

## On absolutely monotone set-valued functions

by ANDRZEJ SMAJDOR (Kraków)

**Abstract.** We define absolutely monotone multifunctions and prove their analyticity on an interval  $[0, b)$ .

1. Let  $f : [a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The  $p$ th order difference  $\Delta_s^p f(t)$  of  $f$  is defined inductively as follows:

$$\Delta_s^0 f(t) = f(t), \quad \Delta_s^{p+1} f(t) = \Delta_s^p f(t+s) - \Delta_s^p f(t)$$

for every nonnegative integer  $p$ ,  $t \in [a, b)$ ,  $s > 0$  such that  $t + (p+1)s < b$ .

We say that the function  $f$  is *absolutely monotone* in the interval  $[a, b)$  if  $\Delta_s^p f(t) \geq 0$  for  $a \leq t \leq t+ps < b$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots$ . The following Bernstein theorem is well known (see e.g. [3, Theorem 2.3.2]):

**THEOREM.** *Every absolutely monotone function  $f : [0, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is analytic:*

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n$$

in  $[0, b)$  with  $a_n \geq 0$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ .

2. In this paper we prove an analogue of S. Bernstein's theorem for absolutely monotone set-valued functions. Let  $Y$  be a real normed space and let  $\text{cc}(Y)$  denote the family of all nonempty compact convex subsets of  $Y$ . A set  $C \in \text{cc}(Y)$  is the *Hukuhara difference* of  $A \in \text{cc}(Y)$  and  $B \in \text{cc}(Y)$  if

$$A = B + C = \{b + c : b \in B, c \in C\}$$

(see [2]). If the difference  $C = A - B$  exists, then it is unique. This is a consequence of the following:

**LEMMA 1** (cf. [5]). *Let  $A, B$  and  $C$  be subsets of a real topological vector space such that*

$$A + B \subset C + B.$$

*If  $C$  is convex closed and  $B$  is nonempty bounded, then  $A \subset C$ .*

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 26A48, 26A51, 26E25.

*Key words and phrases*: absolutely monotone set-valued functions.

Now, let  $-\infty < a < b \leq \infty$  and let  $H : [a, b] \rightarrow \text{cc}(Y)$ . We define the  $p$ th differences  $\Delta_s^p H(t)$  by the recurrence

$$\Delta_s^0 H(t) = H(t), \quad \Delta_s^{p+1} H(t) = \Delta_s^p H(t+s) - \Delta_s^p H(t)$$

for every nonnegative integer  $p$ ,  $t \in [a, b)$ ,  $s > 0$  such that  $t + (p+1)s < b$ .

A set-valued function is said to be *absolutely monotone* if all differences  $\Delta_s^p H(t)$  exist and each contains zero.

EXAMPLE. Let  $A \in \text{cc}(Y)$  be such that  $0 \in A$ . Suppose that  $h : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . Then  $H(t) = h(t)A$  is an absolutely monotone set-valued function if and only if  $h$  is an absolutely monotone real function.

We can observe the following:

REMARK. Let  $b$  and  $\alpha$  be positive numbers,  $H : [0, b] \rightarrow \text{cc}(Y)$  and  $G(t) = H(\alpha t)$  on  $[0, b/\alpha)$ . Then  $G$  is absolutely monotone if and only if  $H$  is absolutely monotone.

Let  $G : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{cc}(Y)$  be a given multifunction. The polynomial

$$B_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} t^i (1-t)^{n-i} G\left(\frac{i}{n}\right)$$

is called the  $n$ th *Bernstein polynomial* of  $G$ .

THEOREM 1. *If  $G : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{cc}(Y)$  is continuous (with respect to the Hausdorff metric  $d$  in  $\text{cc}(Y)$ ), then*

$$d(B_n(t), G(t)) \leq \frac{3}{2} \omega\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

where

$$\omega(\delta) = \sup\{d(G(t''), G(t')) : |t'' - t'| < \delta\}.$$

The proof of this theorem runs similarly to the proof of Bernstein's approximation theorem (cf. [3]).

