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#### Abstract

We establish sharp inequalities for $C$-totally real doubly warped product submanifolds in $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space forms and in non-Sasakian $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifolds.


1. Introduction. Let $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ be two Riemannian manifolds and $f_{1}, f_{2}$ differentiable, positive-valued functions on $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively. The doubly warped product $M={ }_{f_{2}} M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{2}$ is the product manifold $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ equipped with the metric

$$
g=f_{2}^{2} g_{1}+f_{1}^{2} g_{2}
$$

More explicitly, if $\pi_{1}: M_{1} \times M_{2} \rightarrow M_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}: M_{1} \times M_{2} \rightarrow M_{2}$ are canonical projections, then the metric $g$ is given by

$$
g=\left(f_{2} \circ \pi_{2}\right)^{2} \pi_{1}^{*} g_{1}+\left(f_{1} \circ \pi_{1}\right)^{2} \pi_{2}^{*} g_{2}
$$

The functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are called warping functions. If either $f_{1} \equiv 1$ or $f_{2} \equiv 1$, but not both, then we get a warped product. If both $f_{1} \equiv 1$ and $f_{2} \equiv 1$, then we obtain a Riemannian product manifold. If neither $f_{1}$ nor $f_{2}$ is constant, then we have a non-trivial doubly warped product [Ün].

For a doubly warped product ${ }_{f_{2}} M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{2}$, let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ denote the distributions obtained from the vectors on $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively.

Assume that

$$
x:{ }_{f_{2}} M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{2} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}
$$

is an isometric immersion of a doubly warped product ${ }_{f_{2}} M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{2}$ into a Riemannian manifold $\widetilde{M}$. We denote by $\sigma$ the second fundamental form of $x$ and by $H_{i}=\left(1 / n_{i}\right)$ trace $\sigma_{i}$ the partial mean curvatures, where trace $\sigma_{i}$ is the trace of $\sigma$ restricted to $M_{i}$ and $n_{i}=\operatorname{dim} M_{i}(i=1,2)$. The immersion

[^0]$x$ is called mixed totally geodesic if $\sigma(X, Z)=0$ for any vector fields $X$ and $Z$ tangent to $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$, respectively.

If $f_{2} M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{2}$ is a doubly warped product, we have

$$
\nabla_{X} Y=\nabla_{X}^{1} Y-\frac{f_{2}^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}} g_{1}(X, Y) \nabla^{2}\left(\ln f_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\nabla_{X} Z=Z\left(\ln f_{2}\right) X+X\left(\ln f_{1}\right) Z
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ tangent to $M_{1}$, and $Z$ tangent to $M_{2}$, where $\nabla^{1}$ and $\nabla^{2}$ are the Levi-Civita connections of the Riemannian metrics $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$, respectively. Here, $\nabla^{2}\left(\ln f_{2}\right)$ denotes the gradient of $\ln f_{2}$ with respect to the metric $g_{2}$.

If $X$ and $Z$ are unit vector fields, it follows that the sectional curvature $K(X \wedge Z)$ of the plane section spanned by $X$ and $Z$ is given by

$$
K(X \wedge Z)=\frac{1}{f_{1}}\left\{\left(\nabla_{X}^{1} X\right) f_{1}-X^{2} f_{1}\right\}+\frac{1}{f_{2}}\left\{\left(\nabla_{Z}^{2} Z\right) f_{2}-Z^{2} f_{2}\right\} .
$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{2} \frac{\Delta f_{1}}{f_{1}}+n_{1} \frac{\Delta f_{2}}{f_{2}}=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n_{1}<s \leq n} K\left(e_{j} \wedge e_{s}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a local orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n_{1}}, e_{n_{1}+1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ such that $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n_{1}}$ are tangent to $M_{1}$ and $e_{n_{1}+1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are tangent to $M_{2}$.

In Ch-2002, B. Y. Chen proved the following result for a warped product submanifold of a Riemannian manifold of constant sectional curvature:

Theorem 1.1. Let $x: M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}(c)$ be an isometric immersion of an $n$-dimensional warped product $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$ into an m-dimensional Riemannian manifold $\widetilde{M}(c)$ of constant sectional curvature $c$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta f}{f} \leq \frac{n^{2}}{4 n_{2}}\|H\|^{2}+n_{1} c \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{i}=\operatorname{dim} M_{i}, n=n_{1}+n_{2}$, and $\Delta$ is the Laplacian operator of $M$. Equality holds in (1.2) identically if and only if $x$ is a mixed totally geodesic immersion and $n_{1} H_{1}=n_{2} H_{2}$, where $H_{i}, i=1,2$, are the partial mean curvature vectors.

