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#### Abstract

In this paper, we are interested in multiple positive solutions for the Kirchhoff type problem $$
\begin{cases}-\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=u^{5}+\lambda \frac{u^{q-1}}{|x|^{\beta}} & \text { in } \Omega \\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$ where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a smooth bounded domain, $0 \in \Omega, 1<q<2, \lambda$ is a positive parameter and $\beta$ satisfies some inequalities. We obtain the existence of a positive ground state solution and multiple positive solutions via the Nehari manifold method.


1. Introduction and main results. This paper concerns the positive solutions of the following Kirchhoff type equation:

$$
\begin{cases}-\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=u^{5}+\lambda \frac{u^{q-1}}{|x|^{\beta}} & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{3}, a, b>0,0 \in \Omega, \lambda>0$ is a real parameter, $1<q<2$ and $0 \leq \beta<2$.

Indeed, (1.1) has its origin in the theory of nonlinear vibration. For example, the following equation describes the nonlinear vibration of a stretched string:

$$
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-\left(\frac{\rho_{0}}{h}+\frac{E}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=0
$$

where $\rho, \rho_{0}, h, E, L$ are constants, which have the following meaning: $\rho$ is the mass density, $\rho_{0}$ is the initial tension, $h$ represents the area of the cross-

[^0]section, $E$ is the Young modulus of the material, and $L$ is the length of the string. The above equation is the first model taking into account the change of the axial tension along the string which is caused by the change of its length during the vibration. It is noteworthy that the model contains a nonlocal term $\int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x$; the above nonlocal equation was first proposed by Kirchhoff in 1876 [13]. In the recent years, the existence and multiplicity of solutions to the Kirchhoff type problem
\[

$$
\begin{cases}-\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=h(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.2}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$
\]

has been extensively studied, and some important and interesting results have been found. For example, in [2, 4, 6, 7, 14, 18, 19, 22, 26, 27], the existence of positive solutions has been established by variational methods. The existence of sign-changing solutions for problem (1.2) has been studied via invariant sets of the descent flow (see [20, 21, (28]). If $\Omega$ is an unbounded domain, [15-16, 23] established the existence of weak solutions and [12, 24] studied the existence of infinitely many solutions. Recently, there are some papers on the Kirchhoff type problem involving the critical growth (see [1, 9, 11, 16, 22, 26] and the references therein).

More recently, Chen et al. [6] considered the Kirchhoff type problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=f(x) u^{p-2} u+\lambda g(x)|u|^{q-2} u & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.3}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

assuming that $1<q<2<p<6$ and the sign-changing weight functions $f, g \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{h}_{1}\right) f^{+}=\max \{f, 0\} \neq 0 . \\
& \left(\mathrm{h}_{2}\right) g^{+}=\max \{g, 0\} \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We report here one of the main results of [6] for the reader's convenience.
Theorem A (see [6]). Suppose $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with smooth boundary, $1<q<2,4<p<6$ and $\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{h}_{2}\right)$ hold. Then there exists a positive constant $\lambda_{0}(a)>0$ such that for each $a>0$ and $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}(a)\right)$, problem (1.3) has at least two positive solutions.

Thus, motivated by [6], in equation (1.3), suppose $1<q<2, p=6$, $f(x) \equiv 1, g(x)=1 /|x|^{\beta}$; an interesting question now is whether the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions can be established for such Kirchhoff type problems involving critical and singular nonlinearities. We will give a positive answer by applying the Nehari manifold method.

Throughout this paper, we use the following notation:

- The space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is equipped with the norm $\|u\|=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}$, the norm in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ is denoted by $|u|_{p}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}$.
- $u^{+}(x)=\max \{u(x), 0\}, u^{-}(x)=\max \{-u(x), 0\}$.
- $C, C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots$ denote various positive constants, which may vary from line to line.
- Let $S$ be the best Sobolev constant, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S:=\inf _{u \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{6} d x\right)^{1 / 3}} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy functional corresponding to problem (1.1) is given by

$$
I_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\frac{1}{6} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{6} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x
$$

A function $u$ is called a weak solution of problem (1.1) if $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and for all $\varphi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ we have

$$
\left(a+b\|u\|^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}(\nabla u, \nabla \varphi) d x-\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{5} \varphi d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q-1}}{|x|^{\beta}} \varphi d x=0
$$

Let $R_{0}>0$ be a constant such that $\Omega \subset B\left(0, R_{0}\right)$, where $B\left(0, R_{0}\right)=$ $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:|x|<R_{0}\right\}$. By Hölder's inequality and (1.4), for all $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, $1<q<2,0 \leq \beta<2$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x  \tag{1.5}\\
\leq & \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q \cdot \frac{6}{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{6}}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{6 \beta}{6-q}}} d x\right)^{\frac{6-q}{6}} \\
\leq & S^{-q / 2}\|u\|^{q}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{6 \beta}{6-q}}} d x\right)^{\frac{6-q}{6}} \leq S^{-q / 2}\|u\|^{q}\left(\int_{B\left(0, R_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{6 \beta}{6-q}}} d x\right)^{\frac{6-q}{6}} \\
\leq & S^{-q / 2}\|u\|^{q}\left(\int_{0}^{R_{0}} \frac{r^{2}}{r^{\frac{6 \beta}{6-q}}} d r\right)^{\frac{6-q}{6}}=\frac{6-q}{18-3 q-6 \beta} R_{0}^{(6-2 \beta-q) / 2} S^{-q / 2}\|u\|^{q} .
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and consider an arbitrary subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$. By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{align*}
T & =\frac{6-q}{18-3 q-6 \beta} R_{0}^{(6-2 \beta-q) / 2} S^{-q / 2}, \\
T_{1} & =\frac{1}{T}\left(\frac{2-q}{4}\right)^{(2-q) / 4}\left(\frac{4 a S}{6-q}\right)^{(6-q) / 4},  \tag{1.7}\\
T_{2} & =\frac{a q}{T(6-q)}\left(\frac{2-q}{6-q} a S^{3}\right)^{(2-q) / 4} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now our main results are as follows:
Theorem 1.1. Assume $1<q<2$ and $0 \leq \beta<2$. Then there exists $\lambda_{*}>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{*}\right)$, problem (1.1) has a positive ground state solution.

Theorem 1.2. Assume $1<q<2$ and $3-q \leq \beta<2$. Then there exists $\lambda_{* *}>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{* *}\right)$, problem (1.1) has at least two positive solutions, and one of the solutions is a positive ground state solution.