LEMMA 2. *Let  $G : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{cc}(Y)$  be a multifunction. Then*

$$(1) \quad B_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} t^i \Delta_{1/n}^i G(0)$$

for positive integers  $n$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Let " $\sim$ " denote the Rådström equivalence relation between pairs of members of  $\text{cc}(Y)$  defined by the formula

$$(A, B) \sim (C, D) \Leftrightarrow A + D = B + C.$$

For any pair  $(A, B)$ ,  $[A, B]$  denotes its equivalence class. All equivalence classes form a linear space  $\tilde{Y}$  with addition defined by the rule

$$[A, B] + [C, D] = [A + C, B + D]$$

and scalar multiplication

$$\lambda[A, B] = \begin{cases} [\lambda A, \lambda B] & \text{for } \lambda \geq 0, \\ \lambda[A, B] = [-\lambda B, -\lambda A] & \text{for } \lambda < 0 \end{cases}$$

(cf. [5]).

Consider the function  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  defined as follows:

$$g(t) = [G(t), \{0\}].$$

It can be proved by induction that

$$(2) \quad \Delta_s^p g(t) = [\Delta_s^p G(t), \{0\}]$$

and

$$(3) \quad \Delta_s^p g(t) = \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} t^i (-1)^{p-i} g(t + is)$$

for nonnegative integers  $p, t \in [0, 1)$  and  $s > 0$  such that  $t + ps < 1$ .

Let  $b_n$  be Bernstein's polynomials of  $g$ :

$$b_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} t^i (1-t)^{n-i} g\left(\frac{i}{n}\right).$$

Then

$$b_n(t) = [B_n(t), \{0\}].$$

Using Newton's binomial formula, replacing  $j$  by  $j - i$  in the second sum below, changing the order of summation, and then making use of the identity  $\binom{n}{i} \binom{n-i}{j-i} = \binom{n}{j} \binom{j}{i}$  and equality (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b_n(t) &= \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n-i}{j} (-1)^j t^{i+j} g\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=i}^n \binom{n}{i} \binom{n-i}{j-i} (-1)^{j-i} t^j g\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{n}{j} \binom{j}{i} (-1)^{j-i} t^j g\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} t^j \Delta_{1/n}^j g(0). \end{aligned}$$

According to (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} t^i \Delta_{1/n}^i G(0), \{0\} \right] &= \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} t^i [\Delta_{1/n}^i G(0), \{0\}] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} t^i \Delta_{1/n}^i g(0) = b_n(t) = [B_n(t), \{0\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left( \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} t^i \Delta_{1/n}^i G(0), \{0\} \right) \sim (B_n(t), \{0\})$$

and (1) holds.

LEMMA 3. Let  $0 < c \leq b$  and  $H : [0, b) \rightarrow \text{cc}(Y)$ . If  $A_i, B_i \in \text{cc}(Y)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ , are such that

$$H(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n A_n \quad \text{for } t \in [0, b),$$

$$H(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n B_n \quad \text{for } t \in [0, c),$$

then  $A_i = B_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ .

*Proof.* We see that  $A_0 = H(0) = B_0$ . Suppose that

$$A_0 = B_0, \dots, A_k = B_k.$$

Then

$$A_{k+1} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{H(t) - \sum_{i=0}^k t^i A_i}{t^{k+1}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{H(t) - \sum_{i=0}^k t^i B_i}{t^{k+1}} = B_{k+1}.$$

THEOREM 2. A set-valued function  $H : [0, b) \rightarrow \text{cc}(Y)$  is absolutely monotone if and only if there exist sets  $A_i \in \text{cc}(Y)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ , containing zero such that

$$(4) \quad H(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n A_n \quad \text{for } t \in [0, b).$$

*Proof.* 1. Suppose that  $H : [0, b) \rightarrow \text{cc}(Y)$  is of the form (4) and that  $0 \in A_n \in \text{cc}(Y)$ . We see that

$$H(t+s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (t+s)^n A_n = H(t) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ((t+s)^n - t^n) A_n,$$

therefore

$$\Delta_s^1 H(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_s^1 t^n A_n.$$

By induction it may be shown that

$$\Delta_s^p H(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_s^p t^n A_n.$$

Thus all differences  $\Delta_s^p H(t)$  exist. As they contain zero,  $H$  is an absolutely monotone multifunction.

2. Now, suppose that  $H : [0, b) \rightarrow \text{cc}(Y)$  is an absolutely monotone multifunction. The differences  $\Delta_s^1 H(t)$  and  $\Delta_s^2 H(t)$  exist and contain zero, therefore

$$H(t) \subset H(t) + \Delta_s^1 H(t) = H(t + s)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2H(t + s) &\subset 2H(t + s) + \Delta_s^2 H(t) = H(t + s) + H(t) + \Delta_s^1 H(t) + \Delta_s^2 H(t) \\ &= H(t + s) + H(t) + \Delta_s^1 H(t + s) = H(t) + H(t + 2s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $H$  is increasing and midconcave in  $[0, b)$ .