In (MM, K. Matsumoto and I. Mihai studied warped product submanifolds in Sasakian space forms. In Mi-2004] and Mi-2005], A. Mihai considered warped product submanifolds in complex space forms and quaternion space forms, respectively. Recently, in MAEM, C. Murathan, K. Arslan, R. Ezentaş and I. Mihai studied warped product submanifolds in Kenmotsu space forms. Later, B. Y. Chen and F. Dillen extended inequality (1.2) to multiply warped product submanifolds in arbitrary Riemannian manifolds

ChDi]. Recently, in Tri], M. M. Tripathi established basic inequalities for $C$-totally real warped product submanifolds of $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space forms and non-Sasakian $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifolds.

In Ol, A. Olteanu established the following general inequality for arbitrary isometric immersions of doubly warped product manifolds in arbitrary Riemannian manifolds:

Theorem 1.2. Let $x$ be an isometric immersion of an n-dimensional doubly warped product $M={ }_{f_{2}} M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{2}$ into an arbitrary $m$-dimensional Riemannian manifold $\widetilde{M}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{2} \frac{\Delta_{1} f_{1}}{f_{1}}+n_{1} \frac{\Delta_{2} f_{2}}{f_{2}} \leq \frac{n^{2}}{4}\|H\|^{2}+n_{1} n_{2} \max \widetilde{K}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{i}=\operatorname{dim} M_{i}, n=n_{1}+n_{2}, \Delta_{i}$ is the Laplacian operator of $M_{i}, i=1,2$, and $\max \widetilde{K}(p)$ denotes the maximum of the sectional curvature function of $\widetilde{M}$ restricted to 2-plane sections of the tangent space $T_{p} M$ of $M$ at each point $p$ in $M$. Moreover, equality holds in (1.3) identically if and only if the following two statements hold:
(1) $x$ is a mixed totally geodesic immersion satisfying $n_{1} H_{1}=n_{2} H_{2}$, where $H_{i}, i=1,2$, are the partial mean curvature vectors of $M_{i}$,
(2) at each point $p=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \in M$, the sectional curvature function $\widetilde{K}$ of $\widetilde{M}$ satisfies $\widetilde{K}(u, v)=\max \widetilde{K}(p)$ for each unit vector $u \in T_{p_{1}} M_{1}$ and each unit vector $v \in T_{p_{2}} M_{2}$.

Motivated by the studies of the above authors, we prove similar inequalities for $C$-totally real doubly warped product submanifolds of $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space forms and non-Sasakian ( $\kappa, \mu$ )-contact metric manifolds.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 , we give a brief introduction to submanifolds, $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifolds, $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space forms and non-Sasakian $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifolds. In Section 3, we prove basic inequalities for ( $\kappa, \mu$ )-contact space forms and non-Sasakian $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifolds. In Section 4, as applications we prove that if the functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are harmonic then $M={ }_{f_{2}} M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{2}$ does not admit minimal immersions under certain conditions.
2. Preliminaries. Let $M$ be an $m$-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $p \in M$. Denote by $K(\pi)$ or $K(u, v)$ the sectional curvature of $M$ associated with a plane section $\pi \subset T_{p} M$, where $\{u, v\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\pi$. For any $n$-dimensional subspace $L \subseteq T_{p} M, 2 \leq n \leq m$, its scalar curvature $\tau(L)$ is given by

$$
\tau(L)=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} K\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right),
$$

where $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ is any orthonormal basis of $L$ Ch-2000. If $L=T_{p} M$, then $\tau(L)$ is just the scalar curvature $\tau(p)$ of $M$ at $p$.

For an $n$-dimensional submanifold $M$ in a Riemannian $m$-manifold $\widetilde{M}$, we denote by $\nabla$ and $\widetilde{\nabla}$ the Levi-Civita connections of $M$ and $\widetilde{M}$, respectively. The Gauss and Weingarten formulas are

$$
\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+\sigma(X, Y) \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{X} N=-A_{N} X+\nabla_{X}^{\perp} Y
$$

respectively, for vector fields $X, Y$ tangent to $M$, and $N$ normal to $M$, where $\sigma$ denotes the second fundamental form, $\nabla^{\perp}$ the normal connection and $A$ the shape operator of $M$ Ch-1973.