Remark 1.3. Ambrosetti et al. 3 has studied the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for problem (1.3) with $a=1, b=0, f(x)=$ $g(x)=1$ and $p=6$. When $b>0, f(x)=g(x)=1$ and $p=6$, (1.3) reduces to a Kirchhoff type problem with concave-convex nonlinearities. However, in that case, to the best of our knowledge, there are no results on multiplicity of positive solutions. The reason is that, in view of $b>0$, type problem becomes more complicated than in the case $b=0$, namely, it is difficult to estimate the critical value level.

Remark 1.4. It is of importance to obtain multiple positive solutions for problem (1.1) when $3-q \leq \beta<2$. If $\beta=0$ in (1.1), Figueiredo et al. [10] have obtained infinitely many solutions for (1.1), and the energy functional value level is negative, but they could not get multiple positive solutions. In this paper, the typical difficulty is the lack of compactness of the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{6}(\Omega)$. We overcome the difficulty by using the concentration-compactness principle.

This work is organized as follows. In the next section we present some preliminary results. In Section 3, we give the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.
2. Some preliminary results. As $I_{\lambda}$ is not bounded below on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, we will work on the Nehari manifold

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}:\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\} .
$$

Note that $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ contains all nonzero solutions of problem (1.1). Moreover,
$u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ if and only if

$$
a\|u\|^{2}+b\|u\|^{4}-\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{6} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x=0
$$

We split $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ into three parts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}=\left\{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}:(2-q) a\|u\|^{2}+(4-q) b\|u\|^{4}-(6-q) \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{6} d x>0\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}=\left\{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}:(2-q) a\|u\|^{2}+(4-q) b\|u\|^{4}-(6-q) \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{6} d x=0\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}=\left\{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}:(2-q) a\|u\|^{2}+(4-q) b\|u\|^{4}-(6-q) \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{6} d x<0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.1.
(i) If $\lambda \in\left(0, T_{1}\right)\left(T_{1}\right.$ is as in (1.7)), then $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{ \pm} \neq \emptyset$.
(ii) If $\lambda \in\left(0, \frac{6-q}{2(4-q)} T_{1}\right)$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}=\emptyset$.

Proof. (i) Let $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$, and define $\Phi, \Phi_{1} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(t) & =a t^{-4}\|u\|^{2}+b t^{-2}\|u\|^{4}-\lambda t^{q-6} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \\
\Phi_{1}(t) & =a t^{-4}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda t^{q-6} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\Phi_{1}^{\prime}(t)=-4 a t^{-5}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda(q-6) t^{q-7} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x
$$

Solving $\Phi_{1}^{\prime}(t)=0$, we obtain

$$
t_{\max }=\left[\frac{\lambda(6-q) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x}{4 a\|u\|^{2}}\right]^{1 /(2-q)}
$$

Easy computations show that $\Phi_{1}^{\prime}(t)>0$ for all $0<t<t_{\max }$ and $\Phi_{1}^{\prime}(t)<0$ for all $t>t_{\mathrm{max}}$. Thus $\Phi_{1}(t)$ attains its maximum at $t_{\max }$, that is,

$$
\Phi_{1}\left(t_{\max }\right)=\frac{2-q}{4}\left[\frac{4 a}{6-q}\right]^{\frac{6-q}{2-q}} \frac{\|u\|^{\frac{2(6-q)}{2-q}}}{\left(\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x\right)^{\frac{4}{2-q}}} .
$$

Note that $\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{6} d x \leq \int_{\Omega} u^{6} d x$. Then from (1.5) one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(t_{\max }\right)-\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{6} d x & \geq \Phi_{1}\left(t_{\max }\right)-\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{6} d x \\
& >\frac{2-q}{4}\left[\frac{4 a}{6-q}\right]^{\frac{6-q}{2-q}} \frac{\|u\|^{\frac{2(6-q)}{2-q}}}{\left(\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x\right)^{\frac{4}{2-q}}}-\int_{\Omega} u^{6} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq\left\{\frac{2-q}{4}\left[\frac{4 a}{6-q}\right]^{\frac{6-q}{2-q}}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda T}\right)^{\frac{4}{2-q}}\left(\frac{\|u\|^{2}}{|u|_{6}^{2}}\right)^{\frac{6-q}{2-q}}-1\right\}|u|_{6}^{6} \\
& \geq\left\{\frac{2-q}{4}\left[\frac{4 a S}{6-q}\right]^{\frac{6-q}{2-q}}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda T}\right)^{\frac{4}{2-q}}-1\right\}|u|_{6}^{6}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality holds for every $0<\lambda<T_{1}$. It follows that there exist two positive numbers denoted by $t^{ \pm}$such that $0<t^{+}=t^{+}(u)<$ $t_{\text {max }}<t^{-}=t^{-}(u), t^{+} u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$and $t^{-} u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$.
(ii) For contradiction, suppose that there exists $u_{0} \neq 0$ such that $u_{0} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
a\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}+b\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{4} & =\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)^{6} d x+\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x  \tag{2.1}\\
4 a\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}+2 b\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{4} & =\lambda(6-q) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

These imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x=\frac{2 a}{4-q}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}+\frac{2}{4-q} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)^{6} d x>\frac{2 a}{4-q}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the one hand, since $\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}>S\left|u_{0}\right|_{6}^{2}$ for $u_{0} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}$, using (1.5) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta & :=T^{\frac{4}{2-q}} S^{-\frac{6-q}{2-q}} \frac{\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{\frac{2(6-q)}{2-q}}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x\right)^{\frac{4}{2-q}}}-\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)^{6} d x \\
& >T^{\frac{4}{2-q}} S^{-\frac{6-q}{2-q}} \frac{\left(S\left|u_{0}\right|_{6}^{2}\right)^{\frac{6-q}{2-q}}}{T^{\frac{4}{2-q}}\left|u_{0}\right|_{6}^{\frac{8}{2-q}}}-\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)^{6} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{6} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)^{6} d x \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, by (2.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta & =T^{\frac{4}{2-q}} S^{-\frac{6-q}{2-q}} \lambda^{\frac{4}{2-q}} \frac{\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{\frac{2(6-q)}{2-q}}}{\left(\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)^{q}}{\mid x^{3}} d x\right)^{\frac{4}{2-q}}}-\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)^{6} d x \\
& \leq T^{\frac{4}{2-q}} S^{-\frac{6-q}{2-q}} \lambda^{\frac{4}{2-q}} \frac{\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{\frac{2(6-q)}{2-q}}}{\left(\frac{2 a}{4-q}\right)^{\frac{4}{2-q}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{\frac{8}{2-q}}} \\
& =T^{\frac{4}{2-q}} S^{-\frac{6-q}{2-q}} \lambda^{\frac{4}{2-q}}\left(\frac{4-q}{2 a}\right)^{\frac{4}{2-q}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)^{6} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $u_{0} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}$, the above equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T^{\frac{4}{2-q}} S^{-\frac{6-q}{2-q}} \lambda^{\frac{4}{2-q}}\left(\frac{4-q}{2 a}\right)^{\frac{4}{2-q}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}-\frac{a(2-q)}{6-q}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}-\frac{b(4-q)}{6-q}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{4} \\
& =\frac{a(2-q)}{6-q}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}\left[T^{\frac{4}{2-q}} S^{-\frac{6-q}{2-q}} \lambda^{\frac{4}{2-q}}\left(\frac{4-q}{2 a}\right)^{\frac{4}{2-q}} \frac{6-q}{a(2-q)}-1\right]-\frac{b(4-q)}{6-q}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{4} \\
& <0
\end{aligned}
$$

