,$$

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d, f \in \mathcal{S}.$$

extends to a bounded linear operator on  $L^q$  for  $1 < q < \infty$ . Furthermore, for any  $f$  in  $L^q$  with compact support  $K$  and any  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus K$  we have

$$|R_j f(x)| \leq \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(d+1)/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^d} dy.$$

Therefore, as a particular case of Proposition 4.5, we have the following result.

COROLLARY 4.4. *Suppose  $1 < q \leq \alpha < p \leq \infty$ . Then the Riesz transforms  $R_j$  ( $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ ) extend to bounded linear operators on  $(L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha$ .*

**5. Application.** We suppose  $d \geq 3$ .

(a) Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ . The boundedness properties of the operators  $I_{1/d}$  and  $R_j$  ( $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ ) yield

$$\phi_j = R_j[I_{1/d}(\varphi)] \in \bigcap_{s > d/(d-1)} L^s, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, d\}.$$

As  $2 > d/(d-1)$ , we can use the Fourier transform to obtain  $c_d \sum_{j=1}^d \partial \phi_j / \partial x_j = \varphi$  where  $c_d$  is a real number depending only on  $d$  (for a similar formula see [St, p. 125]).

(b) Let  $1 < q \leq \alpha < p \leq \infty$  with  $1/p < 1/\alpha - 1/d$  and let  $f \in (L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha$ . We are interested in the equation

$$(E_f) \quad \operatorname{div} F = f.$$

Fix an integer  $n \geq 1$  and put  $f_n = \rho_n * (\omega_n f)$  where  $\rho_n$  and  $\omega_n$  are as in Notations 2.2. As  $f_n \in \mathcal{D}$ , the result in (a) implies that the equation

$$(E_{f_n}) \quad \operatorname{div} F = f_n$$

admits a solution  $F_n = (F_{nj})_{1 \leq j \leq d}$  with

$$F_{nj} = c_d R_j [I_{1/d} f_n] \in \bigcap_{s > d/(d-1)} L^s, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, d\}.$$

Using Proposition 2.3, Proposition 4.1, Corollary 4.1 and Corollary 4.4, we find that

- $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  in  $(L^q, l^p)_{c,0}^\alpha$ ,
- for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ ,  $(F_{nj})_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $F_j = c_d R_j [I_{1/d} f]$  in  $(L^{q^*}, l^p)_{c,0}^{\alpha^*}$ ,

with  $1/q^* = 1/q - 1/d$  and  $1/\alpha^* = 1/\alpha - 1/d$ .

Therefore, for any  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div} F(x) \varphi(x) \, dx &= - \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F_j(x) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(x) \, dx \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ - \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F_{nj}(x) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(x) \, dx \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial F_{nj}}{\partial x_j}(x) \right) \varphi(x) \, dx \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_n(x) \varphi(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \varphi(x) \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

that is, equation  $(E_f)$  admits the solution  $F = (F_j)_{1 \leq j \leq d}$  in  $[(L^{q^*}, l^p)_{c,0}^{\alpha^*}]^d$ .

It is worth noting the link between the above result and Proposition 1.1 in the light of Proposition 1.2.

### References

[Br] H. Brezis, *Analyse fonctionnelle; théorie et applications*, Masson, Paris, 1987.  
 [C-P] G. Cupini and R. Petti, *Morrey space and local regularity of minimizers of variational integrals*, Rend. Mat. 21 (2001), 121–141.  
 [Do] D. Douyon, *Intégrale fractionnaire sur  $M^{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  et applications*, Thèse, Université de Bamako, 2010.  
 [D-F] D. Douyon and I. Fofana, *A Sobolev inequality for functions with locally bounded variation in  $\mathbb{R}^d$* , Math. Ann. Afr. 1 (2010), 49–67.  
 [D-F-K] D. Douyon, I. Fofana and B. A. Kpata, *Some properties of Radon measures having their Riesz potential in a Lebesgue space*, preprint.

- [F-L-Y] D. Fan, S. Lu and D. Yang, *Regularity in Morrey spaces of strong solutions to nondivergence elliptic equations with VMO coefficients*, Georgian Math. J. 5 (1998), 425–440.
- [Fe1] H. G. Feichtinger, *Un espace de Banach de distributions tempérées sur les groupes localement compacts abéliens*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 290 (1980), 791–794.
- [Fe2] H. G. Feichtinger, *Banach spaces of distributions of Wiener’s type and interpolation*, in: Functional Analysis and Approximation (Oberwolfach, 1980), Birkhäuser, 1981, 153–165.
- [F-F-K] J. Feuto, I. Fofana and K. Koua, *Weighted norm inequalities for a maximal operator in some subspace of amalgams*, Canad. Math. Bull. 53 (2010), 263–277.
- [Fo1] I. Fofana, *Étude d’une classe d’espaces de fonctions contenant les espaces de Lorentz*, Afrika Mat. 1 (1988), 29–50.
- [Fo2] I. Fofana, *Continuité de l’intégrale fractionnaire et espace  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 308 (1989), 525–527.
- [Fo3] I. Fofana, *Espace  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d, n)$  : espace de fonctions à moyenne fractionnaire intégrales*, Thèse, Univ. de Cocody, 1995.
- [Fo4] I. Fofana, *Espace  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  et continuité de l’opérateur maximal fractionnaire de Hardy–Littlewood*, Afrika Mat. 12 (2001), 23–37.
- [F-S] J. J. F. Fournier and J. Stewart, *Amalgams of  $L^p$  and  $l^q$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1985), 1–22.
- [Gr] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier Analysis*, Springer, 2008.
- [H-H] H. Hanche-Olsen and H. Holden, *The Kolmogorov–Riesz compactness theorem*, Expo. Math. 28 (2010), 385–394.
- [Ho] F. Holland, *Harmonic analysis on amalgams of  $L^p$  and  $l^q$* , J. London Math. Soc. (2) 10 (1975), 295–305.
- [K-F-K] B. A. Kpata, I. Fofana and K. Koua, *Necessary condition for measures which are  $(L^q, L^p)$  multipliers*, Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal 16 (2009), 339–353.
- [K-J-F] A. Kufner, O. John and S. Fučík, *Function Spaces*, Noordhoff, Leiden, and Academia, Praha, 1977.
- [P-T] N. C. Phuc and M. Torres, *Characterizations of the existence and removable singularities of divergence-measure vector fields*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 57 (2008), 1573–1597.
- [S-F] M. Sanogo and I. Fofana, *Fourier transform and compactness in  $(L^1, l^p)^\alpha$  and  $M^{p,\alpha}$  spaces*, Comm. Math. Anal. 11 (2011), 139–153.
- [St] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1970.
- [Wi1] N. Wiener, *On the representation of functions by trigonometrical integrals*, Math. Z. 24 (1926), 575–616.
- [Wi2] N. Wiener, *Tauberian theorems*, Ann. of Math. 33 (1932), 1–100.

Mouhamadou Dosso, Ibrahim Fofana  
 UFR de Mathématiques et Informatique  
 Université de Cocody  
 22 BP 582 Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire  
 E-mail: mouhamadou.dosso@yahoo.fr  
 fofana\_ib\_math\_ab@yahoo.fr

Moumine Sanogo  
 D.E.R. de Mathématiques et Informatique  
 Université de Bamako  
 Bamako, Mali  
 E-mail: sanogomoumine@yahoo.fr