Denote by $R$ and $\widetilde{R}$ the Riemannian curvature tensors of $M$ and $\widetilde{M}$, respectively. Then the equation of Gauss is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(X, Y, Z, W)= & \widetilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) \\
& +g(\sigma(Y, Z), \sigma(X, W))-g(\sigma(X, Z), \sigma(Y, W))
\end{aligned}
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y, Z, W$ tangent to $M$ Ch-1973].
For any orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of $T_{p} M$, the mean curvature vector is given by

$$
H(p)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)
$$

where $n=\operatorname{dim} M$. The submanifold $M$ is totally geodesic in $\widetilde{M}$ if $\sigma=0$, and minimal if $H=0$.

We write

$$
\sigma_{i j}^{r}=g\left(\sigma\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), e_{r}\right), \quad i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, r \in\{n+1, \ldots, m\}
$$

for the coefficients of the second fundamental form $\sigma$ with respect to $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$, $e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{m}$, and set

$$
\|\sigma\|^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g\left(\sigma\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), \sigma\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right)
$$

Let $M$ be a local $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ be a local orthonormal frame on $M$. For a differentiable function $f$ on $M$, the Laplacian $\Delta f$ of $f$ is given by

$$
\Delta f=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\{\left(\nabla_{e_{j}} e_{j}\right) f-e_{j} e_{j} f\right\}
$$

We will need the following Chen's Lemma:
Lemma 2.1 ( Ch-1993). Let $n \geq 2$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, b$ be real numbers such that

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\right)^{2}=(n-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}+b\right)
$$

Then $2 a_{1} a_{2} \geq b$, with equality holding if and only if

$$
a_{1}+a_{2}=a_{3}=\cdots=a_{n} .
$$

A $(2 m+1)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold $\widetilde{M}$ is said to be an almost contact metric manifold [Bl-2002] if there exist on $\widetilde{M}$ a (1,1)-tensor field $\varphi$, a vector field $\xi$, a 1-form $\eta$ and a Riemannian metric $g$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^{2}=-I+\eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi)=1, \quad \varphi \xi=0, \quad \eta \circ \varphi=0 \\
& g(\varphi X, \varphi Y)=g(X, Y)-\eta(X) \eta(Y), \quad \eta(X)=g(X, \xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ on $\widetilde{M}$. An almost contact metric manifold is a contact metric manifold if

$$
g(X, \varphi Y)=d \eta(X, Y)
$$

for all $X, Y$ on $\widetilde{M}$.
A contact metric manifold is a Sasakian manifold if the Riemannian curvature tensor $\widetilde{R}$ of $\widetilde{M}$ satisfies

$$
\widetilde{R}(X, Y) \xi=\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y$ on $\widetilde{M}$.
In a contact metric manifold $\widetilde{M}$, a $(1,1)$-tensor field $h$ is given by

$$
h=\frac{1}{2} L_{\xi} \varphi,
$$

where $L_{\xi}$ is the Lie derivative in the characteristic direction $\xi$. Moreover $h$ is symmetric and satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
h \xi=0, \quad h \varphi+\varphi h=0 \\
\widetilde{\nabla} \xi=-\varphi-\varphi h, \quad \operatorname{trace}(h)=\operatorname{trace}(\varphi h)=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection.
The tangent sphere bundle of a flat Riemannian manifold admits a contact metric structure satisfying $R(X, Y) \xi=0$ [Bl-2002]. The $(\kappa, \mu)$-nullity condition on a contact metric manifold is considered as a generalization of both $R(X, Y) \xi=0$ and the Sasakian case. The $(\kappa, \mu)$-nullity distribution $N(\kappa, \mu)$ BKP] of a contact metric manifold $\widetilde{M}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(\kappa, \mu): p \mapsto N_{p}(\kappa, \mu)=\{Z & \in T_{p} M \mid R(X, Y) Z \\
& =(\kappa I+\mu h)(g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y)\},
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $X, Y \in T M$ where $(\kappa, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $I$ is the identity map. If $\xi$ belongs to the $(\kappa, \mu)$-nullity distribution $N(\kappa, \mu)$ then the contact metric manifold $\widetilde{M}$ is called a $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifold. In particular the condition

$$
R(X, Y) \xi=\kappa(\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y)+\mu(\eta(Y) h X-\eta(X) h Y)
$$

holds on a $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifold.