when $\lambda<\frac{6-q}{2(4-q)} T_{1}$, which is a contradiction.
Lemma 2.2. $I_{\lambda}$ is coercive and bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$.
Proof. If $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$, then by (1.5) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}(u) & =\frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\frac{1}{6} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{6} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \\
& =\frac{a}{3}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{b}{12}\|u\|^{4}-\lambda\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{6}\right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \\
& \geq \frac{a}{3}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{b}{12}\|u\|^{4}-\lambda\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{6}\right) T\|u\|^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $1<q<2$, the conclusion follows.
We remark that by Lemma 2.1 we have $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}=\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+} \cup \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$for all $\lambda$ in $\left(0, \frac{6-q}{2(4-q)} T_{1}\right)$. Moreover, we know that $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$and $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$are nonempty, and by Lemma 2.2 we may define

$$
\alpha_{\lambda}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}} I_{\lambda}(u), \quad \alpha_{\lambda}^{+}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}} I_{\lambda}(u), \quad \alpha_{\lambda}^{-}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}} I_{\lambda}(u)
$$

Lemma 2.3.
(i) $\alpha_{\lambda} \leq \alpha_{\lambda}^{+}<0$.
(ii) If $\lambda \in\left(0, T_{2}\right)\left(T_{2}\right.$ is given in $\left.(1.7)\right)$, then $\alpha_{\lambda}^{-}>\frac{a}{6}\left(\frac{2-q}{6-q} S^{3} a\right)^{1 / 2}$.

Proof. (i) Suppose $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{6} d x<\frac{2-q}{6-q} a\|u\|^{2}+\frac{4-q}{6-q} b\|u\|^{4} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.4) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}(u) & =\frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\frac{1}{6} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{6} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}\right) a\|u\|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{q}\right) b\|u\|^{4}+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{6}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{6} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
< & \left(\frac{a}{2}-\frac{1}{q}\right) a\|u\|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{q}\right) b\|u\|^{4} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\frac{2-q}{6-q} a\|u\|^{2}+\frac{4-q}{6-q} b\|u\|^{4}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{3}\left(1-\frac{2}{q}\right) a\|u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{q}\right) b\|u\|^{4}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

By the definitions of $\alpha_{\lambda}$ and $\alpha_{\lambda}^{+}$, we obtain $\alpha_{\lambda} \leq \alpha_{\lambda}^{+}<0$.
(ii) Suppose $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$. Then

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{6} d x>\frac{2-q}{6-q} a\|u\|^{2}+\frac{4-q}{6-q} b\|u\|^{4}
$$

According to (1.4) and $\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{6} d x \leq \int_{\Omega}|u|^{6} d x$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{-3}\|u\|^{6} & \geq \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{6} d x>\frac{2-q}{6-q} a\|u\|^{2}+\frac{4-q}{6-q} b\|u\|^{4} \\
& \geq \frac{2-q}{6-q} a\|u\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\| \geq\left(\frac{2-q}{6-q} S^{3} a\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume $\lambda \in\left(0, T_{2}\right)$. Then from $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$and (2.5) one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}(u) & =\frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\frac{1}{6} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{6} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \\
& =\frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\frac{1}{6}\left(a\|u\|^{2}+b\|u\|^{4}-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x\right)-\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \\
& \geq \frac{a}{3}\|u\|^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{6}\right) \lambda T\|u\|^{q} \\
& =\|u\|^{q}\left\{\frac{a}{3}\|u\|^{2-q}-\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{6}\right) \lambda T\right\} \\
& \geq \frac{a}{6}\left(\frac{2-q}{6-q} S^{3} a\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.4. For every $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$, there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and a continuously differentiable function $f=f(w)>0, w \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),\|w\|<\varepsilon$, satisfying

$$
f(0)=1, \quad f(w)(u+w) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}, \quad \forall w \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),\|w\|<\varepsilon
$$

Proof. For $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$, define $F: \mathbb{R} \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(t, w)= & t^{2-q} a \int_{\Omega}|\nabla(u+w)|^{2} d x+t^{4-q} b\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla(u+w)|^{2} d x\right)^{2} \\
& -t^{6-q} \int_{\Omega}\left((u+w)^{+}\right)^{6} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$, it is easily seen that $F(1,0)=0$ and

$$
F_{t}(1,0)=(2-q) a\|u\|^{2}+(4-q) b\|u\|^{4}-(6-q) \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{6} d x .
$$

As $u \neq 0$, Lemma 2.1 shows that $F_{t}(1,0) \neq 0$. Thus, we can apply the implicit function theorem at the point $(0,1)$ to obtain $\varepsilon>0$ and a continuously differentiable function $f: B(0, \varepsilon) \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$as in the conclusion of the lemma.

Lemma 2.5. For every $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$, there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and a continuously differentiable function $\tilde{f}=\tilde{f}(v)>0, v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),\|v\|<\varepsilon$, satisfying

$$
\tilde{f}(0)=1, \quad \tilde{f}(v)(u+v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}, \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),\|v\|<\varepsilon .
$$

Proof. Similar to the argument in Lemma 2.4, for $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$, define a function $\tilde{F}: \mathbb{R} \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{F}(t, v)= & t^{2-q} a \int_{\Omega}|\nabla(u+v)|^{2} d x+t^{4-q} b\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla(u+v)|^{2} d x\right)^{2} \\
& -t^{6-q} \int_{\Omega}\left((u+v)^{+}\right)^{6} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$, we get $\tilde{F}(1,0)=0$ and $\tilde{F}_{t}(1,0)<0$. Therefore, we can apply the implicit function theorem at $(0,1)$ to get the result.