Fix a number  $c \in (0, b)$ . The function  $H$ , being midconcave and bounded on  $[0, c]$ , is continuous, according to Theorem 4.4 in [4]. Define  $G(t) = H(ct)$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $G$  is continuous and by Theorem 1 it is the uniform limit of the sequence of its Bernstein polynomials  $B_n(t)$ . By Lemma 2 we have

$$B_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} t^i \Delta_{1/n}^i G(0) = \sum_{i=0}^n t^i A_i^n,$$

where  $A_i^n = \binom{n}{i} \Delta_{1/n}^i G(0)$ . We note that  $0 \in A_0^n$  and

$$(5) \quad A_0^n \subset B_n(1) = G(1).$$

Since  $G(1)$  is compact, the family of all closed subsets of  $G(1)$  is compact (see [1, p. 41]). By (5) there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $(n_k^0)$  and  $A_0(c) \in \text{cc}(Y)$  such that

$$A_0^{n_k^0} \rightarrow A_0(c).$$

Similarly, since

$$A_1^{n_k^0} \subset B_{n_k^0}(1) = G(1),$$

there exists a strictly increasing subsequence  $(n_k^1)$  of  $(n_k^0)$  and  $A_1 \in \text{cc}(Y)$  such that

$$A_0^{n_k^1} \rightarrow A_1(c)$$

and so on. Applying the diagonalization procedure to the sequences  $(A_0^{n_k^0})$ ,  $(A_0^{n_k^1}), \dots$  we obtain a strictly increasing sequence  $(n_k)$  such that

$$A_0^{n_k} \rightarrow A_0(c), \quad A_1^{n_k} \rightarrow A_1(c), \quad \dots$$

Fix  $t \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and define

$$S_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n t^i A_i(c) \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Choose a positive integer  $k$  so large that  $2\|G(1)\|t^k(1-t)^{-1} < \varepsilon/3$ , where  $\|G(1)\| = \sup\{\|y\| : y \in G(1)\}$ , and then choose  $L$  large enough to get  $d(B_{n_l}(t), G(t)) < \varepsilon/3$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} d(A_j^{n_l}, A_j) < \varepsilon/3$  for  $l \geq L$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(S_{n_l}(t), G(t)) &\leq d(S_{n_l}(t), B_{n_l}(t)) + d(B_{n_l}(t), G(t)) \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon/3 + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n_l} t^i d(A_i^{n_l}, A_i) \leq (2/3)\varepsilon + 2\|G(1)\| \frac{t^{k+1}}{1-t} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(6) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} S_{n_l}(t) = G(t)$$

and according to Theorem II-2 in [1],

$$G(t) = \overline{\bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} S_{n_l}(t)}.$$

Using the monotonicity of the sequence  $(S_n(t))$  we get

$$S_l(t) \subset S_{n_l}(t) \subset G(t) \quad \text{for } l = 0, 1, \dots$$

Therefore the sequence  $d(G(t), S_l(t))$  is decreasing. By (6),

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} d(G(t), S_l(t)) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} d(G(t), S_{n_l}(t)) = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$G(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^i A_i \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1].$$

The definition of  $G$  leads to

$$H(t) = G(t/c) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^i c^{-i} A_i \quad \text{for } t \in [0, c].$$

Now (4) follows from Lemma 3.

## References

- [1] C. Castaing and M. Valadier, *Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions*, Lecture Notes in Math. 580, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [2] M. Hukuhara, *Intégration des applications mesurables dont la valeur est un compact convexe*, Funcial. Ekvac. 10 (1967), 205–223;
- [3] S. Łojasiewicz, *An Introduction to the Theory of Real Functions*, Wiley, 1988.
- [4] K. Nikodem, *K-convex and K-concave set-valued functions*, Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Łódz. Mat. 559, Rozprawy Naukowe 114, 1989.
- [5] H. Rådström, *An embedding theorem for spaces of convex sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952), 165–169.

Pedagogical University  
Podchorążych 2  
30-084 Kraków, Poland  
E-mail: asmajdor@ap.krakow.pl

Received 18.7.2005  
and in final form 2.11.2005

(1596)