On a $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifold we have

$$
h^{2}=(\kappa-1) \varphi^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \kappa \leq 1
$$

For a $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifold, the conditions to be a Sasakian manifold, $\kappa=1$, and $h=0$ are all equivalent. When $\kappa<1$, the non-zero eigenvalues of $h$ are $\lambda=\mp \sqrt{1-\kappa}$ each with multiplicity $m$. The eigenspace relative to the eigenvalue 0 is $\operatorname{span}\{\xi\}$. Also, for $\kappa \neq 1$, the subbundle $D=\operatorname{ker}(\eta)$ can be decomposed into the eigenspace distributions $D_{+}$and $D_{-}$relative to the eigenvalues $\lambda$ and $-\lambda$, respectively. These distributions are orthogonal to each other and have dimension $m$ [Bl-2002].

For a unit vector field $X$ orthogonal to $\xi$ in an almost contact metric manifold, the sectional curvature $\widetilde{K}(X, \varphi X)$ is called a $\varphi$-sectional curvature. On a $(2 m+1)$-dimensional $(m \geq 3),(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifold $\widetilde{M}$, if the $\varphi$-sectional curvature at $p \in \widetilde{M}$ is independent of the $\varphi$-section at $p$, then it is constant Kou . If the $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifold $\widetilde{M}$ has constant $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c$, then it is said to be a $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space form and denoted by $\widetilde{M}(c)$. The Riemannian curvature tensor of a $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W)  \tag{2.1}\\
& \text { +1) } \begin{aligned}
\frac{c-1}{4}\{2 g(X, \varphi Y) & g(\varphi Z, W)+g(X, \varphi Z) g(\varphi Y, W)-g(Y, \varphi Z) g(\varphi X, W)\} \\
& +\frac{c+3-4 \kappa}{4}\{\eta(X) \eta(Z) g(Y, W)-\eta(Y) \eta(Z) g(X, W) \\
& +g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \eta(W)-g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \eta(W)\} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\{g(h Y, Z) g(h X, W)-g(h X, Z) g(h Y, W) \\
& +g(\varphi h X, Z) g(\varphi h Y, W)-g(\varphi h Y, Z) g(\varphi h X, W)\} \\
& +g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z) g(h X, W)-g(\varphi X, \varphi Z) g(h Y, W) \\
& +g(h X, Z) g\left(\varphi^{2} Y, W\right)-g(h Y, Z) g\left(\varphi^{2} X, W\right) \\
& +\mu\{\eta(Y) \eta(Z) g(h X, W)-\eta(X) \eta(Z) g(h Y, W) \\
& +g(h Y, Z) \eta(X) \eta(W)-g(h X, Z) \eta(Y) \eta(W)\}
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y, Z, W$ on $\widetilde{M}(c)$ Kou]. If $\kappa=1$ then a $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ becomes a Sasakian space form and the equation 2.1) reduces to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\widetilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W)=\frac{c+3}{4}\{g(Y, Z) \\
g(X, W)-g(X, Z) g(Y, W)\} \\
+\frac{c-1}{4}\{2 g(X, \varphi Y) g(\varphi Z, W)
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +g(X, \varphi Z) g(\varphi Y, W)-g(Y, \varphi Z) g(\varphi X, W) \\
& +\eta(X) \eta(Z) g(Y, W)-\eta(Y) \eta(Z) g(X, W) \\
& +g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \eta(W)-g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \eta(W)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The Riemannian curvature tensor $\widetilde{R}$ of a non-Sasakian $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifold $\widetilde{M}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 2) } \begin{array}{c}
\widetilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W)=\left(1-\frac{\mu}{2}\right)\{g(Y, Z) g(X, W)-g(X, Z) g(Y, W)\} \\
-\frac{\mu}{2}\{2 g(X, \varphi Y) g(\varphi Z, W)+g(X, \varphi Z) g(\varphi Y, W)-g(Y, \varphi Z) g(\varphi X, W)\} \\
+ \\
-g(Y, Z) g(h X, W)-g(X, Z) g(h Y, W) \\
\\
+ \\
+\frac{1-\mu / 2}{1-\kappa}\{g(h Y, Z) g(h X, W)-g(h X, Z) g(h Y, W)\} \\
\\
+\frac{\kappa-\mu / 2}{1-\kappa}\{g(\varphi h Y, Z) g(\varphi h X, W)-g(\varphi h X, Z) g(\varphi h Y, W)\} \\
\\
+\eta(X) \eta(W)\{(\kappa-1+\mu / 2) g(Y, Z)-(\mu-1) g(h Y, Z)\} \\
\\
-\eta(X) \eta(Z)\{(\kappa-1+\mu / 2) g(Y, W)-(\mu-1) g(h Y, W)\} \\
+\eta(Y) \eta(Z)\{(\kappa-1+\mu / 2) g(X, W)-(\mu-1) g(h X, W)\} \\
\\
-\eta(Y) \eta(W)\{(\kappa-1+\mu / 2) g(X, Z)-(\mu-1) g(h X, Z)\}
\end{array} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y, Z, W$ on $\widetilde{M}$ (Bo-1999], Bo-2000]). A 3-dimensional non-Sasakian $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifold has constant $\varphi$-sectional curvature, but this is not true for higher dimensions. A non-Sasakian $(\kappa, \mu)$ contact metric manifold has constant $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c$ if and only if $\mu=\kappa+1$ Kou].
3. Main results. A submanifold $M$ normal to $\xi$ in a contact metric manifold $\widetilde{M}$ is said to be a $C$-totally real submanifold [YK]. It follows that $\varphi$ maps any tangent space of $M$ into the normal space, that is, $\varphi\left(T_{p} M\right) \subset$ $T_{p}^{\perp} M$ for any $p \in M$.