Lemma 2.6. If $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ is a minimizing sequence of $I_{\lambda}$, then for any $\varphi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \varphi\right\rangle=\frac{\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right|\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\|\varphi\|}{n} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, $I_{\lambda}$ is coercive on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$. Then by Ekeland's variational principle [8], there exists a minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ for $I_{\lambda}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)<\alpha_{\lambda}+\frac{1}{n}, \quad I_{\lambda}(v)-I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \geq-\frac{1}{n}\left\|v-u_{n}\right\|, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, Lemma 2.2 shows that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. So there exist
a subsequence (still denoted $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ ) and $u_{*}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{*} & \text { weakly in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \\ u_{n} \rightarrow u_{*} & \text { strongly in } L^{p}(\Omega)(1 \leq p<6) \\ u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u_{*}(x) & \text { a.e. in } \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Pick $t>0$ small enough and $\varphi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, and set $u=u_{n}, w=$ $t \varphi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. By Lemma 2.4 there exists $f_{n}(t)=f_{n}(t \varphi)$ satisfying $f_{n}(0)=1$, $f_{n}(t)\left(u_{n}+t \varphi\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}-\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{6} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (2.7) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{n}\left[\left|f_{n}(t)-1\right| \cdot\left\|u_{n}\right\|+t f_{n}(t)\|\varphi\| t\right] & \geq \frac{1}{n}\left\|f_{n}(t)\left(u_{n}+t \varphi\right)-u_{n}\right\|  \tag{2.9}\\
& \geq I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-I_{\lambda}\left[f_{n}(t)\left(u_{n}+t \varphi\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-I_{\lambda}\left[f_{n}(t)\left(u_{n}+t \varphi\right)\right] \\
&= \frac{1-f_{n}^{2}(t)}{2} a\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1-f_{n}^{4}(t)}{4} b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4} \\
&+\frac{f_{n}^{6}(t)-1}{6} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(u_{n}+t \varphi\right)^{+}\right)^{6} d x+\lambda \frac{f_{n}^{q}(t)-1}{q} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(\left(u_{n}+t \varphi\right)^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \\
&+\frac{f_{n}^{2}(t)}{2}\left(a+\frac{f_{n}^{2}(t)}{2} b\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{n}+t \varphi\right\|^{2}\right)\right)\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{n}+t \varphi\right\|^{2}\right) \\
&+\frac{1}{6}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\left(u_{n}+t \varphi\right)^{+}\right)^{6} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{6} d x\right) \\
&+\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(\left(u_{n}+t \varphi\right)^{+}\right)^{q}-\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (2.8) and (2.9), dividing by $t$ and letting $t \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right|\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\|\varphi\|}{n} \\
& \quad \geq-f_{n}^{\prime}(0) a\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+f_{n}^{\prime}(0) b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}+f_{n}^{\prime}(0) \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{6} d x+\lambda f_{n}^{\prime}(0) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \\
& \quad-\left(a+b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{n}, \nabla \varphi\right) d x+\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{5} \varphi d x+\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{q-1}}{|x|^{\beta}} \varphi d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-\left(a+b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{n}, \nabla \varphi\right) d x+\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{5} \varphi d x+\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{q-1} \varphi}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \\
& =-\left(a+b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{n}, \nabla \varphi\right) d x+\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{5} \varphi d x+\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{q-1} \varphi}{|x|^{\beta}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right|\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\|\varphi\|}{n} \leq & \left(a+b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{n}, \nabla \varphi\right) d x  \tag{2.10}\\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{5} \varphi d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{q-1} \varphi}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \\
= & \left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \varphi\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\varphi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. As (2.10) also holds for $-\varphi$, we see that (2.6) holds. Moreover, by Lemma 2.4, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that $\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.6) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a+b \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{*}, \nabla \varphi\right) d x=\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{*}^{+}\right)^{5} \varphi d x+\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{*}^{+}\right)^{q-1} \varphi}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.6.
We define

$$
\Lambda=\frac{a b S^{3}}{4}+\frac{b^{3} S^{6}}{24}+\frac{\left(b^{2} S^{4}+4 a S\right)^{3 / 2}}{24}
$$

Lemma 2.7. Assume $1<q<2$ and $0 \leq \beta<2$, and let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$be a minimizing sequence for $I_{\lambda}$ with
$\alpha_{\lambda}^{-}<\Lambda-D \lambda^{2 /(2-q)} \quad$ where $\quad D=\left(\frac{(4-q)}{4 q} T\right)^{2 /(2-q)}\left(\frac{2 q}{a}\right)^{q /(2-q)}$.
Then there exists $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{6}(\Omega)$.
Proof. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \alpha_{\lambda}^{-} \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.2, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, there exists $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}u_{n} \rightharpoonup u & \text { weakly in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \\ u_{n} \rightarrow u & \text { strongly in } L^{p}(\Omega)(1 \leq p<6) \\ u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x) & \text { a.e. in } \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Furthermore, by the concentration-compactness principle (see [17]), there
exists a subsequence, still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, such that

$$
\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \rightharpoonup d \mu \geq\|u\|^{2}+\sum_{j \in J} \mu_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}, \quad\left|u_{n}\right|_{6}^{6} \rightarrow d \nu=|u|_{6}^{6}+\sum_{j \in J} \nu_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}
$$

where $J$ is an at most countable index set, $\delta_{x_{j}}$ is the Dirac mass at $x_{j}$, and $x_{j} \in \Omega$ is in the support of $\mu, \nu$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j}, \nu_{j} \geq 0, \quad \mu_{j} \geq S \nu_{j}^{1 / 3} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\varepsilon>0$ small, let $\psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x)$ be a smooth cut-off function centered at $x_{j}$ such that $0 \leq \psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x) \leq 1$,

$$
\psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x)=1 \text { in } B\left(x_{j}, \varepsilon / 2\right), \quad \psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x)=0 \text { in } B\left(x_{j}, \varepsilon\right), \quad\left|\nabla \psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x)\right| \leq 4 / \varepsilon
$$