For a $C$-totally real submanifold in a contact metric manifold, it is easy to see that

$$
g\left(A_{\xi} X, Y\right)=-g\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} \xi, Y\right)=g(\varphi X+\varphi h X, Y)
$$

which means that $A_{\xi}=(\varphi h)^{T}$, the tangent component of $\varphi h$.
In this section, we consider inequalities for $C$-totally real doubly warped product submanifolds of $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space forms and non-Sasakian $(\kappa, \mu)$ contact metric manifolds.

Now, we begin with the following theorem:
ThEOREM 3.1. Let $M={ }_{f_{2}} M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{2}$ be an $n$-dimensional $C$-totally real doubly warped product submanifold of a $(2 m+1)$-dimensional $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
n_{2} \frac{\Delta_{1} f_{1}}{f_{1}}+ & n_{1} \frac{\Delta_{2} f_{2}}{f_{2}}  \tag{3.1}\\
\leq & \frac{n^{2}}{4}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{n_{1} n_{2}}{4}(c+3)+n_{2} \operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{1}}}^{T}\right)+n_{1} \operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{2}}}^{T}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left\{\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{1}}}^{T}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{2}}}^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right. \\
& -\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(A_{\xi}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{1}}}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{2}}}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& -\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}+\left\|h_{\left.\right|_{M_{1}}}^{T}\right\|^{2}+\left\|h_{\left.\right|_{M_{2}}}^{T}\right\|^{2} \\
& \left.+\left\|A_{\xi}\right\|^{2}-\left\|A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{1}}}\right\|^{2}-\left\|A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{2}}}\right\|^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n_{i}=\operatorname{dim} M_{i}, n=n_{1}+n_{2}$ and $\Delta_{i}$ is the Laplacian of $M_{i}, i=1,2$. Equality holds in (3.1) identically if and only if $M$ is mixed totally geodesic and $n_{1} H_{1}=n_{2} H_{2}$, where $H_{i}, i=1,2$, are the partial mean curvature vectors.

Proof. We choose a local orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n_{1}}, e_{n_{1}+1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ such that $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n_{1}}$ are tangent to $M_{1}, e_{n_{1}+1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are tangent to $M_{2}$ and $e_{n+1}$ is parallel to the mean curvature vector $H$.

From the equation of Gauss, we have

$$
2 \tau(p)=n^{2}\|H\|^{2}(p)-\|\sigma\|^{2}(p)+2 \widetilde{\tau}\left(T_{p} M\right), \quad p \in M
$$

where $\|\sigma\|^{2}$ is the squared norm of the second fundamental form $\sigma$ of $M$ in $\widetilde{M}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}\left(T_{p} M\right)$ is the scalar curvature of the subspace $T_{p} M$ in $\widetilde{M}$.