By (1.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{q-1}}{|x|^{\beta}} \psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x) u_{n} d x\right| \\
& \quad \leq \int_{B\left(x_{j}, \varepsilon\right)} \frac{\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \leq\left(\int_{B\left(x_{j}, \varepsilon\right)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q \cdot \frac{6}{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{6}}\left(\int_{B\left(x_{j}, \varepsilon\right)} \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{6 \beta}{6-q}}} d x\right)^{\frac{6-q}{6}} \\
& \quad \leq S^{-q / 2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q}\left(\int_{B\left(x_{j}, \varepsilon\right)} \frac{1}{\left|x-x_{j}\right|^{\frac{6 \beta}{6-q}}} d x\right)^{\frac{6-q}{6}} \\
& \quad=S^{-q / 2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{r^{2}}{r^{\frac{6 \beta}{6-q}}} d r\right)^{\frac{6-q}{6}} \\
& \quad=S^{-q / 2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{6 \beta}{6-q}-2}} d r\right)^{\frac{6-q}{6}} \\
& \quad=S^{-q / 2}\left(\frac{6-q}{18-3 q-6 \beta}\right)^{\frac{6-q}{6}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q} \varepsilon^{\frac{6-2 \beta-q}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, it follows that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{q-1}}{|x|^{\beta}} \psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x) u_{n} d x=0
$$

By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(\psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x) u_{n}\right)\right|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n} \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x)+\psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x) \nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leq \frac{16}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{B\left(x_{j}, \varepsilon\right)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{8}{\varepsilon} \int_{B\left(x_{j}, \varepsilon\right)} u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right| d x+\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{16}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{6} d x\right)^{1 / 3}\left(\int_{B\left(x_{j}, \varepsilon\right)} 1 d x\right)^{2 / 3}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{8}{\varepsilon}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\left(\int_{B\left(x_{j}, \varepsilon\right)} u_{n}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{16}{\varepsilon^{2}} C_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \varepsilon^{2}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{8}{\varepsilon} C_{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{3} \varepsilon=\left(16 C_{1}+1\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+8 C_{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are positive constants. Since $\left\{f_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ are bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, one gets

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right|\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\left\|\psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x) u_{n}\right\|}{n}=0
$$

so that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right|\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\left\|\psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x) u_{n}\right\|}{n}=0
$$

Setting $\varphi=\psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x) u_{n}$ in (2.6), and taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x) u_{n}\right\rangle \\
= & \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\left(a+b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{n}, \nabla\left(\psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x) u_{n}\right)\right) d x\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{5} \psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x) u_{n} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{q-1}}{|x|^{\beta}} \psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x) u_{n} d x\right\} \\
= & \left(a+b \int_{\Omega} d \mu\right) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{\varepsilon, j} d \mu-\int_{\Omega} \psi_{\varepsilon, j} d \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\nu_{j}=\left(a+b \mu_{j}\right) \mu_{j}
$$

By (2.13) we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{j}^{2 / 3} \geq a S+b S^{2} \nu_{j}^{1 / 3}, \quad \text { or } \quad \nu_{j}=\mu_{j}=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $X=\nu_{j}^{1 / 3}$. It follows from (2.14) that

$$
X^{2} \geq a S+b S^{2} X
$$

which means that

$$
X \geq \frac{b S^{2}+\sqrt{b^{2} S^{4}+4 a S}}{2}
$$

so that

$$
\mu_{j} \geq S X \geq \frac{b S^{3}+\sqrt{b^{2} S^{6}+4 a S^{3}}}{2}=: K
$$

Next we show that $\mu_{j} \geq\left(b S^{3}+\sqrt{b^{2} S^{6}+4 a S^{3}}\right) / 2$ is impossible, therefore the set $J$ is empty. Assume the contrary: there exists some $j_{0} \in J$ such that $\mu_{j_{0}} \geq\left(b S^{3}+\sqrt{b^{2} S^{6}+4 a S^{3}}\right) / 2$. By (2.12), (1.6), (1.5) and Young's
inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\lambda}^{-}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left(a\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}-\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{6} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x\right)\right\}$
$\geq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right) a\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+b\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{4}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}\right.$ $\left.+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{6}\right) \int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{6} d x-\lambda\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4}\right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x\right\}$
$\geq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right) a\left(\|u\|^{2}+\sum_{j \in J} \mu_{j}\right)+b\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(\|u\|^{2}+\sum_{j \in J} \mu_{j}\right)^{2}$
$+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{6} d x+\sum_{j \in J} \nu_{j}\right)-\lambda\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4}\right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x$
$\geq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right) a \mu_{j_{0}}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{4}\right) b \mu_{j_{0}}^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{6}\right) \nu_{j_{0}}+\frac{a}{4}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4}\right) T\|u\|^{q}$
$\geq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right) a K+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{4}\right) b K^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{6}\right) \frac{K^{3}}{S^{3}}-D \lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}$
$\geq \frac{a}{2} K+\frac{b}{4} K^{2}-\frac{K^{3}}{6 S^{3}}-\frac{1}{4}\left(a K+b K^{2}-\frac{K^{3}}{S^{3}}\right)-D \lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}$,
where $D=\left(\frac{(4-q)}{4 q} T\right)^{2 /(2-q)}\left(\frac{2 q}{a}\right)^{q /(2-q)}$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a}{2} K+\frac{b}{4} K^{2}-\frac{K^{3}}{6 S^{3}}=\Lambda \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed,

$$
\frac{a}{2} K=\frac{a b S^{3}+a \sqrt{b^{2} S^{6}+4 a S^{3}}}{4}
$$

and

$$
\left(b S^{3}+\sqrt{b^{2} S^{6}+4 a S^{3}}\right)^{2}=2 b^{2} S^{6}+4 a S^{3}+2 b S^{3} \sqrt{b^{2} S^{6}+4 a S^{3}}
$$