We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=2 \tau-\frac{n^{2}}{2}\|H\|^{2}-2 \widetilde{\tau}\left(T_{p} M\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (3.2) can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}=2\left(\delta+\|\sigma\|^{2}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the chosen local orthonormal frame, the relation (3.3) takes the form

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i i}^{n+1}\right)^{2}=2\left[\delta+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{i i}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i \neq j}\left(\sigma_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

If we put $a_{1}=\sigma_{11}^{n+1}, a_{2}=\sum_{i=2}^{n_{1}} \sigma_{11}^{n+1}$ and $a_{3}=\sum_{t=n_{1}+1}^{n} \sigma_{t t}^{n+1}$, then the above equation reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i}\right)^{2}= & 2\left[\delta+\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i}^{2}+\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}\left(\sigma_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{2 \leq j \neq k \leq n_{1}} \sigma_{j j}^{n+1} \sigma_{k k}^{n+1}-\sum_{n_{1}+1 \leq s \neq t \leq n} \sigma_{s s}^{n+1} \sigma_{t t}^{n+1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ satisfy the assumption of Chen's Lemma (for $n=3$ ), which implies that

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i}\right)^{2}=2\left(b+\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i}^{2}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
b=\delta & +\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i}^{2}+\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}\left(\sigma_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
& -\sum_{2 \leq j \neq k \leq n_{1}} \sigma_{j j}^{n+1} \sigma_{k k}^{n+1}-\sum_{n_{1}+1 \leq s \neq t \leq n} \sigma_{s s}^{n+1} \sigma_{t t}^{n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we get $2 a_{1} a_{2} \geq b$, with equality holding $a_{1}+a_{2}=a_{3}$. Equivalently

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq n_{1}} \sigma_{j j}^{n+1} \sigma_{k k}^{n+1} & +\sum_{n_{1}+1 \leq s<t \leq n} \sigma_{s s}^{n+1} \sigma_{t t}^{n+1}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \geq \frac{\delta}{2}+\sum_{1 \leq \alpha<\beta \leq n}\left(\sigma_{\alpha \beta}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{\alpha \beta}^{r}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Equality holds if and only if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \sigma_{i i}^{n+1}=\sum_{t=n_{1}+1}^{n} \sigma_{t t}^{n+1}
$$

By making use of the Gauss equation again, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{2} \frac{\Delta_{1} f_{1}}{f_{1}}+n_{1} \frac{\Delta_{2} f_{2}}{f_{2}}  \tag{3.5}\\
&=\tau-\sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq n_{1}} K\left(e_{j} \wedge e_{k}\right)-\sum_{n_{1}+1 \leq s<t \leq n} K\left(e_{s} \wedge e_{t}\right) \\
&= \tau-\widetilde{\tau}\left(D_{1}\right)-\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1} \sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq n_{1}}\left(\sigma_{j j}^{r} \sigma_{k k}^{r}-\left(\sigma_{j k}^{r}\right)^{2}\right) \\
&-\widetilde{\tau}\left(D_{2}\right)-\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1} \sum_{n_{1}+1 \leq s<t \leq n}\left(\sigma_{s s}^{r} \sigma_{t t}^{r}-\left(\sigma_{s t}^{r}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In view of the equations (1.1), (3.4) and (3.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{2} \frac{\Delta_{1} f_{1}}{f_{1}}+n_{1} \frac{\Delta_{2} f_{2}}{f_{2}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \tau-\widetilde{\tau}(T M)+\sum_{1 \leq s \leq n_{1}} \sum_{n_{1}+1 \leq t \leq n} \tilde{K}\left(e_{s} \wedge e_{t}\right) \\
& -\frac{\delta}{2}-\sum_{1 \leq j<t \leq n}\left(\sigma_{j t}^{n+1}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{\alpha \beta}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
& +\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq n_{1}}\left(\left(\sigma_{j k}^{r}\right)^{2}-\sigma_{j j}^{r} \sigma_{k k}^{r}\right)+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{n_{1}+1 \leq s<t \leq n}\left(\left(\sigma_{s t}^{r}\right)^{2}-\sigma_{s s}^{r} \sigma_{t t}^{r}\right) \\
= & \tau-\widetilde{\tau}(T M)+\sum_{1 \leq s \leq n_{1}} \sum_{n_{1}+1 \leq t \leq n} \widetilde{K}\left(e_{s} \Lambda e_{t}\right)-\frac{\delta}{2}-\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{1}} \sum_{t=n_{1}+1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{j t}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{1}} \sigma_{j j}^{r}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1}\left(\sum_{t=n_{1}+1}^{n} \sigma_{t t}^{r}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying (3.2) in (3.6) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{2} \frac{\Delta_{1} f_{1}}{f_{1}}+n_{1} \frac{\Delta_{2} f_{2}}{f_{2}}  \tag{3.7}\\
\leq & \frac{n^{2}}{4}\|H\|^{2}-\widetilde{\tau}(T M)+\sum_{1 \leq s \leq n_{1}} \sum_{n_{1}+1 \leq t \leq n} \widetilde{K}\left(e_{s} \wedge e_{t}\right) \\
& -\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{1}} \sum_{t=n_{1}+1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{j t}^{r}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{1}} \sigma_{j j}^{r}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1}\left(\sum_{t=n_{1}+1}^{n} \sigma_{t t}^{r}\right)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, from 2.1 we can write the sectional curvature of $\widetilde{M}(c)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{K}\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right)= & \frac{c+3}{4}+g\left(h^{T} e_{i}, e_{i}\right)+g\left(h^{T} e_{j}, e_{j}\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\{g\left(h^{T} e_{i}, e_{i}\right) g\left(h^{T} e_{j}, e_{j}\right)-g\left(h^{T} e_{i}, e_{j}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-g\left(A_{\xi} e_{i}, e_{i}\right) g\left(A_{\xi} e_{j}, e_{j}\right)+g\left(A_{\xi} e_{i}, e_{j}\right)^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

(see equation (4.3) in [Tri]). Then, using (3.8) in (3.7), we obtain the inequality (3.1).

Taking $h=0$ in (3.1), we obtain the following corollary:
Corollary 3.2 ([О] $)$. Let $M={ }_{f_{2}} M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{2}$ be an n-dimensional C-totally real doubly warped product submanifold of a Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{2} \frac{\Delta_{1} f_{1}}{f_{1}}+n_{1} \frac{\Delta_{2} f_{2}}{f_{2}} \leq \frac{n^{2}}{4}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{n_{1} n_{2}}{4}(c+3), \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{i}=\operatorname{dim} M_{i}, n=n_{1}+n_{2}$ and $\Delta_{i}$ is the Laplacian of $M_{i}, i=1,2$. Equality holds in (3.9) identically if and only if $M$ is mixed totally geodesic and $n_{1} H_{1}=n_{2} H_{2}$, where $H_{i}, i=1,2$, are the partial mean curvature vectors.

Similarly, we establish a sharp inequality for $C$-totally real doubly warped product submanifolds of non-Sasakian $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifolds in the following theorem:

TheOrem 3.3. Let $M={ }_{f_{2}} M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{2}$ be an $n$-dimensional $C$-totally real doubly warped product submanifold of a $(2 m+1)$-dimensional non-Sasakian $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifold $\widetilde{M}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
n_{2} & \frac{\Delta_{1} f_{1}}{f_{1}}+n_{1} \frac{\Delta_{2} f_{2}}{f_{2}}  \tag{3.10}\\
\leq & \frac{n^{2}}{4}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{n_{1} n_{2}}{4}\left(1-\frac{\mu}{2}\right) \\
& +n_{2} \operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{1}}}^{T}\right)+n_{1} \operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{2}}}^{T}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-\mu / 2}{1-\kappa}\left\{\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{1}}}^{T}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{2}}}^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\kappa-\mu / 2}{1-\kappa}\left\{\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(A_{\xi}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{1}}}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{2}}}\right)\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-\mu / 2}{1-\kappa}\left\{\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|h_{\left.\right|_{M_{1}}}^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|h_{\left.\right|_{M_{2}}}^{T}\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{\kappa-\mu / 2}{1-\kappa}\left\{\left\|A_{\xi}\right\|^{2}-\left\|A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{1}}}\right\|^{2}-\left\|A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{2}}}\right\|^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n_{i}=\operatorname{dim} M_{i}, n=n_{1}+n_{2}$ and $\Delta_{i}$ is the Laplacian of $M_{i}, i=1,2$. Equality holds in 3.10 identically if and only if $M={ }_{f_{2}} M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{2}$ is mixed totally geodesic and $n_{1} H_{1}=n_{2} H_{2}$, where $H_{i}, i=1,2$, are the partial mean curvature vectors.

Proof. We choose a local orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n_{1}}, e_{n_{1}+1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ such that $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n_{1}}$ are tangent to $M_{1}, e_{n_{1}+1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are tangent to $M_{2}$ and $e_{n+1}$ is parallel to the mean curvature vector $H$. Then from equation (2.2) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{K}\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right)= & (1-\mu / 2)+g\left(h^{T} e_{i}, e_{i}\right)+g\left(h^{T} e_{j}, e_{j}\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
& +\frac{1-\mu / 2}{1-\kappa}\left\{g\left(h^{T} e_{i}, e_{i}\right) g\left(h^{T} e_{j}, e_{j}\right)-g\left(h^{T} e_{i}, e_{j}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& +\frac{\kappa-\mu / 2}{1-\kappa}\left\{g\left(A_{\xi} e_{i}, e_{i}\right) g\left(A_{\xi} e_{j}, e_{j}\right)-g\left(A_{\xi} e_{i}, e_{j}\right)^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

(see equation (4.9) in [Tri]). Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 we obtain (3.7). Then making use of (3.11) in (3.7) we obtain (3.10).
4. Applications. As applications, we derive certain obstructions to the existence of minimal $C$-totally real doubly warped product submanifolds in $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space forms, in non-Sasakian $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifolds and in Sasakian space forms.