So
$\left(b S^{3}+\sqrt{b^{2} S^{6}+4 a S^{3}}\right)^{3}=12 a b S^{6}+4 b^{3} S^{9}+\left(4 b^{2} S^{6}+4 a S^{3}\right) \sqrt{b^{2} S^{6}+4 a S^{3}}$.
Hence

$$
\frac{K^{3}}{6 S^{3}}=\frac{12 a b S^{3}+4 b^{3} S^{6}+\left(4 b^{2} S^{3}+4 a\right) \sqrt{b^{2} S^{6}+4 a S^{3}}}{48}
$$

and

$$
\frac{a}{2} K+\frac{b}{4} K^{2}=\frac{8 a b S^{3}+2 b^{3} S^{6}+\left(4 a+2 b^{2} S^{3}\right) \sqrt{b^{2} S^{6}+4 a S^{3}}}{16}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{a}{2} K+\frac{b}{4} K^{2}-\frac{K^{3}}{6 S^{3}}=\frac{a b S^{3}}{4}+\frac{b^{3} S^{6}}{24}+\frac{\left(4 a+b^{2} S^{3}\right) \sqrt{b^{2} S^{6}+4 a S^{3}}}{24}=\Lambda
$$

An easy computation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
a K+b K^{2}-\frac{K^{3}}{S^{3}}=0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.15)-(2.17), we get $\Lambda-D \lambda^{2 /(2-q)} \leq \alpha_{\lambda}^{-}<\Lambda-D \lambda^{2 /(2-q)}$. This is a contradiction. Hence $J$ is empty, thus $\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{6} d x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} u^{6} d x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.7.

It is well known that the extremal function

$$
U(x)=\frac{3^{1 / 4}}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

solves

$$
-\Delta u=u^{5} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

and $|\nabla U|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2}=|U|_{L^{6}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{6}=S^{3 / 2}$. Let $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be a cut-off function such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1,|\nabla \eta| \leq C$ and $\eta(x)=1$ for $|x|<2 R$, and $\eta(x)=0$ for $|x|>3 R$. We define

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x)=\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} \eta(x) U\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)=\frac{\left(3 \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1 / 4} \eta(x)}{\left(\varepsilon^{2}+|x|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

It is known (see [25, Lemma 1.46], [5]) that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|_{6}^{6}=|U|_{L^{6}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{6}+O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)=S^{3 / 2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)  \tag{2.18}\\
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}=|\nabla U|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2}+O(\varepsilon)=S^{3 / 2}+O(\varepsilon)
\end{array}\right.
$$

In much the same way as in [26] we can deduce

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}=|\nabla U|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{4}+O(\varepsilon)=S^{3}+O(\varepsilon)  \tag{2.19}\\
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{6}=|\nabla U|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{6}+O(\varepsilon)=S^{9 / 2}+O(\varepsilon) \\
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{8}=|\nabla U|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{8}+O(\varepsilon)=S^{6}+O(\varepsilon) \\
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{12}=|\nabla U|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{12}+O(\varepsilon)=S^{9}+O(\varepsilon)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 2.8. Assume $1<q<2$ and $3-q \leq \beta<2$. Then there exists $\bar{u} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\sup _{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda}(t \bar{u})<\Lambda-D \lambda^{2 /(2-q)}
$$

where $D$ is given in Lemma 2.7. In particular,

$$
\alpha_{\lambda}^{-}<\Lambda-D \lambda^{2 /(2-q)}
$$

Proof. We claim that there exist $t_{\varepsilon}>0$ and positive constants $t_{0}, T_{1}$, independent of $\varepsilon, \lambda$, such that $\sup _{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda}\left(t u_{\varepsilon}\right)=I_{\lambda}\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<t_{0} \leq t_{\varepsilon} \leq T_{1}<\infty \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, since $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} I_{\lambda}\left(t u_{\varepsilon}\right)=-\infty$, there exists $t_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\sup _{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda}\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \text { and }\left.\quad \frac{d I_{\lambda}\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{d t}\right|_{t=t_{\varepsilon}}=0 . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.21) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{\varepsilon} a\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}+t_{\varepsilon}^{3} b\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}-t_{\varepsilon}^{5} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{6} d x-\lambda t_{\varepsilon}^{q-1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x=0,  \tag{2.22}\\
& a\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}+3 t_{\varepsilon}^{2} b\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}-5 t_{\varepsilon}^{4} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{6} d x-\lambda(q-1) t_{\varepsilon}^{q-2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x<0 . \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2.22) and (2.23) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2-q) t_{\varepsilon} a\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}+(4-q) t_{\varepsilon}^{3} b\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}<(6-q) t_{\varepsilon}^{5} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{6} d x . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the one hand, we can calculate easily from (2.24) that $t_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded below, that is, there exists a positive constant $t_{0}>0$ (independent of $\varepsilon, \lambda$ ) such that $0<t_{0} \leq t_{\varepsilon}$.

On the other hand, it follows from (2.22) that

$$
\frac{a\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}}{t_{\varepsilon}^{2}}+b\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}=t_{\varepsilon}^{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{6} d x+\frac{\lambda}{t_{\varepsilon}^{4-q}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x
$$

so $t_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded above for all $\varepsilon>0$ small enough. Thus (2.20) is true.
We set $I_{\lambda}\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)=A\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)-\lambda B\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)$, where

$$
A\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\frac{a}{2} t_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4} t_{\varepsilon}^{4}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}-\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{6}}{6} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{6} d x, \quad B\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\frac{1}{q} t_{\varepsilon}^{q} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x .
$$

Firstly, we claim that there exists a positive constant $C_{3}$ (independent of $\varepsilon, \lambda$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \Lambda+C_{3} \varepsilon . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, let

$$
h(t)=\frac{a}{2} t^{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4} t^{4}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}-\frac{t^{6}}{6} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{6} d x .
$$

Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t)=-\infty, h(0)=0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} h(t)>0$, it follows that $\sup _{t \geq 0} h(t)$ is attained at $T_{\varepsilon}>0$, that is,

$$
\left.h^{\prime}(t)\right|_{T_{\varepsilon}}=a T_{\varepsilon}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}+b T_{\varepsilon}^{3}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}-T_{\varepsilon}^{5} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{6} d x=0 .
$$