Corollary 4.1. Let $M={ }_{f_{2}} M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{2}$ be a $C$-totally real doubly warped product manifold. If the warping functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are harmonic, then $M$ admits no minimal immersion into a $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
0> & \frac{n_{1} n_{2}}{4}(c+3)+n_{2} \operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{1}}}^{T}\right)+n_{1} \operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{2}}}^{T}\right)  \tag{4.1}\\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left\{\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{1}}}^{T}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{2}}}^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right. \\
& -\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(A_{\xi}\right)\right)^{2}+\operatorname{trace}\left(\left(A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{1}}}\right)\right)^{2}+\operatorname{trace}\left(\left(A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{2}}}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& \left.-\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}+\left\|h_{\left.\right|_{M_{1}}}^{T}\right\|^{2}+\left\|h_{\left.\right|_{M_{2}}}^{T}\right\|^{2}+\left\|A_{\xi}\right\|^{2}-\left\|A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{1}}}\right\|^{2}-\left\|A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{2}}}\right\|^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are harmonic, and $M$ admits a minimal $C$-totally real immersion into a $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$. Then the inequality (3.1) turns into

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq & \frac{n_{1} n_{2}}{4}(c+3)+n_{2} \operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{1}}}^{T}\right)+n_{1} \operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{2}}}^{T}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left\{\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{1}}}^{T}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{2}}}^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right. \\
& -\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(A_{\xi}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{1}}}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{2}}}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& -\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}+\left\|h_{\left.\right|_{M_{1}}}^{T}\right\|^{2}+\left\|h_{\left.\right|_{M_{2}}}^{T}\right\|^{2} \\
& \left.+\left\|A_{\xi}\right\|^{2}-\left\|A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{1}}}\right\|^{2}-\left\|A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{2}}}\right\|^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain the inequality 4.1.
Similar to Corollary 4.1, we can give the following corollary:
Corollary 4.2. Let $M={ }_{f_{2}} M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{2}$ be a $C$-totally real doubly warped product manifold. If the warping functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are harmonic, then ${ }_{f_{2}} M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{2}$ admits no minimal immersion into a $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifold $\widetilde{M}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
0< & \frac{n_{1} n_{2}}{4}\left(1-\frac{\mu}{2}\right)+n_{2} \operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{1}}}^{T}\right)+n_{1} \operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{2}}}^{T}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-\mu / 2}{1-\kappa}\left\{\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{1}}}^{T}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\left.\right|_{M_{2}}}^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\kappa-\mu / 2}{1-\kappa}\left\{\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(A_{\xi}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{1}}}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{2}}}\right)\right)^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-\mu / 2}{1-\kappa}\left\{\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|h_{\left.\right|_{M_{1}}}^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|h_{\left.\right|_{M_{2}}}^{T}\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{\kappa-\mu / 2}{1-\kappa}\left\{\left\|A_{\xi}\right\|^{2}-\left\|A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{1}}}\right\|^{2}-\left\|A_{\left.\xi\right|_{M_{2}}}\right\|^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $h=0$ in Corollary 4.1, we have the following corollaries:
Corollary 4.3 ( $\boxed{\mathrm{Ol}})$ ). If the warping functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are harmonic, then ${ }_{f_{2}} M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{2}$ admits no minimal $C$-totally real immersion into a Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ with $c<-3$.

Corollary 4.4 ([0] $)$. If the warping functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively, with positive eigenvalues, then ${ }_{f_{2}} M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{2}$ admits no minimal $C$-totally real immersion into a Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ with $c \leq-3$.

Corollary 4.5 ([О]). If one of the warping functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ is harmonic and the other one is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian with a positive eigenvalue, then ${ }_{f_{2}} M_{1} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{2}$ admits no minimal $C$-totally real immersion into a Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ with $c \leq-3$.
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