Observe that

$$
T_{\varepsilon}^{4} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{6} d x-a\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}-b T_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}=0
$$

so

$$
T_{\varepsilon}=\left(\frac{b\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}+\sqrt{b^{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{8}+4 a\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{6} d x}}{2 \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{6} d x}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Since $h(t)$ is increasing in $\left[0, T_{\varepsilon}\right]$, by (2.18) and (2.19) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq & h\left(T_{\varepsilon}\right) \\
= & \frac{a b\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{6}}{4 \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{6} d x}+\frac{b^{3}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{12}}{24\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{6} d x\right)^{2}}+\frac{\left(b^{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{8}+4 a\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{6} d x\right)^{3 / 2}}{24\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{6} d x\right)^{2}} \\
= & \frac{a b\left(S^{9 / 2}+O(\varepsilon)\right)}{4\left(S^{3 / 2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)\right)}+\frac{b^{3}\left(S^{9}+O(\varepsilon)\right)}{24\left(S^{3 / 2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{\left[b^{2}\left(S^{6}+O(\varepsilon)\right)+4 a\left(S^{3 / 2}+O(\varepsilon)\right)\left(S^{3 / 2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)\right)\right]^{3 / 2}}{24\left(S^{3 / 2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)\right)^{2}} \\
= & \frac{a b S^{3}}{4}+\frac{b^{3} S^{6}}{24}+\frac{\left(b^{2} S^{6}+4 a S^{3}\right)^{3 / 2}}{24 S^{3}}+O(\varepsilon) \\
= & \frac{a b S^{3}}{4}+\frac{b^{3} S^{6}}{24}+\frac{\left(b^{2} S^{4}+4 a S\right)^{3 / 2}}{24}+O(\varepsilon)=\Lambda+O(\varepsilon) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, there exists $C_{3}>0$ (independent of $\varepsilon, \lambda$ ) such that (2.25) holds.
We now estimate $B\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)$. By the definition of $u_{\varepsilon}$ and (2.20), in addition, let $0<\varepsilon<\rho_{1}<2 R$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
B\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)= & t_{\varepsilon}^{q} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x  \tag{2.26}\\
& \geq t_{0}^{q}\left(3 \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{q / 4} \int_{|x|<\rho_{1}} \frac{|x|^{-\beta}}{\left(\varepsilon^{2}+|x|^{2}\right)^{q / 2}} d x+t_{0}^{q} \int_{|x| \geq \rho_{1}} \frac{\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \\
& \geq t_{0}^{q} 3^{q / 4} \varepsilon^{q / 2} \int_{0}^{\rho_{1}} \frac{r^{2}}{r^{\beta}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{q / 2}} d r \\
= & t_{0}^{q} 3^{q / 4} \varepsilon^{(6-q-2 \beta) / 2} \int_{0}^{\rho_{1} \varepsilon^{-1}} \frac{r^{2}}{r^{\beta}\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{q / 2}} d r \\
= & t_{0}^{q} 3^{q / 4} \varepsilon^{(6-q-2 \beta) / 2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{r^{2}}{r^{\beta}\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{q / 2}} d r \\
& +t_{0}^{q} 3^{q / 4} \varepsilon^{(6-q-2 \beta) / 2} \int_{1}^{\rho_{1} \varepsilon^{-1}} \frac{r^{2}}{r^{\beta}\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{q / 2}} d r .
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.26), we get

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \geq \begin{cases}C \varepsilon^{(6-q-2 \beta) / 2}, & q>3-\beta \\ C \varepsilon^{(6-q-2 \beta) / 2}|\ln \varepsilon|, & q=3-\beta \\ C \varepsilon^{q / 2}, & q<3-\beta\end{cases}
$$

CASE $\beta>3-q$. Then $q>3-\beta$, so there exists a constant $C_{4}>0$ (independent of $\varepsilon, \lambda$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq C_{4} \varepsilon^{(6-q-2 \beta) / 2} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $1<q<2$ and $3-q<\beta<2$, it follows that $(6-q-2 \beta) / 2<1$ and $\frac{6-2 q-2 \beta}{2-q}<0$. Let $\varepsilon=\lambda^{2 /(2-q)}$ and $\lambda<\lambda_{0}=\left(\frac{C_{3}}{C_{4}+D}\right)^{\frac{2-q}{2 q+2 \beta-6}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{3} \varepsilon-C_{4} \lambda \varepsilon^{(6-q-2 \beta) / 2} & =C_{3} \lambda^{2 /(2-q)}-C_{4} \lambda^{\frac{8-2 q-2 \beta}{2-q}} \\
& =\lambda^{2 /(2-q)}\left(C_{3}-C_{4} \lambda^{\frac{6-2 q-2 \beta}{2-q}}\right) \\
& <-D \lambda^{2 /(2-q)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the combination of (2.25) and (2.27) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) & =A\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)-\lambda B\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& \leq \Lambda+C_{3} \varepsilon-C_{4} \lambda \varepsilon^{(6-q-2 \beta) / 2} \\
& \leq \Lambda-D \lambda^{2 /(2-q)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case $\beta=3-q$. Then there exists a constant $C_{5}>0$ (independent of $\varepsilon, \lambda$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq C_{5} \varepsilon^{(6-q-2 \beta) / 2}|\ln \varepsilon| \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varepsilon=\lambda^{2 /(2-q)}, \lambda<\tilde{\lambda}_{0}=\min \left\{1, e^{-\left(C_{3}+D\right) / C_{6}}\right\}$, where $C_{6}=\frac{2 C_{5}}{2-q}$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{3} \varepsilon-C_{5} \lambda \varepsilon^{(6-q-2 \beta) / 2}|\ln \varepsilon| & =C_{3} \lambda^{2 /(2-q)}-\frac{2 C_{5}}{2-q} \lambda^{\frac{8-2 q-2 \beta}{2-q}}|\ln \lambda| \\
& =\lambda^{2 /(2-q)}\left(C_{3}-C_{6} \lambda^{\frac{6-2 q-2 \beta}{2-q}}|\ln \lambda|\right) \\
& =\lambda^{2 /(2-q)}\left(C_{3}-C_{6}|\ln \lambda|\right)<-D \lambda^{2 /(2-q)}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (2.25) and (2.28) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) & =A\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)-\lambda B\left(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \Lambda+C_{3} \varepsilon-C_{5} \lambda \varepsilon^{(6-q-2 \beta) / 2}|\ln \varepsilon| \\
& \leq \Lambda-D \lambda^{2 /(2-q)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.8.

## 3. Proofs of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1. There exists a constant $\delta>0$ such that $\Lambda-D \lambda^{2 /(2-q)}>0$ for $\lambda<\delta$. Set $\lambda_{*}=\min \left\{\frac{6-q}{2(4-q)} T_{1}, T_{2}, \delta\right\}$. Then Lem-
mas 2.1-2.4, 2.6, 2.7 hold for all $0<\lambda<\lambda_{*}$. By Lemma 2.6, there exists a minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ of $I_{\lambda}$, obviously bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$; going if necessary to a subsequence, still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, there exists $u_{\lambda} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{\lambda} & \text { weakly in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \\ u_{n} \rightarrow u_{\lambda} & \text { strongly in } L^{s}(\Omega), 1 \leq s<6 \\ u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u_{\lambda}(x) & \text { a.e. in } \Omega\end{cases}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now we will prove that $u_{\lambda}$ is a positive ground state solution of problem (1.1).

First, we prove that $u_{\lambda}$ is a positive solution of (1.1). Indeed, by Lemma 2.6, for all $\varphi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$,

$$
\left(a+b \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}, \nabla \varphi\right) d x-\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{\lambda}^{+}\right)^{5} \varphi d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{\lambda}^{+}\right)^{q-1} \varphi}{|x|^{\beta}} d x=0
$$

Set $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|=l$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a+b l^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}, \nabla \varphi\right) d x=\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{\lambda}^{+}\right)^{5} \varphi d x+\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{\lambda}^{+}\right)^{q-1} \varphi}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the test function $\varphi=u_{\lambda}$ in (3.1) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a+b l^{2}\right)\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{\lambda}^{+}\right)^{6} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{\lambda}^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that $u_{n} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ implies that

$$
\left(a+b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{6} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x=0
$$

As $\alpha_{\lambda}<0<\Lambda-D \lambda^{2 /(2-q)}$, by Lemma 2.7 and (1.6) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a+b l^{2}\right) l^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{\lambda}^{+}\right)^{6} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{\lambda}^{+}\right)^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that $\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|=l$, so $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{\lambda}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, and $u_{\lambda}$ is a solution of problem (1.1), that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a+b\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}, \nabla \varphi\right) d x=\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{\lambda}^{+}\right)^{5} \varphi d x+\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{\lambda}^{+}\right)^{q-1} \varphi}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Taking the test function $\varphi=u_{\lambda}^{-}$in (3.4), we get $\left\|u_{\lambda}^{-}\right\|=0$, so $u_{\lambda} \geq 0$. Furthermore, note that $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ ( $u_{\lambda}$ is a nontrivial solution of
problem (1.1)) and $\alpha_{\lambda}<0$ (by Lemma 2.3), so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{6}\right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{\lambda}^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x & =\frac{a}{3}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}+\frac{b}{12}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{4}-I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{a}{3}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}+\frac{b}{12}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{4}-\alpha_{\lambda}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $u_{\lambda} \not \equiv 0$. Therefore, by the strong maximum principle, $u_{\lambda}>0$ in $\Omega$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.7 and (1.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\lambda}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)=I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we want to show that $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$and $I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}^{+}$. We first prove that $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$. On the contrary, assume that $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}=\emptyset\right.$ for $\left.\lambda \in\left(0, \frac{6-q}{2(4-q)} T_{1}\right)\right)$. By Lemma 2.1, there exist $0<t^{+}<t_{\max }<t^{-}=1$ such that $t^{+} u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}, t^{-} u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$and

$$
\alpha_{\lambda}<I_{\lambda}\left(t^{+} u_{\lambda}\right)<I_{\lambda}\left(t^{-} u_{\lambda}\right)=I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$. By the definition of $\alpha_{\lambda}^{+}$, we obtain $\alpha_{\lambda}^{+} \leq I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)$. It follows from Lemma 2.3(i) and (3.5) that

$$
I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}^{+}=\alpha_{\lambda}<0
$$

From the above arguments, $u_{\lambda}$ is a positive ground state solution of problem (1.1). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Set $\lambda_{* *}=\min \left\{\lambda_{*}, \lambda_{0}, \tilde{\lambda}_{0}\right\}$. Then Lemmas 2.1-2.8 hold for all $0<\lambda<\lambda_{*}$. By Theorem 1.1, $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$is a positive ground state solution of (1.1). Now, we shall verify that (1.1) has another solution $v_{\lambda}$, and $v_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$with $I_{\lambda}\left(v_{\lambda}\right)>0$.

Since $I_{\lambda}$ is also coercive on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$, Ekeland's variational principle applied to the minimization problem $\alpha_{\lambda}^{-}=\inf _{v \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}} I_{\lambda}(v)$ yields a minimizing sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$for $I_{\lambda}$ with the following properties:
(i) $I_{\lambda}\left(v_{n}\right)<\alpha_{\lambda}^{-}+1 / n$,
(ii) $I_{\lambda}(u) \geq I_{\lambda}\left(v_{n}\right)-(1 / n)\left\|u-v_{n}\right\|$ for all $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$.

Since $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, passing to a subsequence if necessary, there exists $v_{\lambda} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}v_{n} \rightharpoonup v_{\lambda} & \text { weakly in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \\ v_{n} \rightarrow v_{\lambda} & \text { strongly in } L^{s}(\Omega), 1 \leq s \leq 6 \\ v_{n}(x) \rightarrow v_{\lambda}(x) & \text { a.e. in } \Omega\end{cases}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now we will prove that $v_{\lambda}$ is a positive solution of (1.1). As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we get $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{\lambda}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, and $v_{\lambda}$ is a nonnegative solution of (1.1).

Now, we prove that $v_{\lambda}>0$ in $\Omega$. Since $v_{n} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(2-q)\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2} & \leq(6-q) \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{6} d x-b(4-q)\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{4} \\
& \leq(6-q) \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{n}\right|^{6} d x<(6-q) S^{-3}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{n}\right\|>\left(\frac{a(2-q) S^{3}}{6-q}\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{\lambda}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),(3.6)$ implies that $v_{\lambda} \not \equiv 0$. Therefore, the strong maximum principle implies that $v_{\lambda}>0$ in $\Omega$.

Next, we prove that $v_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$; it suffices to show that $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$is closed.
Indeed, by Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8, for $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{6} d x=\int_{\Omega} v_{\lambda}^{6} d x
$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$,

$$
(2-q) a\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}+(4-q) b\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{4}-(6-q) \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{6} d x<0
$$

thus

$$
(2-q) a\left\|v_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}+(4-q) b\left\|v_{\lambda}\right\|^{4}-(6-q) \int_{\Omega} v_{\lambda}^{6} d x \leq 0
$$

which implies that $v_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0} \cup \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$. If $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$is not closed, then $v_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}$, and by Lemma 2.1 it follows that $v_{\lambda}=0$, which contradicts $v_{\lambda}>0$. Consequently, $v_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.3,

$$
I_{\lambda}\left(v_{\lambda}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\lambda}\left(v_{n}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}^{-}>0
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.
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